MEMOIRS OF THE ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

No. 2, Varieties of the Vishnu Image



PANDIE IL IL BENYAMAND



MEMOIRS OF THE ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

No. 2. Varieties of the Vishnu Image

BY

PANDIT B. B. BIDYABINOD

Assistant Curator Archaological Section, IndianMuseum, Calcutta



UBLISHED BY THE DIRECTOR GENERAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA JANPATH, NEW DELHI 1998 Original edition 1920 Reprint 1998



١



© 1998 ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Price : Rs. 65.00

PRINTED AT BENGAL OFFSET WORKS, 335, KHAJOOR ROAD, NEW DELHI - 110005

VARIETIES OF THE VISHNU IMAGE.

WHAT are commonly known as "Vishnu images" are, as everybody is aware, extremely common in this country, and one's first general impression of them is apt to be one of almost tiring uniformity. The figure itself is generally treated more or less schematically, with little regard to modelling on naturalistic lines in many cases, and the four attributes displayed by the several hands do not strike the casual observer as being of any very special interest. We all know that Vishnu is characterised by his mace, his lotus, his conch and his wheel (discus), or think we do, and when we see a four-armed image with these attributes we call it Vishnu and pass on. In reality, however, the matter is much more complicated than at first appears. Some years ago I wrote a pamphlet in Bengali entitled Vishnu-murti-parichaya, "The Identification of Vishnu Images," published by the well-known Bengali literary society called the Bangiya Sāhitya Parishad, in which I set forth that according to the records of the Purānas and other texts, "Vishnu" is but a general term for what in reality constitute a considerable variety of different figures, to each of which a different name attaches. These varying names represent the Deity in his several divergent aspects, which are sculpturally differentiated each from each according to the distribution of his attributes between his several hands. Thus where the lower right hand holds the attribute a, and the upper right holds b, while the upper and lower left hands hold c and d respectively, the image represents one aspect of the god, quite different from the figure represented by an identical sculpture in which the order of these attributes is changed. It is therefore obligatory upon any one who wishes to gain a real knowledge of this important branch of Hindu iconography, or who aspires to interpret these images precisely as they were conceived and understood alike by those who ordered and by those who fashioned them, to pay particular heed to these divergencies as set forth in the ancient texts called sādhanas. To call all these figures "Vishnu" straight away is but a rough-and-ready method of procedure, little compatible with scholarship.

The first division of the subject with which I purpose to deal is the group in which twenty-four forms of the divinity are enumerated, the so-called chalurvinisati-mūrtis. Of these we have three descriptive texts, (1) the Agnipurāņa (chapter 48), (2) the Padmapurāna (chapter 78), and (3) the Chalurvarga Chintāmaņi of Hēmādri, the well-known writer on Hindu Law in the XIIIth century. Unfortunately only the first of these authorities names all twenty-four of the types; the second enumerating only 21, and Hēmādri only 23, presumably owing to corruptions or omissions in the text, which should be collated from several manuscripts for final certainty. It is advisable to quote the Agnipurāņa text at length before discussing them.

The Agnipurāņa reads ; 1

स्रों रूपः केष्ठवः पद्म-प्रंख-चक्र-गदाधरः । नारायणः ग्रंख-पद्म-गदाचक्री प्रदत्त्तिण्म् ॥ १ ॥ ततो गदी साधवोऽरि-ग्रंख-पद्मी नमासि तम् । चक्र-कौमोदकी-पद्म-ग्रंखी गीविन्द उर्जितः ॥ २ ॥ सोचदः त्रोगदी पद्मी ग्रंखी विग्रुख चक्रष्टक् । ग्रंखचक्राव्जगदिनं सधुसूदनमानमे ॥ ३ ॥ भत्तवा विविक्रमः पद्मगदो चक्री च ग्रंख्यपि । ग्रंख-चक्र-गदा-पद्मी वासनः पातु सां सदा ॥ ४ ॥ गतिदः त्रीधरः पद्मी 'चक्रंग्रांगीं च ग्रंख्यपि । द्वावीकेग्री गदा-चक्री पद्मी ग्रंखी च पातु नः ॥ ५ ॥ वरदः पद्मनास्तु ग्रंखाव्जारिगदाधरः । दासीदरः पद्मन्ग्रंख-गदा-चक्री नमासि तम ॥ ६ ॥

तेन गदी ग्रंख-चक्री वासुदेवीऽवृज्मध्ज्ञगत् । संकर्षणेग गदी ग्रंखी पद्मी चक्री च पातु वः ॥ ० ॥ गदी चक्री ग्रंख-पद्मी प्रदुम्नः पद्मध्त प्रसुः । घनिरुद्धवक्रगदी ग्रंखी पद्मी च पातु नः ॥ ८ ॥ सुरेगोऽर्यव्जगखाद्यः त्रीगदी पुरुषोत्तमः । ६ धेग्चजः पद्मगदी ग्रंखी चक्री च पातु वः ॥ ८ ॥ देवी न्द्रसिंख्यक्राजगदाग्रंखी नमामि तम् । प्रच्चतः त्रीगदी पद्मी चक्री ग्रंखी च पातु वः ॥ १० ॥ वालरूपी ग्रंखगदी उपेन्द्र येकपद्मापि । जनार्दनः पद्मचक्री ग्रंखधारी गदाधरः ॥ ११ ॥ ग्रंखो पद्मी च चक्री च इरि. कौमोदकीधरः । कर्ण्याः ग्रंखीगदी पद्मी चक्री मे सुक्रिमुक्तिदः ॥ १२ श

As this is potentially nothing more or less than a chart showing the various positions of the several attributes in the distinctive forms of the divinity, a tabulation of the material in chart-form will be more useful than a translation as such, and I accordingly give below the list of the twenty-four names with their respective attributes in the arrangement appropriate to each. The only word in the text which does call for mention is the word *pradakshinani* at the end of the first $\delta loka$. This is the key for the understanding of the whole system, and means that the various attributes are mentioned in the following order, (1) lower right hand, (2) upper right hand, (3) upper left hand, and (4) lower

¹ Bibliotheca Indica A. S. B. pp. 137-38.) पाठानर-"चन्नो गदाध भंख्यपि"।

VARIETIES OF THE VISHNU IMAGE.

left hand, or as in the $pradakshin \ddot{a}.$ With this much introduction we may tabulate the contents of the text as follows:—

Name of the	spec	cial forn	n. '			Lower Right.	Upper Right.	Upper Left.	Lower Left.
1. Kēśava						Padma	Śańkha	Chakra	Gadā
2. Nārāyaņa						S	Р	G	С
3. Mādhava .						G	С	s	Р
4. Gövinda .						С	G	Р	s
5. Vishnu		•				G	Р	s	С
6. Madhusüdana						s	c	Р	G
7. Trivikrama						Р	G	С	S v
8. Vâmana						s	С	G	Р
9. Śrīdhara .	•	•			•	Р	с	Śārṅga (a special bow).	S
9. Śrīdhara *						Р	с	G	S
9. Hrishīkēša	•					G	c	Р	s
10. Hijishikesu	2	÷				s	Р	С	G
12. Dāmõdara	·	÷				Р	S .	/G	C
13. Vāsudēva	·	• ,				G	s	С	P
 Vasudeva Saňkarshaņa 	•					G	s	Р	С
14. Sankarsnanja 15. Pradyumna	•					G	с	s	Р
16. Aniruddha		•				C ·	G	s	Р
 17. Purushöttama 	÷					С	P	s	G
18. Adhökshaja						Р	G	s	с
19. Nrsimha .				•		C	P	G	s
20. Achyuta .	·			•		G	Р	с	S
20. Renyatu -						S	G	С	Р
21. Opendia . 22. Janārdana						Р	C	S	G
22. Janardana 23. Hari	ċ					s	Р	с	G
23. Hari . 24. Krishna .	•		•	•		S	G	Р	σ

(P = padma, lotus; S = sankha, conch; C = chakra, disc; G = gadā, mace.)

The text of the Padmapurāna need not be quoted in full. The list of names is the same and in the same order, save that numbers 13 and 14 are there transposed, the order being in *Padma* "13-Sankarshana, 14-Väsudöva " instead of as the list given above; and save for its omission of numbers 21, 22 and 23, viz., Upëndra, Janārdana and Hari, as in the *Padma*, Krishna is himself No. 21, and the total of twenty-four is not made up. The distribution of the attributes for these several forms is also prevailingly the same in both, with these notable differences.

(a) No. 6, Madhusūdana, according to the Padmapurāņa, bears in his lower right hand the chakra; upper right, the śańkła; upper left, the padma; lower left, the gadā. That is to say, according to the Padma we get, if for the sake of clearness I may so speak, the formula CSPG, instead of the formula SCPG given in the Agnipurāņa.

(b) Similarly for No. 9, Śridhara, the Padma formula is GCPS instead of the PCGS of the Agni. But the divergent readings of the latter, and its alternative form in which the upper left hand of the Śridhara image holds the Śārngabow, is to be noted.

(c) No. 10, Hrishikēśa, shows PCGS (Padma) and GCPS (Agni).

(d) No. 11, Padmanābha, shows CPSG (Padma) and SPCG (Agni).

(e) No. 13, Väsudēva, shows PCSG (Padma) and GSCP (Agni).

It is also noticeable that in the *Padma* text both Keśava and Pradyumna hold their attributes in the order "PSCG." But it is possible that some if not all of these discrepancies would disappear on proper collation of the manuscripts. Without such collation it is impossible to choose between them, and to say which one is right.

As mentioned above, the list in Hēmādri, (1st chapter of the Vratakhanda, where he quotes from the Siddhārtha-samhhitā), comprises only twenty-three names, and in an order altogether different from that of the other texts. It will simplify things for our present purposes, however, to retain the order already given, and the former enumeration will therefore be retained so far as this paper is concerned. Similar considerations led me in the previous paragraph to omit mention of the fact that the order in which the Padma considers its attributes is also divergent in the original from the order in the Agnipurāna, but we may take the pradakshinam of the latter as our norm, and the more easily since the text of Hēmādri also takes the attributes in this order, beginning with the lower right hand as before. It is not the letter, but the content of the texts, with which we are concerned.

As for the discrepancies between Hēmādri and the other texts, the following are noteworthy, but may best be seen in tabular form :---

		Name					Hemādri.	Agni.	Padma.
2. Nārāyaņa						•	PSGC	SPGC	SPGC
6. Madhusūdana	•	•	•	·	•	•	CSPG	SCPG	CSPG

VARIETIES	OF	THE	VISHNU	IMAGE.
-----------	----	-----	--------	--------

-		Nai	me.			Hemadri.	Agni.	Padma.
7. Trivikrama .	•			•		PGSC	PGCS	PGCS
9. Śridhara .			۰.			PCGS	PCGS	GCPS
10. Hrishikéśa						GCPS	GCPS	PCGS
11. Padmanābha						SPCG	SPCG	CPSG
12. Dāmēdara .		·				PCGS	PSGC	PSGC
13, Vāsudēva				•		GSCP	GSCP	PCSG
15. Pradyumna						CSGP	GCSP	PSCG
19. Nrisimha						CPS	CPGS	CPGS
21. Upēndra,						PGCS	SGCP	
23. Hari						SCPG	SPCG	

A further important discrepancy is the fact that in Hēmādri the figures of both Adhōkshaja and Trivikrama (Nos. 7 and 18 in our list) are said to carry their attributes in one and the same way, which cannot very well be correct; and that the name of Vishnu' occurs twice over. This again must be due to textual corruption, for it is clear from the attributes these two figures hold that one of the two, numbered 23 in Hēmādri's list, must be Krishna, whose name otherwise does not appear, curiously enough. This seems to show a special need for an examination of the text in Hēmādri's case, and since where he differs from the order given in the Agnipurāva, the latter text is sometimes supported and confirmed by the evidence of the Padma, the order given in the .Igni may be looked upon as the most authoritative of the lot, just as its list of names is the most orderly and most complete.

The figures where the arrangement of attributes is identical in all our three authorities, and which accordingly may be considered as definitely settled and established, are as follows:---

3. Mādhava			GCSP,	Agni,	Padma.	Hëmädri.	
4. Gövinda			CGPS,		••	53	
5. Vishau .			GPSC,		,,	,,	
8. Vāmana			SCGP,	"	,,	,,	÷
14. Sankarshane			GSPC,	,,	,.		
16. Aniruddha .			CGSP,	,,	"	· ·	
17. Purushõttama			CPSG,	"	"		
18. Adhökshaja			PGSC,	,,	,,	,	
20. Achyuta			GPCS,	"	••		
24. Krishna			SGPC,	,,	"	,,	

The inclusion of Krishna in this list is based upon the assumption that he is meant where formula SGPC occurs in Hëmädri against the name of Vishnu.

			1	Name.				Pauranik Order.	Hëmadri's Order.
I. Kēśava			•					PSCG	Omits.
2. Nārāyaņa	•							SPGC	PSGC
7. Trivikrama		۰.						PGCS	PGSC
12. Dāmōdara	•		•		•	•	•	PSGC	PCGS

The following list shows the arrangement authorised by the two Purāņas, with Hēmādri's variant separately:---

The following shows where the Agni and Hēmādri agree against the testimony of the Padma:—

_						,			A. and H.	Padma.
10. Hrishikēśa .	•	•							GCPS	PCGS
11. Padmanābha									SPCG	CPSG
13. Väsudēva	•		•						GSCP	PCSG
22. Janārdana .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	PCSG	Omits.

But the only case where Hēmādri and the Padma agree against the evidence of the Agni is where the former give for Madhusūdana :---

		-					1	 H. and P.	Agni.
6. Madbusūdana	•		•	-	·	•		CSPG	SCPG

In the case of Śrīdhara we have three divergent series, padma, chakra, šārnga, and śankha in the Agni; GCPS in the Padma, and PCGS in Hemādri; and the same amount of variation is apparent as regards Pradyumna also, for the Agni gives us GCSP, the Padma gives PSCG, and Hēmādri gives CSGP, whereas in the case of the three which the Padma omits, Nos. 21, 22, and 23, Upēndra, Janārdana and Hari, the Agni and Hēmādri agree on only the second, both giving PCSG for Janārdana, while for Upēndra the Agni gives SGCP against the PGCS of Hēmādri, and for Hari we have SPCG (Agni) and SCPG (Hēmādri).

But the most confusing feature of the whole thing is that in some instances separate figures are stated in the several texts to have identical arrangements of their attributes. This overlapping will be clearer from the following table :--



	Order of	Attrib	utes.		Der	ty.			Authority.
PSCG				.{	Pradyumna . Kêśava	•	•	•	Padma. Agni and Padma.
GCSP				{	Pradyumna . Mādhava .	•	•	•	Agni. Agni, Padına, and Hēmādri.
PGSC				.{	Adhōkshaja . Trivikrama .	•	•	•	y y y y
PGCS				;-{	Trivikrama . Upēndra .	•	•	•	Agni and Padma. Hēmādri.
PCGS				, ,	Śrīdhara . Dāmōdara .		•	•	Agni and Hēmādri. Hēmādri.
					Hrishīkeša . Šrīdhara .	•	•	•	Padma. Padma.
GCPS				.۲ (Hrishīkēśa . Madhusūdana	·	·	•	Agui and Hēmādri. Agui.
SCPG				{	Hari	•	•	•	Hēmādri. Agni.
SPCG	•		•	.{	Padmanābha .	•	•		Hēmādri, and Agni also. Padma.
CPSG			•	.{	Purushõttama	•	•		Fadina. . Igni, Padma and Hōmādri. Padma.
PCSG	•	·	•	.{	Vāsudēva . Janārdana .	•	•	•	Padma. Agni and Hēmādri.

In the cases of this sort it is to be feared that a decisive identification is not always possible in the present state of our documents. But it is to be noticed that even so the preponderance of authority is generally determinable, and the matter is less complex than appears. For instance, when we find that both Purtuas agree in giving to the image with the arrangement PSCG the name of Keśava, and that the attribution of this order to Pradyumna is only a piece it. And similarly when we see that all our three authorities describe the order GCSP as being characteristic of Mādhava, it is fairly clear that the Agni is mistaken when it calls this figure also Pradyumna. Thus, while we may admit that a certain amount of uncertainty remains, still, each individual case will have to be considered on its merits, and when so considered is not likely to prove insoluble in the majority of instances. Doubtful cases must be marked as such.

In concluding this portion of our present study I append two further tabulations. The first of these shows in what order the twenty-four varieties of the Vishnu image ought to hold their attributes according to all three authorities, and is thus a joint table of the foregoing material, for ease of reference.

				Namo c	of Deit	×.				Arrangement	Attributes	according to
										of Ågni.	Padma.	Hēmādri.
1.	Kēśava			•		•				PSCG	PSCG	Omits.
2.	Nārāyana									SPGC	SPGC	PSGC
3.	Mādhava	•								GCSP	GCSP	GCSP
4.	Gõvinda									CGPS	CGPS	CGPS
5.	Vishņu	• .		·	•	•				GPSC	GPSC	GPSC (also SGPC, ?Krishna)
6.	Madhusūdar	a								SCPG	CSPG	CSPG
7.	Trivikrama									PGCS	PGCS	PGSC
8.	Vāmana	•								SCGP	SCGP	SCGP
9.	Śrīdhara	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		PCSār. S or PCGS	GCPS	PCGS
10.	Hrishīkēśa					۰.				GCPS	PCGS	GCPS
11.	Padmanābh	a								SPCG	CPSG	SPCG
12.	Dāmōdara			۰.						PSGC	PSGC	PCGS
13.	Väsudēva						•			GSCP	PCSG	GSCP
14.	Sankarshana	ı	•		•					GSPC	GSPC	GSPC
15.	Pradyumna		•							GCSP	PSCG	CSGP
16.	Aniruddha		•			•				CGSP	CGSP	CCSP
17.	Purushöttan	na	•	•	·	•	·		•	CPSG	CPSG	CPSG

Table of the Twenty=four Varieties.

				f Deity.	Arrangement	Attributes according to			
			and 0	r Deny.			of Ågni.	Padma.	Hemadri.
18. Adhökshaja	ı.			•			PGSC	PGSC	PGSC
19. Niisimha							CPGS	CPGS	CPS-
20. Achyuta							GPCS	GPCS	GPCS
21. Upēndra							SGCP		PGCS
22. Janārdana							PCSG		PCSG
23. Hari							SPCG		SCPG
24. Krishņa	•	. •		•		•	SGPC	SGPC	

To facilitate the identification of sculptures by their attributes, I further append a list or table showing the several groups of these attributes in classes according to the attribute held in the lower right hand. The order here as elsewhere in this article is lower right, upper right, upper left, and lower left, so that if we are trying to identify an unknown image in whose lower right hand we see the conch, "S," if this is followed by say the gadā, the padma and the chakra, in this order, we shall be able to identify it with Krishna, on the authority of the Purānas.

Table for the Differentiation of Vishnu Images by their Attributes.

GROU'P I .- Where the lower right hand holds the PADMA (lotus).

	Attril	outes.				Deit	y.			Authority.
PSCG .		•			Kēśava .	•				A. and P.
PGCS .				•	Trivikrama					A. and P.
PGSC .					Trivikrama					н.
PCSārnga S			•		Śrīdhara				•	А.
PCGS .					Śrīdhara					A. and H.
PSGC .				۰.	Nārāyaņa			•	•	н.
PCGS .				•	Hrishīkēśa					Р.
PSGC .			•		Dāmödara			•		A. and P.
PCG8 .					Dāmödara				•	H.
PCSG .		•		•	Vāsudēva		•			Р.

с

	Attri	butes.				Deit	v.		Authority.	
PSCG .					Pradyumna			•	•	Р.
PGSC .					Adhōkshaja	•				A., P. and H.
PGCS .					Upēndra					н.
PCSG .	·		•	-	Janārdana	•	•		•	A. and H.

GROUP II .- Where the lower right hand holds the SANKHA (conch).

	Attril	butes.		E	Deity.			Authority.	
SPGC .				Nārāyaņa .			•		A. and P.
SCPG .				Madhusūdana					А.
SCGP .	•			Vāmana .					A., P. and H.
SPCG .	۰.			Padmanābha .					A. and H.
SGCP .				Upëndra .				•	А.
SPCG .				Hari					А.
SCPG .	۰.	•		Hari					н.
SGPC .				Krishņa .			•		A. and P.
SGPC .				Vishņu .		•		•	Н.

GROUP III.—Where the lower right hand holds the GADA (mace).

	Attrib	utes.			Deity	<i>.</i>		Authority.	
GCSP .	•	.′		Mādhava	•	•			A., P. and H.
GPSC .				Vishņu .					A., P. and H.
GCPS .			•	Śrīdhara		•			Р.
GCPS .	•			Hrishīkēśa '					A. and H.
GSCP .	•	•		Vâsudēva		•			A. and H.
GSPC .		• ·	•	Sankarshana		•	•		A., P. and H.
GCSP .				Pradyumna					А.
GPCS .	•	•		Achyuta					A., P. and H.

	Attrik	outes.		Deity		Authority.	
CGPS .	·			Gōvinda .			A., P. and H.
CSPG .				Madhusūdańa			P. and H.
CPSG .				Padmanābha .			Р.
CSGP .				Pradyumna .			н.
CGSP .				Aniruddha .			A., P. and H.
CPSG .				Purushōttama			A., P. and H.
CPGS .				Nrisimha .	•		A. and P.
CPS	·	•		Nrisimha .	•		н.

GROUP IV.-Where the lower right hand holds the CHAKRA (discus or wheel).

It would be interesting to apply these data as a test to the so-called Vishnu images now in our collections, to determine how many of these varieties are actually represented, and how many are (so far as our materials allow of our determining), of purely schematic or literary currency. It is a surprising fact that, under this test, the Indian Museum collection in Calcutta is found to possess not a single image of the technically correct Vishnu as such, and to show a preponderance in favour of the formula PGCS, which, according to the Purānas, is to be interpreted as Trivikrama (Plate VII, fig. a), or Upēndra, according to Hēmādri.

The only other forms which occur in the Indian Museum are Janārdana (PCSG) (Plate VII, fig. b), Adhōkshaja (PGSC) (Plate VIII, fig. c), and Śrīdhara (PCGS) (Plate VIIII, fig. d), the last group being also identifiable as Hrisbikēsha or Dāmōdara according to the varying authorities.

B. B. BIDYABINOD.



Photo-couraves & printed at the Offices of the Survey of India, Calcouta, 1918.



Photo-contrarted & printed at the Offices of the Sorvey of India, Calcotta, 1918



