# General Editor T. P. MINAKSHISUNDARAN Professor of Tamil

# TOLKĀPPIYAM-COLIATIKĀRAM

WITH AN ENGLISH COME WOULD

B. 2.

Vidyäratna Dr. P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI Professor of Samsleyt, Arnamalui University



annamalai university annamalainagab

1945

# General Editor T. P. MINAKSHISUNDARAN Professor of Tamil

# TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

WITH AN ENGLISH COMMENTARY

BY

Vidyāratna

Dr. P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI

Professor of Saniskyt, Annamalar University



# ANNAMALAI UNIVERSITY ANNAMALAINAGAB

1945

7 8-

Price: Rs. 5]

# (mass)

Printed at
The Trichinopoly United Printers Ltd.
Trichinopoly
23rd Dec., 1945-500



# EDITORIAL PREFACE

IF Sanskrit works enjoy a world-wide reputation, it is due mainly to the translations in English, which have, thus placed the works within the easy reach of the English knowing public. The value, therefore, of the books like the present English translation of Tolkāppiyam, the earliest Tamil work, attempting to achieve for Tamil what has been successfully done for Sanskrit, cannot be easily exaggerated. The translation and the critical notes have made the book more useful and understandable to western readers.

The author of the critical notes, ranking himself in his Preface, as the last link in the great and illustrious chain of ancient commentators, feels, thus, ordained to deliver his Tolkappiyam message. Even otherwise, this labour of love in Tamil, extending over more than fifteen years in the arduous life of a Professor of Sanskrit, deserves our respect. As is made clear by the Preface, neither this book, nor Mr. Subramaniva Sastriar is new to the Tamil world. Some of the views of Mr. Sastriar inspired, the late lamented Somasundaram Pillai, a retired police officer and a great authority in Tamil Grammar, to examine critically the theses of our Professor in a series of learned articles elucidating the very fundamentals of Tamil Grammar Therefore I cannot do better than referring the readers to the pages of Tamil Polil (a monthly Literary Journal published by the Karantai-t-Tamil Sangam of Tanjore) where these articles appeared, for getting the other side of the picture.

The present commentator has to be congratulated on his preface wherein he has summarised his conclusions on Tolkāppiyam. It is a masterly survey in spite of its weakness for Sanskrit parallels. But, here again, in fairness, I must refer to the other side-not actually opposed to the views of the present author but differing from him in the amount of varying emphasis laid here and there. I can do no better than refer the reader to the writings of Tiru V. Venkatarajulu Reddiyar of the Madras

University (see especially his book 'Tolkappiyam Eluttatikam): of Miss E. T. Rajeswari of Queen Mary's College (see especially her article on "The Tamilian sounds which the Tamilians have forgotten" contributed to the Panditamani Manivilamalar) and especially of Navalar Tiru S. Somasundara Bharathiar formerly Professor of Tamil at Annamalai University (especially his commentaries on some chapters on Tolkappiyam). The inductive study of Tolkappiyam has just begun and our author, as one of the pioneers, has played no mean part; but it is too early to decide in favour of any one view. It is in this view of things, I have desisted, from giving my views in the foot-notes, in spite of my duty as the General Editor to do so, as may be seen from the note herein below, wherein I make mention of a few cases demanding scrutiny. The apparent contradictions in the views held by the research scholars, will resolve into harmony as the conflicting points settle down to their respective places. in the course of wider and deeper research.

# Note-

The translations are not always accurate. For instance, on page 36, in translating the Sutra 47, the word "eatables" is introduced without any warrant, as is borne out by the second example given by the annotator himself.

Nor are the annotations always realiable. It is very unfortunate that on the basis of the mistranslation above referred to, Note 1 thereunder, suggesting the futility of the Sutra in later times, should have been written. page 67, Note 1 accuses the ancient commentators of introducing Väkyabhēda (breaking up the unity of the sentence) in interpreting the Sutra whereas they only reveal the working of the principle of Vākyāikavākyatā, (the subordination of dependent sentences to the main sentence) according to which the first two lines of the opening Sutra of Collatikaram forming two dependent sentences are subordinated to the third line forming the main sentence. In this note, the annotator forgets that though the fact 'ai' stands second in the order of case signs could be known by the arrangement in the previous sutra énumerating the ease signs, the additional fact that it bears the specific technical name of the second case' has not as yet been explicitly stated.

The Sanskrit parallels shown in the annotation require revision in many places. For instance, on page 145, Note 1 states that Sutra 'Artha padam' of the Suklayajur Veda Prāti śākhya agrees with the Sutram 155 of Tolkappiyam. padam occurs as the 3rd sutra in the III Chapter of Katvavana's Pratisakhya. The ancient commentator Yuvvata (see page 109 of the Benares edition 1888) makes it clear that it is intended to prevent the name 'pada' being applied to the component parts of pada and to those that resemble 'pada' (see critical Katyayana Sukla Yajurveda Pratisakhya studies on Mm. Venkatarama Sarma p. 261). When for instance, in the word 'helot' the first two letters though by themselves may denote the pronoun elsewhere, ought not to be taken as, a word in that particular combination. Therefore according to this Sutra of Katyayana, if one is to rely upon the tradition of scholars, the meaning determines the unity of word. This Sutra therefore defines the technical word 'pada'. This certainly is not the scope of the Sutra 155 of Tolkappiyam. This Sutram, if things modern can be compared to things ancient, is so to say an epitome of an argument, something similar to the one developed by Urban in his book on "Language and Reality, in reply to the theories like that of the Logical Positivists denying to certain words which they call pseudo words, any real significance.

Parallelisms are further assumed to imply borrowings. To justify such an implication parallelism relied upon should not be of ordinary world wide ideas like synonyms and homonyms. In addition, it must be traced to specific individuals of known age. Often references are by many an author made to the Mahabharata for establishing Sanskrit influence on Tamil. The Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute had clearly shown the Mahabharata has assumed unmanageable proportions only in the rescension of the southern (or as I would like to put it, Tamil) country and one has to conclude that this is due to the contribution of the Tamil mind. Such parallelisms as mentioned above therefore amount to the borrowing of the Tamil mind from the Tamil mind—a meaningless jingle.

Probably even certain quotations from Sanskrit need revision; as for instance, on page XXVII of the Preface, we should read (1) tathā dukhāt for taya dukat; in (2) samsravad-vapi for samsravadva and (3) prahara for prahar.

As such slips as those mentioned in this note are inevitable in any human work, this note ought not to be taken to imply that the whole of this work is a series of such slips.

Annamalai University, T. P. MINAKSHISUNDARAN 10-11-'45 General Editor

# CONTENTS

					Page
Contents				• •	v
Bibliography and Abbreviations					vi
Scheme of Transliteration					viii
Preface		• •			iz
Addenda	and Corrigenda				xlii
Tolkāppiy	am–Collatikāram				
· (i)	Kilaviyakkam				1
(ii)	Vērrumai-y-iyal		* *		54
(iii)	Vërrumai-mayan	kiyal	• (	• •	103
(iv)	Vili-marapu	••	• •	·	133
(v)	Peyar-iyal	••	• •		145
(vi)	Vinai-y-iyal		• •		166
(vii)	Iṭai-y-iyal				196
(viii)	Uri-y-iyal				217
(ix)	Eccaviyal			.:	246
Index of	the words found in	the sūtras	• •		283
Index of	important words f	ound in the	commentary	• •	309

# BIBLIOGRAPHY & ABBREVIATIONS

•	Tamil
Tolkāppiyam	Tol.
Eļuttatikār <b>am</b>	Elat.
Collatikāram	Col.
Poruļ-atikāram	Porul.
Iļampūraņam	. Ilam.
Cēṇāvaraiya <b>m</b>	Cēņā.
Nacciņārkkiņi <b>yam</b>	Nac.
Puranāņūru	P. N.: Pura, Puranā.
Akanānürú	Akanā.
Tirumurukā <b>rr</b> uppaṭai	Tirumu.
Perumpāņā <b>r</b> uppa <b>tai</b>	Perumpāņ.
Cirupāņārruppaṭai	Cirupāņ.
Maturai-k-kāñci	
Mullai-p-pāţţů	
Porunar-ā <b>rr</b> uppaṭai	
Ku <b>r</b> iñoi-p-pāţţū	
Nețunal-vățai	
Pațținappālai	
Malaipaţu-kaţām	Malai-paţu.
Pati <b>rr</b> uppattů	Patirru.
Aińku <b>r</b> uņū <b>r</b> ú	
Kuruntokai	Kurun.
Kalittokai	Kalit.
Paripāṭal	Pari.
Tiru-k-ku <b>r</b> al	Karal
Kalavalı-nā <b>rpatu</b>	
Cilappatikāram	Cilap.
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Periyatirumoli **Ācārakkōva**i

Vīracö<u>l</u>iyam

Nannūl

Kamparāmāyanam

Kaiyatai-p-patalam .. Kaiyatai.

Cüḍāmaṇi

Pirayökavivēkam

 ${\bf Civa \tilde{n} \tilde{a} \underline{n} a mu \underline{n} ivar's \ Tolk \tilde{a} ppiya-mutat-c \tilde{u} t t ira-virutti$ 

Tamil Lexicon, published by the University of Madras

# SANSKRIT

Ŗgvēda

Mahābhārata (by Vyāsa)

.. M. B.

Vanaparva Salvaparva

Śalya.

Anuśāsanaparva

Anuśāsana.

Rāmayaņa (by Vālmīki)

Pāņini's Aşţādhyāyī

... Ast.

Dharmaśāstras

Taittirīva Prātiśākhyā

Atharvavēda—Prātišākhyā

Kautilya's Arthasāstra

Kāmasūtra

Nātvašāstra

. N.

Vākyapadīya Dhvanyālōka

Caldwell's Comparative Grammar of

Dravidian Languages .. C. D. G.

Old Kannada (hala-kannada) .. O. K.

Modern Kannada (hosa-kannada) .. M. K.

## SCHEME OF TRANSLITERATION

அ—a, ஆ—ā, இ—i, ஈ—ī, உ—u, ஊ—ū, я—e, ஏ—ē, ஐ—ai, ஒ—o, ஒ—ō, ஒள—au. Kurriyal-Ukaram u ஃ ∴

Skt.— $\mathbf{v}$ —k,  $\mathbf{v}$ —k, and  $\mathbf{v}$ —k.

### PREFACE

Tolkāppiyam is the earliest extant work in Tamil. It contains about 1600 sūtras divided into three atikārams or sections—Eluttatikāram, Collatikāram and Porulatikāram.

Eluttatikāram deals with Tamil Phonology:—Sounds, their number, classification and production and sandhi. It contains nine chapters or iyals, of which the first three deal with sounds and the last six with sandhi. There are about 480 sūtras in all the nine chapters.

The following satras are useful to the historical grammarian:

- Eluttenappatupa <sup>1</sup>
   Akaramutal
   Makara viruvāy muppa.: tenpa
   Cārntu-varan marapin münr-alan kaṭaiyē <sup>1</sup> (1)
- 2. Mūvaļa p-icaitta l-oreļut t-inrē 2 (5)
- 3. Vallelut t-enpa kacata tapara 3 (19)
- This sātra reveals that there were only thirty primary sounds in Tamil, that the arrangement of the alphabet at that' time was the same as it is now, except that āytam was not read after au.
- This sūtra tells that there were no sounds in Tamil having three mātras. In later Tamil āa was considered to be the symbol for a having three mātras on the analogy of the pluta in Sanskrit. This confusion came before the Vīracōliyam.
- 3. This satra reveals that the sounds represented by \$\delta\$, \$\delta\$, \$\delta\$, \$\delta\$, \$\delta\$, \$\delta\$, and \$\delta\$ were voiceless; hence the pronunciation of \$\delta\$ as \$g\$ and \$h\$, of \$\delta\$ as \$\delta\$ and of \$\delta\$ as \$b\$ came later. It might have been due to the study of Sanskrit and the use of Sanskrit words by Tamilians.

- 4. Akara ikara m-aikāra m-ākum 1 (54)
- 5. Akara ukara m-aukāra m-ākum <sup>1</sup> (55)
- 6. Takāra ņakāra nuninā v-aņņam 2 (91)
- Cārntuvari n-allatu tamakkiyal p-ilavena-t Tērntu-veļip paţutta v-ēnai mūnrun Tattañ çārpir pirappoţu civani Otta kāţciyir rammiyal p-iyalum <sup>3</sup> (101)
- Ellā v-eļuttum veļippaṭa-k kiļantū Colliya paļļi y-eļu-taru vaļiyiņ Pirappoṭu viṭuvaļi y-uraļcei vārattū Aļavir kōta l-antaņar maraittē. <sup>4</sup> (102)
- A.: t-iva nuvalā t-eļuntu-pura-t t-icaikkum Mey-teri vaļi-y-icai y aļapu-nuvan riciņē. 5 (103)
- These two sūtras tell us that Tamil ai and au are different from Skt. āi and āu. The latest South Indian pronunciation of Skt. āi and āu may have been on the analogy of that of Tamil ai and au.
- From this we learn that Tamil t and n were originally alveolar and not cerebral. This lends a helping hand to Otto Jespersen who holds that the cerebral sounds in Sanskrit might not have been borrowed from other languages.
- Āytam was pronounced in different ways according to its following consonant. In later Tamil its guttural sound was generalised throughout. This should have happened before the time of Navyālār.
- 4. & 5. From these satras we learn that the author of the Tolkäppiyam was aware of the four phases of speech mentioned in the following Rgvēdic verse:—

Catvāri vāk-parimitā padāni Tāni vidur-brāhmaņā yē manīsiņah |

Guhā trīņi nihitā nēngayanti

Turīyam vācō manuşyā vadanti. ((R.V I, 164, 45)

- Ellā molikku m-uyir-varu valiyē
   Utam-patu mey-y-i n-urupu-kolal varaiyār. <sup>2</sup> (141)
- Nī-y-e n-oru-peyar neţu-mutal kurukum Ā-vayi n-akara m-orrā kumm-ē. 3 (180)
- 13. Uvartinai y-ayi nam-m-itai varum-ē 4 (191)
- Māmara-k kiļaviyu m-ā-v-um māvum Nakara m-orru m-āvu māvum <sup>5</sup> (232)
- 15. Āṭūu makaṭūu v-ā-y-iru peyarkkum 6 (272)
- This tells us that it is impossible to form the sandhi between two words in Tamil, unless we know whether the preceding word stands in case-relation to the following word or not. This is possible only if one knows the meaning of the two words.
- From this it is evident that the use of inter-vocaliss in Tamil sandhi was only optional at the time of the Tolkappiyam.
- 3. If we compare ni in Tamil with O. K. nin and M. K. ninu, it is evident that ni is the modified form of nin formed from the oblique stem nin. Hence the author of the Tolkappiyam here proves himself to be a descriptive grammarian and not a historical grammarian.
- 4. This is one of the satras which proves that Tolkappiyam is the earliest extant Tamil work. The form ellanamnaiyum sanctioned by this satra is not found, as far as I see, in any extant Literature in Tamil.
- 5. By this sātra ā (female of the buffalo, ox or deer)+kōṭu (horn) became āṇkōṭu; but at a later period āṇkōṭu was mistaken for āṇ+kōṭu, so that ān began to be used in the same sense along with ā before the time of Tivākaram, a Tamil lexicon.
- 6. This sătra is read at a place where the sandhi is enjoined if the final of the preceding word is a. Hence the words mentioned here are ātū and makatū, but modern scholars take them ātūu and mākatūu even though u was added to them here for the sake of metre.

- 16. Varai-nilai y-inrē y-āciri yarkka 1 (316)
- 17. Vērrumai y-alvaļi y-eņ-ņ-e n-uņavu-p-peyar 2 (309)
- 18. Onpā n-okaramicai-t takara m-orrum. 4 (445)
- 19. Onpān mutanilai muntu-kilan tarrē 1 Vērrumai y-alvali-p puņar-moli nilaiyum ... ... (463)
- 20. Neri-p-pata vārā-k kurai-c-cor kilaviyum. 5 (482)
- 21. Utperu pulli y-uruv-ā kum-m-ē. 6 (14)
- 22. Ekara okara-t t-iyarkai-y-u m-arrē. 6 (16)

Besides the satras which deal with the initial sound of words in Molimarapu, enable us to understand that many words have become obsolete and many have entered into Tamil later than Tolkappiyam.

## The satra:

Örelut t-orumoli y-īrelut t-orumoli Iraņţiran t-icaikkun toţarmoli y-ulappaţa Mūgrē molinilai tōŋriya neriyē. (45)

deals with the three-fold classification of words into monosyllabic words, dissyllabic words and polysyllabic words. This classification was found necessary for the definition of the kurrival-ukaram.

- The word āciriyarkka suggests that there were grammarians before Tolkāppiyanār.
- En was the word that meant gingelly seed; enney (en+ney) seems to have been wrongly split into el+ney so that el ousted the original word en.
- & 4. These two sātras clearly tell us that the formation of the words tonnārū and tollāyiram was not definitely known as early as the date of the Tolkāppiyam.
  - The expression kurai-c-cor-kilavi suggests that uriccol was considered not a complete word, but a part of the complete word.
  - 6. These sūtras tell us how the symbol for makara-k-kurukkam has become obsolete and e, ē, o and ō were represented then by e, e, , and a.

Final u of polysyllabic words and dissyllabic words whose first vowel was long was hurriyal-uharam if it was preceded by a  $valle \underline{l}uttu$  or voiceless consonant. But Nunnular does not seem to understand the significance of this classification and hence included dissyllabic words also under totar- $mo\underline{l}i$  This three-fold classification is unnecessary for the spoken Tamil of today since final u in any word is now pronounced only with half a  $m\bar{a}tra$ . Hence the definition of the kurriyalukaram given by  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyan\bar{a}r$  does not apply to Modern Tamil.

It is significant to note that there are striking parallels between some sūtras in the Pirappiyal and some in the Taittirīya Prātišākhya and Atharvavēda Prātišākhya and the mention of the initial and the final consonants of words in the Molimarapu is similar to that in the Prātišākhyas.

Collatikāram contains nine chapters of which the first four deal with Syntax and the remaining five with Morphology. All the nine chapters contain about  $460 \ s \bar{u} t r a s$ .

The following sūtras are useful to the historical grammarian:

- Uyartinai y-enmanār makkat cuttē
   A: rinai y-enmanā r-avarala pira-v-ē
   Ā-y-iru tinaiyi n-icaikkumana collē. (1)
- Kannun tölu mulaiyum piravum
   Panmai cuttiya cinai-nilai-k kilavi. 2 (61)
- 3. Avai-tām Peyar-ai oṭu-ku Iṇ-atu kaṇ-viļi y-eṇṇu m-īrra. (64)
- The word enmanār suggests that there were grammarians before Tolkāppiyanār, the technical terms uyartinai and a:rinai existed before him and col (i.e.) both noun and verb are either of uyartinai or a:rinai.
- The words kan, iöl, mulai etc. were considered as plural nouns, since, perhaps, they denoted objects occuring in pairs in nature.
- The cases were named before the time of Tolkāppiyanār as peyar-vērtumai, ai-vērtumai, otu-vērtumai, ku-vērtumai, in-vērtumai, atu-vērtumai and kan-vērtumai.

- 4. Mūnīā kuvatē
  Otu-v-ona-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi
  Vinai-mutar karuvi y-anai-mutar ratu-v-ē, 1 (73)
- Ai-y-un kan-n-u m-aliā-p poruķ vayin Mey-y-urupu tokāa v-iruti yāna.<sup>2</sup> (105)
- Itana t-ituvir r-ennun kilaviyun Tonneri marapina tönra l-ārē<sup>3</sup> (110)
- 7. Nummin riripeyar..... 4 (143)
- Col-l-ena-p patupa peyarē vinai-y-enrū Ā-y-iran t-enpa v-arintici n-orē 5 (158)
- 9. Avaņ-iva ņ-uvaņ-eņa varūm peyarum

Yāṇ-yām nām-eṇa varūum peyarum

Pāl-ari vanta v-uyartiņai.p-peyar-ē 6 (162)

- This sātra tells us that the case-suffix ofu was used to denote the agent of an action and the instrument. In Modern Tamil only āl is used to denote them.
- Since the suffix han was dropped, words like vayin in porulvayin in this satra was taken by the later grammarians to be the seventh case suffix. Similarly many words denoting place and time are now considered to be seventh case suffixes.
- Towneri marapina suggests that 'Tamil Literary works existed long before Tolkāppiyam was written and the fourth case suffix ku was wide in its application.
- 4. I doubt whether niyir was formed from ni by the addition of the suffix ir or from the oblique base stem num. If it is the former, it is certain that the nominative case form niyir was later in origin than the oblique case forms nummai, numakki etc.
- This satra clearly tells us that Tamil grammarians originally recognised only two parts of speech-noun and verb.
- 6. Three points are known from this: Nān which is now more frequently used than yān in Literary works and which is exclusively used in Spoken Tamil of the present day did not exist at the time of Tolkāppiyam. It should have

- Ånmai y-atutta makan-en kilaviyum¹ (163)
- 11. Penmai y-atutta makan-en kilaviyum 1 (164)
- Kal-l-otu civanu m-avviyar peyar-ē Kolvali y-utaiya pala-v-ari corkē <sup>2</sup> (169)
- Teri-nilai y-uţaiya v-a∴rinai y-iyarpeyar
   Orumai-yum paṇmai-y-um viṇaiyoţu varin-ē² (171)
- Nîyir nî-y-ena varûnû kilavî Pârerî p-ila-v-ê y-uţan-moli-p porula ¹ (188)
- 15. Kālan tām-ē mūņ-re-ņa molipa<sup>4</sup> (199)
- 16. Irappi nikalvi n-etirvi n-enrā 4 (200)

been used at a later period corresponding to the plural nām in analogy with yām-yān (2) The pronouns of the first person were considered as uyar-tinai; but Nannālār considers them as viravu-t-tinai (3) The forms uvan, uvaļ and uvar are now obsolete.

- From the expressions ānmakan and penmakan, it is possible to assume that makan was used as common gender.
- 2. These two sātras tell us that the plural suffix kaļ was optionally used after only aŝīrinai nouns. It gradually extended to uyartinai nouns and to uyartinai verbs also. Hence the forms yānkaļ, avarkaļ, vantārkaļ are all later ones. This suffix may be considered one of the landmarks in the Linguistic history of Tamil.
- 3. Four points may be noted here:—(1) nivir, the second person nominative plural does not have the same stem as that of the second person oblique cases, which is num. Hence it is possible that it was later than oblique case forms and it was formed after the second person singular ni was evolved from the oblique stem nin by the addition of the second person plural termination ir to it. (2) Nivir is now obsolete and ninkal is used instead. (3) Nivir also was used after the Tolkāppiyam period. (4) The second personal pronoun was used as a: rinai also as early as the Tolkāppiyam period.
- These two sūtras tell us that Tamil originally recognised only three tenses. Hence the modern form vandirukkirāņ, vandirundāņ eic. are later periphrastic formations.

- 17. Am-m-ā m-em-m-ē m-ennun kiļavi-y-um Um-m-oţu varūun ka-ţa-ta-za v-ennum A-n-nāz kiļaviyo ţ-āy-en kiļaviyum Panmai y-uraikkum tanmai-c col-l-ē ¹ (202)
- 18. Ka-ţa-ta-ra v-ennum A-n-nān k-ūrnta kunriya l-ukara-mōţū En-n-ē n-al-l-ena varūu m-ēlun ² Tanvinai v-uraikkun tanmai-c col-l-ē. (203)
- Mārai-k kilaviyum pallör paţarkkai Kāla-k kilaviyotu muţiyu m-enpa (207)
- An-ān aļā ļ-ennu nānkum
   Oruvar marunkir paṭarkkai-e col-l-ē.<sup>5</sup> (205)
- Ar-ār pa-eņa varūu mūnrum<sup>5</sup>
   Pallor marunkir paṭarkkai-e col-l-ē. (206)
- At the present day all these terminations of the first person plural have become obsolete in Spoken Tamil and am and ām are used by some scholars in their works. The form vantōm which might have been the modified form of vantām in analogy with vantān—vantōn is the only one current. Cēnāvaraiyar says that vantōm was the modified form of vantēm.
- All the terminations except en and en have now become obsolete. Al later on metamorphosed to an. The author of the Viracoliyam says that al denoted the determination of the speaker. The use of en is considered pedantic in speech.
- 3. In the expression konmār vantār, both konmār and vantār were considered to be finite verbs and the finite verb konmār was considered to modify vantār. Such a usage is obsolete now; kolla vantār has taken its place.
- Kāla-k-kiļavi here means verb; it is so called since it is the word which denotes time.
- 5. If these two satras are read along with

Na∴kā u-orrē y-āṭūu v-aricol (Col. 5)

Ļa: kā n-orrē makaţūu v-aricol (Col. 6)

and  $Ra : k\bar{a}$  n-orrum ....... (Col. 7), it is clear that the element a denotes third person.

- Yā r-ennum vināvin kiļavi
   A-t-tiņai marunkin muppārku m-urittē ¹ (210)
- 23. Inrila 2 ..... (220)
- 24. Ir-īr <sup>3</sup> miŋ-ŋ-eŋa varūu mūṇrum Pallōr maruṅkinum..... (224)
- Munnilai tanmai y-āyī r-iţattoţů
   Mannā t-ākum viyanköt kilayi 4 (226)
- 26. Pallör paṭarkkai munnilai tanmai A-v-vayin munru nikalun kalattu-o Ceyyu m-ennun kilaviyotu kollä <sup>5</sup> (227)
- Yār mentioned here is the curtailed form of yāvar, which is sanctioned in the sūtra

Palar-ari commun yāva r-ennum Peyaritai vakaran ketutalum.... (Elut. 173)

It is used as ānpāl, penpāl and palarpāl; but yāvar is not so used. The reason perhaps may have been this:—when yāvan was contracted to yān, confusion might have arisen between this yān and the first personal pronoun yān Since it is one of the duties of language not to create confusion even at the risk of using incorrect expressions, yān might have been used in connection with all the three pāls.

- Since irri was singular and ila was plural, ilati should have been a later formation.
- If this sūtra is read along with 'Ra: kāṇ-orrum...' (Col. 7), it is clear that the element i denotes the second person.
- This is one of the landmarks in the Linguistic history of Tamil which enables us to determine the posteriority of all works in the extant Tamil Literature to the Tolkāppiyam.
- 5. Ceyyum as a finite verb in the present tense is now obsolete. Ceykinan or ceykinan, ceykinan or ceykinan ceykinan or ceykinan, ceykinan, have taken its place. Ceyyum is now used in the future tense with reference to onranpal and palavinpal; this may have been in analogy with the

- Pin-mun kāl-kaṭai vali-y-iṭat t-ennum Anna marapir kālan kanniya Enna kilaviyu m-avarriyal pina-v-ē¹ (229)
- Iyar-cor riri-cor ricai-c-col vaţa-col-l-enrû Anaittē ceyyu l-ītta-c col-l-ē<sup>2</sup> (397)
- 29. Centamil <sup>3</sup> cērnta paṇ-ṇ-iru nilattun Taṅ-kurip piṇa-v-ē ticai-c-cor kilavi (400)
- Niraṇirai cuṇṇa m-aṭimari molimārru Avai-nāṇ k-eṇpa moli-puṇa r-iyalp-ē<sup>4</sup> (404)

peyar-eccam ceyyum which is so used. Ceyyum is found as a verb in the imperative mood in the expression nir ceyyum; this may have been the corrupt form of ceym, ceyme or ceymin. Hence ceyyum as a finite verb, is one of the words that enables us to fix the date of a composition.

- This sūtra tells us that forms like ceytapin is a vinai-y-eccam; but strictly speaking it consists of two words ceyta and pin where ceyta is a peyar-eccam and pin is a noun in the seventh case with the case-suffix being dropped. But such adverbial phrases began to be considered as single words before the time of the Tolkāppiyam. Hence such forms are periphrastic formations.
- 2. Since tirical is one which has changed so much in its form on account of frequent use in Literature that its derivation is not understood, Tamil Literature should have lived for a long time before Tolkāppiyanār. Besides, since vaļacal was allowed to be used in Tamil Literature, Tamil should have borrowed words from Sanskrit and Prākrt long before his time.
- 3. The word Centamil here denotes the country. Hence the language Centamil took its name from the country. This suggests that as early as the period of the Tolkappiyam, Tamilnad was divided into two:—centamil-nāţi and the other. But modern scholars think that tamil was first the name of the language.
- The mention of the different kinds of anvaya suggests that there was a vast range of Poetical Literature before the Tolkāppiyam.

- 31. Ellā-t tokai-y-u m-oru-con nataiya 1 (420)
- 32. Ayai-y-al kilavi maraittanar kilattal 2 (442)
- 33. Kați-col l-iliai-k kālattu-p paținē 3 (452)
- 34. Kurai-c-cor kilavi kuraikkum-vali y-arital 4 (453)
- 35. Munnilai cuţţiya v-orumai-k kilavi Panmaiyoţu muţiyinum varai-nilai y-inrē Ārruppaţai marunkir porral venţum. (462)
- 36. Ceyyun marunkinum valakkiyan marunkinum Mey-pera-k kilanta kilavi y-elläm Pal-vēru ceytiyi nüneri pilaiyātu Col-varain t-ariya-p pirittanar kāṭṭal <sup>6</sup> (463)
- This tells us that Tolkāppiyanār had recognised the unitary nature of compounds; but unfortunately Modern Tamil scholars are not fully conscious of this and hence they leave space between the component parts of compound words.
- Recognition of the use of refined language in societies tells
  us the high state of civilisation of Tamilnad at the time of
  Tolkappiyanar.
- Tolkāppiyanār has clearly recognised the growth of Tamil Language.
- 4. The elision of sounds in words initially, medially and finally was seen in the Literature of Tolkāppiyanār's time.
- 5. The class of composition called ārruppaṭai was in existence before Tolkāppiyanār's time. Unfortunately we are not in possession of even one ārruppaṭai composed before him. Besides we are not aware how poetic compositions had been classified by grammarians before him.
- 6. This sātra tells us that Tolkāppiyanār had clearly realised that Literary Language was different from Popular Language and it was not possible to write grammar in an exhaustive manner to cover all the different kinds of usage in Literature and Speech.

### The sūtras

Na∴kā n-orrē y-āṭūu v-aricol (5) La∴kā n-orrē makaṭūu v-aricol (6) Ra∴kā n-orrum...... Nēra-t tōnrum palar-ari col·l-ē (7)

tell us that the ending v, l and r denote masculine singular, feminine singular and epicene plural. Hence in the words avanai, avalai, avarai, ai denotes the accusative case, v, l and r denote respectively masculine singular, feminine singular and epicene plural. Thus two suffixes do three functions. In Sanskrit nouns also only two suffixes denote gender, number and case; but one suffix denotes gender alone and another suffix denotes both number and case. When such is the case, philologists should consider whether it is justifiable to take Sanskrit under Inflexional Languages and Tamil under Agglutinating Languages.

The fact that two suffixes function differently in Tamil and Sanskrit nouns, and the classification of words into wyartinai and a: rinai in Tamil and the distinction of gender in verbs also are crucial points to decide that Tamil and Sanskrit are members of entirely different families.

The treatment of syntax in the Kilaviyākkam and the following three iyals, that of separate words in the Peyariyal and in the Vinai-y-iyal, and that of itai-c-col and uri-c-col in the Itaiyiyal and the Uriyiyal clearly show that Tolkāppiyanār recognised that the unit of speech was the sentence.

Uriyiyal, the chapter dealing with the meaning of roots, bears a close resemblance in plan and treatment to Yāska's Nirukta.

Porul-atikāram deals with the Science of Poetics relating to love-poetry in the first, third, fourth and fifth chapters, and with that relating to all affairs other than love such as warfare, state-craft etc., in the second chapter. The sixth chapter deals with rasa or sentiment relating to Poetry; the seventh with the figure of speech of uvamai (simile) and its classifications; the eighth with metre and the ninth with traditional usage in Poetry etc. All the nine chapters contain about 660 sūtras.

# The following sūtras deserve special notice:-

- Kaikkiļai mutal-ā-p perun-tiņai y-iruvāy Murpaţak kiļanta v-eļu-tiņai y-eṇpa¹ (1)
- Mutal-karu v-uri-p-poru ļ-enīa mūnīē Nuvalun kālai muīai-cijan taņa-v-ē Pātalut payinīavai nātun kālai (3)
- 3. Māyön mēya kāturai y-ulakamum Cēyön mēya mai-varai y-ulakamum
- Enpa shows that the sevenfold classification of Aka-t-tinai was not done by Tolkāppiyanār, but by his predecessors.
- The word pāṭalul clearly tells us that the classification into mutar-porul, karu-p-porul and uri-p-porul concerning each tiṇai has reference only to Literature and not to the things of the world.
- 3. This sūtra mentions that Māyōn (Viṣṇu), Cēyōn (Skanda), Vēntan (Indra) and Varunan are the presiding deities of mullai-t-tiṇai, kuriñci-t-tiṇai, maruta-t-tiṇai and neytal-tiṇai. Of the four Māyōn, Vēntan and Varunan are Rgvēdic Gods and Cēyōn is considered to be the Dravidian God; but the description of Cēyōn in Literature like Tirumurukārruppatai and Paripātal generally agrees with that of Skanda in the Mahābāārata:—

Mū-v-eyil murukkiya muraņ-miku celvanum

Ulakań kākku m-onru-puri koļkai-p Palar-pukaļ *mūvarun* talaiva r-āka

Nalvē riyarkai-p patinoru mūvaroļu

.. ..

Antara-k kotpinar vantutan kāna-t Tāvil-koļkai matantaiyotu cinnāļ Āvi nan-kuti y-acaital-u m-uriyan.

(Tirumu. 154-176)

Vēntan mēya tīm-puna l-ulakamum Varuņan mēya peru-mana l-ulakamum

Tatō dēvās-trayastrimšad-dišašca sadigīšvarāḥ | Rudrō dhātā ca viṣnuś-ca yamaḥ pūṣāryamā bhagaḥ || ... ... ... ...

Prthag bhūtāni cānyāni yāni dēvagaņāni vāi | Ājagmus-tē-adbhutan draṣṭum kumārañ jvalanātmajam ||

(M. B. Anuśāsana. 133, 15-17)

Aruvar payanta āramar ceļva

(Tirumu, 255)

Tāstu ṣat kṛttikā garbham pupuşur jātavēdasah (M. B. Anuśāsana. 133, 8)

Vāṇōr vaṇaṅku-vi**r** rāṇai-t talaiva. (Tirumu. 260) Sāināpatyēna tam dēvāḥ pūjayitvā guhālayam | (M. B. Anuśana. 133, 28)

Kēli y-önkiya venratu virar-koți. (Tirumu. 38) Kukkuțas căgnină dattas tasya kētur-alankţtah (M. B. Vana. 229, 41)

Umaiyotu punarnta kāma vatuvaiyuļ Amaiyā-p punarcei y-amaiya neīri Imaiyā nāṭṭa-t t-oru-varan koṇṭu Viļankeṇa viṇṇōr vēļvi mutalvaṇ Viri-katir maṇi-p-pū ṇ-avarku-t-tā ṇīttatu Ariteṇa māɪrāṇ vāymaiya ṇ-ātāliṇ Eri-kaṇaṇ īāṇā-k kuṭāri-koṇ ṭ-avaṇ-uruvu Tirittiṭ ṭōṇ-iv v-ulakēļu marula

Vaţavayin vilankā l-urai-y-elu makaliruļ Kaṭavu l-orumīn cālini y-oliya Aruvar marraiyōru m-annilai y-ayinranar.

(Paripāṭal 5, 28-45)

Mullai kuriñci maruta neytal-ega-c Colliya muraiyār collavum patum-ē. (5)

Děvyā vivāhē nirvrttē rudrānyā bhrgunandana | Samāgamē bhagavatō dēvyā saha mahātmanah || Tatah sarvē samudvignā dēvā rudram upāgaman ! . . Varam prayaccha lökēśa trāilōkya-hitakāmyayā || Na devvam sambhavet putro bhavatah surasattama | Dhrtyā dēva nigrhņīsva tējō jvalitam uttamam | . . Rudras tu tējō-apratimam dhārayāmāsa vāi sadā | Praskannam tu tatas tasmāt kiñcit tatrāpatad bhuvi Utpapäta tadā vahnāu vavrdhē cādbhutō-pamam | (M. B. Anuśāsana, 130, 61-78) Vipauna-krtya rajendra devatā rsayas tathā | Krttikāś codayamāsur apatvabharanāva vai l Tās tu şat kṛttikā garbham pupusur jātavēdasaḥ | Samam garbham suşuvirē kṛttikās tā nararşabha | Divyam śaravanam prapya vavrdhe priyadarśanah || (M. B. Anuśāsana, 133, 5-12) The same idea is expressed in Vālmīki's Rāmāyaņa Bālakānda 36th sarga. Analan ran-meyvir pirittu-c Celva vāranan kotutton (Paripātal 5, 57-58) Kukkutas cāgninā dattah (M. B. Vana. 229, 41) ·························Vānattu Valan-kelu celvan...... ......Aņi-mayil koţuttōņ Tiruntu-kön ñaman..... Irunkan vel-yāt teliu-mari kotuttou Aan k-avarum piraru m-amarntu-pațai y-alitta Mariyu maññaiyum varana-c cēvalum (Paripātal, 5, 58-64)

# 4. Mēlor muzaimai nālvarkku m-uritt-ē. 1 (31)

Suparņēsya dadāu putram mayūram citra-barhiņam | Rākṣasāśca dadus tasmāi varāha-mahisāvubhāu | Kukkuṭañ cāgnisaṅkāśam pradadau varuṇaḥ svayam Candramāḥ pradadāu mēṣam ādityō rucirām prabhām | Chāgam agnir guṇōpētam ilā puṣpa-phalam bahu ||

(M. B. Anuśasana 133, 21-23)

Note.—There is some difference in the givers of gifts mentioned in the Paripātal and the Mahābhārata.

Arumukat t-āriru tölāl venri (Paripāṭal. 14, 21) Ṣadānanan kumāran tu dvi.ṣad-akṣam dvija-priyam | Pināmsam dvādaśa-bhujam..... || (M. B.)

Tevvu-k kunzatu-t tiruntu-vē l-aļutti A-v-varai y-utaittöy (Paripāţal. 19, 102—3)

Bibhēda kräuncam sakiyā ca pāvakih para-vīra hā
(M. B. Salya, 47, 91)

Whatever that may be, it is beyond doubt that the three Rgvēdic Gods were recognised to be the presiding deities of the different tinais. From this it is evident that long before the Tolkāppiyam was written, Aryans and Dravidians lived together.

1. The word nālvarkkū suggests that the division of castes into Brahmans, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas and Vēļālas was prevalent in Tamilnad before the time of the Tolkāppiyam. Some of the modern scholars are of opinion that this sātra is an interpolation. If it is so, sātras 28 to 36, the statement aru-vakai-p-paṭṭu pāɪppava-p pakkamum in sātra 74 parattai vāyi pālvarkku m-urittē in sātra 220 and a number of sūtras in the Marapiyal should also be considered an interpolation.

- Nāṭaka valakkiņu m-ulakiyal valakkiņum Pāṭal cāŋra pulaŋeri valakkam. (56)
- Kāma-k kūṭṭaṅ kāṇuṅ kālai
   Maraiyōr tēettu maṇra l-eṭṭaṇul<sup>a</sup>
   Turai-y-amai nal-yāl-t tnṇaimai-y-ō r-iyal-p-ē. (89)
- Karp-ena-p patuvatu karanamotu punara-k Kolark-uri marapir kilavan kilattiyai-k Kotaikk-uri marapinor kotuppa-k-kol vatu-v-5.3 (140)
- Mēlör mūvarukkum puņartta karaņan Kīlör-k k-ākiya kālamu m-unţ-ē.³ (142)
- There is parallelism between the former part of this sūtra and the statement lōkadharmī nāṭyadharmī dharmī dvividhaḥ smṛtaḥ in Nāṭyaśāstra in Sanskrit. This sūtra also shows that the classification of tiṇai has reference only to Literature.
- 2. Tolkāppiyanār was aware of the eight kinds of marriage mentioned in Dharmasāstras. Marai here refers to Vēdas in Sanskrit. Maraiyōr refers to people who believed in the authority of the Vēdas. This shows that there were originally people in Tamilnad who did not recognise Vēdas as their authority for everyday life.
  - Kāma-k-kūṭṭam means kaļavu, which means the system of marriage where the lover agrees to marry the lady-love without the knowledge of her parents. This is evident from the expression marainta v-olukkattu in the sūtra 133. Hence it is wrong to translate it as clandestine marriage. The lady who married by kaļavu system was as chaste as one who married by karpu system.

This is seen from the following lines

Uyirinun cirantanru nanê naninun Ceyir-tîr katci-k karpu-c-ciran tanrena

.. .. .. .. (111)

 From these three sătras six points are known to us:-(1) The kalavu system of marriage alone existed among those, who did not have Vēdas for their authority. It worked very

- Poyyum valuvun tönriya pinnar Aiyar yattanar karana m-enpa <sup>3</sup> (143)
- Uyarntör kiļavi vaļakkotu puņartaliņ Vaļakku-vaļi-p patutal ceyyuļku-k kataņ-ē <sup>1</sup> (213)
- Nakai-y-ē y-aļukai y-iļivaraņ marutkai Accam perumitam vekuļi y-uvakai-y-eņrū A-p-pā l-ett-ē mey p-pā t-eņpa <sup>2</sup> (247)
- 12. Eļļa l-iļamai pētaimai maţaŋ-eŋrū Uļļa-p paṭṭa nakai-nāŋ k-eṇpa <sup>3</sup> (248)

satisfactorily for a long time. (2) When men failed to be dutiful to the ladies whom they married and left them in distress, the karpu system was newly introduced (3) The karpu system was followed by Brahmans, Kṣatriyas and Vaiśyas. (4) It was introduced by Aryas to those other than they. (5) Since the system itself was a new one, a new word had to be coined to denote it. It seems to me that the Skt. word kalpa which means vidhāna was Tamilised into karpan, the final am was dropped (cf. inpu from inpam—Kural) and the word karpu was evolved. The word karanam stands for agnāukaranam which means hōmah (offering in agni). (6) Consequently karpu system consists of the parents' giving away their daughter to the son-in-law and his performing hōma in agni with her.

- This injunction that description in Literature should conform to the affairs of the world clearly tells us that Porul-atikāram deals primarily with the Science of Poetics. It is useful to see that the same idea is expatiated under Rasasya syād-virōdhāya vṛthyanāucityam ēva ca in the third Uddyōta of Dhvanyālōka later on.
- This sūtra classifying meyppāṭū into eight is similar to that in the Skt. Nāṭyaśāstra

Sṛṅgāra-hāsya karuṇā rāudra-vīra-bhayānakāḥ | Bībhatsādbhuta-saṁjñāścētyaṣṭāu nāṭyē rasāḥ smṛtāḥ || (N. 6—15)

 Cf. Hāsō nāma para-cēṣtānukaraṇa-asambaddhapralāpapāurōbhāgya-sāukhyādibhir vibhāvāir utpadyatē. (N. 7-8)

	13,	Iļivē y-iļavē y-acaivē varumai-y-eņa Viļivil koļkai y-aļukai nāņk-ē <sup>1</sup> (249)
	14.	Anańkê vilańkê kalvar-tam m-irai-y-ena-p Pinańkal cālā v-acca nānk-ē <sup>2</sup> (252)
	15.	Kalvi tarukan n-icaimai kotai-y-ena-c Collap patta perumita nānkē <sup>3</sup> (253)
	16.	Urupparai kuṭikō ḍ-alai-kolai y-eṇra Veruppiṇ vanta vekuḷi nāṇkē (254)
	17.	Celvam pulaņ-ē puņarvu-viļai yāṭṭ-eṇa Allal ņītta v-uvakai nāṇk-ē. <sup>5</sup> (255)
	18.	Pukumukam purital porinutal viyarttal Naku-naya maraittal citaivu-pirark k-inmaiyotu Takumurai nänk-ē y-onrena molipa. <sup>6</sup> (257)
1.	Ișța	ujana-viprayōgād dāridryād vyādhitastayā duḥkhāt   Paravṛddhim vā dṛṣṭvā nirvēdō nāma sambhavati (N. 7—24)
		Iştavadhadarsanād vā vipriyavacanasya samsravād vā   Ēbhir bhāvavisēşaiḥ karuṇarasō nāma sambhavati    (N. 6, 76)
2.	Cf.	Vikṛtarava-sattva darśana-saṅgrāmāraṇya —
		śūnyagṛhagamanāt   Gurunṛpayōr aparādhāt kṛtakaśca bhayānakō jñēyaḥ. (N. 6, 69)
3.	Cf.	Sthāirya-śāurya-tyāga- vaiśāradya-ādibhir anubhāvāiḥ (N. 6, 66)
4.	Cf.	Yuddhaprahārghātana-vikṛtacchēdana-vidāraṇaiścāiva   Saṅgrāmasambhramādyāir ēbhiḥ sañjāyatē rāudraḥ    (N. 6, 79)
5.	Cf.	Rtumālyālankārāih priyajana-gandharva- kāvyasēvābhih
		Upavana-gamana-vihārāiḥ śṛiṅgārarasaḥ samudbhavati    (N. 6. 54)
6.	Cf.	Prahasanti ca nëtranam patanan ca pariksayët

- Vinai-payan mey-y-uru v-enra nānk-ē Vakai-pera vanta v-uvamai-t tōrram.<sup>1</sup> (272)
- 20. Uvamam-um poruļu m-ottal vēņtum.

or

Uvamai-y-um poruļ-u m-ottal vēņṭum. (279)

- Poruļ-ē y-uvamañ ceytanar moliyinum
   Maruļ-aru cirappin-a ... t-uvama m-ākum 3 (280)
- 22. Avaitām
  Anna v-ēyppa v-urala v-oppa. (282)
- 1. Uvamai-t-tōrram here means 'the point of comparison' (upamāna-upamēyayōḥ sāmānyadharmaḥ). This sūtra tells us that it may denote action, effect, shape or colour. Here the word uvamai is used in the sense of Skt. upamā.
- 2. Uvamam or uvamai here corresponds to Skt. upamāna. Uvamam is Ilampūranar's reading and uvamai is Pērāciriyar's reading. The word porul corresponds to Skt. upamēya. This designation porul is very appropriate, since it means the object on hand (which is to be compared.)
- 3. This sūtra tells us that, even if the upamāna and upamēya are interchanged, it was called upamā. Under this sūtra Ilampūranar says that some held the view that uruvakam (Skt. rūpaka) was considered by Tolkūppiyanār to be a variety of uvamai; but Pērāciriyar says that some consider expressions like muka-t-tāmarai as uruvakam, and it is absurd: A study of the Uvamai-y-iyal makes us think that Tolkūppiyanār did not think of rūpaka here.
- 4. Here Tolkāppiyanār has given 35 words which may be used to signify uvamai and has added the expression piravum which means etc. This shows the vast range of Literature that existed at his time.

- Nîraî-y-ava nirpî nëru nërpum Varai-v-in r-enpa väy-moli-p-pulavar (380)
- 24. Eļuttu mutal-ā v-īntiya v-atiyir Kuritta poruļai mutiya nātṭal Yāpp-ena molipa yāpp-ari pulayar.¹
- Oru-cī r-iţai-y-iţ ţ-etukai y-āyiŋ
   Polipp-eŋa molital r pulava r-ārē (403)
- 26. Mey-peru marapir roţai-vakai tām-ē Aiyī r-rāyirat t-āraiñ ñūrroţu Tonţu-talai y-iţţa pattu-k-kurai y-elunūrru Onpa: t-enpa v-unarntici nor-ē (466)
- 28. Mārraruñ cirappin marapiyal kilappin Pārppum paralun kuttiyun kuralaiyun Kanrum pillaiyu makavu mari-y-um-enru Onpatun kulaviyo t-ilamai-p peyar-ē.3 (545)
- Erutu m-ērrai-y-u m-oruttalun kaļirum Yātta v-ānpār peyar-ena molipa<sup>3</sup> (546)
- Pēṭai-y-um peṭai-y-um peṭai-y-um peṇ-ṇ-um Antañ cāṇra piṭiyoṭu peṇ-ṇ-ē<sup>3</sup> (547)
- The mention of pulavar and unarnticinor in the above satras clearly testify the presence of authors on Prosody before Tolkappiyanar.
- Mūvar here refers to, in the opinion of Ilampūranar and Pērāciriyar, the kings of Cēra, Cōla and Pānti countries. This sūtra tells us that the three kings were very famous in his time and the Tamil Language flourished in their lands.
- 3. These three sătras mention the words to be used to refer to the young, the male and the female of different animals. Does this not show that Tamil Language was in well developed state before the time of Tolkāppiyavār?

- 31. Nūl-ē karakam mukköl maņai-y-ē Āyun kālai y-antanar-k k-uriya (615) Paṭai-y-un koṭi-y-un kuṭai-y-u muracum Terivu-koļ cenkö l-aracar-k k-uriya (616) Vaicikan peɪum-ē vāṇika vālkkai (622) Vēļān māntark k-ulutū n-allatu Il-l-ena molipa pira-vakai nikalcci (625)
- Viņai-yi nīńki viļankiya v-arivin Munaivan kantatu mutanu l-ākum² (640)
- 33. Vali-y-ena-p paṭuva t-atan-vali-t t-ākum 2 (64I)
- 34. Valiyi neriy-ë nal-vakai-t t-akum 2 (642)
- Tokuttal virittal tokai-viri moli-peyarttu
   Atarppaţa yāttalō ţ-auai-mara p-iua-v-ē² (643)

There are 32 uttis mentioned in the last sūtra. Many of them seem to be the translation of the tantra-yuktis mentioned in Kāuṭilya's Arthaśāstra. They are as follows:—

Atikāra-murai—

Yam artham adbikṛtya ucyatē tad adbikaraṇam.

2. Tokuttu-k-kūral—

Samāsavākyam uddēśaḥ.

Vārātatān vantatu muţittal—
 Vaktavyēna sādhanam pradēśah.

- These four sūtras clearly tell us that four castes were described in the Tamil Literature and those castes are identical in their functions with the castes mentioned in the Dharmaśāstras of the Sanskrit Literature.
- 2. These four sūtras mention about original works and works having others for their source, and works of the latter class may abbreviate, enlarge, abbreviate and enlarge and translate the source books. This classification would have been possible only if there had been works of such description. In that case they would certainly have translated many Sanskrit works. It is our great misfortune that not one of them is now known to us.

- Vantatu konţu vārātatu muţittal— Uktēna sādhanam atidēśaḥ.
- Muntu-molintatan talai-tatumārrū— Pratilömēna sādhanam viparyayaḥ.
- Oppa-k-kūral—
   Drstēna adrstasya sādhanam.
- Oru-talai-moli—
   Ēvam vartitavyam iti upadēśah.
- 8. Piran utampattatu tān-utampatutal— Paravākyam apratisiddham anumatam.
- Molivām-enral —
   Paścād ēvam vihitam iti anāgatāvēksanam.
- Kürirreural—
   Purastād ēvam vihitam iti atikrāntāvēkṣaṇam.
- Tān kuri-y-iţutal— Parair asarniñitah śabdah svasarniñā.
- Orutalaiyanmai muțintatu kāţţal— Sarvatrāyattam ēkāntaḥ.
- Anai-kūral—
   Evam nānyathā iti niyōgaḥ.
- Piran-köţ-kūral—
   Ēvam asāu āha iti apadēśaḥ.
- Uyttu-k-kontunarial—
   Anuktakaranam ühyam.

Some of the Modern Tamil scholars are under the impression that the section *Porul-atikāram* is found in Tamil *ilakkaṇam* alone. This is due to the fact that they have not understood that the *Porulatikāram* deals with *Poetics*.

The contents of the Porul-atikāram are found in the Alankāra works, works dealing with Prosody, the Arthaśāstra and the Kāma sātra in Sanskrit, though there may be differences among them. The five uri-p-poruls:—punartal, pirital, iruttal, irankal and āṭal found in Tamil have their counter-part in Sanskrit. Punartal

is sambhōga-sṛṅgāra (love in company), iruttal and iraṅkal are vipralambha-sṛṅgāra due to different causes, ūṭal is pṛaṇayakalaha, the result of īṛṣyā-vipralambha and pirital is vipralambha-sṛṅgāra if the lady-love is not in the company of the lover and it is sambhōga-sṛṅgāra if she is in his company.

Kalavu system of marriage is sure to exist in all countries and at all times if girls are not married before puberty. Such a one is mentioned in the  $K\bar{a}mas\bar{u}tra$  by  $V\bar{a}tsy\bar{a}yana$  in the fifth chapter.

But it seems that Sanskrit Poetics has not restricted that, when sambhōga-sṛngāra is delineated, the tract occupied by the lover and the lady-love should be a mountain or mountainous region etc. Hence Tamil Poetics, at the hands of Tolkāppiyaṇār, has its own individuality as Tamil Phonology and Tamil Morphology and Syntax have.

# Tolkāppiyanār and his date.

Naccinārkkiņiyar says:—The author of the Tolkāppiyam is Trnadhūmāgni, the son of Jamadagni. He was one of the twelve disciples of Agastya. He was cursed by Agastya for having rescued his wife with a stick. 'The nānmarai mentioned in the Cirappu-p-pāyiram by Atankōṭṭācān refers to Taitiriyam, Pautikam, Talavakāram and Cāmavētam and hence Tolkāppiyanār lived before the Vēdas in Sanskrit were classified by Vyāsa into Rgvēda,

# Yajurvēda, Sāmavēda and Atharvavēda.

But Taittiriyam is a śākhā or recension of the Yajurvēda; Pauţikam is the tadbhava of Bāhvrcyam which refers to Rgvēda; Talavakāram is a śākhā of Sāmavēda. Hence Naccinārkkiniyar's statement that Tolkāppiyanār lived before Vyāsa classified the Vēdas does not seem to be sound.

The commentary under the first sūtra of the Iraiyanār-Akapporul states that Agastya, Siva, Murukan and Mūrañciyūr Muṭinākarāyar etc. lived at the first Sangam and Agastya, Tolkāppiyanār etc. lived at the second Sangam. But it seems to me that Murañciyūr Mūṭinākarāyar lived later than Tolkāppiyanār for the following reason:—The only stanza in

the whole range of extant Tamil Literature ascribed to the authorship of Murañciyūr Muținākarāyar is the second stanza in the Puranāpūrū. There we find the expression nī niliyar, where niliyar is a viyaṅkōl viṇai used in the second person. But Tolkāppiyaṇār has stated in Col. 226 that viyaṅkōl-viṇai can be used only in the third person. But in Naṇṇūl it is stated that it can be used in all persons. Hence it was first used only in the third person and was then generalised to all persons. So I do not agree, at present, with the commentator of the Iraiyaṇār-Akapporul that Murañciyūr Muṭinākarāyar was anterior to Tolkāppiyaṇār.

From a close study of the Tolkappiyam I venture to make the following observations:-There was a vast range of Literature in Tamil before Tolkappiyanar's time and there were many grammarians before him, who had comed many technical terms relating to Eluttatikāram, Collatikāram and Porulatikāram. Aryans and Dravidians had been living together long before his time, had taken three Rgvēdic gods as the presiding deities of three tinais and they had recognised four castes—Brahmans, Ksatriyas, Vaisyas and Vēlālas whose duties exactly agreed with those mentioned in the Dharmaśāstras. Tolkāppiyanār was conversant with Vēdas, Dharmasāstras, Kāmasūtra, early Alankāra Literature, the source-book of Nātyaśāstra, Prātiśākhyas, works on Vvākarana, Nirukta etc. in Sanskrit Literature and made use of them in planning Tolkāppiyam. He was so clever that the genius of Tamil was not at all interfered with by his knowledge of Sanskrit and he wrote his work in wellplanned and scientific manner. Each of his sūtras conveys only one idea and one sūtra follows another in a natural way.

From the following landmarks in the Linguistic growth of Tamil Language—the suffix kal, the third case-suffix āl, the viyankōl verb, the finite verb ceyyum and the oblique case forms of the pronouns ellīrum and ellārum, it can be inferred, without any fear of contradiction, that the Puranāvūru, the Kallittokai, the Paripāṭal, the Tirukkural etc. are posterior to the Tolkāppiyam. Since scholars ascribe to the Puranāvūru the date from the 1st c. B. C. to 1st c. a. D., the Tolkāppiyam cannot be later than 2nd c. B. C., though some recently have ascribed it to a much later date.

# Commentators on the Tolkappiyam

Ilampūraņar, Cēṇāvaraiyar, Pērāciriyar, Naccipārkkiṇiyar, Teyvaccilaiyār, Kallāṭaṇār, an unknown author, and P. S. Subrahmanya Sastri are the commentators on the Tolkāppiyam, known till now.

# Ilampūraņar

Ilampūranar's commentary alone covers all the three sections:—Eluttatikāram, Coltatikāram and Porul-atikāram. Of them, the commentary on the first and the last sections was published by V. O. Chidambaram Pillai and that on the second by C. R. Namasivaya Mudaliar. The commentary is very lucid and testifies that Ilampūranar had studied under traditional scholars of Tamil and he had many commentators on the Tolkāppiyam before him, which are now lost to us. He seems to have lived long before Cēpāvaraiyar, since the latter does not call him by his name, but calls him Uraiyāciriyar (commentator). Whenever he finds an opportunity to differ from his views on any sūtra, he refutes him in a humble way with statements like avarkkū atu karuttanru enka. Civañānamunivar thinks that Ilampūranar was ignorant of Sanskrit. But his statements

Koţai eṇpatu viluppam uţaiyārai nutaliyakkār koṇṭu vaittu koṭuttal (under Col. 99)
Kaṭicūttirattirku-p-poṇ (under Col. 76)
Arthāpatti (under Col. 61) eto.

testify that he had studied Sanskrit. His commentary on some sūtras is criticised by Cēṇāvaraiyar, even though it looks correct. This shows that Cēṇāvaraiyar did not study the Tolkāppiyam under the school of Ilampūranar. Many of his additions to the ideas contained in the Tolkāppiyam were incorporated into sūtras by Naṇṇūlār. His date is probably 12th c. A.D.

## Cēnāvaraiyar

The name Cēnāvaraiyar is perhaps the Tamilised form of Skt. Sēnādhipati. He might have lived near Trichinopoly,

since he makes mention of the hill at Trichinopoly and Uraiyūr¹ east of Karūr.² If that be so, it is possible that he was a commander in Cōṭa kingdom about the 14th c., and he lived later than Nannūlār, since he gives the examples unkirranam etc. under Col. 202, unpākkū etc. under Col. 229, mentions a as a casesuffix and considers that aṭapeṭai and pluta are identical. It is the opinion of many scholars that he wrote commentary only on the Collatikāram. But a careful study of his commentary on the sūtras

Peyari n-ākiya tokai-y-um-ā r-uļa-v-ē A-v-v-u m-uriya v-a-p-pāl āṇa. (Col. 67) Ellā-t tokai-y-u m-oru-con nataiya. (Col. 420)

shows that he differs from Ilampūraņar in the interpretation of the sūtra

Peyarun tolilum pirint-orun k-icaippa Vērrumai y-urupu mlai-peru valiyun Törram vēntā-t tokuti-k kannum. (133)

in the Eluttatikāram; but he does not refute Ilampūranar here, but goes on arguing as if he has already refuted him. This, he should have done, in his commentary on the Eluttatikāram. His statement 'a.m-mutipu unarttāmaikkuk-kāranam'.

Puṇariya nilai-y-iṭai y-uṇara-t tōnrā (Elut. 482) enpuli-e collappatṭatu (under Col. 250)

also testifies it.

His commentary on the Collatikāram is considered best. His style is so scientific that one should think twice before trying to remove even one word from any sentence. His knowledge of Tamil Literature is very wide, though Naccinārkkiniyar was bold enough to say otherwise. In addition to his knowledge of Tamil Language and Literature, he possessed sound scholarship in Vyākaraņa, Mīmāmsā, Vēdānta and a fair knowledge of Nyāya, in Sauskrit.

- Uraiyürkkayaninra cirāpalli-k-kunrai Uraiyürkkat-kunru enrum. - (under Col. 82)
- 2. Karuvūrin kilakku, (under Col. 77)
- Avar (Cēṇāvaraiyar) āciriyar karuttuñ cāṇrōr-ceyyul-valakkamum uṇarāmar kūrinār enpatu ikkūriyavārrān uṇarka (under Tol. Col. Nac.)

The following statements testify his knowledge of Vyākarana in Sanskrit:---

- Ataninātal ena-k kāraka-v-ētu mur-kūra-p-paţṭamaiyān, itu ñāpaka-v-ētu v-ām. (under Col. 74)
- 2. Vatanūlut poruļ-vērrumai-y-allatu urupu-vērrumaiyāņ oru vērrumai-y-āka v-ōta-p-paṭāmaiyāṇum.... (under Col. 74)
  - 3. Aintiranūlār vilivērrumaiyai ettām-vērrumai-y-āka

nērntār. (under Col. 74)

- 4. ....ñāpākam āyirru. Allanavarrirku anuvātam (under Col. 10)
- İyaipinmai-nikkalum piritin-iyaipu-nikkalum ena vicētittal iruvakaittu (under Col. 182)
- 6. Iyarpeyar āvaṇa....nimittam¹-iṇri-p poruļē parri varum.... (under Col. 174)
- Oru-nimittattāņ i iraņţu-tiņai-p-poruļum uņarttutaliu.... (under Col. 174)
- 8. Ivarrai vatanülär tātu 2-v-enpār (under Col. 415)
- 9. Vicēţippatum vicēţikkappaṭuvatum ākiya iraṇṭaṇuļ.... (under Col. 416)
- Vaţanūlārum piriyā-t-tokaiyum pira-collān virikkappaţum enrār (under Col. 416)

Besides he has translated the following karika in the Vakyapadiya of Bhartthari:—

Nirvartyañ ca vikāryañ ca prāpyañ ca trividham matam

Tatrēpsitatamam karma. (III. 45)

thus ;—

Iyarrappatuvatum vērupatukkappatuvatum eytappatuvatum ena-c ceyappatuporuļ mūnrām (under Col. 71)

Besides he slightly modifies the following statement of  $H\bar{e}l\bar{a}r\bar{a}ja$ , the commentator on the  $V\bar{a}kyapadiya$ :—

Tyāgō dānam.... Anyē tu matēh dānasambandhānavagamāt g $ar{a}$ uņa ityāhuh (III 262)

thus :--

- 1- Nimittam = Pravriti nimittam = Reason for the use of any term in a particular signification = Connotation.
- 2. Tātu is the tadbhava of Skt. dhātu.

Mānākkarku nūr porul uraittān ena...koṭuppān-poruļāy-k koļvānkai cellātu ānṭu-t tōnrum poruļ ellām aṭankutarku e-p-poruļāyinum eŋrār. (under Col. 75)

Even though his knowledge of *Vyākaraṇa* was very high, he betrays his ignorance of *Prātišākhyas* and hence did not clearly grasp that the definition of col, in the opinion of *Tolkāp-piyaṇār*, is arthavat and not suptinantam.

His knowledge of Pūrva-mīmāmsā is clear from the following statements:—

- 1. I-v-v-āru oru-poruļ nutali<br/>rrāka uraiyā-k-kāl cūttiram oņrāmārillai  $^{\rm I}$ y-enka (under Col. 1)
- 2. Iru-totar-pata 2 cüttirittu (under Col. 67)
- 3. Iraņṭu vērrumai-y-um eytuvataṇai *niyamittavāru*i (under Col. 87)

His knowledge of the Vēdāntašāstra is clear from the statement

Muyarciyum teyvamum ākiya kāraņankaļuļ teyvam cirantamaiyān. (under Col. 242)

His knowledge of the Nyāya śāstra is clear from the statement Kuṇattirku-k kuṇam iṇmaiyin 3 (under Col. 214)

But he failed to note that the word viyai in Col. 112 denoted krti and not krivā.

His critical acumen is well seen from his commentary under the sūtras 1, 10, 13, 71, 82, 87, 156, 182, 214, 455 etc. His condemnation of Ilampūraņar is not happy in certain places. It is due to his not having studied under the school of Ilampūraņar. Particularly his condemnation of Ilampūraņar under the sūtras 67 and 420 is not at all necessary.

Of all the commentators on the Collatikāram, Civañānamunivar had great appreciation towards Cēṇāvaraiyar. His regard for

- =ēka-vākyatāyāḥ aprasaktiḥ
- 2. = vākyabhēdēna.
- 3. = guņē guņa-anangīkārāt.

him was so great that he went to the extent of passing uncharitable remarks against *Ilampūranar*. He was indebted to *Cēṇāvaraiyar* for his style.

Among those who wrote commentaries on the works in Tamil Language and Literature, no one possesses such high scholarship in the Sanskrit śāstras as Cēnāvaraivar.

## Pērāciriyar

His commentary for the last four chapters of the third section is in print. He seems to have been later than *Ilampūraṇar*. Nothing is definitely known about his date and about his other works.

# Nacciņārkkiņiyar

Naccinārkkiņiyar seems to have written commentary to all the three sections of the Tolkāppiyam. But his commentary to the last three chapters of the Porul-atikāram has not yet seen the light of the day. He has written commentary to the ten poems constituting the Pattuppāṭṭiù and the Kalittokai. He seems to have had Tolkāppiyam and many works in Tamil Literature at his finger's ends. Had it not been for his commentary, Pattuppāṭṭiù and Kalittokai would not have been as popular as they are now. The following statements testify that he should have lived later than Nannālār.

- 1. Pinnullör 'vinai-murrē vinai-y-eccam-ākalum' ena-c cūttirañ ceytār. (Col. Ecca. 61)
- 2. I-k-karuttānē piņpu nūl ceytavarkaļ ellārum um-m-īru etirkālam-ē uņarttum-enrōr. (Col. Ecca. 19)

His statement 'Avar (Cēṇāvaraiyar) āciriyar-karuttuñ cânrôrceyyul-valakkamum uṇarāmar kūriṇār enpatu ikkūriyavārrān uṇarka' shows that he should have been an younger contemporary of Cēṇāvaraiyar

His commentary is very elaborate. Sometimes his condemnation of his predecessors is good. At times he gives out both the opinion of Ilampūranar and Cénāvaraiyar even though they are opposed to each other. In his eagerness to find sanction

for all usage in Literature, he sometimes splits some sūtras of Tolkāppiyam in an unnatural way and interprets them in such a way that they convey two unconnected ideas in one sūtra. His scholarship in Sanskrit is not of a high order. In many places he seems to have referred to Sanskrit Language and Literature only from what he had heard from Sanskrit scholars. Civañāpamupivar does not have a very favourable opinion of him. His commentary on the Tolkāppiyam is not as short and lucid as the Ilampūranam nor is it as terse as the Cēnāvaraiyam.

His götra was Bhāradvāja and he lived at Madura in the 14th  $\sigma$ . A. D.

# $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$

Of the commentators on the Tolkāppiyam, Teyvaccilaiyār seems to have had shrewd common sense. It is he that clearly states that the first four iyals of Collatikāram deals with sentence and the next four with noun, verb, itai-c-col and uriccol, and uriccol is nothing but dhātu or root. His commentary on the sūtras, 17, 24, 25, 104, 106 etc. and his clear exposition of iru-peyar-oṭṭu is very interesting. His statements

- Pāṇiṇiyār tarpuruṭa-camācam eṇru kuri-y-iṭṭār
  (Col. Ecca. 19)
- Vaṭanūl-āciriyar tātu annu kuri-y-iṭṭa corkaļē ivai-yenru kolla-p-paṭum (Col. Uri. 1)

and his commentary on the sūtra

Oru-vinai y-oțu-c-co l-uyar-pin valitté (Col. 91)

tell us that he had studied  $Vy\bar{a}karana$  in Sanskrit. His statement pukai-y-unmaiyān neruppunmai-y-arika (Col. 74) shows that he was fairly conversant with  $Ny\bar{a}yas\bar{a}stra$  in Sanskrit. But his scholarship in Sanskrit is not of so high an order as that of  $C\bar{c}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  and it may be said to be next to that of  $Parim\bar{c}l$ -alakar, the commentator on the Tiru-k-kural. His commentary on Col. 398 tells us that  $Karuv\bar{u}r$  and  $Kolunk\bar{o}l\bar{u}r$  are different places situated far from each other.

His date is not definitely known. It may be inferred that he was later than *Cēṇāvaraiyar* and *Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar*, even though some think that he lived earlier than *Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar*.

# Kallāṭaṇār

There is a manuscript in the Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras. A close study of it reveals that the author had carefully studied the three commentaries— $Ilamp\bar{u}ranam$ ,  $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}varaiyam$  and  $Naccin\bar{a}rkkiniyam$  and has adopted the meaning of that which appealed to him to be correct. He has added a few notes also after the explanation of the  $s\bar{u}tras$ . The manuscript contains the commentary from the first  $s\bar{u}tra$  of the  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyam$  to a few  $s\bar{u}tras$  in the Itai-y-iyal. He adopts the commentary of  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$  for about 60  $s\bar{u}tras$ , the commentary of  $C\bar{e}n\bar{u}varaiyar$  for about 10  $s\bar{u}tras$  and the commentary of  $Naccin\bar{u}rkkiniyar$  for the remaining  $s\bar{u}tras$ .

# An unknown commentator

There is a manuscript numbered 56 in the Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras, which contains a commentary onthe Tolkāppiyam-Collatikāram from the beginning to a few sūtras in the Vērrumai-mayankiyal. It enabled me to understand Ilampūraṇar's commentary under some sūtras and correct the mistakes found in the printed edition of the Ilampūraṇam. The commentary on the last sūtra of the Vērrumai-y-iyal tells us that he should have been later that Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar. I learnt the meaning of the words ven-kaļamar and karunkaļamar only from this commentary.

# P. S. Subrahmanya Sastri

His other works are Kurippurai (an elaborate commentary in Tamil on Tolkāppiyam—Eļutlatikāram), a short commentary in English on the same, Tolkāppiya-collatikāra-k-kurippū, Bālarurai on the Aratuppāl of the Tirukkuraļ, History of Grammatical Theories in Tamil, Tamil-moļi-nūl in Tamil, Comparative Grammar of the Tamil Language, Toniviļakku (Tamil translation of Skt. Dhvanyāloka), and lectures on Patanjali's Mahābhāṣya in English Vol. 1 and Historical Tamil Reader.

- 1. = vēļālar.
- 2. = pulaiyar.

Since the Tolkāppiyam is the earliest extant work among the works in Dravidian Languages, it has been my desire to see this book in print, so that all scholars who wish to do research work in Tamil, Malayalam, Telugu and Kannada may make good use of it. This commentary embodies in it the results of my labour of love for more than 15 years from January 1927 when my senior friends Messrs. M. Raghava Ayyangar and V. M. Gopalakrishna machariyar were kind enough to read with me Tolkāppiyam-Collatikāram with all the available commentaries at Madras when I was the Assistant Editor, Tamil Lexicon, University of Madras, to June 1942 when I resigned the Principalship of the Raja's College of Sanskrit and Tamil Studies, Tiruvadi, after teaching Tolkāppiyam and Sangam classics Puranāyūrū, Akanānūrū, Pattuppāṭṭū, Kalittokai, Paripāṭal and Tirukhural to Vidvan students of the Madras University, to take up the present post.

The authorities of the Journal of Oriental Research, Madras were kind enough to publish the first three chapters in 1937, and also to permit me publish the same along with the remaining six chapters as one of the publications of the Annamalai University. I thank them for their kindness. I thank also the Syndicate of the Annamalai University and Mr. M. Ruthnaswamy, the Vice-Chancellor, for having permitted this publication and the Professor of Tamil, for being its editor. My thanks are also due to His Holiness Si-la-sri Kasivasi Arulnandi Tambiran Swamigal of Tiruppanandal Mutt.

Annamalainagar, 8—10—45.

P. S. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI.

## ADDENDA & CORRIGENDA

Page	Line.	For	Read.	
2	8	Functional syncretism	Contamination.	
10	24	Fccaviyal	Eccaviyal	
20	<b>2</b> 5	dvādśa	dvādaśa	
22	8	ecca-v-ummāi	ecca-v-ummai	
26	30	சுட்டுபெயர்	சுட்டுப்பெ <b>ய</b> ர்	
44	8	maruņkiņ	maruńkin	
73	3	Iranārai	Irantārai	
77	23	ōṭu	oțu	
89	20	makkilceyi <b>r</b>	maki <u>l</u> eciyi <b>r</b>	
111	12	sence	sense	
142	11	Ī	1	
145	7	prātiśākhya	prātiśākh <b>y</b> ā	
150	13	āņ <b>ma</b> kaņs	āņmakaņ	
152	19	the	he	
200	30	ö <b>rr</b> um	ollum	
206	9	பெண்மை	<i>யெண்</i> மைப்	
••	22	arnaments	ornaments	
217	28	Aņēkārthāni	Anēkārth <u>ān</u> i	
220	After	After line 3, Read cellal and innal mean distress		
222	After line 8, Read Malavun kulavu m-ilamai-p porula-			
233	16	celun—	ce <u>l</u> un—	
235	15	repentence	repentance	
247	6	nillattu	nilattu	
260	14	are	is	

## TOLKAPPIYAM

## SECTION II

# COLLATIKARAM (Morphology and Syntax.)

# i. Kilaviyākkam \*

 உயர் திண பென்மஞர் மக்கட் சுட்டே அஃறிண பென்மஞ ரவால பிறவே ஆயிரு திணயி னிசைக்குமன சொல்லே.

> Uyartinai y-eṇmaṇār makkaṭ cuṭṭē A∴riṇai y-eṇmaṇā r-avarala piravē Āyiru tiṇaiyi ṇ-icaikkumaṇa collē.

Uyartin(ai) is that which denotes human beings; and all the rest is a:rinai. Col (word) is used in either.

- Note 1.—The words emanār in the first two lines clearly indicate that the technical terms uyartinai and a:rinai were adopted by Tolkāppiyaṇār from the works of the earlier grammarians.
- Note 2.—Even though there are three sentences in the sūtra, yet the sūtra should be construed as having only one subject or uddēśya and only one predicate or vidhēya. The subject is col and the predicate is āyirutiņaiyin icaikkum (i.e. uyartinai and a:rinai).
  - Note 3.—The reading found in Ilam. and Nac. is icaikkuman instead of icaikkumana.
  - Note 1.—Teyvaccilaiyār takes icnikkumaņa to be a verbal noun of the type ceymmaņa mentioned in sūtra 222
  - \* This chapter deals with the concord of the subject and the predicate in sentences and the sanction of irregular usage.

Note 5.—Collatikāram consists of 9 chapters:—Kiļavi-y-āk-kam, vērrumai-y-iyal, vērrumai-mayankiyal, viļi-marapū, peyariyal, viūai-y-iyal, iṭai-y-iyal, uri-y-iyal and ecca-v-iyal. Of those the first four deal with the formation of sentences: the first with the concord of the subject and the predicate; the second with the meanings of all cases except the vocative; the third mostly with functional syncretism; and the fourth with the use of the vocative case. The first five sūtras in Peyariyal deal with the definition of col and its classification, and the remaining sūtras with peyar or noun; Viṇaiyiyal, Iṭaiyiyal and Uriyiyal respectively deal with viṇai or verbs, iṭai-c-col or suffixes and particles and uri-c-col or roots; and the last deals with miscellaneous topics:—native words, borrowed words, compounds etc.

 ஆடூஉ வறிசொல் மகடூஉ வறிசொல் பல்லோ ரறியுஞ் சொல்லொடு சிவணி அம்முப் பாற்சொ லுயர் இணை யவ்வே.

> Āṭṇu v-aricol makaṭṇu v-aricol Pallō r-ariyuñ colloṭu civaṇi Ammup pārco l-uyartiṇai yavvē.

Uyartinai-c-col is of three kinds:—āṭūu-v-ari-col or word of the masculine singular, makaṭūu-v-ari-col or word of the feminine singular and pallōr-ariyuñ-col or word of the epicene plural (masculine-feminine, masculine and feminine, plural).

Note 1.—Though, in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ , ammu-p-parcol and uyartinaiya are respectively the subject and the predicate, yet they have to be reversed in the interpretation of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ , since this  $s\bar{u}tra$  deals with the classification of uyartinai mentioned in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 2.—Civani in the satra is taken by Teyvaccilaiyār to mean along with; while the other commentators take it to be an indeclinable past participle of the type ceyti. In the former interpretation, the word should be taken to have been added simply for the sake of metre, since its meaning is expressed by oth in collotu.

Note 3.—It is worth noting that, in the classification of words in Tamil language, gender and number go together (i. e., there are not suffixes to denote gender and number separately, but one and the same suffix denotes both gender and number) and that there are not separate forms to denote the masculine, and the feminine, plurals. For example  $\underline{n}$  in avan denotes not only the masculine gender, but also the singular number.

 ஒன்றறி சொல்லே பலவறி சொல்லென் ருயிரு பாற்சொ லஃறிணே மவ்வே.

> Onrari colle palavari collen Rāyiru pārco l-a∴riņai yavvē.

- A: rinai-c-col is of two kinds:—onraricol or word of the neuter singular and pala-v-ari-col or word of the neuter plural.
  - 4. பெண்மை சட்டிய வுயர் திணே மருங்கின் ஆண்மை திரிந்த பெயர் கிலக் கிளவியுக் தெய்வஞ் சுட்டிய பெயர் கிலக் கிளவியும் இவ்வென வறியுமக் தந்தமக் கிலவே உயர் திண் மருங்கிற் பால்பிரிக் திசைக்கும்.

Penmai cuṭṭiya v-uyartinai marunkin Ānmai tirinta peyarnilaik kilaviyum Teyvañ cuṭṭiya peyarnilaik kilaviyum Ivvena variyum-an tan-tamak k-ilavē Uyartinai marunkir pālpirin ticaikkum.

Word denoting a hermaphrodite with more of feminine traits which belongs to uyartinai and words denoting gods do not have a separate suffix, but take the suffix of the pāls of uyartinai.

 $\it Ex.$  Pēți vantāļ, pēți<br/>yar vantār; tēvaņ vantāņ, tēvi vantāļ; tēvar vantār, tēvi<br/>yar vantār.

Note.—The need for this sūtra is this:—From sūtra 1, the word pēţi which denotes a hermaphrodite is uyartinai, since it denotes makkaļ or human being; but since a pēţi is neither a pure

male nor a pure female, the word denoting it cannot be taken either as  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$  or as  $penp\bar{a}l$  from  $s\bar{u}tra$  2. As regards the words denoting gods, they will have to be classified as a. rinai on the strength of  $s\bar{u}tra$  1 which says that all but those that denote human beings are a. rinai: But this  $s\bar{u}tra$  expresses that the word denoting hermaphrodite may be used in  $penp\bar{a}l$  and  $palarp\bar{a}l$  and the words denoting gods may be used also in  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$ ,  $penp\bar{a}l$  and  $palarp\bar{a}l$ .

The word  $p\bar{c}ti$  cannot be used in  $\bar{c}np\bar{c}l$  according to  $s\bar{u}tra$  12. But some commentators like Ilampūranar have stated that it was used in  $\bar{a}np\bar{c}l$  also, though rarely.

கைகா தெற்றே யாடுஉ வறிசொல்.
 Na∴kâ n-orrē y-âtūn v-aricol.

 $\bar{A}t\bar{u}u$ -v-aricol has n at its end.

Ex.-Pāņţiyan, avan, unţān, kariyan.

Note 1.—Though this  $s\bar{u}tra$  appears to mean that the  $\bar{a}t\bar{u}uv$ -aricol is  $\bar{u}$ , it should be taken to mean, that  $\bar{a}t\bar{u}uv$ -aricol has  $\bar{u}$  at its end, since  $s\bar{u}tra$  10 says that  $\bar{u}$ , l etc. mentioned in  $s\bar{u}tras$  5, 6 etc. are suffixes and  $\bar{u}$ , l etc. by themselves cannot denote male or female except when they stand as suffixes in words.

Note 2.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the following four  $s\bar{u}tras$  suggest a  $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$  that, if a suffix is mentioned in a  $s\bar{u}tra$ , it suggests the word with that suffix. (Cf.  $Pratyayagraha\eta\bar{e}$  tadantagrahanam  $gr\bar{a}hyam$  in Sanskrit.)

6. எஃகா தெற்றே மகடுஉ வறிசொல். `La∴kā n-orrē makaṭnu v-aricol.

Makatuu-v-aricol has ! at the end.

Ex.—Tiruviūāļ, avaļ, uņţāļ, kariyaļ.

 சஃகா ெனுற்றும் பகா விறுதியு மாரைக் கௌகி யுளப்பட மூன்று கோத் தோன்றும் பலரமி சொல்லே.

> R-a∴kā ū-orrum pakara virutiyu Māraik kiļavi y-uļappaṭa mūūru Nēra-t tōūrum palar-ari collē.

Words which are invariably palar-ari-col are those that end with r, pa or mār.

 ${\it Ex.}$  Nampiyar, avar, unṭār, kariyar; uṇpa; tāymār, koṇmār.

Note 1. The word  $n\bar{e}ra-t-t\bar{e}n_Tum$  in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that these three suffixes exclusively denote the epicene plural, while there are others like kum, tum, tum, tum mentioned in  $s\bar{u}tra$  202 which are suffixed not only to palar-ari-col but also to verbs having for their subjects, first personal pronoun and a:rimai nouns together, according to  $s\bar{u}tra$  209. For example in the sentence  $y\bar{a}\underline{n}um$   $e\underline{n}$  e:kamum  $c\bar{a}rum$  (I myself and my weapon are sufficient); the subject of  $c\bar{a}rum$  is  $y\bar{a}\underline{n}$  and e:kam where e:kam is a:rinai.

Note 2.—R in  $t\bar{a}ym\bar{a}r$  does not denote the epicene plural as r in  $t\bar{a}yar$ , but the whole  $m\bar{a}r$  does it. Hence  $m\bar{a}r$  finds separate mention in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 3.—The word  $t\bar{o}$ 2rum in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  is taken by Ilam-pūraṇar and Teyvaccilaiyār as the finite verb; while Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar take it as the present relative participle qualifying palar-ari-col; the latter is better.

 ஒன்றறி கிளவி தறட வூர்ர்த குன்றிய லுகாத் திறுகி யாகும்.

> Onrari kilavi tarata v-ürnta Kunriya lukarat tiruti y-ākum.

Onrari-col has tù, rù or tù at the end. Ex.—A: tù, onrù, irantù, kûyirrù, kuṇṭukaṭṭù; karitù.

9. அஆ வஎன வரூட மிறநி அப்பான் மூன்றே பலவறி சொல்லே

> Aā va-eṇa varūu m-iruti Appāṇ mṇṇrē palavari collē.

Pala-v-ari-col has a, a or va at the end.

Ex.—Pala, uņṭaṇa, kariya; yā, uṇnā; uṇkuva

 இரு இணே மருங்கி ஊம்பா லறிய ஈற்றுகின் றிசைக்கும் பதிஞே பெழுத்தும் தோற்றர் தாமே விணயொடு வருமே.

> Irutinai maruūki ū-aimpā l-ariya Irruniū ricaikkum patiņō reļuttum Tōrran tāmē viņaiyotu varumē,

The eleven suffixes mentioned above as denoting the five  $p\bar{a}ls$  of the two tinais invariably appear in verbs.

- Note 1.—The word  $t\bar{o}rram$  in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  is in the locative case with the case-suffix dropped.
- Note 2.—The expression vinaiyotü varumē suggests that their appearance at the end of nouns is not obligatory. Hence we have nouns like alavan (crah), penmakan (girl), makkal (persons), tāyār (mother) etc. which do not conform to the above rules.
- Note 3.— Though the expression  $i_T runin_T i_T caikkum$  which means 'is used at the end' suggests that the eleven letters  $\underline{u}$ , l, r etc. are suffixes at the end of nouns and verbs, yet it is only anuvada with respect to pa, tu, tu, ru, a, d and va since the same idea is conveyed by the word  $i_T uti$  in the  $s\overline{u}tras$  7, 8 and 9.
- Note 4.—Though  $m\bar{a}r$  is not a single letter, yet the word eluttu in this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is used to include it since all the other ten are each a single letter. This is an illustration of chatri-nyāya (the rule of the majority).

Note 5.—The word  $t\bar{a}m\bar{e}$  in the satra is used only for the sake of euphony.

 விசோயிர் நூன்றும் பாலறி கிளவியும் பெயாரிற் நூன்றும் பாலறி கிளவியும் மயங்கல் கூடா தம்மா பினவே.

> Vinaiyir rönrum pāl-ari kilaviyum Peyarir rönrum pāl-ari kilaviyum Mayankal kūtā tammara pinavē.

The gender-number denoting element ( $p\bar{a}l$ -element) in the predicate should not disagree with that in the subject; but they should conform to usage.

Ex.—yāṇ vantēṇ, avaṇ vantāṇ, avaļ vantāļ, avar vantār, a∴tū vantatū, avai vantaṇa, yām vantēm, nīyir vantīr etc.

Note I:—I lampūranar and Naccinārkkiniyar interpret the word kilavi to mean porul or meaning. According to them the meaning of the sūtra is that the gender and number of the object denoted by the predicate should agree with the gender and number of that denoted by the subject. In that case the expression tammarapinavē should be meaningless, since usage is only with respect to words and not to the objects denoted by words.

Note 2.— $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  thinks that  $tammarapinav\bar{e}$  in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  is unnecessary, since it simply repeats the meaning contained in  $mayankal\ k\bar{u}t\bar{a}$ . Hence he splits it into a separate  $s\bar{u}tra$  and on its strength, he sanctions the usage of words  $p\bar{a}ka\bar{n}$  in the sense of 'shepherd' etc., which have not been mentioned in Marapiyal of the third section,  $Porulatik\bar{a}ram$ . Such a device of splitting one  $s\bar{u}tra$  into two or many is called  $y\bar{o}ga \cdot vibh\bar{a}ja$  in Sanskrit.

Note 3.—Though the sūtra literally means that the gendernumber elements in the subject and the predicate should agree with each other, it should be interpreted to mean that the subject and the predicate should agree in gender and number. Otherwise there is no sanction for the usage makkal vantār, peņmakan vantāļ, etc.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

 ஆண்மை திரிந்த பெயர்நிலேக் திளவி ஆண்மை யறிசொற் காகிட னின்றே.

> Āņmai tirinta peyarnilaik kiļavi Ānmai y-aricor kākita ņ-iņrē.

The word denoting a hermaphrodite with more of feminine traits cannot be used in the masculine-singular.

Ex. Pēţi vantāļ; pēţiyar vantār.

Note—Ilampūraṇar says that the expression ākiṭaṇiṇrē suggests the sanction of the usage pēṭi vantāṇ. This is perhaps due to the fact that it was current in his time.

13. செப்பும் வினுவும் வழாஅ லோம்பல். Ceppum viṇāvum vaḷāa l-ōmpal.

Question and answer should be correct in form and appropriate in sense.

Note—The word  $cepp\dot{u}$  means 'answer to a question.' It is generally a statement in an assertive form.

14. விளுவுஞ் செப்பே விளுவெதிர் வரினே. Viṇāvuñ ceppē viṇā-v-etir variṇē.

Even a question may be taken as  $cepp\hat{u}$ , if it answers a question.

Ex. Question: Cāttā untiyo?

(Oh Cattan, did you eat ?)

Answer:  $Unn\bar{e}n\bar{o}$ ?

(Will I not eat?) This means 'I will eat'.

 செப்பே வழிஇயினும் வலாசுவே யின்றே அப்பொருள் புணர்ந்த கினவி யான.

Ceppē vaļīiyiņum varainilai y-iņrē Apporuļ puņarnta kiļavi yāņa.

It is not objectionable to use an answer in an irregular form, if it somehow suggests the answer.

Ex.—Question: Cāttā untiyō?

(Oh Cāttan, did you eat ?)

Answer: Vayiru kuttirrü.

(Stomach ached.) This suggests that he

did not est.

16. செப்பினும் விளுவினுஞ் சினேமுதற் கிளவிக்கு அப்பொரு ளாகு முறழ்தூணப் பொருளே.

> Ceppinum vinavinun cinaimutar kilavikku Apporu ļ-āku m-uraltuņaip poruļē.

Both in ceppü and viņā only like objects can be compared. or contrasted, part with part and whole with whole.

Ex.—(a) Ivalkannin avalkan periya. (Her eyes are bigger than the eyes of this lady.) Num aracanin em aracan murai ceyyum.

(Our king is more just than your king.)

(b) Ivalkan okkum avalkan. (The eyes of this lady are similar to those of hers.)

Em aracanai okkum num aracan. (Your king is similar to our king.)

(c) Ivalkannin avalkan periyavo? (Are her eyes bigger than those of this lady?)

Em aracanin num aracan muzai ceyyumō? (Is your king more just than our king?)

(d) Ivalkan okkumô avalkan? (Can her eyes compare with those of this lady?)

Em aracanai okkumõ num aracan ?

(Is your king similar to our king?)

17. தகுதியும் வழக்குக் தழீஇயின வொழுகும் பகுதிக் களவி வரைகி‰ யிஷகேவ்.

> Takutiyum valakkun taliiyina v-olukum Pakutik kilavi varainilai y-ilave.

Certain expressions (which do not conform to the previous rule) are not prohibited, if propriety demands or usage sanctions them.

- Ex.—(a) Innankai-kan nallavö, kayal nallavö! (Are the eyes of this lady better or the carp?)
  - (b) Pakalō iravō? (Is it day or night?)
  - (c). Iruppēņō pōvēnō?
    (Will I live or die?)

Note I.-In Ex. 1. kan which is a cinai or part is compared to kayal which is a mutal or whole. Still such a usage is considered proper, since it gives a vivid description of the beauty of the eyes.

Note 2.—The above meaning is given by Teyvaccilaiyār. The other commentators think that the euphimistic expressions like avar tuñciṇār (they slept) for avar cettār (they died), etc. expressions like veņkaļamar i karunkaļamar veļ yāļū, etc. are sanctioned by this sūtra. Teyvaccilaiyār takes the former part to be sanctioned by sūtra 442 'avaiyal kiļavi maraittaṇar kiļattal' in Fecaviyal.

Note 2.—The word pakuti-k-kilavi is interpreted by Teyvaccilaiyār to be 'certain expressions' and by the other three commentators as pakka-c-col or related words.

18. இனச்சுட் டில்லாப் பண்புகொள் பெயர்க்கொடை வழக்கா றல்ல செய்யு காறே. Itaccut tillap panpuko! peyarkkotai Valakka Ialla ceyyu lare.

- Veņkaļamar = Vēļāļas or agriculturists.
- Karunkalamar = Pulaiyas or low class people.

The use of adjectives which are not restrictive in character is allowed only in poetry.

- Ex.—Ceññāyirru nilavu vēntinum (P. N. 38).
  (Even if one wants moonlight from the red sun.)
  - 19. இயற்கைப் பொருளே யிற்றேறைக் கொத்தல். Ivarkai-p poruļai y-irreņa-k kiļattal.

Natural objects should be described by their distinguishing features.

- Ex.—Nilam valitů (Earth is hard). Nīr taṇṇitù (Water is cool).
  - செயற்கைப் பொருளே யாக்கமொடு கூறல்.
     Ceyarkai-p poruļai y-ākkamoţu kūral.

In a sentence describing the change which an object has undergone, the word denoting that object should be followed by the forms of the verb aku which means 'to become'.

Ex.—Man kuṭam āyirru (Earth became a pot).

21. ஆக்கர் தானே ராரண முதற்றே. Ākkan tāṇē kārana mutarrē.

The verb aku is always preceded by reason, if the reason for the change is given.

- Ex.—Enney perramaiyan mayir nalla ayina. (Hair became better on account of the application of oil).
  - ஆக்கக் கௌவி காரண மின்றியும் போக்கின் நென்ப வழக்கி னுள்ளே.
     Ākka-k kiļavi kāraņa m-iūriyum Pokkiū reupa valakki u-ullē.

Expressions with the forms of the verb  $\bar{a}ku$  without giving the reason for the change are current in speech.

Ex.—Mayir nalla āyina (Hair became better).

23. பான்மயக் குற்ற வையக் கொலி தானறி பொருள்வதிற் பன்மை கூறல். Pāṇ-maya-k k-urra v-aiya-k kiļavi Tāṇ-ari porul-vayir paṇmai kāral.

When a speaker is sure of the tinai of the object he is talking about, but not of the  $p\bar{a}l$ , he should use a plural verb of the particular tinai.

Ex.—Āṇmakaṇ kollō peṇṭāṭṭi kollō i : tō tōṇruvār ?

(Is it man or woman that appears there ?)

Orutti kollō palar kollō maṇalil viļaiyāṭiṇār ?

(Is it one lady or many ladies that played on sands ?)

Oṇrō palavō cey pukkaṇa ?

(Is it one or many that entered the field?)

Note 1.—The need of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is this:—A person looks at an object or objects at a distance. He determines that it is a person, but cannot determine whether it is a male or a female. If he wants to ascertain it from another, what verb should he use in his question,  $\bar{a}up\bar{a}l$  verb or  $penp\bar{a}l$  verb? This  $s\bar{u}tra$  says that he should use  $palarp\bar{a}l$  verb. Similarly if he determines that the object or objects at a distance are person or persons, but is not able to determine whether it is one or many, then too should he use the  $palarp\bar{a}l$  verb. If, on the other hand, he determines that it is not a person or persons, but is not able to ascertain whether it is one or many, he should use a  $palavinp\bar{a}l$  verb.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar interprets the expression pāṇmayak-kurra in the sūtra to mean āṇpāl peṇpāl mayakkurra and Naccinārkkiṇiyar ōṇpāl peṇpāl mayakkurra, āṇpāl palarpāl mayakkurra while the other two āṇpāl peṇpāl mayakkurra, āṇpāl palarpāl mayakkurra, peṇpāl palarpāl mayakkurra, and oṇraṇpāl palaviṇpāl mayak-kurra.

24. உருபென மொழியினு மஃநிணோப் பிரிப்பினும் இருவீற்ற முரித்தே சேட்டுங் காஃ.

> Urupena moliyinu m-a∴rinai-p pirippinum Iru-y-īrru m-urivtē cuttun kālai.

(When the speaker is not sure of the tinai of the object at a distance), he may use the word urupu (or its synonym) or the word atu when he denotes it.

Ex.—Kurriyō makaṇō tōṇrukiṇra urupu?

(Is the form there stick or boy?)

Kurriyō makaṇō tōṇrukiṇra atu?

(Is that stick or boy?)

Note 1.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  operates when there is confusion in tinai, while the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ , when there is certainty in tinai but confusion in  $p\bar{a}l$ .

Note 2.—The expression urupena moliyinum in the sūtra is interpreted by Ilampūranar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār, to refer only to linaimayakkam. But Gēnāvaraiyar says that it refers to ānpāl penpāl aiyam and onrappāl palavinpāl aiyam also. When there is only ānpāl pennāl aiyam, the speaker may word his question ānō pennō atō tōnrukinra āl by using the word āl instead of urupu since he is sure that it is a person. As regards onranpāl palavizpāl aiyam it is unnecessary for the author to sanction it here, since the analysis noun urupu may be taken either as singular or as plural according to context

Note 3.—For the expression a:rinai-p-pirippinum in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ , the three commentators  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$ ,  $C\bar{c}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  and  $Naccin\bar{a}rkkiniyar$  give the example orro palavo cey pukka perram. Since perram is an a:rinai noun and hence may be taken both as singular and plural, the author need not sanction this usage.  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$ , on the other hand, takes a:rinai-p-pirippi to refer to the word atu. For the words in a\*rinai which have different forms in orranpal and palavinpal are atu, avai, itu, ivai, utu and uvai; since doubt may arise only about objects at

a distance and since the words *itu*, *ivai*, *utu* and *uvai* are not generally used to denote them, *atu* and *avai* are the only two words that may be denoted by the expression *arrivai-p-pirippu* here. *Teyvaccilaiyār* has mentioned only *atu* since such doubts arise more generally with single objects.

 தன்மை சுட்டலு முளித்தென மொழிப அன்மைக் கிளவி வேறிடத் தான.

> Tanmai cuṭṭalu m-urittena molipa Anmai-k kilavi vēritat t-āṇa.

The word anmai, denoting negation may take the gender of the ascertained object, though it (anmai) is used along with the word denoting the object other than the ascertained one.

- Ex.—(1) A-v-v-urupū kurri-y-allaū, makaū.

  (That form is not a pole, but man.)
  - (2) Atu kurri-y-allan, makan. (It is not a pole, but man.)

Note 1.—In the previous sūtra it has been said that, when one cannot definitely determine the nature of an object at a distance and doubts that it is one or other, he may use the word urupù or atu. For example he sees an object at a distance and is not able to ascertain whether it is a male child or pole and hence he questions either himself or another atu kurriyō makānō ?, or a-v-v-urupu kurriyō makanō ?. The next moment he decides that it is a male child. At once he may say atu or a-v-v-urupù kurri-y-auru, makan or, 'atu or a-v-v-urupù kurri-y-allan makan', since the doubt clears immediately after he says atu or a-v · v-urupu. If he says angu, after kurri, such a usage need not be sanctioned since it is regular. If he says allan after kurri, it has to be sanctioned since the subject atu or  $a\cdot v \cdot v \cdot u \cdot u \cdot p \dot{u}$  is neuter-singular and allan is masculine-singular. Such a usage is allowed since his doubt has been cleared and he ascertains that the object is a male child immediately after he says the word atu or a-v-v-urupù.

Note 2.—The word vēritattāna is made up of vēritattān the instrumental singular of vēritam and a the cāriyai which it takes if it is at the end of a verse, as is sanctioned by sutra 108. But it gives the locative sense here. Ilampūranar takes vēritattāna along with the word tanmai and interprets them 'the quality (found) in the object other than the first mentioned one; while Teyvaccilaiyār takes it along with anmai-k-kilavi and interprets 'the word of negation used along with that which is other than the ascertained object'. Both take tanmai to mean the nature of the ascertained object. Cenavaraiyar takes tanmai to mean anmaiyin tanmai and vēritattāna to mean the word denoting the object other than the ascertained one and gives the example ivan kurri-y-anru, makan. Naccinarkkinivar agrees with Ilampuranar in the interpretation of the sūtra but gives ivan kurri-y-allan as an example. This example, as also the example given by Cēnāvaraiyar does not seem to be appropriate, since the doubt of the hearer is cleared immediately after he hears the word ivan which is an anpal noun.

Note 3.—The importance of the particle um in cuttalum clearly shows that such usage atu or a-v-v-urupu kurri-y-allan is rare and the general usage is atu or a-v-v-urupu kurri-y-anru, makay.

அடைசின் முதலென முறைமூன்ற மயங்காமை
 கடைபெற் நியலும் வண்ணச் சினேச்சொல்.

Atai-ciūai mutal-cūa murai-mūūru mayankāmai Natai-per riyalum vanna-c ciūai-col.

(In a group of words denoting a whole, its limb and the quality of the limb), the word denoting the limb invariably follows the adjective and precedes the word denoting the whole.

Ex.—Ceń-kāl-nārai vantatů.
(Red-footed crane came.)

Perun-talai-c-căttau vantău. (Large-headed Căttau came.)

- Note 1.—The word mayankamai in the sutra means mayankamal and is a verbal participle.
- Note 2.—This  $s\bar{\mu}tra$  enjoins the order of words denoting a whole, its part and the quality of the part— If the quality of the whole is to be mentioned, the order to be adopted then does not come within the province of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  of  $sen-n\bar{a}rai\cdot k-k\bar{a}l$ .
- Note 3.—The word vannam in the sūtra denotes quality. It is the tadhbava of the Skt. vanna. Naccinārkkiniyar thinks that vanna-c-cinai-c-col is a technical name for the group of words denoting a whole, its limb and the quality of the limb.
- Note 5.—The word natai in the sūtra is taken by Ilampūraņar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar to refer to speech and not to poetry: but Teyvaccilaiyār takes it to refer to both.
  - ஒருவரைச் கூறம் பன்மைக் கொலியும் ஒன்றனேக் கூறம் பன்மைக் கொலியும் வழுக்கி குகிய வுயர்சொற் கிளவி இலக்கண மருங்கிற் சொல்லா றல்ல.

Oruvarai-k kūrum p.ūmai-k kilaviyum Oūraṇai-k kūrum paṇmai-k kilaviyum Valakki ṇ-ākiya v-uyar-cor kilavi Ilakkaṇa marunkir collā ralla.

The use of honorific plural to denote one person or one object is allowed only in speech and not in poetry.

Ex.—Yām vantēm, nīyir vantīr; ivar vantār:

- Note 1.—The honorific plural even with respect to a: rindi is palarpāl and not palavinpāl. On seeing a fox, one may say nariyār vantār and never nari vantaņa.
- Note 2.—Ilampūranar and Naccinarkkiniyar think that the expression ilakkana marunkir collā ralla is unnecessary since its purpose is served by the third line and hence it suggests that uyartinai may be used for astrinai and vice-versa in certain cases.

Ocnavaraiyar, on the other hand, thinks that the third line in the sūtra sanctions such a usage in speech and the fourth line prevents it in poetry. The use of uyartinai for astrinai and vice-versa is taken by him by tanninamuţittal, the mode covering the related points by implication.

28. செலவினும் வரவினுர் தரவினுன் கொடையினும் நிலுபெறத் தோன்று மக்காற் சொல்லும் தன்மை முன்னிலே படர்க்கை பென்னும் அம்மு கிடத்து முரிய வென்ப.

> Celaviūum varaviūun taraviūun koṭaiyiūum Nilai-pera-t tōṇru m-a-n-nār collum Taṇmai muṇṇilai paṭarkkai y-eṇṇum A-m-mū viṭattu m-uriya v-eṇpa.

It is said that the four words celavu, twaravu, taravu and kotai are used in the first, second and third persons.

 அவற்றுள், தருசொல் வருசொல் லாயிரு இளவியுக் தன்மை முன்னிலே யாயீ ரிடத்த.

> Avarrul, Tarn-col varu-col l-ā-y-iru kilayiyun Tanmai munnilai y-āyī r-itatta.

Of them the words taravu and varavu are used only along with the pronouns of the first and second persons, i.e., the verbs meaning to give and to come are respectively used, only

verbs meaning to give and to come are respectively used, only when the recipient of the gift and the person approached are in the first, or the second, person.

Ex.—Enakku-t-tantan (He gave it to me).

Ex.—Eṇakkū-t-tantāṇ (He gave it to me). Niṇakkū-t-tantāṇ (He gave it to you). Eṇ-ṇ-ulai vantāṇ (He came to me). Niṇ-ṇ-ulai vantāṇ (He came to you).

30. எண மிரண்டு மேண மிடத்த. Enai y-iranțu m-ŏnai y-nțatta.

The remaining two (i. e., celavu and koṭai) are used along with the third person.

Ex.—Avankat centan (He went to him).

Avarku-k-kotu (Give it to him).

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar takes all the four words celavu, varavu, taravu and koṭai in the sense of giving; while Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar take celavu and varavu respectively to mean going and coming and taravu and koṭai to mean giving.

Note 2—Teyvaccilaiyār takes all the three. sūtras 28, 29 and 30, to be one. According to him the 28th sūtra deals with the opinion of the grammarians earlier than Tolkāppiyaṇār and the sūtras 29 and 30 deal with his own opinion. This view seems to be correct. One may then question whether it does not give room to vākyabhēda or sentence-split, since there are three complete sentences. It does not, since the three sūtras are interpreted thus:—Of the four words celavu, varavu, taravu and koṭai which could be used with all the three persons in the opinion of the grammarians, taravu and varavu are used along with the first, and the second, personal prououns and the rest with the third person.

31. யாதேவ கொன்னு மாயிரு கொலியும் அறியாப் பொருள்வயிற் செறியத் தோன்றம். Yāteva ņ-eṇṇu m-ā-y-iru kiļaviyum Ariyā-p poruļ-vayir ceriya-t tōṇtum.

The two (interrogative) pronouns yalu and evan are generally used in questioning about unknown objects.

Ex.—Irāmaņ enta corku-p-poruļ yātu?

(What is the meaning of the word Irāmaņ?).

Pacu enta corku-p-poruļ evaņ?

(What is the meaning of the word pacu?).

Note 1.—This sūtra sanctions the usage of both yātu and evan irrespective of the fact whether the object denoted by the word porul is uyartinai or a&rinai.

32. அவற்றான், யாதென வரூடம் விஞவின் கிளவி அறிக்க பொருள்வயி ஊயக் தீர்தற்குத் கெளிக்க கிளவி யாதது முரித்தே.

> Avalīul, Yāteņa varūum viņāviņ kiļavi Arinta poruļvayi ņ-aiyan tīrtarkū-t Terinta kilavi y-ātalu m-urittē.

Of them, the interrogative pronoun yāti may also be used in sentences where some doubts are to be cleared regarding the particulars of an object whose general features are known.

Ex.—I-m-marankaļuļ karunkāli yātur?

(Among these trees which is karunkāli?)

Nam-m-eru taintanul ketta erutu yätü?
(Of our five bulls, which is the bull lost?)

Note 1.—From the previous sutra one is inclined to think that yati can be used only in questioning about unknown objects. This sutra sanctions its use even in questioning about the particulars of a known object.

 இனேத்தென வழிந்த சினமுதற் கிளவிக்கு வினப்படு தொகுதியி னும்மை வேண்டும்.

> Inaittena v-arinta cinai-mutar kilavikku Vinai-p-paṭu toku ti-y-i n-ummai vēṇṭum.

The particle um should invariably be used after the group of words which qualify the verb, i.e., immediately preceding the verb or the predicate, where the subject of the verb is a mutal (word denoting a whole) or a cinai (word denoting a part of a whole) qualified by the word which mentions its exact number.

Ex.—Panniru kaiyum parpata-v-iyarri (Tirumu. 118).
(Having placed all the twelve hands so that they might be in their proper places.)

Cēra-cōla-pānṭiyar mūvarun kūṭiṇar.

(All the three kings Cēraṇ, Cōlaṇ and Pāṇṭiyaṇ assembled.)

Kaṇ-ṇ-iraṇṭum kuruṭū; (Both the eyes are blind.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar reads in the  $s\overline{u}tra$  tokaiyin, while others tokutiyin.

Note 2.—The word  $vi\underline{n}ai$  in the  $s\overline{u}tra$  should be taken to mean the  $mutikku\overline{n}col$  or predicate.

Note 3.—Ilampūranar and Teyvaccilaiyār interpret the expression vinai-p-patu-tokuti as the collection of words preceding the verb or predicate. Hence according to them the word tokai or tokuti means here a collection. But Cēnāvaraivar and Naccinārkkiniyar take it to mean the number which qualifies the verb or the predicate. Hence according to them the word tokuti means number. They, then according to their interpretation have to justify how the particle um is used in the expression pannirukai-y-um pārpata v-iyarri where panniru, the word denoting number qualifies, not the verb pārpata but the subject kai, and um is not found immediately after panniru. Cēnāvaraiyar explains it thus:—The words panniru and kai denote the same object; hence um is used after the word kai. He says so perhaps through the analogy of the expression dvādaša karāh (twelve hands) where the word dvādša means not twelve, but twelve objects. It is doubtful whether the word pannirantu in Tamil denotes twelve objects when it is followed by a noun. Hence the interpretation given by Ilampuranar on the phrase vinai-p-patu tokuti seems to be better.

Note 4.—It is advisable for the readers to note that the particle um is not found in the sentences nanmarai mutalvar vantar (Brahmans versed in the four Vedas came), aintalai nakam otirru (the five-headed cobra ran); for the words denoting number in such sentences do not qualify mutalvar or nakam the subject for the verb, but only marai or talai the adjunct of the subject.

34. மன்னப் பொருளு மன்ன வியற்றே.

Mannap poruļu m-ann-a v-iyarrē.

The same is the case even with words denoting transient objects.

Ex.—I-v-v-ulakattil oruvar celvamum nilaiyātü.
(Wealth of none is this world is permanent.)

Note 1.—According to Ilampūraņar and Cēṇāvaraiyar the word maṇṇā-p-poruļ means illā-p-poruļ or non-existent objects. Hence they have given the sentence pavaļa-k-kōṭṭu nīlayaṇai cāṭavākaṇaṇ kōyiluļļum illai (the blue elephant with coral tusks is not found even in the temple of Cāṭavakaṇaṇ). Here there are three objections:—(1) They have to translate the sūṭra thus:— 'The same is the case when the non-existence of an object in a certain place or at a certain time is predicated.' There is no word in the sūṭra warranting the addition of the idea 'in a certain place or at a certain time'. (2) um in the example given by them is only ecca-v-ummai and not murrummai as found in the examples of the previous sūṭra. (3) The use of um in the example given by them is sanctioned by the sūṭra 'eccam cirappē......ummai-c-collē' (Tol. Col. 255) and hence this sūṭra need not sanction it.

According to Naccinarkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyar, the word maana-p-porul means transient objects. But Naccinarkkiniyar says that um after the word manna-p-porul in the sutra suggests non-existent objects also. This is quite against the spirit of the sutra since um in this sutra suggests inaittena-v-arinta cinai-mutar kilavi mentioned in the previous sutra. The examples given by them are respectively yakkaiyum nilaiyatu (even the body is not permanent), cakkaravarti celvamum nilaiyatu (the wealth of emperor is not permanent). But in those examples the um after yakkai and celvam are only ecca v-ummai and not murrummui since they respectively suggest that other objects also are not permanent and that the wealth of others also is not permanent.

Hence I have taken the word  $ma\underline{n}\underline{n}_{\bar{a}}$ -p-porulvito mean transient objects and  $a\underline{n}\underline{n}a$ -v-iyarrē to mean that the murrummai is used immediately preceding the predicate and after the vinai-p-patutokuti and suggested the example I-v-v-ulakutil oruvar celvamum nilaiyātū where  $ma\underline{n}\underline{n}_{\bar{a}}$ -p-porul is celvam, vinai-p-patutokuti is i-v-v-ulakuttil oruvar celvam and um after celvam is murrummai and not ecca-v-ummāi.

35. எப்பொரு ளாயினு மல்ல தில்லெனின் அப்பொரு ளல்லாப் பிறிதுபொருள் கூறல்.

> E-p-poru ļ-āyiņu m-alla til-l-eṇiṇ A-p-poru ļ-allā-p piritu-poruļ kūral.

If one (a merchant) wishes to inform (a purchaser) of the absence of any commodity by using the expression allatil, he should associate that expression with a word denoting any commodity (that he has), and not with that denoting the commodity asked for.

Ex.—'Paruppu ulavō vaṇikīr?' eṇru viṇāya-vali 'uluntallatù illai', 'kollallatù illai' eṇru kūral vēṇṭum.

(When a purchaser questions, 'Oh merchant, have you dholl with you?', the merchant has to answer 'I have nothing other than black-gram' if he has black-gram with him, 'I have nothing other than horse-gram' if he has horse-gram with him and so on.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar is of opinion that the expression allatil in the sūlra means the object that he has not and piritu-porul means ina-p-porul or similar commodity. But in the example he has given, he has made use of the expression allatil. Cēnāvararyar criticises him on four grounds:—(1) If Tolkāppiyanār has not intended the use of the expression allatil in the merchant's answer, what harm is there if the merchant words his answer paruppu illati (there is no dholl) if he has not got dholl with him? (2) If allati in allatil means ullatallati, the meaning of the word allati is not clear and

Tolkāppiyanār would not have framed his sūtra in such a way that the meaning may not be clearly understood. (3) If the word piritu porul in the sūtra refers only to a similar object, a merchant who is generally dealing in oil and dholl will be precluded from answering Enney allati illai (there is nothing other than oil), when he has no dholl at the time when a purchaser asks for it, since enney is not a commodity similar to dholl.

(4) If Tolkāppiyanār meant ina-p-porul (similar object) by the term pirutū-porul he might as well have used the word ina-p-porul in the place of piritū-porul in the sūtra itself.

As regards the first ground in the criticism, Cēnāvaraiyar may be informed that in the ordinary course of events merchants are not inclined to use such expressions as, 'I do not have dholl' if they do not have it when the purchaser needs it. generally answer 'I have this by showing a commodity other than dholl'. This shows that they do not like to say illai (no). The third ground in the criticism of Cenavaraiyar may be met thus: -Why should he not take enney as an ina-p-porul (similar object) to paruppû (dholl)? Though one is a solid and the other is a liquid, yet are they not similar to each other in the fact that each one is a commodity that the merchant deals in? Why should Cenavaraiyar take the term inam in a restricted sense? The word allatu in the sutra clearly means ina-p-porul; for the anmai (negation) in the word allatit denotes anyonyabhava and hence the word allatu means an object partly dissimilar and partly similar (to the object denoted by the word with which it is associated). For example a-brāhmanah cannot denote a beast which is entirely dissimilar to a brahman, but can denote only a man who is other than a brahman. Evidently such a man is similar to a brahman in being a man and dissimilar to him in not being a brahman.

The fourth ground in his criticism may be met thus:—Since the word allati suggests ina-p-porul, Tolkāppiyanār has not used ina-p-porul in place of piritu-porul.

Naccinārkkiniyar gives the same meaning to the sūtra as Ilampūranar and in the example he uses the word allalū like

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

 $C\bar{e}\underline{n}\bar{q}varaiyar$ .  $Teyavaccilaiy\bar{q}r$  interprets  $allat\bar{u}$  in the same way as  $C\bar{e}\underline{n}\bar{q}varaiyar$ , but in the interpretation of the word piritu-porul he agrees with  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$ .

Since all the four commentators have used the expression allatiliai in their examples, it is quite clear that, as regards the interpretation of the expression allatin in the sūtra, Cēnāvaraiyur and Teyvaccilaiyār are correct.

அப்பொருள் கூறிற் சுட்டிர் கூறல்.
 A-p-porul kurir cutti-k kural.

If, in the answer given by the merchant, the word denoting the object asked for by the purchaser, is used, it should be preceded by a demonstrative root or adjective.

Ex.—'Paruppu uļavā' eura-vali, 'i-p-paruppallatu illai''
eura kūral vēntum.

(When the merchant is questioned 'Have you dholl?', the answer should be 'There is no dholl other than this'.)

Note 1.—The need for this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is this;—The merchant has the commodity asked for by the purchaser in stock; but the quality of the same commodity is not such as should be given to him without showing it to him and getting his consent. In such a case he has to use the expression allatil, but he may associate it with the word denoting the commodity asked for, (though it is against the sanction of the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ ) if that word is preceded by a demonstrative element. The demonstrative element is evidently if the commodity asked for is near the seat of the merchant and a if it is away from it.

 பொருளொடு புணராச் சுட்டுப்பெய ராயினும் பொருள்வேறு படாஅ தொன்று கும்மே.

> Poruļotu puņarā-c cuttu-p-peya r-āyinum Poruļ-vēru patāa t-onrā kum-m-ē.

Even though the demonstrative element is not associated with the word denoting the commodity asked for, the sense conveyed will be the same.

Ex.—Paruppū uļavō vaņikīr?' eņrū viņāya-vaļi 'ivai-yallatū illai' enal.

(When questioned 'Oh merchant, have you dholl?' the answer may be 'There is nothing except these'.)

Note 1.—The need for this sūtra is this:—The 35th sūtra states that the expression allatil should be associated with the word denoting a commodity other than that asked for by the purchaser. The 36th sūtra states that, if it is associated with the word denoting the object asked for, such a word should be preceded by a demonstrative element. The demonstrative element may be used in two ways:—(1) as a part of the compound word like ipparuppů and apparuppů or as a demonstrative adjective like inta paruppù and anta paruppù; and (2) as a demonstrative pronoun ivai and avai. In the former case there opportunity for any doubt to arise; and in the latter case since the plural pronouns ivai and avai denote not only the object asked for by the purchaser, but also other objects which the merchant has in his possession, a doubt may arise whether such an expression as ivai-y-allati illai is a correct answer to the question paruppù ulavō?. This sūtra sanctions the correctness of such an expression. It may be noted that all the three sūtras 35, 36 and 37 deal with the use of the expression allatil in different ways. Sutra 35 deals with it when the merchant has not got in stock the commodity asked for; sutra 36 deals with it when he has in stock the commodity asked for, but is not satisfied with its quality; and sutra 37 deals with it whether he has it in stock or no.

Note 2.—Ilampūraņar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār have interpreted the sūtra in the same way as above. Cēṇāvaraiyar adds in his commentary that there are some who think that this sūtra sanctions the use of a demonstrative pronoun, when the object denoted by it is not expressed, but is in the mind of the speaker. For instance one learned in 'elephantology' (the science déaling with the nature, appearance etc. of elephants)

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

may say on looking at the foot-prints of an elephant in a forest, 'This surely indicates that the elephant will one day become the king's vehicle.' Here what the word this refers to cannot be understood by the hearer. But a close examination of  $s\overline{u}tras$  35, 36 and 37 clearly shows that such an interpretation is not quite appropriate.

Note 3.—Naccinārkkiniyar has given an entirely different meaning to the sūtia. He splits the sūtra into two parts:-cuttu porulotu punarā āyinum ākum; peyar porulotu punarā āyinum (cuttu-p) porul vēru-patātu onrākum. The former part means that the demonstrative element may be used without mertioning the object to which it refers. Ex.-I.: tu ottan. (This is some one) (Kalit. 61.) The latter part means that a common noun though used in such a way that it cannot be easily understood to whom it refers, may be used to denote a particular object. this interpretation the following points are to be carefully considered by the readers :-(1) When Tolkappiyanar has so worded his sūtras as not to give room to vākyabhēda or sentence-split, would be have combined two different ideas in one sutra? (2) The order of words in the sūtra is completely inverted. (3) The word punarā seems to be a negative relative participle, while it is taken to be a negative verbal participle. meaning of the word porul in the second line is taken to be cuttu-p-porul, the element cuttu being added as an adjunct to the word porul. (5) This sutra does not appear to have any relation to the previous sūtra.

Hence I think that the interpretation given by the other three commentators is sound.

38. இயற்பெயர்க் கிளவியுஞ் சுட்டுபெயர்க் கிளவியும் விசுனக்கொருங் கியலுங் காலக் தோன்றின் சுட்டுப்பெயர்க் கிளவி மூற்படக் கிளவார் இயற்பெயர் வழிய வென்மஞர் புல்வர்.

> Iyar-peyar-k kilaviyuñ cuţţu-p-peyar-k kilaviyum Viṇaikkoruṅ k-iyaluṅ kālan touriṇ Cuṭṭu-p-peyar-k kilavi murpaṭa-k kilavār Iyar-peyar valiya v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

If an iyarpeyar and a pronoun referring to it do not stand as logical subject and predicate, but take predicate after them or qualify different predicates, it is said by learned men that the pronoun is never used before the iyarpeyar, but only follows it-

Ex.—Cāttaṇ vantāṇ; avarkū-c- cōrú koṭu. (Cāttaṇ came; give him food.) Cāttaṇ avaṇ vantāṇ. ¹ (Cāttaṇ he came.)

Note 1.—Iyarpeyar generally means common noun whose meaning cannot be understood from its derivation. cf. Tol. Col. 174.

Note 2.—The word iyarpeyar in the sutra may be taken as a case of upalakṣana i.e., iyarpeyar suggests uyar-tinai-p-peyar (proper names of persons and gods) and a:rinai-p-peyar.

Note 3.—Ilampūraņar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār bave stated that this sūtra operates only if the iyar-peyar and the pronoun qualify different verbs. Cēnāvaraiyar alone thinks that it operates when both take the same predicate or qualify the same verb also.

Note 4.—Ilampūranar states that there were some grammarians who took the word iyar-p-yar in the sūtra to mean all nouns current in the world. But such a view may not be held by Tolkāppiyanār since he classifies nouns as iyar-peyar, cinai-p-peyar, cinai-mutar-peyar, murai-p-peyar, etc. in sūtra 174 of Peyariyal.

Note 5.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  does not operate when the noun and pronoun stand as logical subject and predicate. Hence the sentence  $Avan C\bar{u}ttan$  (He is  $C\bar{u}ttan$ ) is correct though the pro-

 The use of the pronoun along with the noun which it refers to, between the noun and its predicate seems to have been current at the time of Ilampūraņar. Cf. Tanmai-c-collum a: rinai-c-collum avai ennu mitattu (Tol. Col. 43, Ilam.).

### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

noun  $ava\underline{n}$  precedes the noun  $C\bar{a}tta\underline{n}$ . It does not operate also when the pronoun does not refer to the noun mentioned. Hence the sentence  $Ava\underline{n}um$   $C\bar{a}tta\underline{n}um$   $vant\bar{a}r$  (He and  $C\bar{a}tta\underline{n}$  came) is not in correct since the word  $ava\underline{n}$  does not refer to  $C\bar{a}tta\underline{n}$  but refers to another person.

39. முற்படக் கொத்தல் செய்யுளு ளுரித்தே: Mur-paṭa-k kiļattal ceyyuļ-u ļ-urittē.

(The pronoun referred to in the previous sūtra) may precede the noun which it refers to in Poetry.

Ex.—Avaṇ-aṇaṅku nōy-ceytā ṇ-āyilāy vēlaṇ Viraṇ-miku-tār-c cēntaṇ-pēr vāltti-mukaṇ-amarntū Aṇṇai y-alar-kaṭappan tāraṇi-y-i l-eṇṇai-kol Piṇṇai y-ataṇ-kaṇ vilaivu.

> (Oh, lady beautified with rich ornaments! he has left you to suffer separation. Still why do you expect his garland of kadamba flowers with a smiling face, extelling the name of Centan who wears a garland on account of his victory with the help of his javelin?)

Here the pronoun avan precedes the word  $G\bar{e}nta\bar{n}$  found in the second line.

40. குட்டுமுத லாகிய காரணர் கொலியும் குட்டுப்பெய ரியற்கை பிற் செறியத் தோன்றம். Cuttu-muta l-ākiya kāraṇa-k kilaviyum Cutṭu-p-peya r-iyarkaiyir ceriya-t tōnrum.

The word commencing with a demonstrative root and denoting the reason is similar in its usage to the demonstrative pronouns and adjectives.

Ex.—Araca r-ulaiya r-ākavum purai-tapu
Valliyōr-p patarkuvar pulava r-ataṇāl
Yāṇum..... vantaṇaṇē. (Pura. 154)
(Learned men though patronised by kings go to the liberal-minded. So I too came.)

## KILAVIYĀKKAM

Culanrum-ēr-p pinna t-ulaka m-atanāl Ulantu m-ulavē talai. (Kural 1031)

(World is after the plough wherever it may turn; hence agriculture is best though trying.)

Cāttan kai-y-elutu-māru vallan, atanāl tantai uvakkum (Cāttan writes a good hand and so his father appreciates him.)

Note 1.—In the  $s\bar{u}tras$  38 and 39 the pronoun refers to a noun. In this  $s\bar{u}tra$  it is said that, even when the pronoun refers to the idea contained in a sentence, it should follow the sentence and should not precede it.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar have all given the example given above. Ilampūranar thinks that the word atanāl is a noun in the instrumental case, while Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take it as itai-c-col.

41. சிறப்பி ஞிகிய டுபயர்கிலோக் கிளவிக்கும் இயற்டுபயர்க் கிளவி முற்படக் கிளவார். Cirappi n-ākiya peyar-nilai-k kilavikkum Iyar-peyar-k kilavi mur-pata-k kilavār.

The original name of a person also should not precede the name of distinction of the same person if both qualify the same verb.

Ex.—Teyva-p-pulavar Tiru-valluvauār kuraļ pāṭiūār.
(The holy poet Tiru-valluvar composed the Kuraļ)

Note 1.—The word vinai k-k-orunk-iyalum-vali has to be taken here from the sūtra 38.

42. ஒருபொருள் குறித்த வேறுபையர்க் களவி தொழில்வேறு இளப்ப இஞன்றிட னிலவே. Oru-porul kuritta vēru-peyar-k kilavi Tolil-vēru kilappi ந-oழrita ந-ilavē.

Epithets denoting the same person or subject cannot denote one and the same person or object if each takes a different predicate after it.

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—In the sentence 'Mannan ventān, Iļantīraiyan tirumpinān',

[King won, Ilantiraiyan (name of king) returned.]

the predicates ventan and trumpinan cannot refer to the deeds of the same person since their respective subjects mannan and Ilantiraiyan have different connotations, though they denote the same person.

Note 1.—This  $s\overline{u}tra$  suggests that, if different epithets denoting the same person take the same predicate, the whole may be taken as one sentence.

Ex.—Vițar-o-cilai poritta vēntau vāļi Pūn-tan porunai-p-poraiyau vāļi Māntaran cēral mannavan vāļi.

> (Long live the king who engraved in the hill Long live the lord of the river Porunai filled with flowers and cool water

Long live the King Mantaranceral.)

Though there are three complete sentences here, yet they may be taken in sense as one sentence, Vitar-c-cilai poritta ventan, Pūn-lan-porunai-p-poraiyan Māntaran cēral mannavan vāli.

Note 2.—If different actions of the same person or object have to be mentioned and also different epithets denoting the same, the predicate should be mentioned at the end, of which all but the last one should be indeclinable past participles and the last should be a finite verb.

Ex.—Maṇṇaṇ Iļantiraiyaṇ veṇrṅ tirumpiṇāṇ.
(King Iļantiraiyaṇ retured after victory.)

43. தன்மைச் சொல்லே யஃறிணேக் கிளவியென்று எண்ணுவழி மருங்கின் வீசவுதல் வகையார்.

Taumai-c collē y-a: riņai-k kiļavi-y-enru Eņņu-vaļi marunkiņ viravutal varaiyār.

It is not prevented to count an a : rinai noun along with the first personal pronoun.

### KILAVIYAKKAM

Ex.—Yāṇum eṇ e∴ kamum cāɪum.
(My weapon and myself are sufficient.)

Note I. The above meaning is given by  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$  and  $T\bar{e}yvaccilaiy\bar{q}r$ ;  $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  and  $Nacci\underline{n}\bar{q}rkki\underline{n}iyar$  give a different meaning ( $\bar{\imath}.e.$ ) when the subject is a first personal pronoun and an  $a \cdot rinai$  noun, the predicate is in the first person plural. If the latter interpretation is correct, the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Avarrul

Panmai y-uraikkun tanmai-k kilayi Enniyan marunkir riripayai y-ulayē. (Tol. Col. 209.)

is unnecessary since it conveys the same meaning. Besides sanction is necessary to count a:rinai nouns along with first personal pronoun, since one is generally inclined to count like objects. Hence the interpretation given by Ilampuranar and  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$  is, in my opinion, correct.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar's interpretation of this sātra is clearly seen from his commentary under the sātra-Viyankō l-eṇṇu-p-peyar tiṇai-viravu varaiyār. (Tol. Col. 55.)

Note 3.—It is worthy of notice that sanction is not accorded anywhere in Tolkappiyam to count an a. rinai noun and a second personal pronoun, nor for using the predicate in the second person plural (i. e.) such expressions as niyum nin-patai-k-kalamum cārīr (your army and yourself are sufficient) have not received sanction at the hands of Tolkāppiyanār. Ilampūranar states that the use of the word marunkin which serves no purpose in this sūtra suggests the sanction of such usage. Cēnāvaraiyar says in his commentary under Tol. Col. 45, that such expressions are sanctioned in the last sūtra of Collatikāram in Tolkāppiyam.

44. ஒருமை யெண்ணின் பொதுப்பிரி பாற்சொல் ஒருமைக் கல்ல தெண்ணுமுறை கில்லாது.

Orumai y-enniu potu-p-piri pār-col Orumai-k k-alla t-ennumurai nıllātu.

The words (orwan and oruth) which respectively mean one man and one woman and have each a suffix denoting the  $p\bar{q}l$  or gender-number are not used in counting.

### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Note 1.—Orumai-y-ennin potu-c-col or the word which denotes a single object and is common to both annal and pennal is oruwar. Orumai-y-ennin potu-p-piri-pat-col or the words which separately denote one man and one woman are respectively oruwan and orutti. In counting, one has to say oruwar, iruwar, muvar etc., and not oruwan iruwar, muvar etc., nor orutti, iruwar, muvar etc.

Note 2. Ilampuranar and Teyvaccilaiyar have given the above meaning to the sūtra. Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, on the other hand, have taken the sutra to mean that the words oruvan and orutti which are orumai-y-ennin-potu-p-piripar-col are used only in connection with the number denoting orumai and not with the numbers denoting irumai, mummai etc. (i. e.) the suffixes n denoting annal and i denoting pennal are used only in connection with the number denoting single object as oruvan and orutti and not with those denoting more than one; hence the words iruvan, irutti, mūran, mutti etc., cannot be used. There are six defects in this interpretation: -(1) They take the expression orumai-y-ennin potu-p-piri-par-col to denote the suffixes  $\underline{n}$  and i instead of the words or uvan and or utii. (2) They take the expression ennumurai to mean in respect of the numbers irumai, mummai etc., instead of the natural meaning while counting'. (3) Such an interpretation of the word ennumurai is not suited to the context; for both the suiras that precede and follow this sutra deal with the use of expressions while counting. (Cf. the words ennu-vali in Tol. Col. 43 and ennu-ppeyar in Tol. Col. 45.) (4) They have to take that this satra suggests that oruvar is used in counting and not oruvan or orutti, which, Ilampuranar and Teyvaccilaivar think, is the direct meaning of the sutra. (5) Besides Cenavaraiyar has to find an explanation for the use of the singular verb nillatu as the predicate of the word notu-p-piri-par-col, which, according to his interpretation, is plural in number since it denotes the two suffixes n and i. (6) Lastly their statement that the words iruvan, irutti, muvan, mutti etc., cannot be used is against the ordinary rules of science; for the use of suffix or suffixes may be prohibited in certain places only if there is a chance of its or their being used in those places on the authority of another sūtra (cf. Praptasyāiva niṣēdhaḥ). Here, there is no possibility for the

## KIĻAVIYĀKKAM

suffixes  $\underline{n}$  and i which denote singular number to be used in words  $iruva\underline{n}$ , irutti,  $m\overline{u}va\underline{n}$ , mutti etc. since their bases denote objects more than one.

Hence the interpretation given by  $I | tamp \overline{u} ran ar$  and  $Teyvac-cilaiy \overline{u}r$  is direct, unlaboured and sound.

வியங்ளே எண்ணுப்பெயர் திணவிரவு வரையார்.
 Viyankö l-ennu-p-peyar tinai-viravu varaiyār.

It is not prohibited to connect uyar-tinai nouns and a: rinai nouns by and, if both of them have a verb in the potential mood as the common predicate.

Ex.—Ā-v-um āyan-um celka.

(Let the cows and the shepherd go.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar and Teyvaccilaiyār have given the above interpretation; while  $C\hat{e}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  and  $Naccin\bar{a}rkkiniyar$ , on the other hand, state that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctions the use of one verb in the potential mood when the subject consists of both uyartinai and a:rinai nouns. Since no other  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctions the counting of uyartinai and a:rinai and since  $viyark\bar{c}l\cdot vinai$  or verb in the potential mood has the same form whether it denotes uyartinai or a:rinai (cf. Tol. Col. 222), the interpretation of  $llamp\bar{u}ranar$  and  $llamp\bar{u}ranar$ 

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar condemns Iļampūraṇar's interpretation of this sūtra on four grounds:—(1) Even though in expressions like ā-v-um āyaṇum celka, ā (cows) and āyaṇ (shepherd) are respectively a: rivai and uyartinai, still they may be connected by and on account of their having the relation of 'the grazer and the grazed'. Similarly in other expressions such relations may be found. (2) Ilampūraṇar himself quotes yāṇai, tēr, kutirai, kālāļ erintāṇ (he routed elephants, chariots, cavalry and foot-soldiers) under Tol. Col. 291 as an example where uyartinai and a&rinai nouns qualify the same predicate erintāṇ which is not in the potential mood. There is no sūtra which sanctions that uyartinai and a: riṇai nouns may be connected by the word and when they take a common predicate in the indicative

#### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

mood. (3) Tolkāppiyanār himself states that ennu-t-tinai viravu-p-peyar or nouns belonging to uyartinai and a rinai counted together take the a rinai verb in Tol. Col. 51. Hence he may have thought, in this sūtra, of the predicate and not of the subject. (4) Since expressions are found in plenty where uyartinai nouns in third person are counted together with a rinai nouns, Tolkāppiyanār could have said uyartinai-c-collē y-a rinai-k-kilavi instead of tamai-c-collē y-a rinai-k-kilavi instead of tamai-c-collē y-a rinai-k-kilavi in Tol. Col. 43, so that the use of all uyartinai nouns and pronouns whether they are in the first person, second person or third person with a rinai nouns taking the common predicate might have been sanctioned.

As regards the first objection, we may ascribe some relation or other between two objects. When the sutra may be taken to sanction directly that the uyartinai nouns and a. rinai nouns may be connected by and, why should we resort to ascribe some relation between them to sanction such a usage? The second objection is not a serious one. Cēnāvaraiyar himself says that the use of uyartinai and a rinai nouns taking a common predicate which is not in the potential mood is to be taken by tannina-mutittal. What harm is there if Ilampuranar takes such expressions by the same principle tanning-mutittal? The third objection does not seem to be sound. Even though in Tol. Col. 51 Tolkāppiyanār says about the predicate, still he need not have mentioned anything about the predicate in this sutra; for the previous sūtra decidedly deals with the counting of objects. Hence there is no harm in taking that this sutra deals with the subject of the verb in the potential mood. (4) The fourth objection may be met thus:-It must be clearly noted that, according to Tolkāppiyanār, a verb in the first person is always uyartinai and hence can never be used along with a&rinai subject. there came the necessity for the satra Tol. Col. 209 which says that a verb in the first person plural may be used if the subject is made up of a pronoun of the first person connected with an a: rinai noun. The sanction of such a use of the verb in the first person plural arises only when the counting of a first personal pronoun and an a. rinai noun is sanctioned. Hence is the need of the expression tanmai-c-colle in the sutra Tol. Col. 43.

#### KILAVIYĀKKAM

46. வேறவிளேப் பொதுச்சொ லொருவினே கிளவார்.

Vēru-viņai-p potu-c-co l-oruviņai kiļavār.

A predicate denoting the *individuality* of an action is not used along with a noun connected with its *genus*; (i.e.) the predicate denoting the genus of the action itself should be used.

For instance the word aticil means an eatable which is unpatu or that is swallowed without the action of the teeth. tinpatù or that is masticated, parukuvatù or that is drunk like water and nakkuvatů or that is licked like viscous fluid. Hence one should not use expressions like aticil untān, aticil tinrān, aticil parukinān and aticil nakkinān; but one should use aticil ayingan, coru (rice) untan, un (flesh) tingan, nir (water) parukinan and ten (honey) nakkinan, Similarly the word ani means an ornament which is kavippatii or that is placed over like the crown, kattuvatu or that is tied like flowers to the hair, cerippatu or that is put on like bracelets and punuvatu or that is hung like garlands, necklaces etc. Hence one should not use expressions like ani kavittān, ani kattinān, ani cerintān, and ani puntan, but should use ani anintan, muti (crown) kavittan, pūkkal (flowers) kulalir (tuft) kattinān, tolvalai (armlets) cerittān. and mālai (garlands) pūntān.

Similarly the word *iyam* means a musical instrument which is *koṭṭuvatū* or that is beaten, *ūtuvatū* or that which is blown and *eṭuppuvatū* or that which is provided with strings. Hence one should use *iyam iyampinār* etc.

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar takes the word micaintār in the same category as ayinrār. Naccinārkkiniyar remarks that both of them cannot be taken to denote the action of eating in a general sense and untār should be used in their place. This shows that such restrictions were not carefully observed in later literature.

Note 2.—It is learnt from the commentary of Ilampuranar that orūu-vinai is another reading in the place of oru-vinai in the sūtra.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

47. எண்ணுங் காலு மதுவதன் மாபே.

Ennun kalu m-atu-v-atan marape.

The same rule (as is mentioned in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ ) should be observed when eatables of different nature are counted, (i.e.) the verb giving the general sense should be used.

Ex.-Cörun kariyum ayinrar.

(They ate rice and meat.)

Yāļun kulalum iyampiņār.

(They played upon yal and pipe.)

Note 1.—This rule, too. gradually fell into disuse. For the verb un is used as one giving general sense in Puranananurib. Of. On-ruvai kari coru untu. (Having taken in meat, chutney, vegetables and rice.—Purana 14, 14.)

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads ennun-kālai in place of ennun-kālum. The latter reading seems to be better since the use of the particle um is appropriate.

48. இரட்டைக் கிளவி யிரட்டிறபிரிக் திசையா. Irattai-k kilavi y-irattir-pirin t-icaiyā.

There are certain words whose roots are always reduplicated.

Ex.—Caruků eriyunkālai curu-curu-t-t-atů.

(Dried leaves produced a hissing sound when being burnt.)

Pakaivar koru-koru-t-t-ār.

(Enemies bubbled with anger.)

Aracan mukam karu-karu-t-t-atu.

(The king's face became very dark.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar reads Iratiu-p-pirinticaiyā in place of Iratiir-pirinticaiyā.

Note 2.—It is worthy of note that the *irattai-k-kilavi* referred to in this sūtra is a word denoting noise, feeling or quality.

#### KILAVIYĀKKAM

Note 3.—The difference between iratṭai-k-kilavi mentioned here and aṭukki mentioned in Tol. Col. 411, 424, 425 etc. is that, in the former, the root is doubled like curu-curu-t-t-atū, karu-karu-t-t-atū etc. and in the latter the whole word is doubled like kanṭīrē kanṭīrē, pōyirrū pōyirrū etc.

49. ஒருபெயர்ப் பொதுச்சொ அள்பொரு சொழியத் தெரிபுவேற கிளத்த நூலமையும் பன்மையும் உயர்திண மருங்கினு மஃநிண மருங்கினும். Oru-peyar-p potu-c-co l-ul-poru l-oliya-t Teripu-vēru kilatta ralaimai-y-um paṇmai-y-um Uyartiṇṣi maruṅkiṇu m-a∴riṇai maruṅkiṇum.

If, in certain expressions, uyartinai and a. rinai words have to be used to denote a group made up of different kinds of persons or objects, those that denote the pre-eminent or the majority are used. For instance one uses the word pārppana-c-cēri (the residence of Brahmans) to denote a place where Brahmans and members of other communities reside, of whom the Brahmans are considered superior. Similarly the word kamukan-toṭṭam to denote a garden containing kumukû (arecapalm) and other trees. Since kamukû is considered to be superior to other trees in the garden, the word kamukan-tōṭṭam is chosen. The word cyinar-nāṭu (the land of hunters) is used to denote a country where cyinar are in majority. Similarly the word oṭu-v-an-kāṭu (forest containing oṭu trees) is used to denote a forest which abounds in round-leaved-discous feather-foil trees.

Note 1.— Teyvaccilaiyār reads the line uyartinai marunkinu m-a: rinai marunkinum found in this sūtra in the following sūtra.

50. பெயரினுக் கொழிலினும் பிரிபவை பெல்லாம் மயன்கல் கூடா வழக்குவழிப் பட்டன. Peyariqum tolliqum piripavai y-ellām Mayankal kūtā valakku-vali-p pattaņa.

Nouns and verbs (belonging both to *uyartinai* and a:rinai) denoting different objects or actions should be counted together only according to usage.

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—Pāṇarun kūttarum viraliyarum vantaṇar.

(Pāṇars, kūttars and viraliyars came.)

Ponnum tukiru muttum.

(Gold, corals and pearls.)

(Purana. 218)

Āṭuvārum, pāṭuvārum nakuvārun kūtinar.

(Dancers, songsters and those that are merry assembled.)

Unpaṇavum, tiṇpaṇavum, parukuvaṇavum, nakkuvaṇavum eṇakku-kkoṭuttāṇ.

(He gave me eatables that can be swallowed, masticated, drunk and licked.)

Note 1.—Teyvaccilaiyār instead of reading the line uyartinai marunkinum—a:rinai marunkinum in this sūtra might have read it in the previous sūtra and taken its idea here also by the principle of anuvṛti. He takes the word ennunkāl here from the following sūtra. Instead of doing so, he might have taken ennun-kālum from Tol. Col. 47.

Note 2.—The above meaning belongs to Teyvaccilaiyār. Ilampuranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, on the other hand, have given a different meaning, (i.e.) It is no mistake if nouns common to men and women and verbs denoting action common to them both are used to denote either of them. expressions should have been sanctioned by usage. For example in the expression vatukar-aracar ayiravar makkalai y-utaiyar (hundred vatuka kings have children), the word vatukar which generally means men and women of vatuka caste here refers to only vatuka men by the association of the word aracar. Hence the word vatukar is here named pen-n-oli-miku-col (word which applies to men alone to the exclusion of women). Similarly in the expression totiyor koy-kulai y-arumpiya kumari ñālal (young jasmine sprouting on account of its being plucked by those who wear bracelets), the word totiyor which should generally mean men or women wearing bracelets here refers to only women wearing bracelets since men are not generally used to wear them. Hence the word totiyor is here named an-oli-mikucol (word which applies to women alone to the exclusion of men). In the sentence

#### KILAVIYAKKAM

ivar vāļkkai-p-paṭṭār (these persons have become house-holders), the word ivar refers to only women since the expression vāļkkai-p-paṭṭutal is generally applied to women. Similarly in the sentence ivar kaṭṭil ēṛṭṇār (this person ascended the throne), the word ivar refers to a man since women were not generally used to ascend the throne in Tamil land.

Teyraccilaiyār thinks that the above examples may come under  $c\bar{a}rp^{il}$  mentioned in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Avarruļ, Viņai-vērū paṭūum pala-poru ļ-oru-col Vēru-paṭu viṇaiyiṇu m-iṇattiṇuñ cārpiṇum Tēra-t tōṇrum poruṭeri nilaiyē. (Tol. Col. 53).

Teyraccilaiyār's meaning to this  $s\bar{u}tra$  seems to be better for two reasons:—(1) There is no other  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctioning the counting of like objects. (2) The following  $s\bar{u}tra$  best fits in after this, since it deals with the nature of the verb which stands as the common predicate of uyartinai and a:rinai nouns.

Note 3.—The examples given by Ilampūraņar to this sūtra are iūru i-v-v-ūr-p perram-ellām pāl karakkum (to-day all the cattle of this village give milk); inru i-v-v-ūr-p perram ellām ulauu olintana (to-day all the cattle of this village are relieved of ploughing.) Cēnāvaraiyar remarks that those examples are incorrect; for, the word perram in the former example cannot but refer to cows on account of its association with the word karakkum, since the power of giving milk always rests only with cows, and the same word in the latter example cannot but refer to bulls on account of its association with the words ulavu olintana, since the task of ploughing always rested only with bulls. Since cows also are sometimes used for ploughing fields, Cēnāvaraiyar's condemnation as regards the second example may not be accurate.

51. பலவயி ஞைனு மெண்ணுத்திக்கை விரவுப்பெயர் அல்லிலிண் முடிபின செய்யு ஞன்னே.

Pala-vayi n-ānu m-ennu-t-tiņai viravu-p-peyar A :: riņai muṭipina ceyyu l-ul-l-ē.

#### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

If uyartinai and a : rinai nouns are connected by and and take a common predicate, the a : rinai predicate is generally used in Poetry.

Ex.—Tallā vilaiyuļ-un takkārun tālvilā-c Celvar-uñ cērvatu nātu. (Kural 731.)

(Kingdom is that which has inexhaustible production, right minded men and dignified rich men.)

Katuñ-ciuatta kol-kalirum Katal-pariya kali-māvum Neṭun-koṭiya nimir-tērum Neñcuṭaiya pukan maravarum ena Nāṇkutan māṇṭa t-āyinum.

(Though the four parts (of the army) consisting of fiery war elephants, swift and daring horses, lofty chariots with long flags and bold and willing warriors were great...)

Here the predicate  $m\bar{a}ntatu$  is a:rinai while the subject consists of uyartinai noun maravar and a:rinai nouns kalirit,  $m\bar{a}$  and  $t\bar{e}r$ .

Note 1.—The word pala-vayin-ānum (in many places) in the sūtra suggests that there may be a few places where the uyartinai predicate may be used.

Ex.—Pārppār tavarē cumantār piņippaṭṭār Mūttā r-iļaiyār pacu-p-peṇṭi r-eṇrivarkaṭkū Ārra vaļi-vilanki ṇārē pirappiṭai-p Pōrri y-eṇa-p-paṭu vār. (Ācārakkōvai, 64)

> (Great are they among human beings who give way to the brahmans, ascetics, load-bearers, the sickly, the elders, the youngsters, cows and women if they meet them on their way.)

Here though the word pacu which is a: rinai is one of the nouns forming the subject, yet the predicate ena-p-paiuvār is in uyartinai.

#### KILAVIYÄKKAM

Note 2.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  also suggests that the demonstrative pronoun which refers to uyartinai and  $a \cdot rinai$  nouns mentioned above may, in many places, be  $a \cdot rinai$  and in some places uyartinai.

(1) Ex.—Vaţuka r-aruvāļar vāṇ-karu nāţar Cuţu-kāţu pēy-erumai y-enţivai y-āţum Kuţu-kā r-aţivuţai y-ār.

(Wise persons do not approach these six:—vatukar (Telugu people), aruvālar (a Tamil tribe) karunāļar (Canarese people), cremation ground, goblins and buffaloes.)

Here the word ivai which is a&rinai refers to vaţukar, aruvāļar, vān-karu-nāṭar, cuṭu-kāṭu, pēy and erumai of which cuṭu-kāṭu and erumai are a&rinai, and vaṭukar, aruvāḷar etc. are uyartinai.

(2) Ex.—Parppa r-aravor pacu-p-pat tini-p-penţir Muttor kulavi y-enum ivarai-k kai-viţţu,

(Cilap. Vañcina-mālai.)

(Having deserted brahmans, righteous persons, cows, married women, old persons and children).

Here the word ivarai refers to pārppār, aravēr, pattini-ppeņķir, mūttēr which are uyartiņai and pacu and kuļavi which are astrinai.

Note 3.—The word pala-vayin-ānum in the sūtra modifies the predicate a&rinai-mutipina, in the meaning given above. The same is the opinion of Cēvāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār. Ilampūranar, on the other hand, takes it as an adjunct to the subject ennu-t-tinai-viravu-p-pcyar. Hence he says that the predicate is a&rinai when the subject is, in most cases, made up of uyartinai and a&rinai nouns and in a few cases made up of uyartinai nouns alone. The example which he gives for the latter case is:—

Tuṭiyaṇ pāṇaṇ paraiyaṇ kaṭampaṇ-eṇri I-u-nāṇ k-allatu kuṭi-y-u m-illai. (Pura. 335)

#### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Here the a : rinai noun  $n\bar{a}nku$  refers to uyartinai nouns tutiyan,  $p\bar{a}nan$ , paraiyan and katampan each of which refers to a low easte among Dravidians of Ancient India.  $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  is of opinion that  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar's$  example is not happy, since the a : rinai word  $n\bar{a}nku$  was used in consideration of the a : rinai noun kuti which follows it.

Naccinarkkiniyar takes the word pala-vayin-anum as an adjunct to both the subject and the predicate. Since his purpose is reached by taking it as the adjunct to the predicate alone, the opinion held by Conavaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyar seems to be the correct one.

52. விசூகியை பகுடம் பலபொரு கொருகொல் விசூகியை படாஅப் பலபொரு கொருகொகென்ற ஆலிரு வகைய பலபொரு கொருகொக்க Vitai-vēru paṭāum pala-poru ļ-oru-col Vitai-vēru paṭāa-p pala-poru ļ-oru-col-eūrū Ā-y-iru vakaiya pala-poru l-oru-col.

Pala-porul-oru-col—words having different meanings—are of two kinds:—(1) those which take different verbs after them and (2) those which take the same verb after them.

Ex.—Mā pūttatů. (The mango tree put forth flowers.)
Mā ōṭirrů. (Horse ran.)
Mā niṇratů. (Mango tree stood or Horse stood.)

Note.—If the verb is such as can be used with all such words, it is not possible for us to determine the particular meaning in which it is used.

53. அவற்றுள், வீணவேறு படுஉம் பலடொரு சொருசொல். வேறுபடு வீணயிலு மினத்திலுஞ் சார்பிலும் தேறத் தோன்றும் பொருடெரி கி‰மே.

> Avarrul, Viņai-vēru patūum pala-poru ļ-oru-col Vēru-patu viņaiyiņu m-iņattiņum cārpiņum Tērat tōurum poruteri nilaiyē.

### KILAVIYĀKKAM

Of them the meaning of  $vinai-v\bar{e}_{I}u$ -patūum-pala-porul-oru-col is clearly determined by  $v\bar{e}_{I}u$ -patū-vinai-distinguishing verbs,  $i\underline{n}am$ —the words of its class used along with it or  $c\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$ —context.

## (1) Ex.-Mā pūttatů.

The word  $m_d$  which means mango tree, horse, beetle Goddess  $Laksm\bar{\imath}$  etc., denotes in this example the mango tree on account of its association with the verb  $p\bar{\imath}ttatu$  which means 'put forth flowers'. It is only the mango tree that can put forth flowers.

(2) Ex.-Mā-v-um marutam-um ōnkiņa.

The word  $m_{\bar{u}}$  here cannot but refer to the mango tree since it is connected with the word marutam which denotes a kind of tree, by the copula um.

(3) Ex.—Mā-marutta malar-mārpiņ (Pura. 7).

(By having wide chest (which enables) Goddess *Lakṣmī* to forsake others.)

That the word  $m\bar{a}$  here refers to  $Lak sm\bar{i}$  is learnt from  $carp\hat{u}$  or context.

Note 1.—The word vinai in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  refers to not only the verbs that stand as predicates, but also to nouns that stand as such.

Ex.—I-m-mā vayiram. (This mango tree is of strong fibre.)

Note 2.—The word  $c\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$  in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  which means context will do; the mention of  $v\bar{e}_Tupatu-vi\underline{n}ai$  and  $i\underline{n}am$  have been added in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  only for the sake of clearness.

54. ஒன்றுவின் மருங்கி தென்றிச் சோன்றம் விளுவேறு படாதப் பலபொரு கொருகொல் கினையுல் காலேச் கிளக்தாங் கியலும்,

Oṇru-viṇai maruṅki ṇ-oṇri-t tōṇrum Viṇai-vēru paṭāa-p pala-poru ṭ-oru-col Niṇaiyuṅ kālai-k kiḷant-āṅ k-iyalum.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Words having different meanings should be clearly mentioned with proper adjuncts to enable the reader to understand its exact meaning, if they are followed by non-distinguishing verbs.

Ex.—Mā-maram vīlutatu. (The tree  $m\bar{a}$  fell down.)

Mā-vilanku vīlutatu. (The animal  $m\bar{a}$  fell down.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār take the first line in this sātra (i e.) on uvinai marunki n-onrittārum as a separate sātra and the other two lines as one sātra. Ilampūraņar's meaning for the former is that words having different meanings cannot definitely denote one object if they are followed by non-distinguishing verbs. For example, if one says mā vilntatū which means mā fell, the hearer cannot understand whether the speaker intends by the word mā, the tree mā, the beast mā, the beetle mā or Goddess Lakṣmī. If we take this interpretation, it is evident that there is no need for this sūtra.

Naccinārkkiniyar, on the other hand, says that this sūtra means that, if words having different meanings are followed by non-distinguishing verbs they, in association with certain other factors, denote specific objects. For instance if one says mā vilnāti, the hearer may understand what the word mā denotes if he takes into consideration the place and the time of stating that sentence. If so, it is evident that, since this idea is conveyed by the word cārpū in the previous sūtra, this sūtra is not necessary. Teyvaccilaiyār interprets this sūtra more or less in the same way as Naccinārkkiniyar. The only point of difference between them is that, according to the former, the word pira-collotū is understood before the word onri and according to the latter, kālam itam mutaliyavarrotū is understood before it.

According to Ilampūraņur 'onri-1-tōnrum' means 'appear without being distinguished' and according to the other two it means 'exist distinguished by being associated with other words or the time and place of speaking. All the three take tōnrum to be a finite verb. Cēnāvaraiyar, on the contrary, takes it to be a relative present participle qualifying the word, vinai-vēru-patāa-p-pala-porul-oru-col in the next line.

#### KILAVIYĀKKAM

The meaning given to the second and the third lines by Ilampūraņar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār is the same as that given by Cēnāvaraiyar for all the three lines.

From the foregoing reasons it may be evident that  $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}$ -varaiyar's view that the three lines should be taken as one  $s\bar{u}tra$  is sound.

55. குறித்தோன் கூற்றர் தெரித்தமொழி இளவி. Kurittön kürran terittu-moli kilavi.

The idea of the speaker or writer should be definitely expressed.

Note I.—Kuritton kūrram is the subject in the sūtra; the predicate is ālal-vēntum, which is understood; and terittu-molikilavi is the subjective compliment of the predicate and it may be taken as a vinai-t-tokai formed of the words terittu-molinta and kilavi.

Note 2.—Ilampūraṇar, Cēṇāvaraiyar, and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar have given the above interpretation to the sūtra But Ilampūraṇar seems to take kūrram to mean 'idea', while Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar take it to mean 'difference'. Besides, kūrram seems to be in the nominative case according to Ilampūraṇar, while it is, in the opinion of the other two, in the objective case governing kurittōṇ. And according to them both, kurittōṇ seems to be the subject and terittu-moli, the predicate. In that case teritu-moli should be considered the contracted form of terittu-molika, which is rare and the word kilavi should be taken to mean words.

Note 3.—Teyvaccılaiyar takes terittumoli-kilavi as the subject and kuritton-kurram as the logical predicate and kurram to mean intention not expressed. He adds that um and am are understood in the sūtia. The example he gives is Cēval-an-koṭiyōn kāppa (may the Cēval-bannered protect) (Kuruntokai, 1) where, he says, the word cēval which is applied to male horse, cock etc, here means cock since the author wants to describe the God Murukan who is cock-bannered. But it seems to me that it is the context that defermines that the word cēval refers to cock.

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Hence this example may come under the  $s\bar{u}tra$  53. Ilampūranar's interpretation seems to be the best if we consider why this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is placed after the previous three  $s\bar{u}tras$ .

குடிமை யாண்மை மிளமை மூப்பே 56. அடிமை வன்மை விருர்தே குழுவே பெண்மை யாசே **ம**சவே குழவி தன்மை திரிபெய *ருறு*ப்பின் கிளவி காதல் சிறப்பே செறற்சொல் விறற்சொலென்று ஆவற மூன்ற முளப்படத் தொகைஇ அன்ன பிறவு மவற்டுருடு சிவணி முன்னத்தி னுணருங் கொவி பெல்லாம் உயர்திணே மருங்கி னிலேயின வாயினம் அஃ. நிணே மருங்கிற் கிளர்தாங் கியலும். Kuţimai y-anmai y-ilamai muppē Ațimai vanmai virunte kuluve Peņmai y-aracē makavē kulavi Tanmai tiri-peya r-uruppin kilavi Kātal cirappē cerar-col virar-col-enrū Ā-v-aru mūnru m-uļappata-t tokaii Anna piravu m-avarrotu civani Munnatti n-unarun kilavi y-ellam Uyar-tiņai marunki nilaivina v-āviņum A∴rinai marunkir kilantān k-iyalum.

The eighteen words kutimai (status of a family, family), ānmai (manliness, man), ilamai (youth, young man, or woman), mūppū (old age, old person), atimai (slavery, slave), vanmai (strength, strong ally,) viruntū (feast, guest), kulūu (collection, crowd), penmai (feminine quality, woman), aracū (kingship, king), makavu (son-hood, daughter-hood: son, daughter), kulavi (childhood, child), tanmai-tiri-peyar (noun denoting the change of quality,) uruppiu-kilavi (words pertaining to organs like kurutū (blindness, blind person), mutam (lameness, lame person etc.,) kūlar-col (terms of endearment), cirappu-c-col (terms of honour, cerar-col (terms of hatred, anger etc.), virar-col (terms of valour) and similar ones take a: rinai verbs even when they denote uyartinai objects.

## KILAVIYÄKKAM

Ex.—Tuñcă-k-kanna vața-pula-t-t-arace. (Pura. 31.) (Kings of the north! keep awake.)

. . . Koṭitē . . . vēntú. (Kuraļ 551.) (Cruel is the King.)

Iraivark:uruti payappat-ān tūtū. (Kura! 690.)
(Ambassador is he who always brings forth credit to his King.)

Note 1.—The particle um in the word nilaiyina v-āyinum suggests that the above words are a:rinai when they denote abstract qualities and that they are uyartinai when they denote the objects having those qualities.

Note 2.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that the words kuṭimai, āṇmai, iṭamai, mūppu, aṭimai, vaṇmai, peṇmai, uṭuppin-kiṭavi, cirappu-c-col, virar-col are only a ː riṇai and can denote uyartiṇai only when they are ākupeyar. Ākupeyar is the name given to a noun in a sentence when it denotes an object related to its ordinary meaning, as in the figure metonomy or synecdoche. It happens only when the litegal meaning of the word does not suit with the meaning of the predicate which follows it in a sentence. Before the predicate is used, it is not right to say that a word denotes something connected with its original meaning. Hence Cēṇāvaraiyar's view is not correct.

Note 3.—The need for this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is this:—The words kutimai,  $\bar{a}nmai$  etc., are a:rinai in form but denote uyartinai objects in certain cases. In such cases the  $s\bar{u}tra$ :—

Viņaiyir röğrum pāl-ari kilaviyum Peyarir röğrum pāl-ari kilaviyum Mayankal küţā tam-mara piņavē. (Tol. Col. 11.)

demands that uyartinai verbs should be used. But this sūtra sanctions the use of a&rinai verbs also.

57. சால முலக முயிரே யுடம்பே பால்வரை தெய்வம் விளேயே பூதம் ஞாயிற திங்கள் சொல்லென வளுடம்

### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

ஆமீ கொக்கொடு பிறவு மன்ன ஆவமின் வரூஉங் கிளவி பெல்லாம் பால்பிரிக் திசையா வுயர்திணே மேன.

Kāla m-ulaka m-uyirē y-utampē
Pāl-varai teyvam viņaiyē pūtam
Nāyiru tinkaļ col-l-eṇa varūum
Ā-y-ī r-aintoṭu piravu m-aṇṇa
Ā-vayin varūun kiļavi y-ellām
Pāl-pirin t-icaiyā v-uyar-tinai mēṇa.

The ten words  $k\bar{q}lam$  (god of time), ulakam (world), uyir (soul),  $utamp\bar{u}$  (body),  $p\bar{q}l$ -varai-teyvam (Supreme God),  $vi\bar{q}ai$  (fate),  $p\bar{u}tam$  (elements:—earth, water, light, air, space),  $n\bar{q}yir\bar{u}$  (sun), tinkal (moon), col (Goddess Sarasvatī) and similar ones do not take uyartinai verbs (i.e.,  $\bar{q}np\bar{q}l$ ,  $penp\bar{q}l$  or  $palarp\bar{q}l$  verbs) after them, but take only a&rinai verbs.

Ex.—Ulakam vaiyātů. (Kural 17)
(The world will not consider....)
Ulakam pacittatů. (The world suffered from hunger.)
Uyir cenratů. (Soul departed.)
Ñāyiru paṭṭatů. (Sun set.)
Tinkal utittatů. (Moon rose) etc.

Note 1.—The word  $k\bar{a}lam$  is the tatsama of the Sanskrit word  $k\bar{a}la$  which means Yama, the God of Death: ulakam is the tatbhava of  $l\bar{o}ka$  which means people; uyir and  $utamp\dot{u}$  respectively denote here the soul and the body of human beings. According to  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$ , col means  $V\bar{c}da$ ; but  $V\bar{c}da$  is not uyartinai; hence as is thought by  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$  and others, it means only  $Sarasvat\bar{c}$ .

Note 2.—The need for this sūtra is this:—In the previous sūtra it is said that the words kuṭimai, āṇmai etc., which sometimes denote uyartinai objects and sometimes a&rinai qualities may take a:riṇai verbs even when they denote uyartinai objects. The following sūtra, Ninṛāṅ kicaitta l-ivaṇ-iyai p-inṛē suggests that they may also take uyartinai verbs as aṭimai vantān without

#### KILAVIYÄKKAM

any change in the form of the words atimai etc. But the words  $k\bar{a}lam$ , ulakam etc., mentioned in this  $s\bar{u}tra$  never take  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$ ,  $penp\bar{a}l$  and  $palarp\bar{a}l$  verbs unless there is a change in the form of words like  $k\bar{a}lap$  for  $k\bar{a}lam$  etc.

Note 3.—The meaning given above is that accepted by Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar.

Note 4.—Teyvaccilaiyār, on the other hand, differs from them. He splits the sātra into 2 sentences:—kālam..kilavi-y-ellām uyartiņai mēna; (avai) pāl pirinticaiyā. (The words kālam etc., belong to uyartiņai; but they do not take āṇpāl penpāl or palarpāl verbs.) That they take a: rinai verbs is sanctioned, according to him, by the sūtra—Icaittalu m-uriya vēritattāna (Tol. Col. 59).

There are three objections against Teyvaccilaiyār's interpretation. (1) That the words kālam, teyvam which denote gods are uyartinai is already learnt from the statement

Teyvañ cuttiya peyar-nilai-k kilavi Uyartinai marunkir pāl-pirin t-icaikkum.

in the fourth sūtra of the Kilavi-y-ākkam. Hence it need not be repeated here. That the word ulakam when it means people is uyartinai has already been mentioned in Tol. Col. 2. (2) If the sūtra is split into two sentences, it gives room to vākyabhēda or sentence-split. (3) If the sūtra—Icaitlalu m-uriya vēritattāna is taken to mention that a: rinai verbs follow the words hālam, ulakam etc., there will then be no sūtra to sanction the usage kālam vantān.

Note 5.—This satra has to be taken as an apavada or exception to the second part of Tol. Col. 4 and icaittalum... (Tol. Col. 59) has to be taken as an apavada to this satra and pratiprasava—exception of the exception—to the second part of Tol. Col. 4.

53. கின்மும் இசைத்த விவணியல் பின்றே. Nigr-āń k-icaitta l-ivan-iyal p-igrā.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM--COLLATIKĀRAM

It is not in their nature to take uyartinai verbs without modification in their form.

Note 1.—This sūtra suggests that the words kuṭimai, āṇmai etc., mentioned in Tol. Col. 56 may tako uyartinai verbs without having any change in their form.

59. இசைத்தலு முரிய வேறிடத் தான.

Icaittal-u m-uriya vēritat tāņa.

They (the words  $k\bar{a}lam$  etc.) may take  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$ ,  $penp\bar{a}l$  or  $palarp\bar{a}l$  verbs in other places.

Ex.—Kālaņ uyirai-k koṇṭāṇ. (Yama took away life.)
Ulakar pacittār. (People felt hungry.)

Note 1:—The word  $v\bar{e}rijam$  (other places) refers to change in their form like  $k\bar{g}lan$  for  $k\bar{g}lam$  etc.

Note 2.—  $V\bar{e}ritam$  is taken by  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$  to refer to a:rinai. It has already been mentioned under Tol. Col. 57 that it is not a satisfactory interpretation.

60. எடுத்த மொழியினஞ் செப்பலு முரித்தே.

Ețutta moli-y-inañ coppalu m-urittē.

A sentence which is expressed may suggest related ideas.

Ex.—'Kīļai-c-cēri-k-kōļi veņratū' eņiņ 'mēlai-c-cēri-k-kōļi viļntatū' eņpatū pera-p-paṭum.

(If it is said that the cook of the eastern side of the village came out victorious, it is suggested that the cook of the western side was defeated.)

Note 1.—It is worthy of note that it is not obligatory that the expression should always suggest related ideas. If one says antanar valka (may the brahmans live), it does not suggest that aracar (kings) and vanikar (merchants) should not live long.

#### KILAVIYĀKKAM

Note 2.—Ilampuranar states under this sutra that, if one says that the cock of the western side of the village came out victorious, it is evidently suggested that the cock of the eastern side was defeated and that, if one says that the man carrying a pot fell down, it is evidently suggested that the pot also fell down. He also adds that, if one says that cows and brahmans should live, it is not suggested that others should die. Cēnāvaraivar criticises him thus:-In the first two examples the suggested fact is anyathāsiddha or the invariable concomitant to the expressed fact. The suggested meaning comes only by porul-ārral or the suggestive power of the meaning of the sentence and not by col-l-ārral or the suggestive power of the sentence. This objection cannot stand since he himself says that, if one says that virtuous man goes to Heaven, it is suggested that the sinner goes to Hell. The suggested meaning here is only from. porul-ārral. In the third example he seems to have mistaken that Ilampūranar meant that the words  $\vec{a}$  (cows) and antanar (brahmans) never meant related objects.

Ilampūranar's example ā vālka, antanar vālka is exactly similar to Cēnāvaraiyar's example Ilivu arintu unpānkan inpam eytum.

61. எண்ணுக் தோளு முஃவயும் பிறவும் பன்மை சுட்டிய சினேரிலேக் கினவி பன்மை கூறும் கடப்பா டிவவே தம்வினக் கியலு மெழுத்தலம் கடையே.

> Kaṇṇun tōṭu mulaiyum piravum Paṇmai cuṭṭiya ciṇai-nilai-k kiļavi Paṇmai kūrṇṅ kaṭappā ṭ-ilavē Tam-viṇai-k k-iyalu m-eļuttalaṅ kaṭaiyē.

The words denoting limbs in plural number like kan (eyes), tōl (shoulders), mulai (breasts) etc., need not denote the plural number unless they are followed by palavinpāl verbs.

Ex.—Aval kan-nallal. (She is fair-eyed.)

Aval kannar kottai. (She is blind.)

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Note 1 .- The following point is worthy of note here. The words kan, tol etc., may denote singular or plural since the addition of the particle kal to denote plural was only optional (cf. Tol. Col. 169). In the sentences like kan nontana (eyes ached), the word kan is evidently plural since it is followed by the plural verb nontana. Similarly in sentences like kan nontatu kan is evidently singular, since it is followed by the singular verb nontatù. But in expressions like kannar kottai, there is no element to ascertain whether kan is singular or plural. Hence it may be singular or plural. Even if a man or woman is blind by one eye, he or she is said to be blind by common people. Similarly in the example aval kan nallal where aval is the subject and kan-nallal is the predicate, there is no element to determine whether kan is singular or plural. It may be singular or plural. If a woman has one of her eyes very fine, it may be said that she is fair-eyed. Among Tamils the expression Kannaki mulai-y-ilāl is frequently heard. It means that Kannaki is devoid of a breast since there were statues of Kannaki with one breast.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar interpret this sutra thus: - The words kan, tol etc., which denote limbs and are plural in number need not be followed by a plural verb if they are not followed by verbs denoting their action: they may be followed by such verbs as suit the object or objects of which they are limbs. For example the word kan may be followed by the singular predicate nallal if the subject is aval or ival and may be followed by the palarpal predicate nallar if the subject is avar or ivar etc. In examples like aval kan-nallal, the subject is aval and the predicate is evidently the compound noun kan nallal. Here what is the need for saying that kan may not be followed by the verb nallana. The intention of the speaker is to say something about the lady with respect to the fineness of her eyes and not to say anything about the eyes. Besides the word kūrum which means 'denoting' in the sentence panmai kūrum katappātila does not convey any sense according to their interpretation. If their interpretation was correct, it should have been replaced by kollum.

Note 3.—Teyvaccilaiyar's interpretation is this:—If the words kan, tol etc. are not followed by palavinpal verbs, they may be

## KILAVIYÄKK:AM

followed by onranpal verbs like kan nontati, though such a sentence may mean 'eyes ached'. Kan eta, in such cases may be taken as  $j\bar{a}ty\bar{e}kavacan$ . Since the use of  $j\bar{a}ty\bar{e}kavacan$  is sanctioned by the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Orumai cuṭṭiya peyar-nilai-k kiļavi Paṇmai-k k-āku m-iṭaṇumā r-nṇṭē. (Tol. Col. 461.)

and the sentence panmai-kūrun kotappāṭilavē found in this sūtra does not fit in with his interpretation, his interpretation also does not seem to be sound.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

# ii. Verrumai-y-iyal

62. வேற்றமை தாமே யேடுமுன மொழிப. Vērrumai tāmē y-ēl-eņa molipa.

It is said that cases are seven in number.

Note 1.—The word  $t\bar{a}m$  in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  may be said to have been used either for the sake of euphony or to make up the number of syllables in the line.

Note 2.—£1 is the old form of the modern  $[\bar{e}]u$ . Cf. Tol. Elut. 389.

Note 3.—Case is called vērrumai since it brings the object denoted by the noun in some relation to the action denoted by the verb. The nominative case also is included under vērrumai, since the object denoted by the noun is made the subject of the action denoted by the verb. This point is clearly mentioned by Teyvaccilaiyār. Cēvāvaraiyar seems to have missed to note this point. Hence he says, at first, that the nominative case is included under vērrumai since it is differentiated from other cases—accusative, instrumental etc. Being possibly not satisfied with this explanation he suggests an alternative reason that it is done so by the chatri-nyāya or the rule of the majority.

The vocative case is not included under the seven eases since it does not directly denote any relation of the noun to the verb which follows it. Hence it is mentioned separately in the next satra.

Note 4.—It is worth noting that  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyan\bar{a}r$  has mentioned in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Ai-oṭu ku-iṇ atu-kaṇ ṇ-eṇṇum A-v-v-ā r-eṇpa vērrumai y-urupē. (Tol. Elut. 115)

that the *vērrumai-y-urupi* or case-suffixes are six in number and here the cases are seven in number. Hence it is evident that the nominative case has no suffix.

## VERRUMAI-Y-IYAL

Note 5.—What is the iyaipii (saigati)—the relationship—of this chapter  $V\bar{e}_{TT}umai-y-iyal$  to the previous one Kilavi-y-ākkam. The answer is found in Note 5 under the first sūtra in Kilavi-y-ākkam. That is the opinion of Teyvaccilaiyār. But the other commentators—Ilampūravar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiṇiyar have given different opinions on the point, which deserve a careful examination here.

Ilampūraņar says that the Kilavi-y-ākkam deals with the four kinds of col or word (i.e.) peyar-c-col or noun, vinai-c-col or verb, iṭai-c-col and uri-c-col and the following chapter Vērrumai-y-iyal deals with peyar-c-col.

Cēnāvaraiyar condemns him for the following reasons:-

(1) If the Verrumai-y-iyal deals with nouns, the sūtras

Ellā-c collum poruļ-kurit taṇa-v-ē. (Tol. Col. 155.)
Poruņmai terital-uñ coṇmai teritalum
Colli ṇāku m-eṇmaṇār pulavar. (ibid. 156.)
Teripu-vēru nilaiyal-uṅ kurippir rōṇral-um
Iru-pār r-eṇpa poruṇmai nilai-y-ē. (ibid. 157.)
Col-l-eṇa-p paṭupa peyar-ē viṇai-y-eṇrū
Ā-y-iraṇ ṭeṇpa v-arintici ṇ-ōr-ē. (ibid 158.)
Iṭai-c-cor kiļavi-y-u m-uri-c-cor kiļavi-y-um
Avarru-vaļi maruṅkir rōṇru m-eṇpa. (ibid. 159.)

which deal with the definition and the classification of col or word and which are now found at the commencement of the *Peyariyal*, the fourth chapter, should have been mentioned at the commencement of this chapter.

#### (2) The sūtras

Kūriya murai-y-i n-urupu-nilai tiriyātu Īru-peyar-k k-āku m-iyarkaiya v-enpa. (Tol. Col. 69.) Porunmai cuṭṭal viyankoļa varutal... (ibid. 66.) Peyar-nilai-k kiļavi kālan tōnrā. (ibid. 70.)

which, in his opinion, deal with the definition of peyar-c-col—that it takes case-suffixes after it or it is followed by a predicate and that it does not denote tense unless it is a tolir-peyar or verbal noun—should have preceded the sūtra

Vērrumai tāmē y-ēl-ena molipa. (Tol. Col. 62.)

# TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Having thus condemned I lampūraņar he states his own reasons which are as follows:—(1) The Kilavi-y-ākkam deals with the four kinds of words:—peyar, vinai, itai-c-col and uri-c-col and this chapter with peyar and itai-c-col since the case-suffixes are itai-c-col and they have to be suffixed to peyar. (2) Since peyar-c-col is that which takes case-suffixes after it, the cases should be dealt with before nouns. (3) No other context is more befitting than this.

As regards the first reason, it may be noted that all the  $s\bar{u}tras$  in the  $Kilavi\cdot y\cdot \bar{u}klam$  except the first ten deal only with sentences and not with words; hence it cannot stand. If the second reason holds good, the  $s\bar{u}tras$  Tol- Col. 66, 69 and 70 should have been mentioned at the commencement of this chapter as he himself says in his condemnation of  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$ . The third reason needs no answer.

Naccinārkkiniyar thinks that this chapter deals with all the four kinds of col as the Kilavi-y-ākkam does, since vērrumai has to deal sometimes with a peyar, sometimes with a vinai, sometimes with an itai-c-col and sometimes with an uri-c-col. Since uri-c-col has nothing to do with ease, his reason also cannot stand.

Hence the opinion of Teyvaccilaiyār appears to be sound.

63. விளிகொள் வதன்கண் விளியோ டெட்டே. Vili-kol vatun-kan vili-y-ō t-eṭt-ē.

The cases are eight when vocative, which is used when one is addressed, is included among them.

Note 1.—The subject here is  $v\bar{e}\tau\tau umai$  mentioned in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār takes this sūtra and the previous one as one sūtra. This seems to be unsound since Tolkāppiyaṇār deals with the first seven cases in two chapters (i. e.) Vērrumai-yiyal and Vērrumai-maṇānkiyal and the vocative in a separate chapter Viļi-marapū and uses the two predicates moļipa and ettē in

## VERRUMAI-Y-IYAL

the two lines. Besides the subordinate position occupied by the vocative case in relation to other cases will be clearly seen only if the two lines are taken as different  $s\bar{u}tras$ .

64. அனைதார், பெயர் ஐ ஒடு செ இன்அது சண்லினி பென்னு மீற்ற. Avaitām, Peyar ai oṭu ku Iū-atu kaṇ-viḷi y-eṇṇu m-īṛṛa.

They are peyar-vērrumai (nominative), ai-vērrumai (accusative), oṭu-vērrumai (instrumental), ku-vērrumai (dative), in-vērrumai (ablative), atu-vērrumai (genitive), kan-vērrumai (locative) along with vili-vērrumai.

Note 1.—The subject in the sūtra is avai which refers to vērrumai mentioned above in the sūtra 62; the predicate is the compound word peyar-ai-otu-ku-ip-atu-kan; and the compound word vili-y-ennu-m-īrra is a vinai-y-al-anvi-y-um-peyar or verbal noun which stands in adjectival relation to the compound word peyar-ai-otu-ku-in-atu-kan.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār says that this sūtra deals with case-suffixes. But since the case-suffixes have already been mentioned in Tol. Elut. 114, this sūtra would become unnecessary. Besides there would have been no sanction for Tolkāppiyanār to name the second case, the third case etc. as ai-y-ena-p-peyar-iya-vērrumai, oļu-v-ena-p-peyariya-vērrumai etc. in the succeeding sūtras of this chapter.

Note 3.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says under this sūtra that, though Tolkāppiyaṇār has named the third, and the sixth cases as oṭu-vēɪrumai and atu-vēɪrumai, yet the suffixes āṇ and a also may respectively be used in the third and the sixth cases. So far as āṇ is concerned, he may be right since Tolkāppiyaṇār himself mākes mention of it in the sūtra

Ku-ai- āṇ-eṇa varūu m-iruti.... (Tol. Col. 108.)

# TOLKĀPPIYAM— COLLATIKĀRAM

65. அவற்றுன், எழுவாய் வேற்றுமை பெயர்தோன்று நிவையே. Avarrul, Eluvāy vērrumai peyar-tönru nilai-y-ē.

Of them the peyar-vērrumai is used to denote the doer or the subject of the active verb.

Note 1.-In the interpretation of this sutra there is much difference of opinion. Ilampūraņar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyar seem to agree in the point that this sutra deals with the meaning of the nominative case though they differ in the method of arriving at it. Ilampuranar seems to take eluvay vērrumai-p-peyar as the subject and (payanilai)-tonru-nilai as the predicate. The word payanilai is taken here from the following. sūtra. Elway-vērrumai-p-peyar, according to him, means the vērrumai-p-peyar which is mentioned first (in the previous sūtra.) Payanilai-tonru-nilai means the state of the predicate following it. Naccinārkkiniyar seems to take eluvāy-vērrumai as the subject and (peyar-payanilai)-tonru-nilai as the predicate. He too takes the word payanilai from the next sutra; but he introduces it in the middle of the compound word peyar-tonru-nilai, which does not seem to be appropriate. Teyvaccilaiyar, on the other hand, takes eluvāy-vērrumai as the subject and peyar-touru-nilai as the predicate, but seems to take payanilai-k-ku-mun as being understood before peyar-tōnru-nilai.

Since the satras 71, 73, 75, 77, 79 and 81 respectively deal-directly with the meaning of the accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive and locative, it may be better if this satra also is interpreted in such a way that it may be said to deal directly with the meaning of the nominative case. Hence I venture to

# VERRUMALY-IYAL

suggest that the word elway would have been used before the time of Tolkappiyanar to denote the subject of the verb as other technical expressions like vērrumai, peyar, vinai, payanilai to denote case, noun, verb and predicate respectively. If so, vērrumai-p-peyar which means 'the vērrumai named peyar' may be taken as the subject and elway-tōnru-nilai as the predicate. In that case the meaning would be 'the nominative case is used to denote elway'.

Note 2.—Cēnāvaraiyar, on the other hand, seems to think that this sūtra deals with the form of the noun in the nominative case. This does not seem to be sound for three reasons: (1) The meaning of the nominative case as ceyvatu or doer should be mentioned in this chapter since mention is made of it in the sūtra

Viṇai-y-ē ceyvatū ceya-p-paṭu poruļē
Nilaṇ-ē kālaṅ karuvi y-eṇrā
Iṇṇatar k-itu-payaṇ-āka v-eṇṇum
Aṇṇa marapi ṇ-iraṇṭoṭu-n tokaii
Ā-y-eṭ ṭ-eṇpa toḷṇ-muta ṇilai-y ē. (Tol. Col. 112.)

as one of the eight requisites for an action to take place. (2) The  $s\bar{u}tras$  71, 73 etc. deal directly with the meaning of the accusative case, the instrumental etc. (3) That there is no suffix for the nominative case is easily inferred from the two  $s\bar{u}tras$  Tol. Col. 64 and Tol. Elut. 114 which respectively deal with the names of cases and the case-suffixes.

66. பொருண்மை சுட்டல் வியங்கொள் வருதல் விளேநிலே யுரைத்தல் லிளுவிற் சேற்றல் ' பண்புகொள வருதல் பெயர்கொள வருதலென்று அன்றி யிணத்தும் பெயர்ப்பய னிலேயே,

> Poruņmai cuṭṭal viyań-koļa varutal Viṇai-nilai y-uraittal viṇā-v-ir k-ērral Paṇpu-koļa varutal peyar-koļa varutal-eṇrū Aṇri y-aṇaittum peyar-p-paya ṇilai-y-ē.

The predicate to a subject may denote one of the following:—the existence of the subject, the wish or order of another

## TOLKĀPPIYAM-COLLATIKĀRAM

relating to the subject, the kind of action of the subject, question relating to the subject, the quality of the subject, and the number, class, order etc. of the subject.

Ex.—Porunmai-cuttal

....Curattum

Tām-ē cellum tāyar-um ular-ē. (Tol. Porul. 40.) (There are mothers who themselves go to the desert.)

Viyan-kola-varutal.

Vātuka v-iraiva-niņ kaņņi y-onņār

Nățu-cuțu kamal-pukai y-eritta lănē. (Pura. 3, 21. 22.) (Oh king, let your garland fade on account of the fire with fragrant smoke burning the enemies' country.)

Vinai-nilai-y-uraittal

Tâyi Tűvä-k kulavi pôla

Övātu kūu-niņ u-utarriyor nātē. (Pura. 6, 18, 19.)

(Your enemies' country cries un-interruptedly like children devoid of mother.)

Vina-v-ir-k-ērral.

Entai yant-ulan kol? (Pura. 135, 16.) (Where is my lord?)

Ivar yar? (Pura. 201.) (Who are these?)

Panpu-kola-varutal.

Avar-ē..... Kaṇṇu-k-k-iṇiyar. (Pura. 167.) (But they., are beautiful to look at.)

Peyar-kola-caratal.

Yāṇ-ē tantai-tōlaṇ. (Pura. 201.) (l am (their) father's friend.)

Note 1.— This sūtra deals with the classification of the payanilai or predicate in a sentence. Is Tolkāppiyanār justified in dealing with the classification of payanilai without giving out its meaning? It is one of the technical terms used by his

### VERRUMAI-Y-IYAL

ancients which he has made use of. In such cases he does not give the definition. Cf.  $v\bar{e}_{TT}umai$ ,  $vi\underline{a}ai$  etc. Besides, the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  deals with  $e\underline{l}uvay$  or the meaning of the first case.  $E\underline{l}uvay$  cannot exist without a predicate. Hence  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiya\underline{n}\bar{a}r$  has dealt with the classification of  $paya\underline{n}ilai$  here.

Note 2.—Almost all the commentators think that this sūtra and the previous one suggest that the definition of peyar or noun is 'that which is capable of taking case-suffixes or being followed by a predicate.' This is open to one objection. If urupērral or the taking of case-suffixes is a definition to peyar, the flaw of anyōnyāṣraya or inter-dependence comes in:—A word becomes a noun if it is capable of taking case-suffixes and case-suffixes are suffixed only to nouns:—Hence it may be said that, according to Tolkāppiyaṇār, peyar or noun is that which denotes a porul or subject. This is evident from the designation peyar given to the noun. He suggests this in the sūtra

Ella-ç col-l-um poruļ kurittana-v-ē. (Tol. Col. 155.)

The commentators may have taken  $urup\bar{e}_{TT}al$  as a definition of peyar on the model of Sanskrit grammarians like  $P\bar{a}_{ll}ini$  who take subaptam as the definition of noun. The flaw mentioned above does not arise in Sanskrit since the case-suffixes are added there to the pratipadika (the base of a noun as  $d\bar{e}va$ ) and not to nouns.  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyan\bar{a}r$  may be said to agree with the definition given to noun in  $Rgv\bar{e}da$   $Pratis\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ -'  $Dravy\bar{a}bhidh\bar{a}yakam$   $n\bar{a}ma$ .'

Note 3.—Cenaraiyar says that, in the sentences a pala (cows are many), pala is in the nominative case, though it is not followed by a predicate: for it is capable of being followed by a predicate in other sentences like pala ula (there are many). According to other commentators, it cannot be taken so, since it is not followed by a predicate and since they take the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  to mean that the noun in the nominative case is followed by a predicate.

Note 4.—Though the purpose served by the expression psyar-kola-varutal in the sūtra may be said to be served by any one of the remaining five mentioned:—porunmai-cuttal, viyah-

### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

kola-varutal, vinai-nilai-y-uraittal, vinā-v ir-k-ērral and panpukola-varutal, yet Tolkāppiyanār seems to have mentioned it to show that words which are nouns in form and are used as logical predicates may be taken as predicates in grammar also.

67. பெபரி ஞுதி ் தொகையுமா ஞீனலே அவ்வ முரிய வப்பா லான.

Peyar-i n-ākiya tokai-yn-m-ā r-uļa-v-ē A-v-v-u m-uriya v-a-p-pā lāna.

There are also compounds made up of nouns which, when they take predicates after them, are in the same category (i.e.) they stand in the nominative case.

Ex.—Pala-mutir-cōlai-malai-kilavōṇ
peral-arum-paricil-nalkumati. (Tirumuru. 317, 295.)
([Gon Murukaṇ] the owner of the hill named Palamutircōlai will give (you) a reward which is very
rare to get.)

Note 1.—The expression peyarin-ākiya-tokai means not only compounds all of whose members are nouns, but also compounds like kol-kalirii (elephant that killed, kills or will kill) whose final members are nouns. A-p-pālāṇa literally means 'in that case. Hence it means here 'when they take payanilai after them

Note 2.—Though it appears that there are two sentences peyarin-ākiya tokai-y-um ula and a-v-v-um uriya a-p-pālāna in the sūlra, yet it is really one sentence. The prose order is a-p-pālāṇa (those that stand in that category), a-v-v-um uriya (those that deserve it (i. e.) those that can stand in the nominative case), peyarin-ākiya tokaiyum (compounds made up of nouns also), ula (are). A-p-pālāṇa and a-v-v-um uriya stand in adjectival relation to peyarin-ākiya tokai. Um after tokai is ecca-v-ummai. It suggests that, not only peyar mentioned in sūlra 65 can stand in the nominative case, but also compounds.

Note 3.—Ilampūranar says that, in the opinion of some, the word um suggests that there are compounds made up of verbs

### VERRUMAI-Y-IYAL

and nouns like *hol-kalirů*. But since the expression *peyarin-ākiya tokai* itself may be taken to mean compounds having nouns as their final member, it is better for *um* to connect *peyarin-ākiya tokai* with *peyar* as is mentioned above.

Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, on the other hand, take um to suggest that there are compounds made up of nouns and verbs. The reason for saying so is that they take the expressions like nilan-katantan (he passed through the land) as a compound word and they have no authority to take so in any satra of Tolkappiyam. This seems to me very unnatural for two reasons:-(1) The context is not suited to it. (2) The sūtra will have to be split into two -- peyarin-ākiya tokai-y-um-ār uļavē and a-v-v-um uriya a-p-pālāna and the former part should be taken to mean 'there are compounds made up of nouns' and to suggest-'there are compounds made up of nouns and verbs', and the latter part 'they may be in the nominative case and take predicate after them'. Besides the suggested meaning of the former parts has to be left out of consideration here. That it is not necessary to take nilan-katantan as a compound word will be dealt with in detail under the sutra

Ella-t- tokai-v-u m-oru-cou nataiya. (Pol. Col. 420.)

Note 4.—Cēnāvaraiyar states that Ilampūraņar has said that there are compounds like kol-kalirit made up of verbs and nouns and condemns that he is wrong in having said so. Both of them agree that expressions like kol-kalirit are viṇai-t-tokai and may stand in the nominative case: but they differ as regards the part kol. Ilampūraṇar takes it to be the curtailed form of the relative participle koura or kollum, while Cēṇāvaraiyar takes it as dhātu or root and mentions that the compounds like kol-kalirit are nityasamāsa or unsplittable compounds. Ilampūraṇar's opinion seems to be better in consideration of the expression kālattiyalum in the sūtru

Viņaiyiņ rokuti kālat t-tyalum. (Tol Col. 415.)

Note 5.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says that, according to Ilampūraņar, this sūtra sanctions that the compounds may take predicates and the sūtra—Ellā-i tokui-y-u maru-coṇ-ṇaṭaiya (Tol. Col. 120.)

#### TOLKÁPPIYAM-COLLATIKÁRAMA

sanctions that they may be used in the nominative case, and he is wrong in having said so. On careful examination of his commentary on the two sūtras, Ilampūranar does not seem to have had that opinion.

58. எவ்வலிற் பெயரும் வெளிப்படத் தோன்றி அவ்விய னி ஃயேல் செவ்லி தென்பை, E-v-vayir peyar-um velippaṭa-t tōuri A-v-v-iya tilaiyal cevvi t-etpa.

It is said that it is advisable for every noun to be mentioned explicitly in such places (i.e.) when they take predicates after them.

Ex.— $(N_{\bar{1}})$  nin-cor-peyaral. (Pura. 3.). (You should not break your word.)

Note 1.—The word cevviti in the sūtra suggests that there may be expressions where the subject is not used. For instance verbs in the second, and the first, person are generally used without their subject.

Note 2.—Ilampūraņar gives a different meaning to the sūtra:—the words mostly assume when they take case-suffixes the same form as the one they take in the nominative case. Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār have given the meaning mentioned above. Naccinārkkiniyar gives both. The interpretation of Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be suitable to the context.

69. கூறிய முறையி ஹாகுபுகிலை திரியாது ஈறுபெயர்க் காகு மியற்கைய வெண்ப, Kūriya murai-y-i n-urupu-nilai tiriyātū Īru-peyar-k k-āku m-iyarkaiya v-eupa.

The case-suffixes mentioned above (in 1'ol. Elut. 114) are suffixed without any modification in form to mouns.

Note 1.—All the four commentators give the same meaning as is mentioned above. But Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and

Teyvaccilaiyār differ in their opinion regarding the purpose served by the expression nilai tiriyātů in the sūtra. Naccinārk-kiniyar agrees with Cēnāvaraiyar. Iļampūraņar says that, since it is mentioned in the sūtra

Avaitām, Muṇṇum piṇṇu moli-y-aṭuttu varutalum Tam-mīru tiritalum..... (Tol. Col. 251.)

that itai-c-col will undergo modification in form, it is stated here that case-suffixes, though they are itai-c-col, do not undergo modification  $C\bar{e}n\bar{q}varaiyar$  thinks that the case-suffixes do not form part and parcel of nouns as verbal terminations are of verbs Teyvaccilaiyar states that it suggests that nouns in Tamil do not undergo any modification in form when they take case-suffixes as nouns in Sanskrit. Ilamptiranar's opinion seems to be correct.

Note 2.—What is the purpose served by this sūtra? It is this sūtra that explicitly states that the case-suffixes in Tamil are suffixed to nouns. The need for this sūtra will be highly appreciated if we note that there are languages in the world which denote the case relation in diverse ways.

Note 3.— $C\bar{c}n\bar{a}varaiyar$  thinks that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that  $urup\bar{e}rral$  comes under the definition of a noun. That it does not appear to be so has already been pointed out under  $s\bar{u}tra$  66.

 பெயர்கிலக் கிளவி சாலக் தோன்கு தொழினிலே யொட்டு மொன்றலங் சடையே.

> Peyar-nilai-k kilavi kālan töūrā Toliuilai y-oṭṭu m-oṇr-alan kaṭai-y-ē.

Nouns except a class of toler-peyor or verbal nouns do not denote time.

Ex.—Cel-virun t-ömpi varu-viruntu pārttiruppān Nal-viruntu vā@at t-avarkkū. (Kural. 86.)

(He who, after welcoming the out-going guests, is expecting the in-coming guests will become a fine guest to gods.)

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Note 1.—Even though it seems at first sight that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  enjoins what is evident (i.e.) that nouns do not generally denote time, yet it is this  $s\bar{u}tra$  that explicitly reveals to us the one peculiarity of the Tamil language, that the forms of finite verbs may be taken as nouns and declined. For instance the word  $p\bar{a}rttirupp\bar{a}\underline{n}$  in the example mentioned above is in form a finite verb; but here it is used as a noun. It may be declined exactly as a noun:— $p\bar{a}rttirupp\bar{a}\underline{n}ai$ ,  $p\bar{a}rttirupp\bar{a}\underline{n}a\bar{n}$  etc. It then means 'he who is expecting'.

Note 2.—The meaning of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  according to the four commentators is in essence the same. According to all of them the forms like  $p\bar{a}rttirupp\bar{a}\underline{n}$  may be used as nouns. But they arrive at it in different ways: -Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Teyvaccılaiyar take peyar-nilai-k-kilavi to mean nouns and tolil-nilai verb. Naccinarkkiniyar, on the other hand, takes peyar-nilai-kkilavi to mean peyar-k-kilavi (nouns) and nilai-k-kilavi (verbal nouns of the form varutal, potal etc.). This splitting of peyarnilai-k-kilavi into two parts seems to be unnatural and unnecessarv, since its purpose is served by the word onri in the second line. Tolil-nilai-y-ottum-onri evidently means one of tolir-peyar. Ilampuranar states that some take tolinilai as an adjunct to peyar-nilai-k-kilavi and interpret the whole expression to denote tolir pevar of the class varutal, potal and take oftum-onri in the sense (kālam) ottum-onrū so that it may denote tolir pevar which takes tense-sign. They have done so perhaps on account of the fact that nouns generally have no tendency to denote tense. But taking tolinilai to qualify peyar-nilai-k-kilavi is not natural.

Offum is a particle of comparison in the opinion of Cēṇā-varanyar and a r. lative participle in the opinion of others

71. இரண்டா குவதே ஐப்பெனப் பெயரிய வேற்றமைக் கினவி எவ்வழி வாரினும் வி?ணயே வி?ன குறிப்பு அவ்விரு முதலிற் ரூக்ற மதுகே.

Iraņţā kuvatē

Ai-y-eṇa-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi E-v-yaļi varīņum viņai-y-ē viņai-k-kurīppū A-v-viru mutalir rōṇru m-atu-v-ē.

The second case called ai denotes the direct object of a verb or an appellative verb.

```
Ex.—Vali-paṭuvōrai val-l-ariti. (Pura. 10.)
(You easily understand those that submit.)
Nalliyakkōṭaṇai y-uṭaiyai. (Pura. 176.)
(You have Nalliyakkōṭaṇ.)
Arrai-t-tiṅkal....
Entail-y-um uṭai-y-ēm....
Irrai-t-tiṅkal yām
....entai*-y-um ilam-ē. (Pura. 112.)
(We had our father that day, but now we do not have him.)
```

Note 1.—All the four commentators take the sūtra to consist of two sentences:—ai-y-eṇa-p-peyariya vērrumai-k-kiļavi iranṭā-kuvutē, and atu e-v-vali varinum viṇai-y-ē viṇai-k-kurippu a-v-v-iru-mutalir rōnrum. Since ai as the second case is known by the sūtra 64, and since, if this sūtra is taken to contain two sentences expressing different ideas, it will give room to vākya-bhēda or sentence-split, it is preferable to take the word iranṭāhuvatū to be the adjunct of ai-y-eṇa-p-peyariya-vērrumai-k-kilavi and the compound word viṇai-y-ē-viṇai-k-kuripp-a-v-v-iru-mutalir-rōnrumatū as the predicate of ai-y-eṇa-p-peyariya-vērrumai-k-kilavi.

Note 2.—The terms iranțăvutu vērrumai, munravati verrumai etc., to denote the accusative case, the instrumental etc., are, in the opinion of the author of Pirayōka-vivēkam, the translation of dvittyā-vibhaktih, irtiyā-vibhaktih etc. found in Sanskrit.

Note 3.—All the four commentators agree in the interpretation of the first two lines of the  $s\bar{u}tra:$ —(i.e.) the case ai is the second. And  $Ilamp\bar{u}rayar$ ,  $C\bar{e}n\bar{q}varaiyar$  and  $Naccin\bar{q}rkkin\bar{u}yar$  agree in the sense conveyed by the third and the fourth lines—(i.e.) ai denotes the direct object of a verb or an appellative verb.

The accusative case-suffix as is dropped here.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

But they disagree in the interpretation of the expression  $vi\underline{n}ai \cdot v \cdot \bar{v}$   $vi\underline{n}ai \cdot k \cdot kurippa \cdot v \cdot viu \cdot mutal : I lamp \overline{u}ranar$  takes it to mean either, that which qualifies a verb or an appellative verb, or, that which has a verb or an appellative verb for its nimitta or cause. If we take the former interpretation, the same may hold good for the third case, the fourth case, the fifth case and the seventh case. The latter interpretation is against the  $s\overline{u}tra$ 

Vinai-y-ē ceyvatů ceya-p-pațu poruļē

Ā-y-eṭ ṭ-eṇpa toliu-muta vilai-y-ē. (Tol. Col. 112.) where it is said that ceya-p-paṭu-porul or the direct object is one of the causes for an action to take place. Cēṇāvaraiyar takes the words viṇai and viṇaikhurippū to mean, 'the object of viṇai' and 'the object of viṇai-k-kurippū.' There is need for this laboured interpretation if the expression viṇai-y-ē-viṇai-k-kurippavviru-mutal cannot give the desired meaning otherwise. But Naccinārkkiṇiyar takes it to mean the mutaṇilai or viṇai or viṇai-k-kurippū. This seems to me to be the correct one. Here the word mutal stands for the word tolin-mutal stated in the sūlra 112. It is taken to mean here zeya-p-paṭu-porul since ceyvatū, karwi etc., are mentioned in sūlras 65, 73 etc to be the meaning of the first case, third case, etc.

Teyvaccilaiyār takes the word  $vi\underline{n}ai$  to mean ceyal or action and the word  $vi\underline{n}ai\cdot k\cdot kurippn$  to mean  $vi\underline{n}ai\cdot y\cdot \bar{q}r$  kurikkappatta porul—the object denoted by the verb. This interpretation does not seem to me to be satisfactory,

Note 4.—Cēṇāvaraiyar, in his commentary, states that the ceya-p-paţu-porul or object is of three kinds:—iyarra-p-paṭuvatu (that which is made), vēru-paṭukka-p-paṭuvatu (that which is transformed) and eyta-p-paṭuvatu (that which is reached). This is exactly the translation of the following kārikā in the Vākya-padiya of Bhartrhari:—

Nirvartyañ-ca vikāryañ-ca
Prāpyañ-ca trividham matam ||
Tatrēpsitatamam karma.....|| (Vākya. iii, 4.)

Note 5.—I venture to doubt whether the original reading was  $t\bar{g}_{P}ruvat\dot{u}$  instead of  $t\bar{g}_{P}ruvat\dot{u}$  in the last line of the  $s\overline{u}tra$ . It is left for scholars to decide.

72. காப்பி தெறப்பி னூர்தியி னிழையின் ஒப்பிற் புகழிற் பழியி கொன்று பெறவி னிழவிற் காதலின் வெகுளியின் செறவி னுவத்தலிற் குற்பி கொண்று அழுத்தலிற் குறைந்தலிற் பெறுகுத்தலிற் பிரித்தலின் கிறுத்தலி னளவி கொண்ணி கொண்று ஆக்கலிற் சார்தலிற் செலவிற் கன்றவின் கோக்கலி னஞ்சலிற் கிறைகப்பி கென்று அன்ன பிறவு மம்முதற் பொருள என்ன கௌவியு மதன்பால கெவன்மனுர்.

> Kāppi n-oppi n-ūrti-y-i n-iļai-y-in Õppir pukaļir paļi-y-i n-enrā Peral-i n-iļavir kātalin vekuļi-y-in Cerali n-uvattalir karpi n-enrā Aruttalir kuraittalir rokuttalir pirittelin Niruttal-i n-aļavi n-enni n-enrā Ākkalir cārtalir celavir kanralin Nökkali n-añcalir citaippi n-enrā Anna pira-v-u m-a-m-mutar poruļa Enna kiļavi-y-u m-atan-pāl-a v-enmanār.

They say that the accusative case denotes the direct object which exists as things that are protected, compared, used as vehicle, made, scared away, praised, despised, acquired, lost, loved, scorned, destroyed, appreciated, learned, cut, decreased, gathered, separated, weighed, measured, counted, improved in condition, depended upon, reached, detested, seen, feared, shattered etc.

Ex.-Kāppů.

A-m-malai kākkum. naļļi-y-avaņ (Pura. 150). (He is Naļļi protecting that mountain.)

### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

2. Eyil ömpātu (Pura. 40). (Without protecting the fort.)

Oppů.

1. Uvavu-mati, mālai-ven-kutai okkum (Pura. 60).

(The full-moon compares itself with the white ambrella with garlands hanging from it.)

 Oļ-ļ-eri puraiyu m-uru-keļu pacum-puţ-kiļļi-vaļa-van (Pura 70).

(Killi-valavan with anklets made of refined gold of fine colour resembling the well-lit fire).

Trti.

Tērai ūrum.

(He has a chariot for his vehicle.)

Ilaippů.

 Kilavanai neruńki ilaittú (Tol. Porul. 150). (Having approached the lover and said.)

2. Eyilai ilaittan.

(He painted the fort.)

Ō**р**рѝ.

Kalani-p patu-pul-l-oppunar (Pura. 29).
(Those who scare away the birds in the fields.)

Pukal.

 Periyörai viyattalum ilam (Pura. 192). (We have not praised the great.)

 Nir palicci-c-cērum (Pura. 113). (We shall go after praising you.)

Pali.

 Ciriyorai ikaltal atauinum ilam (Pura. 192). (Much less have we despised the low.)

Illārai y-ellārum eļļuvar (Kuraļ, 752).
 (All will despise the destitute.)

Peral.

- Yāṇai iṇattotu perraḥar (Pura. 153).
   (They received elephants with the trappings.)
- 2. Peruvar pen(ir peruñ-cirappù (Kural. 58). (Women will receive great honour.)

Havu.

Avan poruļai iļakkum. (He loses his property.)

Kātal.

Taṇṇai-t-tāṇ kātalaṇ-āyiṇ (Kuraļ, 209). (If he loves himself)

Vekuli.

Pakaivarai vekulum aracan.
(King who seems his enemies.)

Ceral.

Pēṇārai-t-terutalum (Kalit. 11).
(Destroying those who do not surrender.)

Unattal.

- Inra polutir perituvakkum tanmakanai-c-canron-ena-k kētta tāy (Kural. 69).
  - (The mother who hears that his son is a great man feels highly pleased with the time when she gave birth to him.)
- 2. Nilai-y-illä-p porulaiyum naccupa (Kalit. 8).
  (They like to have even transient wealth.)

Karpu.

Pallavat karrum payam-ilarē (Kuraļ. 728). (They are of no use though they are highly learned.)

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Aruttal.

- (Nī) tū aruttaliū (Maturai-k-kāñci, 188).
   (Since you destroy (their) might.)
- Paciyai mărruvăr (Kural. 225). (They will destroy hunger.)
- Ilaitāka mun-marań kolka (Kural. 879).
   (Destroy the thorny tree at its infanoy.)

Kuraittal.

- Avan marattin uyarattai-k kuraikkum. (He shortens the height of the tree.)
- 2. Aliar-pat t-ārrā t-aluta-kan nīr-anrā Celvattai-t tēykkum patai (Kural. 555).

(Tears coming out of the eyes of the subjects who are not relieved of their distress form the means of reducing [king's] wealth)

Tokuttal.

- Tolu-v-ițai ayan tokumin ( lol. Porul. 58. Nac.). (Collect the cows at the cow-stall.)
- Avan nellai-t tokukkum.
   (He gathers paddy.)

Pirittal.

Avaŭ vēliyar-p pirikkum. (He divides the hedge.)

Niruttal.

Nillä v-nlakattu nilaimai tükki (Perumpän. 465). (Having weighed the transitory nature of this world.)

Alattal.

Avai y-alantu ariyinum (Pura. 20).

(Though one understands [their limit] by measuring them.)

Ennutal.

Turantār perumai tuņai-k-kuriņ vaiyattū

Iranārai y-enni-k-koņt arru (Kuraļ 22).

(Recounting the greatness of those who have renounced the pleasures of life is similar to the counting of the deceased in this world.)

Ākkal.

Uvantārai y-ākkalum (Kuraļ, 264).

(Improving the condition of those who are friendly)

Cārtal.

A-n-nilai i y-anuka vēņţi (Perumpān. 467).
 (Desiring to approach the highest bliss)

2. Nețun-ter eri (Pura. 145).

(Having got up the lofty chariot)

Celanu.

Nir palicci-e cērum<sup>2</sup> (Pura. 113), (We shall go after praising you)

Kanral.

Cūtiņai-k-kantum.

(He detests playing at dice)

Nōkkal.

- Uyańku-patar varuttamu m-ulaivu nōkki. (Pura. 150) (Having noted the growing misery and indigence)
- 2. Iruvarai-k kāṇīrō (Kalit. 9). (Will you see both?)

Añeal.

Pali y-añei (Kural. 44). (Fearing the slander)

- Note that the expression a-n-nilai is the literal translation of the Upanisadic expression tat padam.
- 2.  $Cel + tum = c\bar{c}_T um$ .

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Citaipù.

Pūn-kan-makaļir puņai-nalan citaikkum Māya makiļ nan parattaimai (Kalit. 75). (Harlotry which destroys the virtue of flower-eyed women)

Note 1.—In some of the examples given above the second case-suffix is dropped. If the noun in the objective case procedes the verb which it qualifies, the suffix may be dropped on the strength of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Irutiyu m-itaiyu m-ellä v-urupum Neri-patu porulvayi nilavutal varaiyar. (Tol. Col. 103)

according to all commentators except  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$ . The latter thinks that it is inferred from the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Ai-y-un kaṇ-ṇ-u m-allā-p poruļ-vayiṇ Mey-y-urupu tokāa v-iruti yāṇa. (Tol. Col. 105)

If the noun follows the verb, even then the second case-suffix may be dropped on the strength of the  $s\tilde{u}^{j}ra$  Tol. Col. 105 noted above.

- Note 2.—In the examples given above some nouns in the objective case govern verbs and others appellative verbs.
- Note 3.—I lampūraņar takes this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the previous one as one  $s\bar{u}tra$ . If so, it gives room for sentence-split.

Note 4—What does this sūtra deal about? It deals about the special meanings of the second case-suffix ai. The previous sūtra says that its general meaning is ceya-p-paţu-poruļ. It may be explained thus. In the word ūrai in the expression avan ūrai-k kākkum, the part ūr means village and the case-suffix ai near the verb kākkum suggests that ūr is the object of being protected. The special meanings of the suffix are determined by the verbs which the noun in the objective case governs. Since a noun may be the object to any transitive verb, it is not possible to exhaust all the transitive verbs in the sūtra. Hence the expression anna piravum (others similar to them) is used here.

One may say that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is unnecessary, since the purpose served by it is realised in a general way by the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ . It is true; it seems to me that the special meanings of the second case-suffix mentioned here were those mentioned by his predecessors and out of regard for them he has codified them here. This is suggested by the word  $e\underline{n}ma\underline{n}\bar{u}r$  at the end of the  $s\overline{u}tra$ .

- Note 5.—The word celavu in the sūtra suggests that the root cel was transitive at the time of Tolkāppiyanār. Thus the Tamil sentence avan ūrai-c cellum (he goes to the village) is exactly similar to the Sanskrit sentence sah grāmam gacchati. But now the root cel is not so used. Hence we meet with the expression avan ūrukku-c cellum instead of avan ūrukku-c cellum.
- Note 6.—The expression a-m-mutar-porula in the sūtra is a vinai-y-al-anaiyum-peyar standing as an adjunct to the noun kilaviyum and mutar-porul means ceya-p-patu-porul. The word atu in atan-pala refers to irantām vērrumai mentioned in the previous sūtra. In used after the words kāppū, oppū etc. is only cāriyai. Enrā used after the words paliyīn, karpīn, ennīn, citaippīn is only an itai-c-col used in the sense of the conjunction and.
  - மூன்மு குவைதே ஒடுவெனப் பெயரிய வேற்றுமைக் கிளவி வீனமுதற் சருவி யீனமுதற் நதுவே.

Māṇrā kuvatē Oṭu-v-eṇa-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi Viṇai-mutar karuvi y-aṇai-mutar ratuvē.

The third case called opu denotes the agent or instrument of an action.

- Ex.—1. Nirotu nirainta... kan (Pura. 164).

  (Eyes filled with tears.) (agent.)
  - (Peru-nāvāy) kaṭuṅ-kāl-oṭu karai cēra (Maturaik-kāñci-78).

(Big ships reaching the shore with the help of strong winds.) (instrument.)

# TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Pal-yanai-y-u m-ampotu tulanki (Pura 63).

(Many elephants being shattered with arrows.)

(instrument.)

Note 1.—Though  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyan\bar{a}r$  has mentioned only of u in this  $s\bar{u}tra$  as well as in Tol. Elut. 114, yet he mentions  $\bar{a}n$  as a suffix in the  $s\bar{u}tras$ 

Ömpatai-k kiļavik k-ai-y-u m-āṇ-un Tām-piri v-ilavē tokai-varu kālai. (Tol. Col. 97.) Ku-ai āṇ-eṇa varūu m-iruti A-v-v-otu civaṇuñ ceyyu lullē (ibid. 198.)

after the second case-suffix ai. Besides he himself makes use of ap in many satras composed by himself.

Cf. Colliya muraiyār collavum paţumē (Tol. Poruļ. 5).
Pañkaruñ cirappir pallār rāuum (Tol. Poruļ. 78).

Note 2.—Though the suffix of was used in Ancient Literature to denote agent and instrument, yet it began to be rarely used to denote them even at the time of Cēnāvaraiyar. This is seen from his statement vinai-mutal karuvi-k-kan of w-v-en-urupii i-k-kālatt-aruki-y-allatu vārātu. (The suffix of u is not now used except rarely to denote agent or instrument). In Modern Literature the suffix of u is used only to denote association.

Note 3.—Dr. Caldwell-remarks that "Dravidian Grammarians have arranged the case-system of their nouns in the Sanskrit order, and in doing so have done violence to the genius of their own grammar... The conjunctive case, though it takes an important position in the Dravidian languages have been omitted in each dialect from the list of cases or added on to the instrumental case simply because Sanskrit knows nothing of it as separate from instrumental. The conjunctive or social stands in greater need of a place of its own in the list of cases in the languages than in Sanskrit, seeing that in these it has several case-signs of its own, whilst in Sanskrit it has none". (C. D. G. pp. 277, 278) He has made this remark so far as Tamil is concerned on the strength of Nannal commentaries which mention that the suffixes an

 $\bar{a}l$  alone denote agent and instrument and of denotes only association. But from this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the next and usage in Ancient Tamil Literature, it is evident that both of u and  $\bar{a}\underline{n}$  were used to denote all the three—agent, instrument and association.

Aivarotu cinaii ir-aimpatinmarum porutu kalattoliya (Pura. 2.) (Agent)

(So that the hundred may die in battle being treated with indignation by the five.)

Peru-nāvāy katuń-kālotu karai cēra (Maturaikkāñci, 78.) (Instrument.)

(The big ship reaching the shore on account of the strong wind.)

Nin irum-pēr-okkaloţu tinm (Pura. 150.)

(Eat with your large retinue.) (Association.)

Tīyinār cutta puņ (Kuraļ 129.)

(The wound caused by fire.) (Instrument.)

Tūnku-kaiyān önku nataiya (Pura. 22.)

(Those having majestic gait with their waving hands.)
(Association.)

Note 4.—It seems only of u and du were the original suffixes of the third case. Of u may have been lengthened to of u for the sake of metre and du might have metamorphosed to du so that both of u and du also joined with them later on: hence the third case suffixes may be considered to have had both morphological and semantic changes.

74. அதனி னிய வைதற்றகு கிளவி அதன்வி கோட் படுத வதனி ஞுதல் அதனிற் கோட வதிறைடு மயங்கல் அதனே முயைக்க வொருவினேக் கிளவி அதனே முயைக்க வெயப்ப லொட்புமை இன்னு கேரது வீல்கென வரூஉம் அன்ன பிறவு மதன்பால வென்மஞார்.

#### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Atanı n-iyara l-atarraku kilavi
Atan-vinai-p patuta l-atanı n-atal
Atanır köta l-atanotu mayankal
Atanö t-iyainta v-oru-vinai-k kilavi
Atanö t-iyainta v-oru-vinai-k kilavi
Atanö t-iyainta v-oppa l-oppurai
In-n-a n-otu v-inkena varnum
Anna pira-v-u m-atan-pala v-enmanar.

They say that the instrumental case denotes the object that stands as the material cause, the object that serves as an appropriate cause, the agent of an action, the object that is responsible for one's present state, the object of exchange, the object that is mixed with another, the object in company with another doing the same action, the object in company with another incapable of doing the same action, the object of unsuitable comparison, the object of particular description with reference to limbs, senses etc., and cause etc.

Ex.--Atanin-iyaral.

Ponnan iyanı pattam. (Pura. 3, Comm.) (Frontlet [of an elephant] made of gold.)

Atarraku-kilavi.

Pal-yanai-y-um ampotu tulanki. (Pura. 63)

(Many elephants having been shattered with arrows.)

Kūrrattai-k-kaiyāl viļittarrū. (Kuraļ 894.)

(It is similar to inviting the God of Death with hands.)

Atan-vinai-p-patutal.

Neyyotu turanta mai.y-irun-kūntal. (Pura. 147.) (The black flowing tresses of a woman deprived of oil)

Pacalaiyāl uņappattāl. (Kalit. 48.)

(She is filled with beauty-spots on her skin.)

Atanin-ātal.

Kalavinal ākiya v-ākkam. (Kural 283.) (Property made through theft)

 $Ata\underline{n}i_{T} \cdot k\bar{o}tal$ .

Ilanku-tā l-aruvi y-ōṭ aṇi-koṇṭa niu-malai. (Kalit. 46.) (Your mountain beautified by the shining and flowing stream.)

Atanotu-mayankal.

Vēmpi n-ontalir

Neţuń-koţi y-uliñai-p pavaroţu milaintu. (Pura. 77.) (Having worn the shining sprouts of margosa tree with a piece of the long creeper named uliñai.)

Pāloţū kalanta nīr.

(Water mixed with milk)

Nan-pon

Pan-mani-k kuvai-y-otum viraii-k konm. (Pura. 152.) (Take the fine gold along with many heaps of gems.)

Atanotiyainta oruvinai-k-kilavi.

Kuta-ticai-t

Tan-katir matiyam pölavum

Ningu nilaiiya r-ulakamo ţ-uṭanē. (Pura. 56)

(May you live long with all the people like the coolrayed moon shining in the west!)

Irum-pē r-okkalotu tiņm. (Pura. 150)

(Eat with a wide circle of relatives )

Pāvatiyār ceraņākkiņ

Oliru-maruppir kaliravara

Kāpputaiya kayam-patiyinai. (Pura. 15)

(You have allowed to bathe in the ponds well attended to by them, the elephants which have shining tusks and fierce look along with broad feet.)

Atanotiyainta vēru-vinai-k-kilavi.

Totiyotu tol-kavin vatiya tol. (Kural 1235)

(The shoulder which was once bright, but has now fuded with the armlets.)

Malai-y-oṭu poruta māl-yāṇai.

(The big clephant which fought with the mountain)

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Atanotiyainta oppal-oppurai.

Kolaiyir kotiyarai ventoruttal painkul

Kaļai-kat t-ataņotu nēr. (Kuraļ. 550)

(The King slaughtering the wicked is similar to the weeding of plants grown near green crops.)

Innan.

Kannār kottai.

(He is blind by his eye.)

Kālāl mutavaņ.

(He is lame by his leg,)

Note.—The expressions kannar kottai and kalal mutuvan are respectively parallel to the Sanskrit sentence aksna kanah and padéna khanjah.

Ētù.

Vali-miku vekuliyān vāl-urra mannarai. (Kalit. 46)
(The kings who had taken their swords on account of
their anger increased with their might)

Maņattāņā māntark k-uņarcci. (Kuraļ. 453)

(Feeling is produced in men through mind.)

Nalliya-k kötanai nayanta kolkaiyotu

Munnat cenranam. (Cirupan 126-9) "

(We went to see Nalliyakkōtan sometime before.)

Note 1.—Sometimes a verbal participle (vinai-y-eccam) with a noun in the objective case is used instead of a noun in the instrumental case.

Ex.—Oru-kaṇai koṇṭu mū-v-eyi l-uṭarri. (Pura. 55.) (Having destroyed three forts with one arrow.)

Here the word kontu is used to signify that kanai is the instrument of utarri. Here kanai is in the objective case governing the transitive verb kontu. But later on words like kanai-kontu were taken as one word under the misapprehension that the word kanai was the base of the noun instead of a noun in the objective case with the case-suffix dropped. Then the

word kontu was taken as a collurupi (a word used as a case-suffix). Cf. the commentary on the line Nallar-uruppelan kontiyarriyal kol. (Kalit. 56)

Note 2.—From the statement atano tiyainta v-oru-vinai.k kilavi in the sūtra, one may think that both the expressions aciriyanotu māṇākkaṇoṭu vantāṇ (pupil came with the teacher) and māṇākkaṇoṭu āciriyaṇ vantāṇ (teacher came with the pupil) were grammatically correct. But the sūtra—Oru-viṇai y-oṭu-c-col-uyar-piu valittē (Col. 91) restricts the use of the suffix oṭu only to be used along with the noun denoting the superior\*. This is one of the points where Ancient Tamil differed from Sanskrit in which the third case-suffix is used along with the noun denoting the inferior. Of saha-yuktē apradhāṇē (Pāṇini Aṣṭ. II. iii, 19). But in Later Tamil the ancient restriction was not attended to and thence we see the sentence vanta nampiyai-t tampi taṇṇoṭu (the lord who came with his brother) in Kamparāmāyaṇam where nampi refers to Rāma and tampi refers to Laksmaṇa.

Note 3.—The expression  $i\underline{n}\underline{n}\underline{n}$   $\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  is split by  $Ilamp\overline{u}ranar$  into two parts  $i\underline{n}\underline{n}\underline{a}\underline{n}$  and  $\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  and is taken to mean 'one of such description' and 'cause'. The examples given by him under  $i\underline{n}\underline{n}\underline{a}\underline{n}$  are  $kan\underline{n}\underline{a}r$  kottai and  $k\underline{a}l\underline{d}l$  mutavar.  $C\underline{e}\underline{n}\underline{a}v$ araiyar, on the other hand, takes  $i\underline{n}\underline{n}\underline{a}\underline{u}$ - $\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  as a compound word to mean  $\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  which is denoted both by the suffixes  $i\underline{n}$  and  $\underline{a}\underline{n}$ , i.e., the  $j\underline{n}\underline{a}p$ aka- $h\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  and condemns  $Ilamp\overline{u}rana$  that the word  $i\underline{n}\underline{n}\underline{a}\underline{u}$  cannot denote a person who is to be described with reference to his limbs. Such examples, in his opinion, come under  $a\underline{n}\underline{u}\underline{a}$ -piravum in the  $s\underline{u}tra$ .  $Naccin\underline{a}rkki\underline{n}iyar$  seems to agree with him. But the interpretation of  $Ilamp\overline{u}rana$  seems to be better, since the word  $\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  here can mean only  $j\underline{n}\underline{a}p$ aka- $h\underline{e}t\underline{u}$ , the  $k\underline{a}raka-h\underline{e}t\underline{u}$  having been mentioned by atarraku-kilavi.

Teyvaccilaiyār takes innan-ētā as three suffixes in, an and ētā and states pukai-y-uņmaiyin neruppuņmai-y-arīka (learn the

<sup>\*</sup> Taking two sūtras to convey one idea is called ēkavākyatā in Sanskrit.

#### TOLKÁPPIYAM—COLLÁTIKÁRAM

presence of fire on account of the presence of smoke), pukai-y-unmaiyān neruppunmai-y-arikā and pukai-y-ētu-v-āka-neruppunmai-y-arika as examples. Since this sūtra deals with the specialised meanings of the third case-suffix whose general meaning was mentioned in the previous sūtra, such an interpretation of Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be far from satisfactory. Besides he states that the word anna-piravum in the sūtra refers to the words kāraņam, nimittam, tuṇai and mārū. This clearly shows that he mistook the words ētū and anna-piravum refer to collurupū. But Tolkāppiyanār has not made mention of collurupū in any of the sūtras found in Vērrumai-y-iyal, nor ētū and annapiravum can refer to case-suffix when the remaining portion deals with the meaning of the case-suffix.

Note 1.—Under atanin-iyaral, Ilampūraņar gives taccan cepta ciru-mā vaiyam (Kurun. 61). (Small vaiyam made by carpenter). Cēnāvaraiyar condemns this, since such sentences form examples for vinai-mutal found in the previous sūtra. This seems to be fair.

Note 5.—The word atu in atanin-iyaral, atarraku kilavi etc. refers to the object denoted by the word which takes the third-case-suffix.

Note 6.—Such examples as mati-y-otu okkum mukam (face compares itself with moon) with otu denoting comparison, and culofu kalutai pāran cumantatu (ass carried the burden with the child in the womb) where otu is used with a word which denotes an object that is not visible, are taken under anua-piravum by Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar. Naccinārkkiniyar in addition to them gives ural-maniyān uyar-maruppina (they have their superior tusks with gems on them) etc. manattotu vāymai moliyinān (a man who is straight-forward in expression accompanied with mind) and states that in the former ān is used in the sense of otu and in the latter, otu in the sense of ān. This seems to be against the opinion of Tolkāppiyanār. Cēnāvaraiyar clearly states that both otu and ān were used in all the senses mentioned above.

Note 7.—Cēṇāvaraiyar anticipates the objection made by Dr. Caldwell stated under the previous sūtra that, since there are two suffixes ofu and āṇ, they should come under two different cases and gives two reasons for not having done so:—(1) both of them are used in all the meanings mentioned above: and (2) in Sanskrit different case-suffixes are taken to belong to one case if they have the same meaning. An objection was raised against the second that, since the vocative case is not taken as a separate case in Sanskrit and it is taken as a case by Tolkāppiyanār, it is not safe to bring the analogy of Sanskrit. Cēṇāvaraiyar answers this point by the statement that eight cases were mentioned in Aindra-Vyākarana and Tolkāppiyanār has followed it. The latter point is supported by the statement aintiram nitainta tolkāppiyam made in the Pāyiram by Paṇampāraṇār.

Note 8.—Some read innera for inkera in the seventh line of the satra.

Note 9.—In the Cēṇāvaraiyam (Dāmōdaram Piļļai Edn.) the sentence vaṭanāluṭ porul-vēṛrumai-y-allatu urupu-vēṛrumaiyin oru-vēṛrumai-y-āka ōlappaṭṭamaiyāṇum is found. It means since, in Sanskrit, it is taken as one case where there are different suffixes without difference in meaning. In the Śaivasidhānta edition the reading is vaṭanūluṭ porul-vēṛrumai-y-allatu urupu-vēṛrumaiyāṇ oru-vēṛrumaiyāka ōlappuṭāmaiyāṇum. This also means the same. But in the former the expression porul-vēṛrumai-y-allatū should be taken as an adjunct to urupū in urupu-vēṛrumaiyin, and in the latter it should be taken as a verbal participle modifying ōtappaṭāmaiyāṇum.

75. சான்சா குலதே குஎனப் பெயரிய வேற்றுமைக் கிளவி எப்பொரு ளாலினுங் சொள்ளு மதுவே.

> Nāṇkā kuvatē Ku-eṇa-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi E-p-poru ļ-āyiṇun koļļu matu-v-ā,

The fourth called ku-vērrumai denotes recipient, whatever substance it may be.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—Nelli-tinkani .emakku îttanai-y-ē. (Pura. 91.) (You gave me the sweet nelli fruit.) Ārari y-antanark k-arumarai pala-pakarntū. (Kalit. 3.) (Having taught Vēdas to brahmans who had studied six angas.)

Note 1.—The significance of the expression e-p-porul-āyinum in the sutra is, according to Ilampuranar, that the recipient may be in the first person, the second person or the third person. Cenavaraiyar, on the other hand, states two points:—(1) Even though the word meaning 'to give' is not found in such examples as Mānākkarkku nūr-porul uraittān (he explained the work to his pupils), the fourth case-suffix is used after the word mānākkar. (2) There are two elements in gift:—one is sva-svatva nivrtti or the abandonment of the right of one's ownership and the other is para-svatva-āpādana or the transferring of the right of ownership to another. But in examples like mānākkarkku arīvu kotuttān (he gave knowledge to pupils), arivu or knowledge, though it goes to pupils, does not leave the teacher. Still in such cases the fourth case-suffix may be used. That such usages are sanctioned by Tolkāppiyanār is inferred by the expression e-p-porul-āyinum in the satra. Naccinarkkiniyar repeats what has been said by Cēnāvaraiyar.

Note 2—It is worthy of note that Cēnāvarāiyar's statement māṇākkarkku arīvu koṭuttān and the explanation under it has its parallel in the statement of the commentator of Vākyapadīya—Tyāgō dānam, dīyamānasya svatvanīvṛttyā aparasvatvāpādanam 'Sūdrāya matīm dadāti' ityatrāpī matisantānasya ca ēkadēšastyajyamāna iti svatva-nīvṛttyaparasvatvāpādana-lakṣanē mukhya ēva dadātyarthah—Anyē tu matēh dāna-sambanāhānavagamāt gauņa ityāhuh (Vākyapadīya, Kāṇḍa 3, Kārikā 262). Cēnāvaraiyar seems to have adopted the gaunārtha of the fourth case-suffix.

76. அதற்குவினே யுடைமையி னதற்குடம் படுதலின் அதற்குப்படு பொருளி னதுவாகு கிளவியின் அதற்குயாப் புடைமையி னதற்பொருட் டா. தலில் கட்பிற் பகையிற் கா.தலிற் செயப்பினென்று அப்பொருட் கெளவியு மதன்பால வெண்மனுர்.

Atarku-vinai y-utaimaiyi n-atarkutam patutalin Atarku-p-patu poruli n-atuvāku kiļaviyin Atarku-yāp putaimaiyi n-atar-porut tātalin Natpir pakaiyir kātalir cirappin-enru A-p-porut kiļaviyu m-atan-pāla v-enmanār.

They say that the dative case denotes the object for which an action is done, the object to which one subjects himself, the object to which another is apportioned, the object of transformation, the object which is suited to another, the aim of an action, the object of friendship, enmity, love, superiority etc.

Ex.—Atarku- $vi\underline{n}ai$ -y-utaimai.

Pinikku maruntu pira. (Kural. 1102.) (Others serve as medicine to diseases.)

Vari-maņar puṇai-pāvaikku-k kulavi-c-ciṇaip pū-k-koytù. (Pura. 11)

(Having plucked flowers from bent branches for the image made in sand-heap.)

Atarkutampatutal.

Cāṇīōr kolaikku uṭampaṭṭār. (The great fell victims to slaughter.)

Atarku-p-patu-porul.

Peru-mita-p pakaţţirku-t turai-y-u m-unţō. (Pura. 90) (Is there any landing place [not suited to] a fat bull?)

Oru-nī y-āyiṇai...nir perriciņōrkkā. (Pura. 125) (You have become the only resort for those who came to you)

Porunarkku....côy. (Pura. 14) (You are God Murukan to those who attack you)

Atu-v-āku-kilavi.

Valaikku-p pon vänku. (Purchase gold for bracelet)

#### TOLKAPPIYAM---COLLATIKARAM

Atarku-yāppuţaimai.

Pātiņi pātum vancikku

Nāṭal cāura maintiuōy. (Pura. 15)

(Oh King! who possesses valour which fits in with the song sung by the lady-minstrel)

Atar poruttātal.

Külirku-k kurréval ceyyum.

(He does menual service for his food)

Natpů.

Vilunark kiraicci. (Kalit. 8)

(The desired object to those who desire)

Kettarkku nattar il. (Kural, 1293)

(There are no friends to bad men)

Pakai.

Cemmai-y-i u-ikantorīi-p poruļ-ceyōrk k-a-p-poruļ Immaiyu marumaiyum pakai-y-āva r-ariyāyō.

(Kalit. 14)

(Do you not know that the wealth acquired by unrighteous means serves as the source of enmity to him both in this world and in the next?)

Katal.

### Nummoțů

Tunpan tunai-y-āka nāṭi nallatu

Inpam-u m-āmō v-emakku.\*

(How can there be happiness for me unless I follow you though we would be attended upon by misery?)

Tantaiyar-k-ků

Arul-van tana-vā-r putalvar-tam malalai. (Pura. 92)
The indistinct words of children gave pleasure to their parents)

Note the use of *emakkii* in the plural number instead of *epakkii* in the singular.

Сітарри.

Yām numakku-c cırantaṇam. (Kalit. 5) (We are superior to you)

Note.—In in-words like atarku-vinai-y-utaimaiyin is expletive.

77. ஐர்தா குவதே

இன்னெனப் பெயரிய வேற்**றுமைக் கிளவி**-

இதனி னிற்றிது வென்னு மதுவே.

Aintā kuvatē

In-n-ena-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi Itani u-irritu v-ennu m-atuvē.

The fifth case called *in-verrumai* denotes the nature of an object in its relation to another. Comparison, contrast, separation, limit, cause etc. form the meanings of this case.

Ex.—Comparison.

Kulavi kolpavari n-ompumati. (Pura. 5)
(Protect like those who tend children)

Contrast.

Payau-rūkkār ceyta v-utavi nayau-rūkkiu

Nanmai katalir peritu. (Kural. 103)

(The good deeds of those who do them without expecting any return are bigger than sea)

Separation.

Ninnin vițăa nilar-pol. (Kalit 61)

(Like the shadow which is never separated from you)

Limit.

Kumariyin terku. (Pura. 6)

(That which is south of Cape Comorin)

Cause.

Arn-vitar-c ciru-neri y-eralin varunti. (Pura. 135.)
(Having suffered on account of the ascent through the narrow path of the steep valley.)

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

78. வண்ணம் வடிவே யளவே சுவையே தண்மை வெம்மை யச்ச- மென்ரு என்மை தீமை சிறுமை பெருமை வன்மை மென்மை கடுமை பென்ரு முதுமை யிளமை சிறத்த லிழித்தல் புதுமை பழமை யாக்க மென்ரு இன்மை யுடைமை காற்றர் தீர்தல் பன்மை சின்மை பற்று வீடுதலென்று அன்ன பிறவு மதன்பால வென்மனர்.

Vannam vaţivē y-alavē euvaiyē
Tanmai vemmai y-acca m-eurā
Nanmai tīmai cirumai perumai
Vanmai meumai kaţumai y-eurā
Mutumai y-ilamai ciratta l-ilittal
Putumai palamai y-ākka m-eurā
Iumai y-uṭaimai nārran tīrtal
Panmai ciumai parru viṭutal-euru.
Auua piravu m-atau-pāla v-eumanār.

They say that the ablative case denotes colour, shape, measure, taste, coolness, hotness, fear, goodness, badness, smallness, largeness, hardness, softness, ferocity, agedness, youth, superiority, inferiority, newnoss, oldness, source, absence, presence, smell, separation, many-ness, few-ness, absence of attachment etc.

Ex.-Vannam.

Kākkaiyi**r** karitu kaļam-paļam.

(The fruit named kalam-palam is blacker than crow.)

Vattam.

Itanin vațțam atu.

(It is more round than this.)

Alavu.

Atu itanin nețitu.

(It is longer than this.)

#### Cuvai.

Auvaikkinta nelli-k-kaņi amiļtiņum iņitū.

(The nelli-fruit offered to the poetess Auvai was sweeter than ambrosia.)

# Tanmai.

Nīriṇum taṇṇitu putalvar toṭukai. (Children's touch is cooler than water.)

Vemmai

Veyilium katitu avarcol.
(His word is hotter than sun.)

Accam.

Kallarin ancum.
(He is afraid of thieves.)

Nanmai.

Ninninu nalla nanrē. (Pura. 66.) (He is not at all better than you.)

#### Timai.

Iranta vekuliyir rītē ciranta Uvakai makilecyir corvu. (Kural 521) (Forgetfulness on account of extreme joy is worse than boundless anger.)

#### Cirumai.

Atu itanir ciritu.
(It is smaller than this.)

#### Perumai.

Arppelu katalınım peritü. (Pura. 81) (Uproar was bigger than the roar of the seven seas.)

# TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Vanmai. Atu itanin valitů. (It is harder than this.) Menmai. Nīrinu m-iniya cāyal pāri. (Pura. 105). (Pāri more tender than water.) Malarinu mellitu kāmam. (Kuraļ 1286.) (Sentiment of love is more tender than flower.) Katumai. Avan-col uruminun katitu. (His word is more dreadful than thunder) Mutumai. Kannanin műttör panai-k-kotiyön (Balarama was older than Kannan) Ilamai. Nammir porunanu m-ilaiyan (Pura. 78) (The warrior is younger than we) Cirattal. Tammir periyar (Kural, 444) (Those who are superior to themselves) Ilittal. Atu itanin ilintatu. (It is inferior to this) Putumai Atu itanin putitu. (It is newer than this) Palamai. Atu itanir palaiyatu.

(It is older than this)

Akkam. Porul vānikattin ākum. (Property is acquired by trade) Inmai. Varunta-k kāņţa l-ataninu m-ilamē (Pura, 61) (We see them suffer much less than that) Utaimai. Avan ivanin utaiyan. (He possesses much more than this man.) Narram.Atu itanin nārum. (1t will smell better than this.) Tirtal. Ten-pulan kāvali n-orīi (Pura. 71). (Having been deprived of the rule of Pantiyan land.) Ciranta Pēr-ama r-uņka ņ-ivaļiņum pirika (Pura. 71). (May I be bereft of this superior and noble lady [of. mine] who has beautified her eyes with collyrium.) Panmai. En-kō vāliya..... Naunīr-p pa .. ruļi maņalinum pala-v-ē (Pura. 9). (May our king live for years greater than the sands of the river Pa&ruli with clear water.) Cinmai. Avarrir cila ivai. (These are fewer in number than they.) Parruvitutal. Avan kāmattir parru-viţţān.

(He has turned his mind from love.)

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

79. ஆரு குவைதே, அதுடுவெனப் பெயரிய வேற்றுமைக் கினவி தன்னிறும் பிறிதினு மிதன நிதுடிவெனும் அன்ன கினவிக் கிழுமைத் ததுவே.

> Ārā kuvatē, Atu-veṇa-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi Taṇṇṇum piritiņu m-itaṇa t-itu-v-eṇum Auna kilavi-k kilāmait t-atu-v-ē.

The sixth case called atu-vērrumai denotes the relation between an object and its inseparable elements or between one object and another.

Ex.—Aļitō tāūē Pāriyatu parampē. (Pura. 109.)
(The Parampu (name of a hill) of Pāri [name of a chieftain] deserves our pity.)

Marrataṇ Tuṇṇarun kaṭāam pōla. (Pura. 94). (Like the state of other elephants in rut.)

Note 1.—The kilamai or relation denoted by the genitive case is of two kinds: - tarkilamai or the inseparable relation as of an object with its parts, qualities, actions etc. and piritin-kilamai or the separable relation as between a master and his servant, a lord and his property etc. Ilampuranar classifies tarkilamai under five heads: -onru-pala-kuliiya-tarkilamai or the relation of many with one of the same sort, as ellatu kuppai (the heap of gingelly seed); veru-pala-kuliiya-tarkilamai or the relation of one made up of things of different sorts, as pataiyatü kulam (the collection of army-which consists of different things-cavalry, elephants, chariots and foot-soldiers-); on rivar-kilamai, or the relation of an object and its quality, or its state, as Cattanatu perumai (the greatness of Cattan); uruppin-kilamai or the relation of an object and its part, as Cattanatu kan (eyes of Cattan); and mey-tirintayatar-kilamai or the relation of an object and its transformed state as căttanatu mutumai (the advanced age of Cattan.) Cenavaraiyar repeats the same. Naccinarkkiniyar quotes Aimpāl-urimaiyum atanrar-kilamai as the sutra of Agastya in support of this.

Piritin-kilamai, Cēṇāvaraiyar classifies, under three heads:—Poruļin-kilamai or the relation of different objects, as Kapilaratu pāṭṭū (the stanza composed by the poet Kapilar), kāṭṭatu yāṇai (elephants of the forest), nilattin-kilamai or the relation of an object and the land occupied by it, as yāṇaiyatu kāṭū (the forest of elephants) and kālatiṇ kilamai or the relation of an object and the time, as velliyatu āṭcī (the period of the rule of Venus).

Note 2.—All the commentators from Ilampuranar to Teyvaccilaiyar mention in their respective commentary that a should be taken as the genitive suffix if the noun governed is plural as Cattana yanai-kal (the elephants of Cattan). Similar usage is found in earlier works. For example there is a sentence kaliru avara kapputaiya kayam patiyinai in the 15th stanza of Purananuru, which means 'you have enabled the elephants to plunge into their tanks which are well guarded. Here a in avara does not seem to be the genitive case-suffix Strictly speaking avara kayam means 'the tanks which belonged to them'. where the word avara is a kurippu-vinaiyāl-anaiyum-peyar in the same way as avara in hayam avara is a vinai-k-kuripph. Similarly the word kapputaiya here is a vinaiyal-anaiyum-peyar as the word utaiya in tamutaiya nencam (Kural, 1299) (the hearts which they have) and means those who had protection. Originally utaiya was used in such cases where the noun governed was in plural number and later on by confusion, it began to be used as a genitive suffix whether it is followed by a noun in the plural or the singular number. There are two reasons for such confusion :-

(1) The a:rinai nouns like kayam may have the same form both in singular and planal, on the strength of the satra

Terinilai y-utaiya v-a : rinai y-iyar-peyar Orumaiyum panmaiyum vinaiyotu varume.

(Fol. Col. 171.)

(2) The vinai-k-kurippu and the vinaiyāl-anaiyum-peyar have the same form. For instance in the sentence kayam avara, avara is a vinai-k-kurippu and the final a in avara is the plural ending sanctioned by the sūtra

A ā va eṇa varūu m-iruti Appāṇ mṇṇrē pala-v-ari collē.

(Tol. Col. 9.)

#### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

So also is a in avara in the sentence avara kayam. Hence in later Tamil a in avara kayam was taken to be a genitive case-suffix.

Note 3.—Dāmōdaram Piļļai's edition of Cēnāvaraiyam reads Nirkum-atanār-kiļaviyir-rōnrum. Saiva Siddhānta edition reads Nirkum kiļaviyir-rōnrum. The latter seems to be the correct one.

80. இயற்கையி னுடைமையின் முறைமையிற் கிழமையின் செயற்கையின் முதுமையின் விளேயி சென்னரு கருவியிற் அணியிற் கலத்தின் முதலின் ஒருவழி யுறப்பிற் குழுவி சென்னரு தெரித்துமொழிச் செய்தியி னிலேயின் வாழ்ச்சியின் திரிக்துவேறு படுஉம் பிறவு மன்ன கூறிய மருங்கிற் ரூன்றுங் கிளவி ஆறன் பால வென்மனர் புலவர்.

> Iyarkaiyi ü-utaimaiyiü muraimaiyir kilamaiyiü Ceyarkaiyiü mutumaiyiü vinaiyi n-enrä Karuviyir runaiyir kalattiü mutaliu Oru-vali y-uruppir kuluvi n-enrä Terittu-moli-c ceytiyi nilaiyiü välcciyiü Tirintu-vēru patūum piravu m-anua Kūriya marunkir rönrun kilavi Āran pāla v-enmanār pulavar.

Wise men say that the sixth case denotes the nature, possession, relationship, connection, action, advanced state, effort, instrument. association, document, capital, limb, collection, composition, state, residence and the rest which come under the category of the species of relation.

Ex.-Iyarkai.

Cāttanatu iyarkai. (Cāttan's nature.)

Uțaimai.

Cāttaṇatu uṭaimai. (Cāttan's possession.)

Muraimai.

Avinatu kanru. (Cow's calf.)

Kilam'ai.

Cāttanatu kilamai. (Cāttan's relation.)

Ceyarkai.

Cāttanatu ceyarkai. (Cāttan's deed.)

Mutumai.

Avanatu mutumai. (His advanced state.)

Vinai.

Avanatu vinai. (His attempt.)

Karuvi

Căttanatu val. (Căttan's sword.)

Tunai.

Avanatu tunai. (His associate)

Kalam.

Cāttaṇatu orri-k-kalam. (Cāttan's lease-deed.)

Mutal.

Cāttanatu mutal. (Cāttan's capital.)

Oruvali-y-uruppů.

Yāṇaiyatu kōṭū. (Elephant's tusk.)

 $Ku\underline{l}\bar{u}u.$ 

Paţaiyatu kulūu. (Collection of army.)

Terittu-moli-c-ceyti.

Kapilaratu pāṭṭū. (Kapilar's stanza.)

Nilai.

Cāttanatu nilai. (Cāttan's state.)

Vālcci.

Yanaiyatu katu. (Forest where elephants dwell.)

Note 1.—Teyvaccilaiyār mentions that the statement Kūriyamarunkir-rōūrun-kiļavi in the seventh line of the sūtra suggests the suffix utaiya. But this sūtra should be taken to specialise the

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

meaning of the sixth case as the sūtras 72, 74, 76 and 78 do, of the second, third, fourth and fifth, case respectively.

 கழா குவதே, கண்டுணைப் பெயரிய வேற்றுமைத் இளவி வீண்டுசய் யிடத்தி னிலத்திற் காலத்தின் அணேவகைக் குறிப்பிற் ரேன்று மதுவே.

> Ēļā kuvatē Kaņ-n-eņa-p peyariya vērrumai-k kiļavi Viņai-cey y-itatti ņilattir kālattiņ Aņai-vakai-k kurippir röņru matu-v-ē.

The seventh case called the kan-vērrumai denotes the place and time of action.

Ex.—Kil-p-pā l-oruvan karpin Mēr-pā l-oruvan m-avan-kat patumē. (Pura. 183) (If one of the lower caste becomes educated, one of the higher caste serves under him.)

> Ilavēņi l-iruttanta polutiņ-kaņ (Kalit. 29) (When spring had set in )

Note 1.—The expression vinai-cey-y-itattin nilattir-kalattin is interpreted by Teyvaccilaiyār as the place and time where action takes place. But Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārk-kiniyar interpret it as the place where action takes place, place and time. The interpretation of Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be correct for two reasous:—(1) If vinai-cey-y-itattin denotes the place of action, the word nilattin which follows its unnecessary:
(2) Any kālam or time is not meant here, but only that time when the action takes place. Hence the word vinai-cey-y-itattin should be taken as an adjunct to both nilattin and kālatin.

Note 2.—The significance of the word kurippin in the satra is that the case suffixes have to be chosen according to the wish of the speaker. For instance one may choose to say urukku vantān (he went to the village) in place of urin-kan vantān. Hence the idea contained in the word kurippu and the principle virakṣātaḥ kārakāṇi bhavanti in Sanskrit grammar are parallel.

Note 3.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that the word kurippu mentioned in this sūtra suggests that the same word should be taken to the sūtras 71, 73, 75, 77 and 79 which deal with the accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative and genitive, case respectively.

82. கண்கால் புறம் 5 முன்னுழை இழ்மேல் பின்சா ரயல்புடை தேவகை பெளுஅ முன்னிடை கடைதலே வலமிட மெனுஅ அன்ன பிறவு மதன்பால வென்மஞர். Kan-kāl puram-aka m-ul-l-ulai kīl-mēl Pin-cā r-ayal-puṭai tēvakai y-eṇāa Muṇ-ṇ-iṭai kaṭai-talai valam-iṭa m-eṇāa Aṇṇa piravu m-ataṇ-pāla v-eṇmaṇār.

They say that the locative denotes front part near the top or centre, lower portion, outside portion, inside portion, interior part, nearness, bottom, top, back side, neighbouring part, exterior part, the different directions, place in front, middle, end, beginning, right, left etc.

Ex.-Kan.

Mulavin kan atittan.

(He struck at the centre of mula.)

Kāl.

Ür-k-kan cēy.

(Land near the end of the village.).

Puram.

Ūr-k-kaņ maram.

(The tree outside the village.)

Akam.

Eyir-kat pukkan.

(He entered into the fort.)

Ul.

Ir-kan iruntāņ.

(He was within the house.)

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKÁRAM

```
Ulai.
Aracan-kan iruntān.
 (He was near the king.)
Kil.
Ā āliu-kat kitantatu.
 (Cow lay at the foot of the banyan tree.)
Mēl.
Kuranku marattin-kan iruntatu.__
 (Monkey was at the top of the tree:)
Pin.
Ēr-k-kat cenrān.
 (He went behind the plough.)
Car.
Kāttin-kan ōtum.
(He runs through the land adjoining the forest.)
Ayal.
Cırappalli-k-kunru uraiyür-k-kan ulatu.
(The hill at Trichinopoly is near Uraivūr.)
Putai.
Eyir-kan ningar.
(They stood away from the fort.)
Tēvakai.
Vatakkan. Vēnkatam.
(Vēnkaṭam on the north.)
Mun.
Puli-k-kat pattān.
(He happened to stand before a tiger.)
 Talai, Itai, Katai.
Nūz-kaņ mankalam.
(The auspicious ornaments at the beginning, middle
   or end of the string.)
```

Valam.

Āciriyaṇ māṇākkariṇ-kaṇ niṇgāṇ. (Teacher stood to the right of pupils.) \*

Itam.

Manakkar aciriyan-kan ningar.
(Pupils stood to the left of the teacher.)

Note 1—Cenavaraiyar has taken this sutra to deal with the specialised meanings of the locative in the same way as the sūtras 72, 74, 76, 78 and 80 which respectively deal with the specialised meanings of the accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative and genitive cases. Ilampuranar and Naccinarkkiniyar think that this sutra deals with the different words like kan, kal. etc. which serve as locative case-suffixes. Teyvaccilaiyar's opinion is that both are dealt with here. The defects in the interpretation of Ilampuranar are: -(1) If this sutra deals with case-suffixes. the word kan which has been mentioned in the previous sutra is unnecessary here; (2) the word tēvakai † is not used as case-suffix and hence it cannot but be taken to mean the meaning of the locative suffix; (3) since Tolkappiyanar deals with the meanings of the different case suffixes in the sūtras 72, 74, 76, 78 and 80, it is fair that he deals with the meanings of the locative suffix here: (4) if in expressions like <u>ur-p-purattu iruntan</u>, puram is taken as a locative suffix, the cariyai-attu cannot appear after it, since it is enjoined in the sūtra

Avagruvali marunkir cāriyai varumē. (Tol. Elut. 118.) that it is infixed only between the noun and the case-suffix; (5) Tolkāppiyanār has not stated the collurupū with reference to other cases. Of these, the defects (1) and (4) have been mentioned by Gēnāvaraiyar. Nacciņārkkiniyar condemns Gēnāvaraiyar thus—(1) If kan in this sūtra denotes place, it has already been mentioned in the previous sūtra; (2) There is no usage like marattukkat

<sup>\*</sup> Dharmaśąstras ordain that one should keep himself in such a way that his superiors are always to his right.

<sup>†</sup> Tēam+vakai=tēvakai where tēam is the tadbhava of Sanskrit dēša through tēyam.

kuranků etc.; (3) Instead of the expression kan-n-akan-ñālam (wide place) one should use kan-kan akan-ñālam. The first argument is baseless since kan in the previous sūtra is the case-suffix and kan in this sūtra is its meaning. The second argument falls to the ground, since Naccinārkkininjar himself has given marattu-k-kat kuranků as an example under the sūtra

Peyarun tolilum pirintorun k-icaippa

Utaimaiyu m-inmaiyu m-otuvayi n-okkum (Tol. Elut. 132.)

In the expression kan-n-akan ñālam, the word kan may be taken as a noun meaning place or centre so that the expression may mean itam akanra pūmi, though Cēnāvaraiyar takes kan as an itai-c-col Expressions where kan is used as a noun are plenty. Cf. Parrarra kannum (Kural, 521) (in places or persons deprived of wealth). If so, the third objection also cannot stand. Hence the interpretation given by Cēnāvaraiyar seems to be the correct one. The author of the Ilakkana-vilakkam agrees with Cēnāvaraiyar.

Note 2.—The words kun, talai, etc. are all nouns denoting place. Cf., Parrarra kannum (Kural, 521);  $Na\underline{n}an$ -talai-nal-l-eyil (Pura. 15) (the fine port with its head wider or a very wide and fine fort). In many cases when words compounded with them were used after the verbs, the seventh case-suffix was dropped on the strength of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

> Aiyun kannu m-allā-p poruļvayin Meyyurupu tokāa v-iruti y-āna. (Tol. Col. 106)

Ex.—Alan-kuṭat-ē- (Tol. Elut. 1, 30, 62, 72, 434), for (alan-kaṭai-k-kaṇ-ṇ-ē); Porul vayin for porul-vayin-kan (Tol. Col. 501.) etc. Since the locative meaning was present even in the absence of the locative case-suffix, and the words kan, kal, katai, vayin, etc. denoted place, they were mistaken for the case-suffixes in later period.

Note 3.—The expression anna pira in the satra may refer to words like vayin, il, marwiku, vali, etc.

## VERRUMAI-Y-IYAL

Note 4.—In Naccinarkkiniyar's commentary the following passage is found: Mun irantavatu mutaliya urupukalai mutittarku etultotiya kanpu mutaliya porulkalai-p-pola urupai mutittu nillātu īntu-k-kūriya porulkal kan-n-ennum urupaiyē unartti ningana engalig cēnāvaraiyarum i-p-poculkalai urupengē kūrinār āyirru. An-nanan kūri attu-c-cārmai kotultu utāranan kāttavē urupin pinnarum attu-c-cārivai varutal tāmum nērntār-āvirrū. (Since Cēnāvaraiyar says that this sūtra deals with the meanings of the suffix kan as the sutras commencing with kappu etc. deal with those of other cases, he too thinks these meanings as casesuffixes. If so, the cāriyai—attu comes after ease-suffixes.) Here the statement 'he too thinks these meanings as case suffixes' conveys no meaning. Hence this passage seems to me to be an interpolation. Another reason that may be cited in favour of it is that his condomnation of Cēnāvaraiyar seems to have ended before the commencement of this passage.

83. கேற்றமைப் பொருசா லிரிக்கும் காலே
ஈற்றுகின் றியலுக் தொடைவயிற் பிரிக்கு
பல்லா மு.ப் பொருள்புணர்க் கிசைக்கும்
எல்லாச் சொல்லு முரிய வெண்ப.
Vēīrumai-p poruļai virikkun kālai
Irru-niņ riyalun tokai-vayir pirintu
Pallā r-āka-p poruļ-punarn t-icaikkum
Ellā-c collu m-uriya v-cūpa.

When one wants to expanie the meanings of the cases mentioned above, it is said that all words which are synonymous with the words found in the collection at the end (i.e. in sūtras 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82) have to be added to the list of words found in each of them.

Note 1—The meaning given above is that given by Civañaṇa-muṇivar. I have preferred that meaning since it is the only one that fits in here. The meaning given by each of the other commentators is defective.

Ilampūranar splits the sūtra into two sūtras, the first two lines forming one with the difference in reading—pirintē for pirintu and the last two lines forming the second. The meaning given by him is that, if a vērrumai-t-tokai (lalpuruṣa compound) is split, a

number of words may have to be inserted in addition to the case-suffix. For instance when the word porroti (golden bracelet) is split, it has to be split as povval idinality is inserted and so on.' The same is the meaning given by Teyvaccilavyar, though he takes all the four lines into one  $s\bar{u}tra$  with the word pirinti at the end of the second line.

Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, on the other hand, state that, when a vērrumai t-tokai (tatpurusa compound) and an anmoli-t-tokai (bahuvrīhi compound) are split, a number of words has to be inserted. The difference between Ilampūranar and Cēnāvaraiyar is that the former thinks that this sūtra deals how the vērrumai-t-tokai alone is split and the latter, how the vērrumai-t-tokai and anmoli-t-tokai are split.

One important defect if these two meanings are taken is that the  $s\bar{u}tra$  will be out of place. This iyal has been dealing only with case-suffixes and the meanings of cases and never with the splitting of compounds. Other defects are:— the word  $v\bar{v}trumai-p-porul$  is taken to mean  $v\bar{v}trumai-t-tokai$  and the word  $v\bar{v}trumai-t-tokai$  to mean  $a\underline{n}-moli-t-tokai$ . (2) The word tokai is taken to mean compound, though it may be taken here to mean collection. (3)  $C\bar{v}t\bar{u}trai$  for the following it has interpretation, this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is out of place says that, because  $Tolkap-piya\bar{u}trai$  deals with tokai.viri in the following  $iyal-V\bar{v}trumai-mayankiyal$ —he has written this  $s\bar{u}tra$  from 94 to 100 deal with compounds; but even they do not seem to deal with tokai.viri; nor has  $C\bar{v}t\bar{u}trai$  mentioned in them other words which have to appear when  $a\underline{n}tolioli-t-tokai$  is split.

Civañanamunivar condemns Cēnavaraiyar thus: (1) If the author meant vērrumai-t-tokai, he would have preferred it to vērrumai-p-poruļ. (2) Since the compounds are dealt with in Ecca-v-iyal, Vērrumai-y-iyal which has to deal only with case-suffixes and the meanings of the cases is not the proper place to deal with tokai-viri. The tokai-viri of verrumai-t-tokai is learnt from the word vērrumai-y-iyala in the sūtra—Vērrumai-t-tokaiyē vērrumai-y-iyala (Tol. Col. 413); if it is said that the tokai-viri has to be definitely explained, there is no sūtra to explain the tokai-viri of uvamai-t-tokai.

# iii. Vērrumai-mayankiyal (Chapter on contamination etc.)

84. கரும மல்லாச் சார்பென் கௌகிக்கு உரிமையு முடைத்தே கண்ணென் வேற்றமை. Karuma m-allā-c cārpeņ kiļavıkku Urimai-y-u m-uṭaittē kaņ-ņ-oņ vē**rr**umai.

The seventh case also may be used to denote close relationship except direct impact.

Ex,—Aracan-kat carntan. (He sided the king.)

Note 1.—This chapter deals primarily with the use of one case-suffix for another *i.e.* with contamination. It is called urupu-mayakkam from the standpoint that one case-suffix is used for another and porul-mayakkam from the standpoint that a case-suffix is used in a meaning other than its own. Since some of the cases are not dropped in Tamil as in Greek and Latin, there is no room for syncretism here.

Note 2.— $C\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$  is of two kinds:— $karuma-c-c\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$  or impact and  $karumam-il-c\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$  or close relation other than impact. This  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctions the use of the seventh case in addition to the second case sanctioned by the 72nd  $s\bar{u}tra$  in the case of the latter  $c\bar{a}rp\bar{u}$ . Hence expressions like  $t\bar{u}ninkat$   $c\bar{a}rnt\bar{a}n$  (he came in contact with the pillar) is of later date.

Note 3.—Teyraccilaiyar says that karumam in this sūtra is a tadbhava of Skt. karma which means object of a transitive verb. But the word karumam means, in the opinion of others, impact. But the word karuma in Sanskrit does not seem to have the meaning—impact: nor is the word karumam used in that sense elsewhere in Tamil Literature. Its history both on the phonological and the semantic sides deserves to be investigated.

Note 1—The particle um in this satra suggests that the use of the second case is more frequent than that of the seventh case.

#### TOLKÄPPIVAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Note 5.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  is a  $viš\bar{e}sa-vidhi$  to the general vidhi denoted by  $c\bar{u}rtal$  in the 72nd ' $s\bar{u}tra$ .

85 சினேரிலேக் இளவிக் கையுங் கண்ணும் விளேசிலே பொககு மென்மனர் புலவர்.

> Cinai-nilai-k kilavi-k k-aiyun kannum Vinai-nilai v-okku m-enmanar pulavar.

Learned men say that the seventh case is used in the same way as the second after words denoting parts, when they qualify verbs other than appellative verbs.

Ex.—Kōṭṭai-k-kuraittāṇ (He cut off the tušk).

Kōṭṭiṇkaṭ kuraittāṇ (He cut off the tusk).

Note 1.—The word vinai-nilni means tei-nilai-vinai and is in the seventh case here with the suffix dropped; hence the above rule cannot operate if the word denoting part qualifies an appellative verb.

Note 2.—Since the  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctions the use of the seventh case in place of the second in the same way as the previous one one may think that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  may be read with the previous one as one  $s\bar{u}tra$ . But the particle um in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that the use of the seventh case in the previous instance is very rare and the word obcum in this  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that the use of the seventh case is as common as that of the second.

86. கன்றதுஞ் செலவு மொன்றமார் விணேமே. Kayraluñ celavu m-onrumār viņaiyē.

Both the seventh and the second cases are used with verbs derived from the roots  $kan_Tu$ - and cel.

Ex.—Cūtiūai-k-kaṇriṇāṇ; cūtiūkaṭ kaṇriṇāṇ.
(He: has got a mastery over playing at dice.)

Ūrai-c-cellum; ūriṇkaṭ cellum.
(He goes to village.)

- Note 1.—The words give air sale are taken over to this satra, and they form the subject of onrumar; the words kanralum and celavum are taken along with vinai which is a word in the seventh case with the case-suffix dropped.
- Note 2.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  sanctions the use of the seventh case-suffix also.
- Note 3.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  is not made one with the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  since it deals with the case-suffix affixed to words denoting parts irrespective of the verbs which they qualify and this deals with the case-suffixes of the nouns which qualify the verbs formed from the roots  $kan_Tu$  and cel.
- Note 4.—Since the use of the second case-suffix was already sanctioned by the 72nd  $s\bar{u}tra$ , it may be sufficient if the use of the seventh case-suffix is sanctioned by this  $s\bar{u}tra$ . But the author has not done so lest one should consider the use of the former to be more frequent than that of the latter.
- Note 5.—In the Saiva-siddhanta edition, the expression so  $Q_{\#\pi} \beta \hat{\omega}$  should be corrected as so  $Q_{\#\pi} \beta \hat{\omega}$ .
  - 87. முதற்சிக்னக் கிளவிக் கதைவென் வேற்றுமை முதற்கண் வரினே சிக்னக்கை வருமே.

Mutar-cinai-k kilavi k k-atu-ven vērrumai Mutarkan varinē cinai-k k-ai varumē.

If, in a sentence, there is mention denoting whole and part and the sixth case-suffix is used along with the word denoting the whole, the second case-suffix alone is used along with the word denoting the part.

Ex.-Yanaiyatu köttai-k kuraittan.

(He cut off the tusk of the elephant.)

Ilampūraņar says that this sūtra is a puraṇatai (exception) to the 85th sūtra. But Cēṇāvaraiyar says that this sūtra is a

#### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

niyama-vidhi\* i.e. it restricts the application of the 85th sūtra. The opinion of the latter is the correct one.

88. முதன்மு ஊவரிற் கண்ணென் வேற்றுமை சிணமூன் வருத றெள்ளி தென்ப, Mutan-mu n-ai-varir kan-n-en vērrumai Cinai-mun varuta relli t-enna.

If the second case-suffix is used along with the word denoting the whole, the seventh case is used along with the word denoting the part.

Ex.—Yāṇaiyai-k kōṭṭiṇkaṭ kuraittāṇ. (He cut off the elephant at the tusk.)

Note I .- This sūtra also is a niyamavidhi.

Note 2.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the previous one are taken as one  $s\bar{u}tra$  by  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{u}r$ . But since it gives room to sentence-split, it is not correct to do so.

Note 3.—The sūtra 86, though it does not deal with whole and part as the sūtras 85, 87 and 88, is read in the middle so that the phrase gain may follow in the 86th sūtra from the 85th sūtra.

Note 1.—Since expressions like  $y\underline{a}vaiyai \cdot k$   $k\underline{o}ttai \cdot k$   $kuruitta\underline{u}$  also began to be used before the time of  $I[amp\overline{u}ravar]$ , he takes it to be suggested by the word  $tellitu^{\dagger}$ . But it is clear that such a usage was not current at the time of  $Tolkappiya\underline{n}ar$ .

Note 5.—Naccinarkkiniyar takes the previous sūtra as mentioning urupu-mayakkam and this sūtra as porul-mayakkam. I am unable to understand why a differentiation should be made.

- \* Niyamavidhi is a rule which specifies something which, in the absence of that rule, would be optional.
- † It may be noted that it was the practice with commentators in Sanskrit and Tamil, without the historical sense of the growth of language, to find out sanction in the sūtra for every usage.

 முதலுஞ் சினயும் பொருள்வேறு படாஅ நுவலுங் காலேச் சொற்குறிப் பினவே,

> Mutaluñ cinaiyum porul-vēru paṭāa Nuvalun kālai-c cor-kurip piṇavē.

An object cannot, by itself, be taken either as a whole or as a part. It should be suggested by the expression of the speaker.

For instance  $y\bar{a}\underline{n}ai$  is a whole in the sentence  $y\bar{a}\underline{n}aiyatu$   $k\bar{o}ttai\cdot k$   $kuraitta\underline{n}$ , but it is a part in the sentence pataiyatu  $y\underline{a}\underline{n}aiyai$   $akarrina\underline{n}$  (he drove away the elephants of the army.) Similarly in the former sentence  $k\bar{o}tt$  is a part, but in the sentence  $k\bar{o}tt$  in the tusk),  $k\bar{o}t$  is a whole and not a part.

Note 1.—The expression Quages Computer means 'they cannot be differentiated by their meaning'; hence Quages is a third case with the case-suffix dropped. The verb Computer is active in form, but passive in meaning.

Note 2.—This sūtra is intended to make the readers understand correctly that the whole and the part are only relative terms.

 பிண்டப் பெயரு மாயிய றிரியா பண்டியன் மருங்கின் மரிஇய மாபே.

> Piņṭa-p peyaru m-āyiya ririyā Paṇṭiyan marunkin marījya marapē.

The word denoting collection is of the same nature and should be understood as such from the ancient usage.

Ex.—Kuppaiyatu talaiyai veţţiṇāṇ: kuppaiyai-t talaikkan veţţiṇāṇ; kuppaiyai-t talaiyai veţṭiṇāṇ.

(He cut off the top of the heap.)

Note 1.—It is worthy of note that Tolkāppiyanar did not consider a heap to be a whole.

Note 2.—The word A sin Li is a tadbhava of Skt. pinda.

91. ஒருவினே மொடுச்சொ அயர்பின் வழித்தே. Oru-viṇai y-oṭu-c-co l-uyarpiṇ valittē.

The suffix ofu (of the third case) is used with the word denoting the superior of the two, when both do the same action.

Ex.—En.manaivi-y-otū makkaļu nirampinar. (Pura. 191, 3).

(My children were filled (with wisdom) along with my wife.)

Note 1.—The  $s\bar{u}tras$  from 84 to 90 deal with the optional use of the seventh case in place of the second case. Then the author has taken to speak of the third case.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar interpret uyarpinvalitiu as 'along with the superior' and Teyvaccilaiyār as 'along with that which adjoins the superior, i.e. the inferior. In the instances where oṭu is used in ancient classics like Puranānūru, it is found along with the word denoting the superior. Hence the opinion of the former three seems to be sound.

Why Teyvaccilaiyār has differed from them may be explained in two ways.  $P\bar{a}_{ll}ini$  has stated sahayuktē apradhānē (Astādhyāyī II, 3, 19). Besides in sentences like

Vanta nampiyai-t tampi tannotu Muntai nan-marai munikku-k kātti

(Kamparāmāyanam I, Kaiyatai, 17.)

(Having shown to the sage well versed in the ancient four vēdas, Rāma who came along with his brother.)

the suffix of u is used along with the word denoting the inferior. That he wants to follow Panini is evident from his sentence ipporul Paniniyarkkum okkum.

Note 3.—This sūtra should be taken along with atunotiyainta v-oru-vinai-k kilavi in the 74th sūtra.

Note 4.—Ilampūranar explains the use of otu in the sentence nāyotu nampi vantan (the master came with the dog) by saying

that the dog deserved greater recognition for some reason or other—(perhaps gratitude).  $C\bar{e}\underline{n}\underline{a}varaiyar$  agrees with him in that point and adds that, if it is not the intention of the speaker, the suffix of u does not denote association.

Note 5.— $C\bar{e}n_{\bar{e}varaiyar}$  raises the question why this  $s\bar{u}tra$  was not stated next to the 74th  $s\bar{u}tra$  and answers that the  $V\bar{e}_{TT}umaiyiyal}$  deals with the cases and their meanings only in a general manner and this chapter with the specialised meanings and uses.

92. மூன்றனு மைக்கனுக் கோன்றக் கூறிய ஆக்கமொடு புணர்க்க வேதுக் கிளவி கோக்கோ ரீணய வென்மஞர் புலவர். Mūnjanu m aintanum tönra-k kūriya Ākkamoṭu puņarnta v-ētu-k kiļavi Nokko r-anaiya v-etmaņār pulavar.

Learned men say that, on careful consideration, the use of the third case-suffix and that of the fifth case-suffix to denote cause are of the same nature when they qualify a verb formed of the root a- (meaning to become).

- Ex.—(1) Vāṇikattāṇ āyiṇāṇ: vāṇikattiṇ āyiṇāṇ.
  (He became a man on account of trade.)
  - (2) Vāṇikattāṇ āya poruļ: vāṇikattiṇ āya poruļ. (Wealth raised on account of trade.)

Note 1.— $C\bar{e}n\bar{q}varaiyar$  raises the doubt that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is unnecessary since it has been said in the  $s\bar{u}tras$ 

Atan-vinai-p-patutal-atanin-atal. (Col. 74.)

and

putumai-palamai-y-akkam. (Col. 78.)

that the third case as well as the fifth denotes cause and answers the same thus—Since this chapter deals with mayakkam (contamination), the author has mentioned the same here and hence he cannot be charged with having repeated the same. But it seems to me that the same may be answered thus:—The statement atanin-ātal in the 74th sūtra may mean only

cause and need not mean cause followed by the verb 'to become' and the statement  $\bar{a}kkam$  means that the noun in the fifth case should be followed by any word derived from the root  $\bar{a}$ . Hence one may doubt that, if a word denoting cause is followed by a word derived from the root  $\bar{a}$ , only the fifth casesuffix might be used. This  $s\bar{u}tra$  clears his doubt by saying that the third case-suffix also may be used in such instances.

Note 2.—The word nokku is a noun in the seventh case with the case suffix dropped.

93. இரண்டன் மருங்கி ஞேச்சு ஞேச்சுபவ் விரண்டன் மருங்கி னேதுவு மாகும். Iranțan marunki nōkka nōkkam-av V-iranțan marunki n.ōtu-v-u m-ākum.

The verb meaning 'to observe' may be governed not only by a noun in the second case, but also in the third and fifth cases if the observation is not through the physical eye, but through the mind's eye.

Ex.—Kölän nökki välun kuţi; kölin nökki välun kuţi.
(The subjects living expecting the righteous rule.)

Note 1.—Nokkam (observation) is of two kinds:—Nokkiya nokkam (observation by the physical eye) and nokkal-nokkam (observation not by the physical eye, i.e., by the mind.) This sūtra deals with the use of case-suffixes in the latter case.

Note 2.—One may question why this  $s\bar{u}tra$  which deals with the optional use of the third case-suffix and the fifth case-suffix in the place of the second case-suffix was not placed after the 90th  $s\bar{u}tra$  since it has been said that all the  $s\bar{u}tras$  from 84 to 90 deal with the optional use of other case-suffixes in place of the second. It may have been placed there. But since it deals with the optional use of the third and fifth case-suffixes meaning cause and the 92nd  $s\bar{u}tra$  also deals with them, it has been placed here.

94. தடுமாற தொழிற்பெயர்க் கொண்டு மூன்றுங் சுடிகில யிலவே பொருள்வயி ஞன.

Tatumāru tolir-peyar-k k-iraņtu mūņrun Kati-nilai v-ilavē porul-vayi n-āņa.

The noun (whose case-suffix is dropped) and about the nature of whose action it is difficult to decide is not prevented from being taken either as the second case or as the third from the sense.

Ex.—In the sentence 'puli konta yāṇai' it is difficult to decide whether the act of killing rests with the tiger or the elephant; for it is the genius of the Tamil language to use active forms in passive sense. So konta may be active or passive in sence and hence it becomes taṭumāruuojii. If the act of killing rests with the tiger, the word puli should be taken as the noun in the third case so that the expression puli konta yāṇai means 'the elephant killed by the tiger'; if, on the other hand, the act of killing rests with the elephant, the word puli ahould be taken as the noun in the second case so that the same expression means 'the elephant that killed the tiger'.

Note 1.—If koura is not taken as a tatumārutoļil, puli may be taken either as the subject of koura or the object of it.

Note 2.—Though there is no contamination here, yet there is room to take the noun either as one case or as the other. Hence this sūtra finds a place in this chapter.\*

95. ஈற்றப்பெயர் முன்னர் மெய்யறி பணுவவின் வேற்றுமை தெரிப அணரு மோரே.

> Īrru-p-peyar muṇṇar mey-y-ari paṇuvaliņ Vērrumai teripa v-uṇaru m-ōrē.

The intelligent will discriminate from what follows after the last word.

\* If the author had meant expressions like puli koura yārai as examples to this sātra, this and the next sātra might have been omitted.

Ex.—If one says 'puli konra yāṇai vantatū' it is evident that the elephant killed the tiger since otherwise it could not come. If, on the other hand, one says 'puli konra yāṇai kiṭantatū' it is evident that the elephant was killed by 'the tiger.

Note I—This satra answers the question which will arise from the previous  $s\overline{u}tra$  'How is one to decide whether the noun is in the second case or the third?'

Note 2.—In the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ , this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the following few  $s\bar{u}tras$  I have followed the order adopted by  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$  since it seems to be regular—contamination of the second case, the third case, the fourth case, the fifth case and the sixth case.

Note 3—The word vērrumai in this sūtra means difference and not case. Vērrumaiteri-may be taken as a root meaning 'to discriminate.'

96. ஓம்படைக் கொலிக் கையு மானுர் தாம்பிரி விலவே தொகைவரு காவே. Ōmpaṭai-k kilavi-k k-ai-y-u m-āūun Tām-piri v-ila-v-ē tokai-varu kālai.

The noun which qualifies a verb meaning 'to protect' may be in the second case or the third case when the case-suffix is dropped.

Ex.—'Puli porri va' may mean 'be protecting a tiger' or 'remain protected by a tiger.'

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar takes the word tokai to mean compound; it seems it is quite sufficient if it is taken to mean elision (of case-suffix.) According to him puli-porri is a compound word like nilan-kaṭantān.

Note 2.—Naccinārkkiniyay reads toka instead of tokai. In the Damodaram Pillai edition of Naccinārkkiniyam the reading is oppa viruntu, while the manuscript in the Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras, reads oppa virintuli. The latter reading is better.

Note 3.—Since this sūtra and the sūtra commencing with taṭumāru tolir-peyar deals with the same cases, one may think that ōmpaṭai-k-kiṭavi may have been read along with taṭumāru tolir-peyar and the two sūtras may have been read as one; but there is this difference; in the former sūtra is found the word mūnrum and in the latter ānum and it has nowhere been said that the suffix ān belongs to the third case. Its use after ai in this sūtra, perhaps, suggests it.

Note 4.—Cènavaraiyar says that one may think this sūtra may have been read with the sūtra commencing with Irantan marunkin and both may have been read as Irantan marunkin nākka-nākkamum—āmpataik-kiļaviyu m-ētuvu m-ākum; if it was so done, āmpatai-k-kiļavi may be qualified by a noun in the fifth-case also. In order to avoid it, the author has not made them into one sūtra.

Note 5.—The word tam here is only for euphony.

97. குத்தொக வரூஉங் கொடையெடுர் கினவி அப்பொரு ளாறற் குரித்த மாகும். Ku-t-toka varūun kotai-y-etir kiļavi A-p-poru l-ārar k-urittu m-ākum.

The word denoting the recipient of a gift which can afford to have the suffix ku dropped may take the sixth case-suffix also.

Ex.—Instead of nākar-pali koļuttān (he gave an oblation to nākar) one may say nākaratu pali koļuttān.

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār say that this sūtra states that the compound nākar-pali may be split as nākaratu pali. According to them there is no sanction for the expression nākaratu pali in usage.

Note 2.—The word koṭai-y-etir-ki]avi means 'the word denoting the recipient of a gift'. The only place where the suffix ku may be dropped is in sentences like nākarkku-p pali koṭuttān. This sūtra enjoins that nākaratu pali koṭuttān also may be used. It is worthy of note that ku cannot be elided in the expression pali nākar-k-ku-k koṭuttān.

#### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Note 3.—In the Namaccivâya Mudaliar's edition of Ilampūraņam the expression koṭai etrītal enpatu viluppam-uṭaiyārai mutaliyakkār koṇṭuvaittu virumpi-k-koṭuttal is found. Here koṭai-etirtal should be replaced by koṭai since the statement viluppam-uṭaiyārai...koṭuttal is the meaning of koṭai and not of koṭai-y-etirtal. Hence the first meaning of the word koṭai-y-etirtal given in the Tamil Lexicon published by the University of Madras is incorrect.

Note 4.—Since the previous sūtra ended with the contamination of the third case, this sūtra deals with that of the fourth case.

Note 5.—The particle um in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that such a usage as  $n\bar{a}karatu$  pali is rare.

98. அச்சக் தொவிக் கைக்து மிரண்டும் எச்ச மிலவே பொருள்வயி ஞன.

Acca-k kilavi-k k-aintu m-irantum Ecca m-ilavē poruļ-vayi n-āna.

A verb denoting fear may be qualified by a noun either in the fifth case or in the second case.

Ex.—Paliyin añeum; paliyai añeum. (He is afraid of calumny.)

Note 1.—Since the use of the fifth case is sanctioned by accam in the satra 78 (p. 89) one may doubt that the use of the second case-suffix sanctioned by the  $s\bar{u}tra$  72 (p. 73) is nullified. This  $s\bar{u}tra$  removes his doubt. If accam in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  78 cannot nullify añcutal in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  72, 1 am not able to understand the necessity for this  $s\bar{u}tra$  in this chapter.

Note 2.—Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar state that this sātra enjoins that the compound word pali-y-añcum should be split as paliyai añcum also.

Note 3.—The use of such expressions as valai-kalalutarku añca-vēntā (one need not fear that the bracelets might slip down) shows that the fourth case also began to be used in later times.

 அது வென் வேற்றமை யுயர் திண்தை தொகைவையின் அது வெனுருபு தெடக் குகாம் வருமே.

> Atu-v-en vērrumai y-uyartiņai-t tokai-vayin Atu-v-e n-urupu-keta-k kukaram varumē.

When a word in the sixth case is followed by an *uyartinai* noun, the suffix atu is replaced by ku.

- Ex. (1) Pataikku-t talaivan. (Leader of the army.)
  - (2) Nampikku makan. (Son of Nampi.)

Note 1.—The meaning given above is that adopted by  $I[lamp\overline{u}ranar]$ . According to it this  $s\overline{u}tra$  enjoins that, if the noun that is qualified by a noun in the sixth case is uyartinai, the suffix atu should not be used and it should be replaced by the suffix ku.

Cēnāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār interpret the sūtra thus:—
The uyartinai compound whose members have the relation denoted by the sixth case should have, when it is analysed, the suffix ku at the end of the first member and not atu. There are three defects in this interpretation:—(1) Do they mean by the word uyartinai-t-tokai a compound made up of two words, both of which are uyartinai or only the second member? If it is the latter, what is the reason to interpret it in that manner? (2) The word keṭa in the sūtra does not suit well. Cēnāvaraiyar explains that keṭa suggests the meaning non-appearance instead of disappearance. (3) There will be no sūtra to sanction the use of the suffix ku in such expressions as Paṭaikku-t talaivan, Nampikku makan, etc.

Naccinārkkiniyar interprets it thus:—The suffix ku is used when an uyartinai compound is analysed, and the sixth case will be used without the suffix atu. In the former part of the interpretation he agrees with Conavaraiyar and the example for the latter part is nin makan. The defects in this interpretation are: (1) There is sentence-split. (2) The second part is unnecessary since there is a sūtra which enjoins that the case-suffixes may be dropped when the nouns which have them precede those

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM--COLLATIKÄRAM

which they qualify. Further he condemns  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$  by saying that such expressions as  $ni\underline{u}akku$  makal should not be used and they should be read  $ni\underline{u}akku$  makal  $\bar{u}kiyaval$ . But there is a sentence  $p\bar{u}tinikku$ .  $p\bar{u}nakan$  in the 11th stanza of  $Puran\bar{u}n\bar{u}r\bar{u}$  supporting the interpretation of  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$ . The commentator on  $Puran\bar{u}n\bar{u}ru$  also agrees with  $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$ .

Note 2.—This  $s \bar{u} tra$  deals with the contamination of the sixth case.

Note 3.—It deserves to be noted that the word tokai here does not mean compound,\* but it means association in the same way as the word tokuti in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

Iņaitteņa v-arinta ciņai-mutar kiļavikku Viņai-p-patu tokutiyi ņ-ummai vēņtum. (Tol. Col. 33)

100. ஆறன் மருங்கின் வாழ்ச்சிக் கிழமைக்கு எழு மாகு முறைநிலத் தான.

> Āraņ marunkiņ vālcei-k kilamaikku Ēļu m-āku m-urai-nilat t-āņa.

The seventh case also may be used instead of the sixth case with nouns denoting dwelling place when its relation to the noun which it qualifies is that of the land inhabited and the inhabiter.

Ex.—Kāṭṭiṇkan yaṇai; kāṭṭatu yaṇai. (Elephant in the forest.)

Note 1.—This sūtra also deals with the contamination of the sixth case,

Note 2.—An in wrai-nilatiana is used in the sense of kan, the seventh case-suffix.

\* One should be very careful in deciding the meaning of the words tokai and tokuti in Tolkappiyam, since they are used in many senses—compound, association, elision etc.

101. அன்ன பிறவும் தொன்னெறி பிழையாது உருபினும் பொருளினும் மெய்தடு மாறி இருவயி னிலேயும் வேற்றுமை யெல்லாம் இரிபிட னிலவே தெரியு மோர்க்கே.

> Anna piravum tonneri pilaiyātu Urupinum poruļiņum mey-tatu māri Iru-vayi nilaiyum vērrumai y-ellām Tiripita n-ilavē teriyu mörkkē.

There is no confusion in the minds of the learned with regard to the use of one case-suffix for another or of one case-suffix similar to the cases mentioned above, if it is in conformity with the ancient usage.

Ex.—Cāttaṇōṭu vekuṇṭāṇ for Cāttaṇai vekuṇṭāṇ.
(He felt angry with Cāttaṇ) etc.

Note 1.—This sūtra suggests that it is impossible to give a comprehensive list of all cases of contamination.

Note 2.—The word iruvayinilaiyum is taken to mean by Ilampūranar and Teyvaccilaiyār 'in both the places where one case-suffix is used for another and where one case-suffix is used in the sense of another case-suffix'; but, on the other hand, Cōnāvarāiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take it to mean in both the places where the case-suffix has its original meaning and that of another case-suffix.' The former interpretation is better.

Note 3.—Thus we see that the  $s\bar{u}tras$  84 to 101 deal with contamination.

Note 4.—From this sūtra it is evident that there should have been a vast Literature in Tamil at the time of Tolkāppiyanār. It is unfortunate that we are not in possession of any of them.

102. உருபுகொடர்க் தடிக்கிய வேற்றுடைக் கொலி ஒருசொன் கூடைய பொருள்சென் மருங்கே. Urupu-totarn t-atukkiya vērrumai-k kiļavi Oru-con hatniya poruļ-cen marunks.

Words having the same case-suffix may be treated as if they are one if the sense allows it, i. e. they may qualify the same word.

Ex.—Antanar nūrkum arattirkum āti (Kuraļ. 543).
(That which preceded Vēdas and Dharma).

Note 1.—Vērrumai-k-kiļavi generally means case-suffix. Here it should be taken to denote a word with a case-suffix. Hence this suggests a paribhāṣā that wherever case-suffix is mentioned, the noun with the case-suffix should be taken if it is suggested by the context. Cf. The Skt. paribhāṣā Pratyayagrahaṇē tadantā grāhyāh.

Note 2.—In the interpretation of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  the commentators disagree. Ilampuranar takes the word urupu in the sense pala wrupu; but he has not explicitly stated that they should not be the same suffix though in the examples yanaiyatu kottai nuni-k-kat kuraittān, tinaiyir kiliyai-k katiyum which he has given, only different suffixes are used. · Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār have stated that the same suffix should be repeated as ennotu ninnotu. The former condemns Ilampuranar that in the examples given by him there is no atukku since nunikkan and kiliyai are only atai-moli (adjunct) to the verbs  $kuraitt\bar{a}\underline{n}$  and katiyum respectively. Naccinārkkiniyar condemns Cēnāvaraiyar that they are not ataimoli, but does not discuss whether they are atukkii or not. He states examples wherein words having the same suffix are read together, as also words with different suffixes. When we take into consideration the word atukku, the interpretation of Cēnāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be sound.

103. இது இயு பிடையு மெல்லா அருபும் செறிபடு பொருள்வயி னிலவுதல் வரையார்.

> Irutiyu m-iţaiyu m-ellā v-urupum Nezi-paţu poruţ-vayi nilavutal varaiyār.

(Learned men) do not prevent words having different casesuffixes at the middle and at the end of the expression from qualifying the same (finishing) word.

# VĒ<u>rr</u>umai-mayankiyal

Ex.—(Cāttaṇatu) āṭaiyai valiyiṇār kāṭṭinkan parittāṇ.
(He took by force Cāttaṇ's cloth in the forest.)

Note 1.—The word urupù in the sūtra should be taken in the the same way as vērrumai-k-kiļavi in the preceding sūtra.

Note 2.—The meaning given above is that given by Teyvaccilaiyar. It is only in his interpretation this satra has a bearing on the previous sūtra and the following sūtra. The interpretation of Ilampuranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar is as follows: Words with case-suffixes may stand both in the middle of sentences and at their end. Ilampuranar does not seem to have noted the difference between ātai cāttanatů (the cloth belongs to Cāttan) and cāttanatu ātai (Cāttan's cloth). Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar have noted it. The former has suggested that a word in the seventh case may be used at the end or in the middle of a sentence only if it qualifies a verb and not if it qualifies a uoun. For instance the expression kunrattukkat-kūkai (the owl on the rock) cannot be optionally used as kūkai kunrattukkan. Civañānamunivar states that this sūtra speaks of the usage in Sanskrit that no word should have its suffix dropped.\* Since Tolkappiyanar has to write sūtras dealing only with Tamil usage, Civañānamunivar's view is not sound.

Note 3.—The sentence  $\bar{I}_{FU}$ -peyarkkākum enrotappatta uruputammaiyē irutiyum itaiyum nirkum ena vakuttu-k-kūrinān enpatu found in the  $C\bar{e}\underline{n}$ avaraiyam seems to be an interpolation. It is not in conformity with his statements mentioned previous to it.  $Civa\bar{n}\bar{a}\underline{n}$ amunivar condemns him for this sentence.

Note 1.—If we take the interpretation of Teyroccilaiyār here, one may question how we get sanction for the use of words with case-suffixes at the end of sentences. It is suggested by the sūtra

Aiyuń kaṇṇu m-allā-p poruļ-vayiṇ Mey-y-urupu tokāa v-iruti y-āṇa. (Col. 105)

\* From this it is clear that the elision of case-suffixes in Vedas has escaped his notice.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Note 5—In the Naccinārkkiniyam the expression cāttanatu āṭai enpuli....vinaikkurippāyirrū is found. It seems to be an interpolation since the same idea has been stated by the sentence Iruti-k-kan ninrakkāl vinaikkurippām enru unarka.

104. பிறி தபிறி தேற்றலு முருபுதொக வருதலும் கெறிபட வழங்கிய வழிமருங கென்ப.

> Piritu-piri t-ērralu m-urupu-toka varutalum Neri-pata valankiya vali-marun k-enpa.

It is said that usage sanctions nouns, with the case-suffixes retained or dropped, qualifying different words in the middle and end of sentences.

Ex.—Kātaliyai-k koṇṭu kavunti-y-oṭu kūṭi kōvalaṇ ceṇṛāṇ(Kōvalaṇ went taking his wife and meeting with
Kavunti.)

Nilan katantān.

(He crossed the land.)

Cirril narrūņ parri. (Pura. 86)

(Taking hold of the well-made pillar in the toy-house)

Note 1.—The meaning given above is that of Teyvaccilaiyār. Since the previous two  $s\bar{u}tras$  have stated that words with case-suffixes qualify the same word, the former part of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  enjoins that they may qualify different words. In so doing, the case-suffixes also may be dropped; this is sanctioned by the second part of the  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 2.—The words irutiyum, itaiyum and ellä urupum are taken here from the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 3.— The former part of this 'sūtra is interpreted by Ilampūraņar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiṇiyar that one casesuffix may be super-posed on another. Though it has been said as a general case, they take that only the sixth case-suffix will be super-posed on another case-suffix, preferably an unlike suffix. Examples are Cāltaṇataṇai, Cāttaṇataṇāi, Cāttaṇataṇati etc. Teyvaccilaiyār points out two defects in their interpretation:—

(1) Cāttanatù in Cāttanatanai does not mean Cāttan's, but means Cāttan's object and hence it is not a noun in the sixth case, but it is a noun in the nominative case. (2) If otherwise, Cāttanatanai should have the same meaning as Cāttanata, which is not the case. Teyvaccilaiyār is undoubtedly correct in this condennation.

Note 4.—Since the elision of the case-suffixes is said in connection with  $v\bar{e}rrumai-t-tokai$  in the Ecca-v-iyat, why should the same be enjoined here? Teyvaccilaiyār answers this question by saying that the elision of case-suffix mentioned in the Ecca-v-iyat is in connection with a compound and its mention here is intended to apply to expressions other than compounds. For instance in the expression cirrit narrun parri, cirrit is a noun in the seventh case with the case suffix dropped and narrun is a noun in the second case with the case-suffix dropped.

Note 5. The word neri-paṭa-valankiya-vali-marunkin means 'in accepted usage'.

105. ஐயுங் கண்ணு மல்லாப் பொருள்வயின் மெய்யுருபு தொகாஅ விறுதி யான.

> Ai-y-uń kaṇṇu m-allā-p poruļ-vayin Mey-y-urupu tokāa v-iruti y-āṇa.

No case-suffix will be elided at the end of a sentence except those of the second and the seventh.

Ex.—Yām ēttukam palavē (Pura. 10, 13) { We shall extel many (of your qualities) } Nalla illa ākupa ....akanralai nātē (Pura. 7,.13) (Let the good become extinct in the wide land)

Note 1.—This sūtra is important since it has given rise to the notion that the words kāl, akam, puram, vayin, kaṭai etc. are seventh case-suffixes. For instance in the word mūnralankaṭai-y-ē of the first sūtra of Tolkāppiyam, the word kaṭai is a noun meaning place and the seventh case-suffix kaṇ is dropped

#### TOLK APPLYAM—COLLATIKARAM

on the strength of this  $s\bar{u}tra$ , since the root—al cannot have the seventh case-suffix with the  $c\bar{a}riyai—am$  in the middle- But later scholars take it to be a seventh case-suffix.

106. யாத ஹருபிற் கூறிற் மூயினும் பொருள்சென் மருங்கின் வேற்றுமை சாரும்.

> Yāta u-urupir kūrir r-āyiuum Porul-cen marunkiu vērrumai cārum.

The meaning of the case-suffix can be taken in whatever form it is given expression to.

Ex.—Oru-kaṇai koṇṭu mū-v-eyil uṭarri. (Pura. 55, 2) (Having destroyed three forts with one arrow)

Note 1.—Here the word konth serves the purpose of the third case-suffix. Such words are called colluruph. This is the only sutra which sanctions it.

Note 2.—Here the word  $urup\dot{u}$  does not mean case-suffix, but form as in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ 

A-m-mū v-urupina tonra l-arē. (Col. 160)

Note 3.—The meaning given above belongs to  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$ . The other three think that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  means that, whatever be the case-suffix, it should be taken to have the meaning of such case-suffix as suits the context. If that is taken to be the meaning of this  $s\bar{u}tra$ , it may be said that it simply repeats the idea contained in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  101 mentioned above. Hence the interpretation of  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$  is sound.

107. எதிர்மறுத்து மொழியிணுர் தத்த மாபிற் பொருணில் திரியா வேற்றுமைச் சொல்லே.

> Etirmaruttu moliyinun tatta marapir Porunilai tiriya vērrumai-e collē.

Case suffixes will have the same meaning even when they qualify a negative verb.

Ex.—Yālotun kolļā (Pura. 92. 1).
(They cannot be in harmony with the sound produced by uāl.)

Note 1.—This sūtra clears the doubt whether case-suffixes may be used along with negative verbs since the doer, object, instrument etc. can be had only by a positive verb.

108. குஐ ஆனென வரூட மிறதி அவ்வொடு சிவணுஞ் செட்யு ஞன்னே.

> Ku-ai āṇ-eṇa varūu m-iruti A-v-v-oṭu civaņuñ ceyyu lullē.

The words having suffixes ku, ai and  $a\underline{n}$  at the end of a line may be appended by the particle a in verse.

Ex.—Aļavu niraiyu m-eņņum varu-vaļi Neţu-mutal kurukalu m-ukaram varutalun Kaţinilai y-inrē y-āciri yarkka. (Tol. Elut. 390) Kāva lōna-k kaļiran cummē. Aiyun kannu m-allā-p poruļ-vayin Mey-y-urupu tokāa v-iruti y-āna. (Tol. Col. 105.)

109. அஎனப் பிறத்த லஃறினே மகுங்கிற் குவ்வும் ஐயு மில்லென மொழிப.

> A-ena-p piratta l-a: rinai marunkir Kuvvum ai-yu m-illena molipa.

Ku and ai cannot append a if they are suffixed to a:rinai names.

Note 1.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  suggests that a may be appended only to  $\bar{a}n$  when it is suffixed both to uyartinai and a:rinai nouns.

110. இதன திதுவிற் றென்னும் கிளவியும் அதினர் கொள்ளும் பொருள்வழி ஞனும் அதஞற் செயற்படற் கொத்த கிளலியும் முறைக்கொண் டெழுந்த பெயர்ச்சொற் கிளவியும்

பால்வரை கௌவியும் பண்பி ஞக்கமுங் காலத்தி னறியும் வேற்றுமைக் கௌவியும் பற்றுவிடு கௌலியுக் தீர்க்துமொழிக் கௌவியும் அன்ன பிறவு கான்க ஹாருபின் தொன்(அறி மாபின தோன்ற லாறே.

Itana t-ituvir r-ennun kilaviyum
Atanai-k kolium porul-vayi nanum
Atanai-k kolium porul-vayi nanum
Murai-k-kon t-elunta peyar-e-eor kilaviyum
Pal-varai kilaviyum panni n-akkamun
Kalatti n-ariyum verrumai-k kilaviyum
Parru-vitu kilaviyun tirntu-moli-k kilaviyum
Anna piravu nanka n-urupin
Tonneri maranna tonna 1-ara.

The fourth case is used from very ancient times in the following meanings:—in place of the sixth case in such sentences as 'this of this is of this sort'; in place of the second case in expressions like 'this will hold that'; in the place of the third case in sentences like 'this is fit to be done by him'; in place of sixth case denoting relationship; in place of the fifth case denoting the exact position of land and comparison; in place of the seventh case denoting time; and before the roots parru-vitu and tirwhich generally take the fifth case.

- Ex.—1. Yāṇaikku-k kōṭu kūritū.
  (The tusk of the elephant is sharp.)
  - Ivaţkuk koļļum i-v-v-aņi. (This ornament will suit her.)
  - Avarku-c ceyya-t takum i-k-kāriyam.
     (This act deserves to be done by him.)
  - Ävirku-k kanru.
     (The calf of the cow.)
  - Karuvûrkku-k kilakkû. (East of Karûr.)
  - Cāttarku neţiyan.
     (He is taller than Cāttan.)

Kälaikku varum.

(He comes in the morning.)

- Manai-vālkkaikku-p parru-viţţān.
   (He extracted himself from the attachment of a house-holder.)
- Ürkku-t tīrntān.
   (He removed himself from the village.)

Note 1.—Under anna piravum examples like kanpani niruttal elito...kuyil akavun kural ketporkku (Akananni 97). (Is it easy to refrain from weeping for those who have the sweet notes of the cuckoo?) may be takens:

Note 2.—Why should not the author have stated this sūtra after sūtra 100, since this also deals with contamination? He may have done it. But Cēnāvaraiyar says that sūtras 84 to 100 dealt with the contamination of the case-suffixes used in splitting compounds and this sūtra does not deal with the splitting of compounds.

111. எனே யுருபு மன்ன மாதின மான மிலவே சொன்முறை யான.

> Ēņai y-urupu m-anna marapina Māna m-ilavē con-murai y-āna.

There will be no harm if other case-suffixes also are used in a similar manner.

Ex,—'Nūlai-k kurraŭ kāriņāņ' in place of 'nālatu kurraŭ kāriņāņ.'

(He found fault with the work.)

Note 1.—The reading in all the books at the beginning of the second line is  $m\bar{a}nam$  and it means harm. It seems to me that  $m\bar{a}nam$  is a tadbhava of the Sanskrit word  $m\bar{a}na$  which does not have that meaning. Hence my conjecture is that it should be  $a\underline{n}am$ , the tadbhava of  $h\bar{a}nam$ . One should explain the appearance of m at the beginning. In  $s\bar{a}tras$  like  $valleluttu\ mikinu$   $m\bar{a}na$   $m\bar{a}na$ 

(Tol. Elut. 231, 247, etc.) the splitting should have been wrongly done thus—valleluttu mikinum mānam illai. Since Tolkāppiyanār is fully conversant with Sanskrit, I am sure he would not have committed this mistake. In later Tamil lexicons like  $C\bar{u}d\bar{a}man$  the word  $m\bar{a}n$  has been given the meaning harm.

112. விளேயே செய்வது செயப்படு பொருளே கிலனே காலம் கருவி யென்று இன்னதற கதைபய ஞக வென்னும் அன்ன மாபி னிரண்டொடுக் தொகைஇ ஆயேட் டென்ப தொழின்முத னிலேயே. Viṇaiyē ceyvatu ceyappaṭu poruļ-ē Nilaṇē kālan karuvi y-eṇrā Iṇṇatar k-itu-paya ṇ-āka v-eṇṇum Aṇa marapi ṇ-iranṭoṭun tokaii Ā-y-eṭ ṭ-eṇpa toḷiṇ-muta ṇilaiyē.

(Learned men) say that there are eight things that should precede an action:-krti (effort within the body of the doer), doer, object of a verb, place, time, instrument, the recipient and the purpose of doing.

Note 1. The word vinai in this sūtra means kṛti¹ and not kriyā.² But Cēṇāvaraiyar mistakes viṇai to mean kriyā; that is unsound since kriyā cannot precede kriyā. From this one may infer that he did not study Nyāya-śastra. Naccinārkkiniyar takes viṇai to mean verbal roots like un, tin etc; this is also unsound since the verbal roots are not one of the requisites for an action to take place.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār takes tolin-mutanilai to mean kārakam.<sup>3</sup> Since vinai is not a kārakam, the interpretation is unsound.

- 1. Kṛti = Volitional effort.
- 2. Kriyā = Activity.
- 3. Karakam = 1 he relation subsisting between a noun and a verb.

Note 3—Teyvaccilaiy $\bar{a}r$  states that the fifth case meaning is not mentioned here perhaps with the idea that it is not a  $k\bar{a}ra-kam$ ; but it seems to me that the word  $nila\underline{n}$  may stand both for the seventh case meaning and for the fifth case meaning.

Note 4—The word mutanilai means 'that which precedes' and hence 'cause'

Note 5.—The mention of  $i\underline{n}$ natarkii and itu payan aka as a group separated from  $vi\underline{n}$ ai, ceyvatii, ceya-p-paţu-porul, nilan,  $k\bar{a}$ lam and karuvi is due to the fact that the former group is not so important as the latter.

Note 6.—Cenāvaraiyar says that, though the case meanings have been mentioned in the  $V\bar{e}r_Tumai\cdot y\cdot iyal$ , this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is necessary since here the word ceyrppatuporul gives the meaning of the second case in a comprehensive manner, karuvi includes  $\bar{e}t\bar{u}$ ,  $k\bar{a}lam$  includes  $vi\underline{n}ai\cdot cey\cdot y\cdot itam$  and it has newly mentioned  $vi\underline{n}ai$ . But it seems to me that, had it not been for this  $s\bar{u}tra$ , the  $s\bar{u}tra$  71 cannot be taken to mention the object as the meaning of the second case; besides this  $s\bar{u}tra$  serves as a sort of introduction to  $s\bar{u}tra$  114.

113. அவைதாம் வழங்கியன் மருங்கிற் குன்றுவ குன்**றம்.** Avai-tām Valankiyan marunkir kunguva kungum.

Some of them may not be used in actual usage.

Note 1.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  is important since, otherwise, it may be taken that all the eight mentioned in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  should invariably be mentioned in a sentence.

114. முதல்ற் கூ.அஞ் சினேயேறி கிளவியுஞ் சினாய்ற் கூ.அ மு.தலறி கிளவியும் பிறந்தவழி 2 கூ.அதலும் பண்புகொள் பெயரும் இயன்றது மொழித்து விருபெய பொட்டும் விளைமுத லுணைகளுள் கிளவியொடு தொகைஇ அளையமர பின்வே யாகுபெயர்க கிளவி.

Mutalir kūrun ciņai-y-ari kiļaviyun Ciņai-y-ir kūru mutal-ari kiļaviyum Piranta-vaļi-k kūralum paņpu-koļ peyarum Iyanratu moļitalu m-irupeya r-oṭṭum Viṇai-muta l-uraikkun kiļaviyoṭu tokaii Anaiya-mara piṇavē y-ākupeyar-k kiļavi.

Akupeyar or metonymy is of the following kinds:—whole put for the part, part for the whole, the place of production for the product, quality for the object possessing it, cause for effect, irupeyarotti (the compound made up of two words of which the second member denotes a part and the first an object similar to it or its action), the door for the object done etc.

Teńku tingan.
 (He ate the cocoanut.)

Tenkh generally means 'cocoanut tree.' Here it means cocoanut fruit.

Paṇivār cimaiyan kāṇam pōki. (Maturaikkāñoi, 168.)
 (Having traversed the forests full of mountains covered with snow.)

Cimaiyam which generally means 'peak' denotes here mountains having peaks.

 Cil-pūń-kalińkattal (Kalittokai 56)
 (She wears the cloth made in Kalińga which has a few flowers.)

Kalinkam which means 'the province Kalinga' here means 'the cloth made in Kalinga'.

Muruku urala-t talai-c-ceurû (Maturaikkāñci, 181)
 (Having gone against [the enemies] like Murukan.)

Here the quality Muruků is put for Murukan.

I-k-kuṭam poṇ.
 (This pot is gold.)

Here the word pon is put for the object made of pon.

6. (a) Tuți-y-ițai vantāl.

(The woman having her waist like tuti, came.)

(b) Īr-ōti y-en-rōliyum varumē. (Akanānūru 107.)
(My friend also who has her hair cool comes.)

Here tuți-y-ițai and îr-oți are irupeyar-oțțu.

7. Tiruvalluvar pați.

(Read the Kural written by Tiruvalluvar.)

Note 1.—Some may question the need of this sūtra here. But from the sūtra 112 the sentences like tenku tinrān may be viewed as incorrect since tenku is not any one of the eight mentioned there. But since such sentences have come in vogue, their usage must receive the sanction of Tolkāppiyanār. Hence, he has read this sūtra here.

Note 2.—As regards iru-peyar-ottu, there is difference of opinion among commentators. Ilampuranar and Cenavaraiyar feel that it is identical with anmoli-t-tokai; Naccinarkkiniyar takes compounds like makkat-cuttu in Tol. Col. 1 where the second member denotes by metonymy cuttappatum-porul, as iru-peyar-ottu. Civañanamunivar agrees with Naccinarkkiniyar. Tevvaccilaivār. on the other hand, takes such instances like tuti-y-itai and tāl-kulal as are taken by others as uvama-t-tokai-p-purattu-ppiranta-v-anmoli-t-tokai and vinai-t-tokai-p-purattu-p-piranta-vanmoli-t-tokai and states two reasons for his view:-(1) Tolkappiya. ngr has not stated in sūtra 418 uvamai-t-tokai-p-purattu-p-piranta-vvinai-t-tokai-p-purattu-p-piranta-v-anmoli-tanmoli-t-tokai and tokai (2) the second member of the compound here-itai (waist), kulal, ōti (hair)—is a part of the whole which the compound denotes, while that of an moli-t-tokai is not so. Teyvaccilaiyar's opinion seems to agree with Tolkappiyanar's.

Note 3.—Since, in the opinion of  $C\bar{e}n\bar{a}varaiyar$ , irupeyar-ottu and  $a\underline{n}mo\underline{l}i$ -t-tokai are identical, he raises the question that this need not be mentioned here since  $a\underline{n}mo\underline{l}i$ -t-tokai is treated in the Ecca-v-iyal and answers it thus:—In the section on compounds he mentioned  $a\underline{n}mo\underline{l}i$ -t-t-tokai and here in the section dealing with nouns like iyar-peyar and  $a\underline{k}u$ -peyar, he has mentioned irupeyar-otti. The reason does not seem to be sound.

Note 4.—Under vinai-mutal-uraikkun-kilavi, Ilampūranar has given Tolkāppiyam, Kapilam as examples. Since they are different from the words Tolkāppiyan and Kapilan, Cēnāvaraiyar condemns Ilampūranar. Naccinārkkiniyar cites under this sūtra the example i-v-vāṭai kōlikan given by Cēnāvaraiyar and under the sūtra kilanta v-alla vēru-pira tōnrinum (Tol. Col. 117) the examples Tolkāppiyam and Kapilam. This is one of the instances wherein Naccinārkkiniyar sides both with Ilampūranar and Cēnāvaraiyar when both of them differ in their opinion.

Note 5.—In the Cēnāvaraiyam the sentence Āku-peyar eļuvāyvērrumai-mayakkam-ātalān īnṭu kūrinār-enrārāl Uraiyāciriyar eṇin
is found. This sentence is not found in the Namaccivāya
Mudaliyār's Ilampūranam edition. But Naccinārkkiniyar states at
the last sūtra of his chapter I-v-v-āku-peyarkaļ eļuvāy-vērrumai
mayakkam-eṇru uṇarka. If he had stated this after meeting the
objections raised by Cēnāvaraiyar against Ilampūranar, it would
have been correct.

### 115. அவைதாம்

தத்தம் பொருள்வயிற் றம்மொடு சிவணதும் ஒப்பில் வழியாற் பிறிதுபொருள் சுட்டலும் அப்பண் பினவே தாவலுங் கா?ல வேற்றுமை மருங்கிற் போற்றல் வேண்டும்.

Avaitām

Tattam porul-vayir rammotu civanalum Oppil valiyār piritu-porul cuṭṭalum A-p-pan piṇavē nuvalun kālai Vērrumai marunkir pērral vēṇṭum,

They are of two kinds; one denoting those that are connected with them and the other denoting those that are not connected with them. If there is any deviation in literature, they should be taken into account.

Note.—This sūtra deals with another kind of classification of āku-peyar. I think that tattam-porul-vayir-rammotu civanal and ajahallakṣaṇā in Skt. are identical and oppil-valiyār-piritu-porul-cuttal and jahallakṣaṇā are identical. The fourth line, in my opinion,

should be a different sūtra dealing with jahad-ajahal-lakṣaṇā. Ilampūraṇar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar take it as a separate sūtra, but they interpret vērrumai as case-suffix instead of deviation. Teyvaccilaiyār takes all the four lines as one sūtra and states that āku-peyar is of four kinds:—tattam-porul-vayir-civaṇal, tammoṭu civaṇal, oppil-valiyār-cutṭal and piritu porul-cutṭal and interprets vērrumai to be the case-suffix. Cēṇāvaraiyar also takes all the four lines into one sūtra and takes a-p-paṇpiṇavē to be the adjunct of vērrumai.

116. அளவு கிறையு மவற்குருடு கொள்வழி உளதுவன மொழிப வுணர்க்கிகி கூரே. Alavu niraiyu m-avarrotu kol-vali Ula-v-eya molipa v-uṇarntici uōrē.

Learned men say that words denoting measures and weights are taken with them.

- Ex.—(1) Kuruni kotu.

  (Give me a kuruni [four measures of corn.])
  - (2) Oru cër koțu.
    (Give me one seer [of oil, etc.])

Note 1.—These are cases of  $jahad-ajahal-laksan\overline{a}$ . In order to serve as an introduction to this I think we should take the fourth line of the previous  $s\overline{u}tra$  as a separate one.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar think that the words denoting number are not āku-peyar; they have said so perhaps because similar words in Sanskrit denote both numbers and numerical objects. Civañānamunivar condemns them. I agree with him.

117. கொக்க வல்ல வேறாபிற கோன்றினுவ் கொக்கவற் றியலா ணுணர்க்களர் கொளலே. Kilanta v-alla vēru-pira tōnrinun Kilantavar rivalā h-unarntabar kolalā.

If anything not mentioned here appears in Literature, it should be taken into account on the lines chalked above.

Ex.—Yāl kēttān.

(He listened to the notes of  $y\bar{a}$ !.)

, (Here the instrument  $y\bar{a}$  is put for its notes.)

Yānai vantān.

(Elephant-like person came.)

(Here the word yāṇai refers to a person resembling it.)

Note.—This sūtra suggests that the kinds of metonymy are so varied that they have not been mentioned in an exhaustive manner in sūtra 114.

## iv Vili-marapù.

118. விளியெனப் படுப கொள்ளும் பெயரொடு தெளியத் தோன்று மியற்கைய வென்ப. Vili-y-eṇa-p patupa koḷḷum peyarotu Teḷiya-t tōṇru m-iyarkaiya v-eṇpa.

They say that what is called *vili* or the vocative case is of the nature of being explicitly seen in words which take a special form in the vocative case.

Note 1.—The meaning of the vocative case is not mentioned here since the name vili itself suggests it.

Note 2.—The expression kollum peyaroti suggests that there are certain nouns (peyar) which do not take a special form in the vocative case.

119. அவ்வே இவ்வென வறிதற்கு மெய்பெறக் கௌப்ப. A-v-v-ē Iv-v-eya v-aritarku mey-pera-k kiļappa.

In order to understand what the words which take a special form in the vocative case are, they will be explicitly mentioned.

Note 1.—Since the following surres explicitly mention the change which words undergo in the vocative case, I am at a loss to understand the exact need for this surra.

Note 2.—The word av 2 denotes vili-kol-peyar according to Cēṇāvaraiyar and words in the vocative case according to Teyvaccilaiyār. Cēṇāvaraiyar himself condemns the latter interpretation since avaitām in the next sūtra will not suit well.

- 1. The root vili means 'to call', 'to summon'.
- 2. Av and Avai have the same meaning.

120. அவைதாம்

இட ஐத வென்னு மிறி அப்பா ஞன்கே யுயர்இணே மருங்கின் மெய்ப்பொருள் சுட்டிய விளிகொள் பெயரே.

Avai-tām I-u ai-ō v-eūūu m-iruti Appā ūāūkā y-uyartiņai marunkiņ Mev-n-porul cuttiva vili-kol peyarē.

Among uyartinai nouns those which clearly undergo modification in the vocative case are those that end in the vowels i, u, ai and ā.

Note 1.—The expressiom avai-tām may be taken as a separate adhikāra sūtra, since it has to be taken along with the sūtra 128 or it may be taken there by anuvrtti.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads avarrul in place of avaitām in the  $s\bar{u}ira$ .

121. அவற்றுள்

இசு யாகும் ஐயா யாகும்.

Avarrul

lī y-ākum ai-y-ā y-ākum.

Of them nouns ending in i change to i and those ending in ai change to ay.

Ex.—Onțoți nănilan ivan (Kalit. 61)

(Oh lady with lustruous armlets, this man has no modesty)

Teri-y-ilāy nī-yu-nin kēļum puņara (Kalit. 39)

(Oh lady with nice ornaments, in order that you may join with your lover)

122. ஓவும் உவ்வும் ஏயொடு சிவணும்.

Ō-v-um u-v-v-um ē-y-oṭu civaņum.

(Of them) nouns ending in  $\delta$  and u take  $\tilde{e}$  after them.

## VILI-MARAPU

Ex.—Ceruppin...kövē (Patirru. 21)

(Oh the King of the mountain called ceruppů)

Vēntē....tavāliyar (Patirru. 14)

(Oh King may you be free from destruction!)

Note 1.—The u referred to in the sūtra is kurriyalukaram, which is evident from the following sūtra.

Note 2.—Avarrul follows in this sūtra from sūtra 121.

123. உகாக் தானே குற்றிய லுகாம். Ukaran tāṇ-ē kurriya lukaram.

The u referred to above is kurriyalukaram.

124. ஏனே யுயிரே யுயர்திணே மருங்கின் தரம்விளி கொன்ளா வென்மஞர் புலவர். Ēṇai y-uyir-ē y-uyartiṇai maruṅkiṇ Tām-viļi koḷḷā v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

Learned men say that uyartinai nouns ending in other vowels do not undergo change in form in the vocative case.

Note.—The word uyir in this sūtra has to be interpreted as a noun ending in uyir and hence suggests the paribhāṣā that final elements refer to the words having them as finals.

125. அளபெடை மிகூ உ மிகா விறபெயர் இயற்கைய வாகுஞ் செயற்கைய வென்ப. Alapetai mikūu m-ikara v-irupeyar Iyarkaiya v-ākuñ ceyarkaiya v-enpa.

They say that the nouns having i as alapetai at the end do not change i to i but take only i after them.

Ex.—toli i i... (Kalit. 103.) (O friend....)

Note—Ilampūranar and Teyvaccilaiyār take this sūtra to mean that words ending in alapetai 'i' do not undergo any

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

modification. But in Literature we find forms like  $t\bar{o}[i.$   $C\bar{e}n_{\bar{o}}$ -varaiyar and Naccinārkķiniyar, on the other hand, interpret that words ending in i having three  $m\bar{q}tr\bar{q}s$  add i alone in the vocative case. The only mistake in the interpretation of the latter two is that they take alapetai te have three  $m\bar{q}tr\bar{q}s$ .

126. முறைப்பெயர் மருங்கி ஊரமை எரிறுதி ஆவொடு வருதற் குரியவு முனவே.

> Murai-p-peyar marunki n-ai-y-e n-iruti Ā-v-oṭu varutar k-uriya-v-u m-ulavē.

There are some in words of relationship ending in ai that even change to  $\tilde{a}$  (in place of  $\tilde{a}v$ .)

Ex.—Annai (Mother.) — Anna (Oh mother.)

Note.—The particle um suggests that there is the form  $a\underline{n}\underline{n}gy$  also.

127. அண்மைச் சொல்லே யியற்கை யாகும். Anmai-c col-l-ē y-iyarkai y-ākum.

Word in the vocative case which is used to call one near at hand undergoes no modification.

Ex.—Kāṇiya vā tōli (Kalit. 42.)
(Oh friend, come to see.)
Nī nīṭu vāliya neṭuntakai (Pura. 55.)

(Oh King of long fame, may you live long!)

Note.—Though the word anmaic-col literally means 'word at-hand,' yet it means here 'the word which is used to call one near at hand.'

128. ணாலள வென்னு மக்கான் கென்ப புள்ளி யிறதி விளிகொள் பெடிரே.

> Na-ra-la la v-eṇṇu m-a-n-nāṇ k-eṇpa Puḷḷi y-iruti viḷi-koḷ peyar-ē.

# VILI-MARAPÙ

Of the words that end in consonants, only those which end in one of the four consonants  $\underline{n}$ , r, l and l, undergo modification in the vocative case.

Note 1.—Avaî-tām is to be taken here from sūtra 120.

Note 2:—It is worthy of note that, later on, words ending in n also underwent modification in the vocative case.

Cf.—Unkannāy (Kalit. 37.) (Oh lady with anointed eyes.)

129. எனப் புள்ளி மிறுவிரி கொள்ளா. Ēṇai-p puļļi y-īru-viļi koļļā.

Words ending in other consonants do not undergo change in the vocative case.

Note.—This  $s\bar{n}tra$  may as well be omitted since its purpose is served by the previous one.

130. அவற்றுள் அன்னெ னிறுதி யாவா கும்மே.

> Avarrul An-n-e n-iruti y-ā-v-ā kum-m-ē.

Of them, those that end in an change to a.

Ex.—Cēkā..... (Kalit. 22.)
(Oh servant ....)

131. அண்மைச் சொல்லிற் தகர மாகும். Anmai-c col-l-ir k-akara m-ākum.

An in anmai-c-col is changed to a.

Ex.—Perum-patai-t-talaiva (Patirru. 24)
(Oh lord of a large army)

Note.—In  $vatuva\underline{n}$  valitya ni (Kalit. 96) there is no modification in vatuvan.

## TOLKÁPPIYAM-COLLATIKÁRAM

132. ஆகௌ னிறதி யியற்கை யாகும்.

Āņ-e n-iruti y-iyarkai y-ākum.

Words ending in an do not undergo any change.

Ex.—Ceraman (Oh ceraman)

133. தொழிலிற் கூற மானெ னிறை இ ஆயா கும்மே விளிவமி தைன.

Tolilir kūru m-āņ-e ņ-iruti Āy-ā kum-m-ē viļi-vayi ņ-āņa.

Verbal and gerundial nouns ending in  $a\underline{n}$  change  $a\underline{n}$  to  $a\underline{y}$  in the vocative case.

Ex.—Aliyum utaiyōy (Pura. 2)
[Oh (king) who has merey]

Note.—A in ay changes to o by Tol. Col. 195.

134. பண்புகொள் பெயரு மதனே ரற்றே. Paṇpu-kol peyar-u m-ataņō r-arrē.

Words denoting quality also are of the same nature.

Ex.—Neytalankānal neţiyōy (Pura. 10).
(Oh king of long descent at the place Neytalankānal)

135. அளபடைப் பெயரே யளபெடை யியல. Alapeṭai-p peyar-ē y-alapeṭai y-iyala.

Words having alapetai before the final n are of the same nature as words ending in alapetai i.

Ex.— Alaān

136. முறைப்பெயர்க் கிளவி யேடுயாடு வருமே. Muxai-p-peyar-k kilavi y-6-y-otu varum-6.

Words of relationship ending in n take ē after them.

Ex.—Yāvō v-āyiṇa māan makaṇē (Kalit, 21)

#### VILI-MARAPU

 தானென் பெயருஞ் சுட்டுமுதற் பெயரும் யானென் பெயரும் விளுவின் பெயரும் அன்றி பினேத்தும் விளிகோ ளிலகு.

Taū-en peyar-un cuṭṭu-mutar peyar-um Yaū-en peyar-um viṇā-v-in peyarum Aṇri y-aṇaittum viḷi-kō ḷ-ila-v-ē.

The pronouns  $ta\underline{n}$ ,  $ava\underline{n}$ ,  $iva\underline{n}$ ,  $uva\underline{n}$ ,  $ya\underline{n}$ ,  $ya\underline{n}$ ,  $yava\underline{n}$  etc., do not take the vocative case.

138. ஆரு மருவு மீரொடு சிவணும்.

Ār-u m-ar-uvu m-īr-oţu civanum.

Words ending in ar and ar change to ir.

Ex.—Pentir-um pini-y-utai-īrum ...num aran cērmin (Purs. 9)

(Oh women and those who are ill retire to your place of safety)

Porrumin maravir (Pura. 104) (Oh brave varriors, protect)

Note.—In pāṇar kāṇkivan kaṭumpinatiṭumpai (Pura 173) pāṇar undergoes no modification in the vocative case.

139. தொழிற்பெய ராயி னேகாரம் வருதலும் வழுக்கின் றென்மனர் வயங்கி யோரே.

Tolir-peya r-āyi n-ēkāram varutalum Valukkin r-enmanār vayanki yörē.

Those who know the usage say that it is not wrong if verbal and gerundial nouns take  $\tilde{e}$  also in addition to the modification mentioned in the previous  $\tilde{sutra}$ .

Ex.—Ciru-kuṭi-y īrē . . . . . (Kalit, 39.)
(Oh citizens of small hamlets . . . . . , .)

140. பண்புகொள் பெயரு மதனே சற்றே. Panpu-kol peyar-u m-atanō r-arrē.

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Words denoting quality also are of the same nature.

Ex.—Pal-caurīrē . . . . (Pura. 195.)

(Oh men of many qualities . . . . . . )

141. அளபெடைப் பெயரே யளபெடை மியல. Alapetai-p peyarē y-alapetai y-iyala.

Words ending in r and preceded by alapetai are of the same nature as nouns having alapetai mentioned before.

Ex-Cirāar . . . . . (Pura. 29.)
(Oh young men . . . . . . )

142. சுட்டுமுதற் பெயரே முற்கினர் தன்னி. Cuttu-mutar peyarê mur-kilan tanna.

Demonstrative pronouns ending in r are of the nature of demonstrative pronouns ending in v mentioned above (i.e.) they do not take vocative case.

143. நம்மின் நிரிபெயர் விளுவின் பெயரென்ற அம்முறை நிரண்டு மவற்றியல் பிறலும்.

> Num-m-in riripeyar vināvin peyar-enru A-m-murai y-irantu m-avarriyal p-iyalum.

Niyir, the modified form of num and interrogative pronouns ending in r are of the same nature.

Note.—Nivir is said to be the modified form of num in abali-p-puparcei. Cf. Tol. Elut. 327.

144. எஞ்சிய விரண்டி னிறுதிப் பெயரே நின்ற வீற்றய னீட்டம் வேண்டும்.

> Enciya v-iranți n-iruti-p peyar-ē Ninta v-irraya nițtam ventum.

Nouns ending in other two (i.e.) l and l have their penultimate vowel lengthened.

#### VILI-MARAPU

Ex.—Onnutal namakku avar varutum. (Kalit. 35)
(Oh lady with lusturous forehead, he will come to us.)

Note.— Vayamān ropral (Pura. 44) and Tinţērannal (Pura. 198) are used without lengthening the penultimate vowel.

145. அயனெடி தாயி னியற்கை யாகும்.

Ayaneti t-āyi n-iyarkai y-ākum.

If the penultimate is long, they undergo no change.

Ex. - Mantamar atta matanutai nonral. (Pura. 213)

(Oh king of wonderful effort and strength so as to win in a strong fight.)

Note.—There is alapeţai in the vocative puli dati māal in Pura. 201.

146. விசோயினும் பண்பினு கிசுபைத் தோன்ற மொடிளை னிறுதி ஆயா கும்மே விளிவயி துனை.

> Viņai-y-iṇ-um paṇpiṇu Niṇaiya-t tŏṇru m-āļ-e-ṇ iruti Āy-ā kum-mē viļi-vayi ṇ-āṇa.

Verbal and participial nouns denoting quality ending in all change al to ay in the vocative case.

Ex.—Ilaiyōy kilaiyai man-n-enkēl veyyōrku. (Pura. 144) (Oh young lady, are you related to him who is eager of our friendship?)

147. முறைப்பெயர்க் இளவி முறைப்பெய ரியல. Murai-p-peyar-k kiļavi murai-p-peya r-iyala.

Words denoting relationship ending in l are of the same nature as those ending in q.

Ex.—Makaļē vā.

## TOLK APPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

148. சட்டுமுதற் பெயரும் விளுவின் பெயரும் முற்கினர் தன்ன வென்பஞர் புலவர். Cuṭṭu-mutar peyar-um viṇā-v-iṇ peyar-um Mur-kilan t-aṇṇa v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

Learned men say that demonstrative pronouns and interrogative pronouns ending in l are of the same nature as those mentioned above; (i, e) they do not take vocative case.

149. அளபெடைப் பெயரே யளபெடை மியல. Alapetai-p peyar-ē y-aļapetai y-iyala.

Words ending in 1 and 1 preceded by alapetai are of the same nature as those which end in n and r preceded by alapetai.

Ex. Valam puri-t tatakkai māal.

(Oh Visnu having conch in your hand) Měvar t tolaitta varan miku vēel.

(Oh vēļ with great valour who has routed the enemies)

Note.—Considering vātuvan, pāṇar, kuricil, toṇral, as vocative case without any modification in form, I am led to believe that there might have been here a sātra Aṇmai-c collē y-iyarkai y-ākum similar to sātra 127 to apply for nouns ending in consonants.

150. தொக்த விறுதி மூஃறிணை விரவுப்பெயர் விளம்பிய கொறிய விளிக்கும் கா?ல. Kilanta v-iruti y-a∴rinai viravu-p peyar Vilampiya neriya vilikkun kālai.

Nouns common to uyartinai and a: rinai ending in the four vowels and consonants mentioned above undergo the same modification in the vocative case when they are used in a: rinai.

Ex.—Cāttā, Cāttī, Kuruţā, Kuruţī etc.

151. புள்ளிபு முயிரு மி.மாதி யாகிய ஆஃறிணே மருங்கி கொல்லாப் பெயரும் விளிகிலே பெறாஉங் காலக் தோன்றின் தெளிகிலே யுடைய வேகாரம் வரலே.

### VILI-MARAPÜ

Puļļiyu mu-uyir-u m-iruti y-ākiya A. riņai maruūki ū-ellā-p peyar-um Viļi-nilai perūun kālan tōūriū Teļi-nilai y-uṭaiya v-ēkāram varal-ē.

All nouns in a :: ripai ending in consonants and vowels take  $\tilde{e}$  after them in the vocative case.

Nayirē en keļvan yanku uļan ayinum kattīmē.

(Kalit. 147.)

(Oh sun, will you not show me my lover wherever he is?)

Ex.—Vāli-y-er puņarnta pālē. (Pura. 176.)

(Oh my fate! may you prosper!)

Evvali nallavar āṭavar, avvali nallai vāliya nilaņē.

(Pura. 187.)

(Oh earth! may you prosper since you are good because people there are good.)

Note.—Expressions like elu-v-in neñcam in Pura 207 show that sometimes even a: rinai nouns undergo no modification in vocative case.

152. உளவெகுப் பட்ட வெல்லாப் பெயரும் அளபிறக் தனவே விளிக்குங் காலேச் சேய்மையி னிசைக்கும் வழக்கத் தான. Ula-v-ega p patta v-ellā-p peyarum Aļap-īran taṇa-v-ē viļikkun kālai-c Cēymai-y-i n-icaikkum vaļakkat tāṇa;

All the nouns which are said to undergo modification in the vocative case increase the quantity of the vowels when they are used to summon persons or objects at a distance.

Ex.—Nan kātalar varuvar kol vayankilāay. (Kalit. 11)

(Will my lover return, oh friend with shining ornaments?)

153: அம்ம வென்னு மனைச்சொன் கீட்டம் அம்முலைப் பெயரோடு கிவணு தாயினும் விளியொடு சொன்ப தெளியு மோரே.

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Amma v-eūūu m-acai-c-coū ūīṭṭam A-m-murai-p peyaroṭu civaṇā t-āyiūum Vili-y-oṭu koļpa teliyu mōr-ē.

Scholars take that the word amma used to draw the attention lengthen its final though it is not included among words of relationship.

Ex—Nakaiyin mikkatan kāmamum onrenpa-v ammā (Kalit. 147)

154. தகது எளன வடைமுத லாகித் தன்மை குறித்தனாளவெ னிறுதியும் அன்ன பிறவும் பெயர்கிஃ வரிகேன இன்மை வேண்டும் விளியொடு கொளலே.

> Ta-na-nu e-eṇa v-avai-muta l-āki-t Taṇmai kuritta ṇa-ra-la-v-e ṇ-irutiyum Aṇṇa pira-v-um peyar-nilai variṇ-ē Iṇmai vēṇṭum vili-y-oṭu kolal-ē.

Words commencing with t, n, nu and e and ending in n, r and l and denoting relationship and those of the same nature, do not take vocative case.

Note.—Such words are taman, tamal, tamar, naman, namal, namar, numan, numal, numar, eman, eman, eman, eman etc.

## v. Peyar-iyal

155. எல்லாச் சொல்லும் பொருள்குறித் தனவே. Ellā-c col·l-um poruļ-kurit tana-v-ē.

All words denote meaning.

Noțe I.—This sūtra deals with the definition of word. It agrees, with arthaḥ padam, the definition given in Suklayajurvēdaprātišākhya.

Note 2.—This sūtra and the following four do not, strictly speaking, belong to the peyar-iyal; they are common to all words which form parts of sentences which were dealt with in the previous four chapters.

Note 3.—Teyvaccilaiyār states that this sūtra may also mean that all words denote all meanings and the meaning of a word made up of particular sounds is to be determined in each language. But since Tolkāppiyanār has not defined word elsewhere and it is not evident from the meaning of the word col, this alternative meaning is not quite happy.

156. பொருண்மை தெரிதலுஞ் சொன்மை தெரிதலுஞ் சொல்லி ஞகு மென்மனர் புலவர்.

> Poruņmai terital-nñ conmai terital-nñ Colli n-āku m enmanār pulavar.

Learned men say that both the meaning and the form may be denoted by a word.

Ex.—In sūtra 162 avan, ivan, etc- denote only their form, while in sūtra 165, nila-p-peyar, kuţi-p-peyar etc. denote their meaning.

Note — This sūtra may be compared with Pāṇini's sūtra 'Svam rūpum šabdasya ašabdasanjūā'

#### TOLKÄPPIVAM--COLLATIKÄRAM

157. தெரிபுவேறு கிலையலும் குறிப்பிற் ரேன்றலும் இருபாற் றென்ப பொருண்மை கிலையே.

> Teripu-vēru nilaiyal-un kurippir rönral-um Iru-pār r-enpa poruņmai nilaiyē.

They say that denoting meaning is of two kinds.—one by connotation and the other by suggestion.

Note 1.—Nouns and verbs cannote their meanings, while case-suffixes, verbal terminations etc. which are classified as itai-c-col suggest their meanings.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar gives naṃk-aṭṭāy (you have prepared the food well) and teṅkù tinṛāṇ (he ate cocoanut) when they respectively mean "you have not prepared the food well" and "he ate cocoanut fruit" as examples for kurippir-roṇṛal. But since the former may be taken as an example under sūtra 459 and the latter under sūtra 114, his statement deserves careful examination.

158. சொல்லெனப் படுப பெயரே விணேயென்ற ஆயீரண் டென்ப வறிர்திகி ஞேரே.

Col·l-eṇa-p paṭupa peyar-ē viṇai-y-eṇrù Ā-y-iraṇ ṭ-eṇpa v-arintici ṇōr-ē.

Learned men say that word is of two kinds:—noun and verb.

159. இடைச்சொற் கௌலியு முரிச்சொற் கௌலியும் அவற்றுவழி மருங்கிற் ரோன்று மென்ப.

> Itai-e-cor kilavi-y-u m-uri-e-cor kilavi-y-um Avaru-vali marunkir ronru m-enpa.

They say that itai-c-col and uri-c-col form part of them or are used along with them.

Note 1.—It deserves notice that uri-c-col is the stem of a noun or a verb and itai-c-col consists of case-suffix, verbal termination, conjunction, interjection, expletive particle etc. Teyraccilaiyār clearly states that uri-c-col is the root or dhātu.

Note 2.—From these two sūtras it is evident that only two parts of speech were recognised in Tamil.

160. அவற்றுள்

பெயரெனப் படுபவை தெரியுங் கா**ஃ** உயர் திண்க் குரிமையு மஃறிண்க் குரி**மையும்** ஆயிரு திண்க்குமோ மன்ன வுரிமையும் அம்மு வருபின தோன்ற வாறே.

Avarrul

Peyar-ena p patupavai teriyun kālai Uyar-tinai-k k-urimai-y-u m-a ; rinaik k-urimai-y-um Ā-y-iru tinaikkum-ō ranna v-urimai-y-um A-m-mū yurupina tōnra 1-ārē.

Of them nouns, on investigation, are of three kinds in usage:those denoting uyar-tinai, those denoting a:rinai and those denoting either of them.

Note 1.—Since the first sūtra states that "dyirutinaiyin icai-k-kumana collē" one may think that the statement uyartinai-k-kurimaiyum a: rinai-k-kurimaiyum is unnecessary here. If it does not find a place here, it may give room to think that noun is used in viravuttinai\* alone. Hence the author repeats that statement here.

Note 2.—Urupu in this satra means form and not case-suffix.

இரு திணேப் பிரிந்த வைப்போற் கிளவிக்கும்
 உரியவை யுரிய பெயர் வயிஞன.

Iru-tinai-p pirinta v-aimpār kiļavikkum. Uriyavai y-uriya peyar-vayi nāna.

Among nouns particular words have the capacity to denote particular pal among the five pals of two tinais.

Note 1.--Since a noun cannot be identified to which it belongs with the help of the ending as in the case of verbs, there

\* Tinai of a word which is capable of being used both as uyqrtinai and a .. rinai is called viravuttinai.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

is need for this  $s\bar{u}tra$ . Though this is suggested by the statement  $T\bar{c}rram\ t\bar{c}m\bar{e}\ vivaiyotu\ varum\bar{e}\ in\ s\bar{u}tra\ 10$ , yet the author perhaps thought that is better to explicitly state it here.

Note 2.—Naccinārkkiniyar interprets this sūtra thus verbs which follow nouns of one pāl may denote other pāls also wherever suitable. For instance if one says naūcuntān cām (he who swallows poison dies), it may also mean naūcuntāt cām naūcuntātu cām etc. Since there is no word vinai in this sūtra and this meaning does not suit the context, his interpretation is not sound. It has to be inferred from the text of the Cēnāvaraiyam that Ilampūranar too would have meant so. But in the present edition of the Ilampūranam that passage is not found.

Note 3.—The meaning given above is the same as that given by Cenavaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār.

## 162. அவ்வழி

அவனிவ னுவனென வரூடம் பெயரும்
அவனிவ ளுவனென வரூடம் பெயரும்
அவளிவ ருவரென வரூடம் பெயுரும்
யான்யாம் நாமென வரூடம் பெயரும்
யாவன் யாவன் யாவ சென்னும்
ஆவயின் மூன்ரே டப்பதி கோர்தும்
பாலறி வர்த வுயர்திக்கைப் பெயரே.

## Avva<u>l</u>i

Avan-iva n-uvan-ena varnum peyarum Aval-iva l-uval-ena varnum peyarum Avar-iva r-uvar-ena varnum peyarum Yān-yām nām-ena varnum peyarum Yān-yām nām-ena varnum peyarum Yāvan yāval yāva r-ennum Avayin mūnrō t-a-p-pati naintum Pāl-ari vanta v-uyartinai-p peyar-ē.

Of them the following fifteen mentioned in three groups are uyartinai nouns denoting different  $p\bar{a}ls:-(1)$  avan, ivan, ivan, uvan, aval, ival, uval, avar, ivar and uvar; (2)  $y\bar{a}n$ ,  $y\bar{a}m$  and  $n\bar{a}m$ ; (3)  $y\bar{a}van$ ,  $y\bar{a}val$  and  $y\bar{a}var$ .

- Note 1.—Though both nouns and pronouns are called peyar in Tamil, yet it is to be noted that pronouns are given here in a separate sūtra.
- Note 2.—It is worthy of notice that the word  $n\bar{a}\underline{n}$  (I) which is most frequently used in later Tamil does not at all find a place here. It seems that it came into existence at a later period on the analogy of the two forms  $y\bar{a}m$  and  $n\bar{a}m$  in the first person plural. Besides its base is not used in the oblique cases even to-day, though the base of  $n\bar{a}m$  was used from ancient times.
- Note 3.— $C\bar{e}n_{\bar{a}v}arai\bar{y}ar$  states that, though  $y\bar{a}\underline{n}$  does not denote masculine or feminine gender, the expression  $p\bar{a}l$ -ari-vanta in the  $s\bar{u}tra$  is used since it is applicable to the remaining fourteen. Though from its form it does not denote  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$  or  $penp\bar{a}l$  it may be taken to be  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$  or  $penp\bar{a}l$  according as it is used by u man or a woman.
- Note 4.— Yan, yan and nam are uyartinai according to Tolkappiyanar and viravuttinai according to Nanulār.
- Note 5.— Naccinārkkinījar states that yām means 'He, you and I' and nām means 'you and I'. Since Tolkāppiyanār, Iļampūranar or Cēnāvaraiyar has not said so, such a usage should have been current only in his time.
- Note 6.—From this  $s\bar{u}tra$  one may learn that the forms  $y\bar{u}nkal$ ,  $n\bar{u}nkal$  and avarkal are of later date.
  - 163. ஆண்மை யடுத்த மகனென் கொலியும் பெண்மை யடுத்த மகனென் கொலியும் பெண்மை யடுத்த வீசா வீறுகியும் ஈம்மூர்ந்து வரூஉ மிகாவை காரமும் முறைமை சுட்டா மகனு மகளும் மார்தர் மக்க சென்னும் பெயரும் ஆடூட மகடூஉ வாயிரு பெயருஞ் சுட்டுமுத லாகிய வன்னு மானும் அவைமுத லாகிய பெண்டென் கிளவியும் ஒப்பொடு வரூடங் கொலியொடு சொகைஇு அப்பதி 2ார்தே பைவற்றே ரன்ன.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Āṇmai y-aṭutta makaṇ-eṇ kilavi-y-um
Peṇmai y-aṭutta makaṇ-eṇ kilavi-y-um
Peṇmai y-aṭutta v-ikara v-iruti-y-um
Nam-m-ūrntu varūu m-ikara-v-ai kāram-um
Muraimai cūṭṭā makaṇ-u makaṭ-um
Māntar makka ṭ-eṇṇum peyar-um
Āṭūu makaṭūu v-āṇru peyar-uñ
Cuṭṭu-muta l-ākiya v-aṇ-ṇ-u m-āṇ-um
Avai-muta l-ākiya peṇṭ-eṇ kilavi-y-um
Oppoṭu varūun kilavi-y-oṭu tokaii
Ap-pati ṇaintu m-avārro raṇṇa.

The following fifteen belong to the same category: -ānmakaṇs penmakaṇ, pentāṭṭi, nampi, nankai, makaṇ and makal not denoting relationship, māntar, makkal, āṭū makaṭū, words beginning with demonstrative roots and ending in aṇ and aṇ like attaṇmaiyaṇ, aṇaiyāṇ, words beginning with demonstrative roots and ending in feminine suffix like attaṇmaiyal, aṇaiyāl, words ending in aṇ, aṇ, al, āl, preceded by the particle denoting similarity like poṇṇaṇṇaṇ, poṇṇaṇṇal etc.

Note. I—Commentators differ in the interpretation of "Avai-mutal-ākiya peņteņ kiļavi". Iļampūraņar states that it refers to a-p-peņtů, i-p-peņtů and u-p-peņtů, Cēṇāvaraiyar says that they had become obsolete in his time. Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar agrees with Iļampūraṇar. Teyvaccilaiyār takes peņteņ-kiļavi to mean feminine suffix.

Note 2.—Cōnāvarasyar gives an alternative reading pentankilavi and states that it refers to a v-v-āṭṭi, i-v-v-āṭṭi and u-v-v-āṭṭi.

Note 3.—Though avan, ivan and uvan may come under cuttu-mutal-ākiya-an mentioned in this  $s\bar{u}tra$ , still they were mentioned in the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  where all pronouns were mentioned. Hence cuttumutal-ākiya an of this  $s\bar{u}tra$  has to refer to words other than they.

164. எல்லாரு மெ.ன்னும் பெயர்கிலேக் கிளவியும் எல்வீரு மென்னும் பெயர்கிலேக் கிளவியும் பெண்மை யடுத்த மக்னென் கிளலியும் அன்ன வியல வென்மணர் புலவர்.

> Elläru m-ennum peyar-nilai-k kilavi-y-um Ellīru m-ennum peyar-nilai-k kilavi-y-um Peņmai y-aṭutta makan-en kilavi-y-um Anna v-iyala v-enmanār pulayar.

Learned men say that ellārum, ellīrum and peņmakan too are of the same nature.

Note 1.—Even now in certain parts of Tamilnad the word pen-makan is used to denote very young girls. Hence it is possible that the word makan was used in ancient times in common gender meaning child as Mod. mahavu.

Note 2.—It is worthy of comparison that the poet Oṭṭakkūttar mentioned the word peṇ-cakkaravartti and the word peṇ-n-araci is current in Malabar even to-day. It seems peṇ-makaṇ became obsolete at the time of Naccinārkkiṇiyar.

165. கிலப்பெயர் குடிப்பெயர் குழுவின் பெயரே விணேப்பெய ருடைப்பெயர் பண்டிகொள் பெயரே பல்லோர்க குறித்த முறைகியூப் பெயரே பல்லோர்க குறித்த சிணைகிலேப் பெயரே பல்லோர்க குறித்த திணைகிலேப் பெயரே க.டிவரு வழக்கி குடியற் பெயரே இன்றிவ சென்னு மெண்ணியற் பெயரோடு அன்றி யிணத்த மவற்றியல் பினவே.

> Nila-p-peyar kuţi-p-peyar kuţu-v-iu peyar-ē Viuai-p-peya r-uṭai-p-peyar paṇpu-kol peyar-ē Pallōr-k kuritta murai-nilai-p peyar-ē Pallōr-k kuritta ciuai-nilai-p peyar-ē Pallōr-k kuritta tiṇai-nilai-p peyar-ē Kūţi-varu valakki u-āṭıvar peyar-ē Inīva r-eṇṇa m-eṇṇiyar peyar-ōṭu Anri y-aṇaittu m-avarriyal piua-v-ē.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Personal names derived from country, family, group, profession, possession and quality, plural nouns denoting relationship, pecularity of limbs, and caste, catch-names used at play by children and personal nouns derived from numerals—all are of the sume nature.

- Ex.—Cēranāṭṭāṇ, malaiyamāṇ, avai-y-attāṇ, taceaṇ, muṭiyāṇ kariyāṇ, tāyar and tantaiyar, perunkālar, pārppār, paṭṭi-puttirar, iruvar.
- Note 1.—Ilampūraņar and Cēnāvaraiyar cite pārppār, aracar vaņikar and vēlālar as examples for tinai-nilai-p-peyar, while Naccinārkkiniyar cites them as examples for kuţi-p-peyar.
- Note 2.—Paṭṭi-puttirar is the example given by Ilampūranar Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar for kūṭivaru-valakkiṇāṭiyar-peyar while cāṭtaṇmār is the example given by Teyvac-cilaiyār for the same.
- Note 3.—As regards the words denoting relationship, peculiarity of limbs and caste the author mentions only plural nouns as uyartinai. Hence the seems to suggest that singular nouns of those classes may be taken both as uyartinai and a.rinai.
- Note 4.—The example given by Naccinarkkiniyar for kuluvin-peyar is vanika-k-kiramattar. Inscriptions mention mani-k-kiramattar referring to the assembly of merchants.
  - 166. அன்ன பிறவு முயர்திக்கை மருங்கிற் பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வந்த என்ன பெயரு மத்திக்கை யவ்வே.

Anna pira-v-u m-uyartinai marunkir Panmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pāl-ari vanta Euna peyar-u m-a-t-tinai y-a-v-v-ē.

Similar nouns denoting uyartinai used in singular and plural to denote the pāls are of that tinai,

Ex.-Enati, pirau, piral, pirar etc.

167. அதனித வுதலென வரூஉம் பெயரும்
அவைமுத லாகிய வாய்தப் பெபரும்
அவைஇவை யுவைபென வரூஉம் பெயரும்
அவைமுத லாகிய வகாப் பெயரும்
யாதுயா யாவை யென்னும் பெயரும்
ஆவலின் மூன்ரே டப்பதி கோர்தும்
பாலறி வர்த வல்லிக்கோப் பெயரே.

Atu-v-itu v-utu-v-ena varūum peyar-um Avai-muta l-ākiya v-āyta-p peyar-um Avai-ívai y-uvai-y-ona varūum peyar-um Avai-muta l-ākiya vakara-p peyar-um Yātu-yā yāvai y-ennum peyar-um Āvayin mūnīō ţ-a-p-pati naintum Pāl-ari vanta v-a: rinai-p peyar-ē.

Atu, itu, utu, a : ti, i : ti, u : ti, avai, ivai, uvai, av, iv, www. yāti, yā, yāvai \*—thèse fifteen mentioned in three groups are a : rivai nouns denoting  $p\bar{a}l$ .

உள்ள வில்ல வென்னும் பெயரும் விளைப்பெயர்க திளவியும் பண்புகொள் பெயரும் இளைத்தெனக் திளக்கு மெண்ணுக்குரிப் பெயரும் ஒப்பி ஞகிய பெயர்கில யுளப்பட அப்பா லெர்ன்பது மவறிறே ரீன்ன. Palla pala-cila v-ennum peyarum Uila v-illa v-ennum peyar-um

168. பல்ல பலசில வென்னும் பெயரும்

Uļļa v-illa v-eṇṇum peyar-um Viṇai-p-peyar-k kiļavi-y-um paṇpu-koļ peyarum Iṇaitteṇa-k kiļakku m-eṇṇu-k-kuri-p peyar-um Oppi ṇ-ākiya peyar-nilai y-uļappaṭa Appā l-onpatū m-avarrō r-aṇṇa.

Palla, pala, cila, ulla, illa and names derived from words denoting action, quality and numerals and words ending in suffixes preceded by particles of comparison—these nine also are of the same value.

<sup>\*</sup> utu, u: tu, av, iv, uv and ya have now become obsolete.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

169. கள்ளொடு சிவணு மவ்வியற் பெயரே கொள்வழி யுடைய பலவறி சொற்கே.

> Kal-l-otu civanu m-a-v-v-iyar peyar-ë Kol-vali y-utaiya pala-v-ari corkë.

A:.rinai-iyarpeyar may also optionally take the suffix kal to denote palavinpal.

Ex.-Nāy, nāykaļ.

Note.—This is one of the important sūtras which help us to prove the different periods in the growth of the Tamil language. It is here mentioned that the particle kal is optionally used with a: rinai nouns to denote plural. From this it is evident that even without using the particle kal, a: rinai plural nouns existed. Since pronouns of the second person were used both as uyartinai and a: rinai, the form nīyirkal might have been used in a: rinai. Gradually it might have crept to uyartinai. On the analogy of it the forms like nānkal, avarkal etc. might have come into existence. When it is used along with uyartinai nouns, it is mostly used after the suffix denoting plural Then the suffix crept to the participial nouns as in colmālai colluvārkal... vāļvārē. (Periyatirumoļi 7, 2, 10) and then crept to finite verbs also, since the participial nouns in the nominative case and the finite verbs had the same form.

170. அன்ன பிறவு மஃறிணே மருங்கிற் பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வக்த என்ன பெயரு மத்திணே யவ்வே.

> Aṇṇa pira-v-u m-a∴riṇai maruṅkir Paṇmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pāl-ari vanta Eṇṇa peyar-u m-attiṇai ya-v-v-ō.

Similar nouns denoting a : rinai used in singular and plural to denote the pdls are of that tinai.

Ex.--Piritu, pira etc.

 711. தெரிகிலே யுடைய வஃறிணே யியற்பெயர் ஒருமையும் பன்மையும் விண்மொடு வரினே.

> Teri-nilai y-utaiya v-a∴rinai y-iyarpeyar Orumai-y-um panmai-y-um vinai-y-otu varin-ē.

The common noun in  $a ext{...} rinal$  which is not suffixed with kal is determined to be singular or plural according as it is followed by a singular, or plural, verb.

Ex.—Ā vantatů; (cow came.) Ā vantaṇa; (cows came.)

172. இரு நிணேச் சொற்குமோ ரன்ன வுரிமையின் திரிபுவேற பகுஉ மெல்லாப் பெயரும் கிளேயும் காலேத் தத்த மரபின் விளையோ டல்லது பாறெரி பிலவே.

> Iru-tiṇai-c corkum-ō r-aṇṇa v-urimaiyin Tiripu-vēru paṭūu m-ellā-p peyar-um Niṇaiyun kālai-t tatta marapin Viṇai-y-ō t-allatu pāreri p-ila-v-ē.

All nouns which may be used both as uyartinai and a:rinai do not clearly show to which tinai they belong except through the verbs which they take after them.

Ex.—The word 'Cattan' is uyartinai in 'Cattan vantan' and a .: rinai in 'Cattan vantatu'.

Note 1.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says that the tiṇai of Cattan is determined even through nouns as in Cattan oruvan and Cattan onriu and hence the author has stated viṇai-y-ōṭu in the sūtra following the maxim cirappuṭai-p poruṭai-t tạn initi kiṭattal (mention of the most important.) But if viṇai is taken in the sense of muṭikkuñ col (logical predicate), he need not take recourse to that maxim.

Note 2.—Having mentioned uyartinai nouns and a:rinai nouns, the author begins to mention viravu-t-tinai nouns or nouns which may be used both as uyartinai and a:rinai nouns.

## TOLK APPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

173. நிகழ் உகின்ற பவர்வரை இளவியின் உயர்நிணே பொருமை தோன்றது முரித்தே அன்ன மரபின் வீணவயி ஞன.

Nikaļūu nigra palar-varai kiļaviyiņ Uyartiņai y-orumai tōṇralu m-urittē Aṇṇa marapiņ viņai-vayi ṇāṇ-a.

A noun common to uyartinai and a: rinai may also be determined to denote uyartinai singular through particular verbs of the form ceyyum suited to it.

Ex.—Cāttaṇ yāļ eļṇum. — (Uyartiņai) (Cāttaṇ plays upon fiddle.) Cāttaṇ pul tiṇṇum. — (A: riṇai) (Cāttaṇ eats grass.)

Note 1.—Nikaļūu ninra palar-varai-kiļavi literally means 'the verb which is used only in the present tense and does not denote palarpāl'. That it refers to verbs of the form ceyyum is clear from the cūtra 227.

Note 2.—Um in uyartinai-y-orumai tōnralum may be taken to refer to a: rinai-y-orumai tōnralum as is done by Teyvac-cilaiyār. Cēnāvaraiyar, on the other hand, says that it may not be determined by ceyyum, which is not very happy.

Note 3.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  says that the tinai is determined by the meaning of the stem of verbs and not by the verbal termination.

Note 4. Ilampūraņar and Naccinārkkiniyar read pāl-varaikilavi instead of palar-varai-kilavi which is the reading of the other two. In the light of sūtra 227, the latter reading is better.

174. இயற்பெயர் சிணப்பெயர் சிணமுதற் பெயரே முறைப்பெயர்க் கொலி தாமேற் தாணே எல்லா சீயிர் சீயெனக் கிளந்து சொல்லிய வல்ல பிறவு மாஅங்கு அன்னவை தோன்றி காவழ்பெருடும் கொளலே.

#### PEVAR-TVAL

Iyar-peyar cinai-p-peyar cinai-mutar peyar-ē Murai-p-peyar-k kiļavi tām-ē tān-ē Ellā nīyir nī-y-ena-k kiļantu Colliya v-alla pira-v-u m-āanku Annavai tōnri n-avarrotun kolal-ē.

Noun other than a derivative name, noun denoting the peculiarity of limbs, noun denoting the peculiarity of limb and whole, noun denoting relationship,  $t\bar{a}v$ ,  $t\bar{a}m$ ,  $ell\bar{a}m$ ,  $n\bar{v}yir$ ,  $n\bar{v}$  and others of the same nature should be taken to belong to that class.

Ex.—Iyarpeyar — Cāttau, Korrau etc.
 Cinai-p-peyar — Cittalai-c-cāttau etc.
 Murai-p-peyar — Tantai, tāy etc.
 Pira — may include maka, kuļavi etc.

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that viravu-p-peyar is different from a word having more than one meaning, since the meanings of the former have the same connectation (skt. pravrttinimitta) and those of the latter have different connotations.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads nīrē in place of nīyir in the sūtra.

# 175. அவற்றுள்

கான்கே வியற்பெயர் கான்கே சிணோப்பெயர் கான்கே அடைழியஞர் சிணமுதர் பெயரே முறைப்பெயர்க் திளைவி பிரண்டா கும்மே எணுப் பெயரே தத்த மாபின.

Avarrul Nāṇkē y-iyarpeyar nāṇkē ciṇai-p-peyar Nāṇk-eṇa molimaṇār ciṇai-mutar peyar-ē Murai-p-peyar-k kiļavi y-iraṇṭā kum-m-ē

Ēņai-p peyar-ē tatta marapina.

Of them iyar-peyar, cinai-p-peyar and cinai-mutar-peyar are each of four kinds, murai-p-peyar is of two kinds and the rest is of only one kind sanctioned by usage.

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

## 176. அவைதாம்

பெண்மை மியற்பெய ராண்மை மியற்பெயர் பன்மை மியற்பெய ரொருமை மியற்பெயரென்று அந்நான் கென்ப லியற்பெயர் நிலேயே.

Avai-tām

Penmai iyar-peya r-āṇmai y-iyar-peyar Paṇmai y-iyar-peya r-orumai y-iyar-peyar-eṇru A-ṇ-ṇāṇ k-eṇpa v-iyar-peyar nilai-y-ē.

The kinds of iyar-peyar are those denoting penmai (female), ānmai (male), panmai (plural), and orumai (singular).

177. பெண்மைச் சினப்பெய ராண்மைச் சினப்பெயர் பன்மைச் சினப்பெய ரொருமைச்சினப் பெயரென்ற அர்சான் சென்ப சினப்பெயர் சிலையே.

> Peņmai-c ciūai-p-peya r-āṇmai-c ciūai-p-peyar Paṇmai-c ciūai-p-peya r-orumai-c-ciūai-p peyar-eṇru A-n-nāṇ k-eṇpa ciṇai-p-peyar nilai-y-ĕ

The kinds of cinai-p-peyar are those denoting penmai, anmai, panmai and orumai.

Note.—Avai-tām has to be taken here from the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

178. பெண்மை சுட்டிய சினமுதற் பெயரே ஆண்மை சுட்டிய சினமுதற் பெயரே பன்மை சுட்டிய சினமுதற் பெயரே ஒருமை சுட்டிய சினமுதற் பெயரென்ற அக்கான் கென்ப சினமுதற் பெயரே.

> Peņmai cuţţiya ciṇai-mutar peyar-ē Āṇmai cuţţiya ciṇai-mutar peyar-ē Paṇmai cuţţiya ciṇai-mutar peyar-ē Orumai cuţţiya ciṇai-mutar peyar-eṇru A-n-nāṇ k-eṇpa ciṇai-mutar peyar-ē.

The kinds of cinai-mutar-peyar are those denoting penmai, anmai, panmai and orumai.

Note.—Avai-tām has to be taken here too from sūtra 176.

179. டுபண்மை முறைப்பெய சாண்மை முறைப்பெயசென்ற ஆயிசண் டென்ப முறைப்பெயர் கிலேயே.

Peņmai murai-p-peya r-āṇmai murai-p-peyar-eṇrù Ā-y-irāṇ ṭ-eṇpa murai-p-peyar nilai-y-ē.

The kinds of murai-p-peyar are those denoting penmai and anmai.

Note — Avai-tām has to be taken here too from sūtra 176.

180. பெண்மை சுட்டிய வெல்லாப் பெயரு**ம்** ஒன்றற்கு மொருத்திக்கு மொன்றிய கி**லமே**.

> Peņmai cuţţiya v-ellā-p peyarum Onrarku m-oruttikku m-ouriya nilai-y-ē.

All nouns denoting penmai can denote an 'object of a:rinai or uyartinai of the female sex.

Ex.—Catti vantatu

(The cow named Catti came)

Cātti vantāl.

(The woman named Catti came.)

Mutatti vantatů.

(The lame [cow] came)

Muțatti vantăl.

(The lame woman dame)

Mutakkorri vantatú.

(The lame [cow] named Korri came)

Mutakkorri vantāļ.

(The lame woman named Korri came)

Tāv vantatů.

(The mother animal came)

Tāy vantāļ. (The mother woman came)

## TOKKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Note.—Onriya-nilai is an anmoli-t-tokai (bahuvrihi compound.)

181. ஆண்மை சுட்டிய வெல்லாப் பெயரும் ஒன்றற்கு மொருஷற்கு மொன்றிய கீஸமே. Āṇmai cuṭṭiya v-ellā-p peyarum Oṇraṛku m-oruvaṛku m-oṇriya nilai-y-s.

All nouns denoting anmai can denote an object of a:rinai or uyartinai of the male sex.

Ex.-Cāttan vantatu.

(The [bull named] Cāttau came)

Cattan vantan.

(The man named Cattan came) etc.

182. பன்மை சுட்டிய வெல்லாப் பெயரும் ஒன்றே பலவே யொருவ சென்னும் என்றிப் பாற்கு மோரன் என்வே. Paumai cuṭṭiya v-ellā-p peyar-um

Paūmai cuţţiya v-ellā-p peyar-um Oūrē pala-v-ē y-oruva r-euunm Eūr-i-p pārku m-ōran na-v-v-ē.

All nouns denoting panmai can denote one or more objects of a:rinai, one man or one woman.

Ex.—Yāṇai vantatū, yāṇai vantaṇa; yāṇai vantaṇ, yāṇai vantaļ etc.

Note 1.—Yāṇai in examples 3 and 4 denotes a man or woman having the gait of an elephant.

Note 2.—Adjuncts are of two kinds:—anya-yōya-vyavacchēda or piritiv-iyaipu-nīkkiya-vicējaṇam (the adjunct which restricts the application of the noun which it qualifies) and nyōga-vyavacchēda or ·lan-ni-viaipinmai-nīkkiya-vicējaṇam (the adjunct which denies the absence of the quality denoted by it in the object denoted by the noun which it qualifies). For instance, the element cemmai in cen-nāy (red dog) restricts the application of

the word  $n\bar{a}y$  only to red dogs and prevents it from being applied to dogs other than red dogs. On the other hand, the element cemmai in cennai (red sun) denies the absence of cemmai or redness in the sun. Cenavaraiyar states that venkutai in venkutai-p-peru-viral is iyaipinmai nikkiya-vicēṭanam, since kings used only white umbrellas. In this sūtra the element panmai cuttiva should be taken to be of the latter sort, so that such nouns can denote not only panmai but also onrah, pala and one of male or female.

But Civananananivar, in his commentary under the satra— $O\underline{n}_T\bar{e}$  y-iru-tinai t  $ta\underline{n}$ -pa l- $\bar{e}_Tkum$ —in Navval, takes this  $pa\underline{n}mai$  cuttiva to be anya-yoga-vyavacchola and interprets  $pa\underline{n}mai$  as pala pal. Such an interpretation of panai does not seem to be sound when compared with the meaning in which it is used in the previous  $s\bar{u}tras$ .

183. ஒருமை சுட்டிய வெல்லாப் பெயரும் ஒன்றற்கு மொருவர்ச்கு மொன்றிய கிஃமே.

Orumai cuttiya v-ellā-p peyarum Onrarku m-oruvarkku m-onriya nilai-y-ē.

All nouns denoting orumai denote an object of a: rinai or unattinai.

Ex.—Kōtai vantatu, kōtai vantān, kōtai vantāļ.

Note.—Dāmōdaram Pillai's edition of Cēṇāvaraiyam reads oruvarum for oruvarkkum in the sūtra. The latter reading alone is correct.

184. தாமென் கௌவி பன்மைக குரித்தே. Tām-eņ kiļavi paņmai-k k-urittē.

The word tam is plural in number.

Ex.—Aliyar tām-ē ivaṭaṇṇaimār-ē. (Pura. 345) (Mothers of this lady deserve to be pitied).
Avai-tām vantaṇa.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

185. தானென் கொலி பொருமைக் குரித்தே. Tāṇ-eṇ kiļavi y-orumai-k k-urittē.

The word tan is singular in number.

Ex.—Avan tan vantan; aval tan vantal; atu tan vantatu.

186. எல்லா மென்னும் பெயர்கிலக் இளவி பல்லழி நாகலிய கிலேத்தா கும்மே, Ella m-ennum peyar-nilai-k kilavi Pal-vali nutaliva nilaitta kum-m-s.

The word ellam is plural in number.

Ex.—Yām ellām vantām; avai y-ellām vantaņa.

187. தன்னு ஞ*றுத்த ப*ன்மைக் கல்ல*து* உயர்திணே மருங்கு ஞக்க மில்லே. Tan-n-u l-urutta panmai-k k-allatů Uyartinai marunki n-ākka m-illai.

Ellām is not used in uyartinai except in first person plural.

Note 1.—The words corresponding to it in uyartinai second person and third person are respectively ellirum and ellārum mentioned in sūtra 164. They are so mentioned in Tol. Elut. 192. They are determined to be plural from the element r in the same way as avar, ivar etc.

Note 2.—The expression ellām ennum peyar-nilai-k kiļavi is taken here from the previous sūtra.

Note 3.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the previous one are read as one  $s\bar{u}tra$  by  $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$ .

Note 4.—Cēnāvaraiyar, in his commentary, says as follows;—
"Ellā-p-pārppārum ellā-c-cānīrārum ena-p patarkkai-k-kan varutalum kātarku-t tannuļ-urutta panmai-k-kānkāl uyartiņai marunkin allatākātena moļimārri uraittārāl uraiyacuriyar enin"; such a sentence as "Ellā-p-pārppārum . . . . uraittār" is not found in Namasivāya Mudaliyār's edition of Iļampūranam.

188. சீயிர் சீயென வருஉங் திளவி பாறெரி பிலவே யுடன்மொழிப் பொருள.

> Nīyir nī-y-eṇa varūun kilavi Pāreri p-ila-y-ē y-utaṇmoli-p porula.

The words niyir and  $n\bar{\imath}$  do not express the  $p\bar{a}l$  from their form and they are common to many  $p\bar{a}ls$ . (i.e.) the word  $n\bar{\imath}yir$  is common to  $palarp\bar{a}l$  and  $palavi\bar{n}p\bar{a}l$  and the word  $n\bar{\imath}$  is common to  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$ ,  $penp\bar{a}l$  and  $on\bar{\imath}anp\bar{a}l$ .

Note.—Teyraccilaiyār reads nīir for nīyir in the sūtra.

189. அவற்றுள் நீயென் கௌவி யொருமைக் குளித்தே.

Avarrul Nī-y-eū kiļavi y-orumai-k k-urittē.

Of them ni is singular in number.

190. ஏன்க் கொலி பன்மைக் குரித்தே. Ēvai-k kiļavi paņmai-k k-urittē.

The other word (nigir) is plural in number.

Note I.—Avarrul is to be taken here from the previous sūtra.

Note 2.—This sūtra and the previous one are read as one sūtra by Teyvaccilaiyār.

 191. ஒருவ சென்னும் பெயர்சிலக் களவி இருபாற்கு முரித்தே தெரியும் காலே.

> Oruva r-ennum peyar-nilai-k kiļavi Iru-pāīku m-urittē teriyun kālai.

The noun orwar is seen to be common to the two pals—anpal and penpal.

Note.—Irupāl in this satra refers only to anpāl and penpāl is clear from the mention of oprā along with oruvar in the satra 182.

# TOLKÁPPIYAM-COLLATIKÁRAM

192. தன்மை சுட்டிற் பன்மைக் சேற்கும். Tanmai cuṭṭir panmai-k k-ērkum.

If one uses it as the subject, it takes a plural predicate after it.

Ex.—Oruvar vahtār; oruvar avar.

Note.—Oruvar ennum peyar-nilai-k-kilavi is to be taken here from the previous sūtra.

193. இன்ன பெயரே விவைபெயுனல் வேண்டின் முன்னஞ் சேர்த்தி முறையி னுணர்தல். Inna peyar-ē y-ivai-y-enal vēņtiu\* Munnañ cērtti murai-y-i n-unartal.

If one wishes to understand the nature of these words (i.e.) niyir, ni and oruvar, he has to determine it from the context combined with the intention of the speaker.

194. மகடு மருங்கிற் பாறிரி கொலி மகடூட வியற்கை தொழில்வபி ஞன. Makatūu maruńkir pāriri kilavi Makatūu v-i-yarkai tolil-vayi ņāya.

The word pennakan which takes a masculine ending though denoting a female is of the nature of penpal words when it takes a predicate after it.

Ex.—Peņmakan vantāl: peņmakan ival.

195. ஆவோ வாகும் பெயருமா ருளவே ஆயிட னறிதல் செய்யு ஞன்னே. Ā-v-ō v-ākum peyar-um-ā r-uļa-v-ē Ā-y-iṭa n-arital ceyyu lul-l-ē.

There are words wherein  $\bar{a}$  changes to  $\bar{a}$  and they have to be determined from Poetic Literature.

Ex.—Villön kälana kalal-ĉ (Kuruntokai 7)

(Anklets are on the legs of the bow-man.)

196. இறைச்சிப் பொருள்வயிற் செய்யுளுட் கிளக்கும் இயற்பெயர்க் கிளலி யுயர்திணே சுட்டா கிலத்துவழி மருங்கிற் ரேன்ற லான.

> Iraicci-p poruļ vayir ceyyuļut kilakkum Iyar-peyar-k kilavi y-uyartinai cuttā Nilattu-vali marunkir rōṇra lāṇa.

The nouns which can denote both uyartinai and a:rinai do not denote the former, if they are used in Poetry to denote the karu-p-porul (animal, bird etc.) of a tract of land.

Ex.-Katuvan, mutu-makan etc.

Note.—This sutra deals with exceptions among viravu-p-peyar.

197. இணேயொடு பழகிய பெயாலங் கடையே. Tiṇai-y-oṭu pala-kiya peyar-alan kaṭai-y-ō.

Except those which have been used to denote both the tinais (i.e.) some which have been so used may denote uyartinai.

Ex.—Kāļai, viţalai etc.
 Ellunar-c cekukkun kālai (Pura. 303)
 (The great warrior who can destroy his enemies.)

Note.—This sūtra deals with exceptions to exceptions.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

## vi. Vipai-y-iyal

198. விவோபெனப் படுவது வேற்றுமை கொள்ளாது கிவோயுவ் காலேக் காலமொடு தோன்றும்.

> Viņai-y-eņa-p paṭuvatu vērrumai kolļātu Niņai-y-un kālai-k kālam-oṭu tōṇrum.

That which is called *vinai* (verb) does not take case-suffixes after it and denotes tense on scrutiny.

Ex.—Untan (he ate); Kariyan (he is black.)

Note 1.—The word ninai-y-un-kdlai is used in the sutra to suggest that in certain verbs—vinai-k-kurippu—tense is not explicity known.

Note 2.—All commentators think that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  defines  $vi\underline{n}ai$ ; but, since it is suggested by the name  $vi\underline{n}ai$  itself, I think that this  $s\bar{u}tra$  deals with one aspect of it.

199. காலக் தாமே மூன்றென மொழிப. Kalan tām-ē mūūr-eṇa molipa.

They say that tenses are three in number.

Note.—The word  $t\bar{a}m\bar{e}$  in the  $s\bar{a}tra$  is used for the sake of euphony.

200. இறப்பி னிகழ்வி னெனிர்வி னென்று அம்முக் காலமுங் குறிப்பொடுங் கொள்ளும் மெய்ர்கிலே யுடைய தோன்ற லாறே.

> Irappi nikalvi n-etirvi n-enrā A-m-muk kālam-un kurippotun kolļum Mey-n-nilai y-uṭaiya tōnra l-ārē.

The three tenses—past, present and future—are denoted even by vinai-k-kurinpu.

Note 2.—The particle um suggests that they are clearly denoted by teri-nilai-vinai.

# VINAI-Y-IYAL

Note 2.—The mention of three tenses here is only puroudda of what is mentioned in Irappē nikalavē y-etir v-ennum.... (Ceyyuliyal 202.)

201. குறிப்பினும் விளேவினு நெறிப்படக் கோன்றிக் காலமொடு வருஉம் விளேச்சொ வெல்லாம் உயர்கிணக் குரிமையு மல்றிணக் குரிமையும் ஆயிகு திணக்குமோ சன்ன வுரிமையம் அம்மு வுருபின் கோன்ற லாறே.

Kurippiūum viūaiyiūu neri-p-paṭa-t tōūri-k Kālamoṭu varūum viūai-c-co l-ellām Uyartiṇai-k k-urimai-y-u m-a : riṇai-k k-urimai-y-um Āyiru tiṇaikkum-ō raṇṇa v-urimai-y-um A-m-mū v-urupiūa tōūra l-ārē.

All verbs denoting tense consisting of appellative verbs\* and terinilai vinai are, when they are used, of three kinds:—those belonging to uyar-tinai, those belonging to a: rinai and those belonging to both.

202. அடைவதாம்

அம்மா மெம்மே மெக்னுங் கொவியும் உம்மொடு வரூஉங் கடதற வென்னும் அந்நாற் இளலியொ டாயெண் கொவியும் பன்மை யுரைக்குந் தன்மைச் சொல்லே.

Avaitām

Am-m-ā m-em-m-ē m-eṇṇuń kiļavi-y-um Um-m-oṭu varṇuń ka-ṭa-ta-ra v-eṇṇum A-n-nār kiļaviyo ṭ-ā-y-en kiļavi-y-um Paṇmai y-uraikkun taṇmai-e- coll-ē.

The first person plural verbs are those that take the eight terminations am, ām, em, ēm, kum, tum, tum, and rum.

\* The presence of appellative verbs in Tamil and the absence of similar verbs in Sanskrit is one of the salient points to prove that Tamil and Sanskrit belong to different families of languages.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

```
Ex.—Ēttukam pala (Pura. 10)

(We shall extol in many ways.)

Malaintōr vāla-k-kan tanrum ilam (Pura. 61)

(We have not seen (your) foes thriving.)

Pātuvām tōli (Kalit. 40)

(Oh friend, let us sing.)

Cāvēm yām (Pura. 68)

(We will die.)

Vallēm allēm (Pura. 126)

(We are not capable.)

Ilantu vaikutum (Pura. 17)

(We shall lose.)

....unkum (Pura. 125)

(We shall eat)
```

- Note 1.-This  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the following ones suggest a  $paribh\bar{a}s\bar{a}$  that, if a termination is mentioned in the  $s\bar{u}tra$ , it suggests the verb with that termination at the end. Cf. Note 2 under  $s\bar{u}tra$  5.
- Note 2.—Avai-tām will have to be tāken to the sūtras 203, 205. 206, 216 and 217. Hence avai-tām may also be taken to be a separate adhikāra-sūtra.
- Note 3.—Avai- $t\bar{a}m$  is not found in the states in Teyraccilaiyār's edition.
- Note 4.—It is worthy of notice that Tolkappiyanar has not mentioned that a particular element in verbs denotes tense.
- Note 5.—Ilampūraņar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, say that the terminations am and ām are used when the subject is 'you and I,' em and ēm when the subject is 'he and I' and kum, tum, tum and rum when it is 'he you and I' or otherwise etc.
- Note 6.—In later Tamil, we find the form with the termination om. Of. tantom, venrom (Periyaturumoli 195, 5). This form should have crept in verbs through the same form in participial nouns which is sanctioned by the satra 195.

## VINAI-Y-IYAL

Note 7.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that the terminations am, am, em and ēm will be preceded by nil and kinīu in the present tense, p and v in the future tense and by an or nothing in the past tense. Teyvaccilaiyār says that they are preceded by tu, kinīu and pu which show respectively the past, present, and future, tense. In this context it may be noted that the author of the Vēracciliyam stated that tēm, ēm, tōm and ōm are the terminations in the past, kirēm, kirōm, niūrēm and niūrōm are the terminations in the present and vēm, pēm, vēm and pōm are the terminations in the future. (Vīraccīliyam, Kiriyāpaṭalam 6, 7 and 8).

Note 8.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that kum, tum tum and rum are used only in the future tense.

Note 9 —The forms  $ceykin_{T\bar{e}m}$ ,  $ceykin_{T\bar{e}m}$  etc. with the element  $kin_{Tu}$  are not found in Sangam classics and the form  $ceyy\bar{a}nin_{Tanam}$  is taken to be two words  $ceyy\bar{a}$  and  $nin_{Tanam}$  by the commentator on the Viracoliyam.

203. கடதற வென்னும் அக்கான் கூர்க்த குன்றிய வூதாமோடு என்னே னல்லென வரூட மேழும் தன்லிண யுரைக்குக் தன்மைச் சொல்லே.

> Ka-ţa-ta-ta v-eṇṇum A-n-ṇāṇ k-ūrnta kuṇriya l-ukaramōṭṭ Eṇ-ṇ-ē ṇ-al-l-eṇa varūu m-ēlum Taṇ-viṇai y-uraikkun taṇmai-c-col-l-ē.

The first person singular verbs are those that take any one of the seven terminations ku, tu, tu, tu, tu, en,  $\delta n$  and al.

Ex.—Yāṅkaṇam molikō yāṇ-ē. (Pura. 39)
(How will I express?)
Niṇ-kaṇṇār kāṇpeṇ maṇ. (Kalit. 39)
(I wish I see with your eyes)
Vāḷ-aḷ-ēṇ yāṇ ni nippiṇ. (Kalit. 47)
(I won't live if you go away)
Vaṇuntuval yāṇ. (Kalit. 47)
(I am sure to suffer)

# TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Note 1.—Cēnavaraiyar says that kử, tử, tử, τử, and al will be used in the future tense. But in kantanen varuval (Pura. 23, 17), uvakaiyotu varuval (Pura. 165, 14), varuval denotes past tense. Naccinārkkiniyar says that mostly the first four will be used in the future tense. Ilampūranar does not mention anything about the tense here.

Note.—The author of the Viracoliyam says that the termination al denotes determination.

204. அவ*ற்றன்* செய்கென் கினவி விளேயொடு முடியினும் அவ்விய றிரியா தென்மஞர் புலவர்.

> Avarruļ Ceyk-eņ kiļavi viņaiyotu mutiyiņum A-v-viya tiriyā t-eņmaņār pulavar.

Learned men say that, of them, the form  $ceyk\dot{u}$  does not lose its finite form when it is followed by another finite verb.

Ex.—Kāṇku vanticin peruma (Pura. 17). (Oh great king I came to see you).

205. அன்ஆன் அள்ஆ வெண்ணு நான்கும் ஒருவர் மருங்கிற் படர்க்கைச் சொல்லே.

> An-an al-a l-ennu nankum Oruvar maruńkir patarkkai-c col-l-ā.

The third person singular verbs of uyartinai are those that take the terminations an, an, an and al.

Ex.—Cāy-uyar-verpaṇum vantaṇaṇ (Kalit. 39)
(The owner of the lofty mountain too came)
Matukaiyum uṭaiyaṇ (Kalit. 47)
(He had the valour too)
Iraiyē tavaruṭaiyaṇ. (Kalit. 56)
(It is the king who is to be blamed)

## VINAI-Y-IYAL

Yämattun tuyil-al-al (Kalit. 45)
(She did not sleep even at midnight.)
Ennaiyarkku. uraittäl yäy (Kalit. 39)
(Mother informed my elders).

Note 1.—That  $a\underline{n}$  and  $a\underline{n}$  are  $a\underline{n}pdl$  terminations and  $a\underline{l}$  and  $a\underline{l}$ , are  $p\underline{n}pdl$  terminations is evident from the  $s\underline{u}tras$ 

Na∴kā n-orrē y-āţūu v-ari-col (5) La∴kā n-orrē makatūu v-ari-col (6)

Note 2.—The word paiarkkai in this sūtra is significant. It clearly shows that the sūtras Na: kān-orrē. etc. do not deal with paiarkkai though Cēnāvaraiyar takes them so and expresses it in his commentary under the sūtras 10 and 208.

206. அர்ஆர் பளன வரூஉ மூன்றும் பல்லோர் ம்ருங்கிற் படர்க்கைச் சொல்லே.

> Ar-ār pa-eṇa varūu mūṇrum Pallōr marunkir paṭarkkai-c col-l-ē.

The third person plural verbs of uyartinai are those that take the terminations ar, ar and pa.

Ex -Pulavar-ellām nin nākkinar-ē (Pura. 42)
(All the poets looked at you)

.... Enmanar pulavar (Col. 1)

(Learned men say....)

Vērrumai tām-ē y-ēļ-ena molipa (Čol. 62)

(They say that the cases are seven in number)

Note.—It is worthy of note that a and  $\bar{a}$  in ar and ar as in  $a\underline{n}$ ,  $a\underline{n}$ ,  $a\underline{l}$  and  $a\underline{l}$  are respectively the demonstrative root and the lengthened form of it. The idea that a denotes objects at a distance should have had its origin in its having referred to objects of third person.

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

207. மாணாக் கிளவியும் பல்லோர் படர்க்கை காலக் கிளவியொடு முடியு மென்ப.

> Mārai-k kiļavi-y-um pallor paṭarkkai Kāla-k kiļavi-y-oṭu muṭiyu m-eṇpa.

The third person plural verbs of uyartinan may take the termination mar also which will qualify another verb, or participial noun.

Ex.—Arun-katan irumar vayavar eriya (Pura. 282)

(The strong soldiers to attack (the enemy's army) to do their severe duty.)

Katun-tār-muṇpu talai-k-koṇmār nacai-tara-vantōr nacai-pirakkoliya (Pura. 15)

(In order that the expectations of those who came eager to route the strength of the van-guard of the enemy)

Note.—Tolkāppiyanār has mentioned the word kāla-k-kiļavi instead of vīnai so that it may apply to participial nouns also.

208. பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வக்த அக்கா வேக்து மூன்று தவே யீட்ட முன்னு றக் கினக்த வயச்திண யவிவே,

> Paṇmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pālari vanta A-n-nā l-aintu mūūru-talai y-itta Muṇṇura-k-kiļanta v-uyar-tiņai y-a-y-y-ē.

The twenty three verbs mentioned above denoting plural and singular belong to uyartinai, which has already been mentioned in satra 201.

Note.—Cēṇāvaraiyar tells us that the sūtras 205, 206 and 207 contain the same idea as that of the sūtras 5, 6 and 7. But, on careful scrutiny, it is evident that sūtras 5, 6, and 7 are common to nouns and verbs and also to the different persons, say second person and third person, while sūtras 205, 206 and 207 deal only with verbs of third person.

For instance in sūtra 6 r is said to be the sign of palar-ari-col, while in sūtra 206 ar and ār are said to be pallōr marunkirpaṭarkkai-col and from sūtra 224 ir and īr are learnt to be pallōr marunkin munnilai-c-col. Hence it is evident that a and ā denote the third person and i and ī, the second person.\* Besides it is only from sūtras 5, 6, 7 and 8 we learn that avan and yāvan, aval and yāval, avar, yāvar, ellīrum and ellārum and atu and yāva are respectively ānpāl, penpāl, palarpāl and onranpāl.

209. அவற்றுள் பன்மை யுரைக்குர் தன்மைக் கிளவி எண்ணியன் மருங்கிற் றிரிபவை யுளவே.

> AvaIIuļ Paņmai y-uraikkun taņmai-k kiļavi Eņņiyaņ marunkir tiripavai y-uļa-v-ō.

Of them the verbs of the first person plural may, when used with objects that are counted, change in its use, (i.e.) may be used along with a subject in a : rinai.

Ex.—Yāṇum en e∴ kamum cārum.† (My weapon and I are sufficient)

Paţarkkai āṭūu-v-ari-col Āṭūu-v-ari-col	a <u>n</u> , ā <u>n</u> v.
Patarkkai-c-col	a, ā.
Paṭarkkai makaṭūu-v-ari-col	al, āl.
Makaţūu-v-ari-col	Į.
Patarkkai-c-col	a, ā.
Patarkkai-p pallor-ariyuñ-col	ar, år.
* Pallor-ariyuñ-col	r.
Patarkkai-c-col	a, ā.
Munnilai-p pallor-ariyuñ-col	ir, îr.
Pallor-ariyuñ-col	r.
Munnilai-c-eol	i, ī.
Cf. Ai u-am mūntuñ cuțțů.	(Tol. Elut.
Carum = Cal + tum.	

## TOKKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Note.—Ilampūraņar and Teyvaccilaiyār interpret this sūtra differently. Ilampūraņar says that, by this sūtra, verbs with am and ām take for their subject "you and I", verbs with em and ēm take for their subject "he and I" and those with kum, tum, tum and rum take for their subject "he, you and I". Teyvaccilaiyār, on the other hand, says that, by this sūtra, yām iruvar may be used in place of yām iruvām. Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar give the meaning given above. But if we carefully see this sūtra and sūtra 43, the opinion of Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar seems to be sound.

யாஅ சென்னும் விளுவின் கிளவி
 அத்திணே `மருங்கின் முப்பாற்கு முரித்தே.

Yās r-ennum viņāviņ kiļavi A-t-tiņai marunkiņ muppārku m-uritt-ē.

The interrogative predicate yar can be used with nouns of the three pals of uyarinai.

Ex. -- Avan yar? Aval yar? \* Avar yar?

Note.—It is clear from Tol. Elut. 173 that yar is the abbreviated form of the palarpāl—yāvar. If so, one may doubt why the plural form is used along with yāvan and yāval. At one time yāvan too might have been used as yān and a confusion would have arisen between this yan and yan of the first person singular. To avoid the confusion the plural form yār might have been used along with ānpāl nouns like avan. Through analogy it may have been used with perpāl nouns like aval.

211. பாலறி மரபி னம்மூ வீற்றம் ஆவோ வாகுஞ் செய்யு ஞன்னே.

> Pāl-ari marapi u-a-m-mu virrum Ā-v-ō v-ākun cəyyu l-ul-l-ē

 $\bar{A}$  in those endings  $d\bar{n}, \, dl$  and  $\bar{a}r$  denoting pdl may change to  $\bar{o}$  in Poetry.

<sup>\*</sup> Of. avan yāvan and aval yāval.

Ex.—Vinavi nirrantōn-ē. (Akanā. 48)
(He gave you after asking)
Nakūu-p peyarntōl-ē. (ibid. 248)
(She moved smiling)
Unţi koţuttōr uyir koţuttōr-ē. (Pura. 18)
(Food-givers are life-givers)

Note.—If one questions why  $\bar{a}$  of  $m\bar{a}r$  does not become  $\bar{o}$ , he has to be answered thus:—(1) The author has said  $m\bar{u}\cdot v\cdot \bar{i}_T rum$  and not  $n\bar{c}l\cdot \bar{i}_T rum$ . (2) The r of  $m\bar{c}r$  does not denote palarpāl as r of  $\bar{d}r$ , but the whole  $m\bar{c}r$  itself is said to denote it.

212. ஆயென் கொலியும் மவற்றுரு செவணும். Āy-eṇ kiļavi-y-u m-avarroṭu civaṇum.

The termination dy (to be said in the  $s\bar{u}tra~223$ ) also will be similar to them.

Ex.—Vantōy maṇra taṇ-kaṭar-cērppa. (Akanā. 80)
(Oh king, the lord of land near the cool sea, you came.)

Note.—Since the verbs in the second person are mentioned as belonging to both uyartinai and a : rinai, why should Tolkap. piyanar read this satra here? The answer is perhaps to show that the change of  $\bar{a}$  to  $\bar{o}$  in  $\bar{a}y$  happens only in uyartinai.

213. அதச்சொல் வேற்றமை யுடைமை யானும் கண்ணென் வேற்றமை சிலத்தி ணுனும் ஒப்பி ணும் பண்பி ணுனுமென்ற அப்பாற் காலங் குறிப்பொடு தோன்றும். Atu-c-col vērrumai y-uṭaimai yāṇum Kan-ṇ-eṇ vērrumai y-uṭaimai yāṇum Oppi n-āṇum panpi ṇ-āṇum-eṇrǔ

A-p-pār kālan kurippotu tourum.

The appellative verbs will have for their stem words denoting possession which is the meaning of the sixth case, words denoting place which is the meaning of the seventh case and words denoting comparison and quality.

## TOLKÁPPIÝAM—COLLATIKÁRAM

Ex.—Arrai-t-tińkal..... entaiyum uṭaiyēm. (Pura. 112) (That day we had our father)

Kaṇakanāṭaṇai nī-y-ō (Pura. 5)

(Oh you are the lord of the forest-region)

Ennai..... umanar veruun turaiyannanna. (Pura. 84)
(My lord is like the port which gives alarm to the salt merchants)

Ārvuīīa v-aupiuēu yāu. (Kalit. 46) (I am in great love)

Note.—In the expression kālan kurippotu tonrum commentators think that kālam is the subject of tonrum and kurippotu means 'by suggestion.' In the light of kuripponum..... kālamotu varūum vinai-c-col in the sūtra 201, vinai-c-col may be taken to be understood after kālan-kurippotu so that the whole may mean appellative verb.

214. அன்மையி னின்மையி னுண்மையின் வன்மையின் அன்ன பிறவுங் குறிப்பொடு கொள்ளும் என்ன கௌவியுங் குறிப்பே காலம்.
Anmaiyi n-inmaiyi n-unmaiyin vanmaiyin

Anmaiyi n-inmaiyi n-unmaiyin vanmaiyin Anna pira-v-un kurippotu kollum Enna kilaviyun kurippo kalam.

Words denoting anyônyābhāvā, atyantābhāva, existence and capacity and other words of the same nature which express their meaning by suggestion are appellative verbs.

Ex.—Yām avaṇ kiļaiñarēm allēm. (Pura. 144)
(We are not his relatives)
Irrai-t-tinkaļ... yām entaiyum ilam-ē.\* (Pura. 112)
(To-day we do not have our father)
Uraṇ-uṭaiyār-kēṇmai-y-oṭu iyainta vaikal
uļavākiyar-ō. (Pura. 190)

(May the days come when we will have the friendship

with men of valour!)

<sup>\*</sup> In the Ancient Period ilam was a transitive verb.

Arula vallai. (Pura. 27) (You are capable of showing mercy)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar and Cēlāvaraiyar mention under anna piravum, nallan, tīyan etc. They have done so perhaps nannai and tīmai are not included under 24 guņas mentioned in Nyāya works.

Note 2.—Since  $a\underline{n}mai$ ,  $i\underline{n}mai$ ,  $u\underline{n}mai$  and  $va\underline{n}mai$  are not included under  $pa\underline{n}pi$  mentioned in the previous  $s\underline{u}tra$ , there is need for this  $s\underline{u}tra$ .

Note 3.— $Ilamp\bar{u}ranar$  takes this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the previous one as one  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

215. பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வக்த அன்ன மாபிற் குறிப்பொடு வரூஉங் காலக் கினவி யுயர் இண மருங்கின் மேலேக் கினவியாடு வேறுபா டிலவே.

> Paṇmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pāl-ari vanta Aṇṇa marapir kurippoṭu varṇuṅ Kāla-k kiļavi y-uyartiṇai maruṅkiṇ Mēlai-k kiļaviyoṭu vērupā ṭ-ila-v-ē.

Appellative verbs of uyartinai do not differ from terinilai verbs mentioned above in taking the verbal terminations of the different pals denoting singular or plural.

Note.—Since it is said that appellative verbs do not differ from terinilai verbs in taking terminations, it should be understood that the former do not take any termination that is not taken by the latter. Hence there is no necessity that the former should take all the terminations taken by the latter. Hence the commentators say that  $e^n$  and  $\bar{e}^n$  are used in first person singular, em,  $\bar{e}m$ , am and  $\bar{e}m$  in first person plural, an and  $\bar{e}n$  in and an 
216 அஆ வஎன வருடை மிறுதி அப்பான் மூன்றே பேலவற்றுப் படர்க்குகை. A-ā va-eua varūu m-iruti

A-ā va-eua varuu m-iruti A-p-pān mūnrē palavarru-p patarkkai.

## TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

The third person neuter plural verbs take the terminations a, d and va.

Ex.—Vāļ.... cevvāṇattu-vaṇappu-p pōṇraṇa (Pura. 6)
(Sword had the grandeur of the red horizon.)
Niṇaikka vēṇṭā (Pura. 70)
(It need not be doubted.)
Avai uṇkuva
(They eat.)

Note.—Finite verbs ending in  $\bar{a}$  appear to have always negative meaning.

217. ஒன்றன் படர்க்கை தறட ஆர்ர்த் குன்றிய அவசாத் கிறைகி யாகும்.

Onran patarkkai ta-ra-ta v-ūrnta Kunriya l-ukarat t-iruti y-ākum.

The third person neuter singular verbs take the terminations  $t\dot{u}$ ,  $\tau^{\dot{u}}$  and  $t\dot{u}$ .

Ex.—Orumai-k-kallatu eṇṇumurâi nillātu. (Tol. Col. 44) Ākkan tāṇ-ē kāraṇa mutarr-ē. (Tol. Col. 21) Aintiṇ-vakai-terivāṇ-kaṭṭē y-ulaku. (Kural 27)

Note.—The expression on ran patarkkai is different from the expression on rari kilavi mentioned in sūtra 8.

218. பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வர்த அம்கும் விரண்டு மஃறிணே யவ்வே.

> Paūmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pāl-arī vanta A-m-mū v-iraņtu m-a∴rīņai ya-v-v-ē.

The six verbs mentioned above denoting plural and singular belong to a:rinai.

219. அத்திணே மருங்கி னிருபாற் கிளவிக்கும் ஒக்கு மென்ப வெவனென் விளுவே,

> A-t-tiņai maruňki u-irupār kiļavikkum Okku m-eupa v-evau-eu viuā-v-ē.

The interrogative predicate evan can be used with nouns of both  $p\bar{a}ls$  of a : rinai.

Ex.—A∴tu evan? (What is it?)
Avai evan? (What are they?).

220. இன்றில அடைய வென்னும் கிளலியும் அன்றுடைத் தல்ல வென்னும் கிளலியும் பண்புகொள் கிளவியு முளவென் கிளவியும் பண்டி குகிய சின்முதற் கிளலியும் ஒப்பொடு வரூஉங் கிளவியொடு தொகைஇ அப்பாற் பத்துல் குறிப்பொடு கொள்ளும்.

Inrila v-utaiya v-ennun kilavi-y-um Anr-utait t-alla v-ennun kilavi-y-um Panpu-kol kilaviyu m-ula-v-en kilavi-y-um Panpi n-ākiya cinai-mutar kilavi-y-um Oppotu varnun kilaviyotu tokaii Appār pattun kurippotu kollum.

Appellative verbs are ten:—int, ila, utaiya, auti, utaitt, alla, verbs with stem denoting quality, ula, verbs with stem denoting qualified limbs, and verbs with stem denoting comparison.

Mūvaļa p-icaittal ōr-eļutt-iūr-ē. (Tol. Eļut. 5)
Eñciya v-ellām eñcutal ila-v-ē. (ibid. 77)
Varau-murai mūnrun kurreļut t-uṭaiya. (ibid. 137)
Puraiva t-anrār cāriyai y-iyarkai. (ibid. 166)
Arai-y-aļapu kurukan makaram uṭaittē. (ibid. 13)
Ina-c-cuṭ ṭ-illā-p paṇpu-koļ peyar-k-koṭai
Vaļakkā r-alla. (Tol. Col. 18)
A-v-v-iyal nilaiyal cevvitū. (ibid. 68)
Uriyavai uṭavē. (Tol. Elut. 112)
Pulam kanṇakkaṇ-vaippirrū. (Pura. 18)
(The cultivatable land has wide space)
Turantār perumai tuṇai-k-kūrin vaiyattū
Irantārai eṇṇikkon ṭaɪrū. (Kuraļ 22)

#### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Note.—We have to add untu also to the above list since the author makes use of it in the sūtra—u-m-m-un t-āku m-iṭanumā r-unt-ē. (Col 292)

221. பன்மையு மொருமையும் பாலறி வர்த அன்ன மாபிற் குறிப்பொடு வரூஉங் காலக் கௌவி யஃறிணே மருங்கின் மேலேக் கௌவியாற வேறுபா டிலகே.

> Paṇmai-y-u m-orumai-y-um pāl-ari vanta Aṇṇa marapir kurippoṭu varūuñ Kāla-k kiļavi y-a: riṇai maruṅkiṇ Mēlai-k kiļaviyoṭu vēru-pā ṭ-ila-v-ē.

Appellative verbs of a : rinai do not differ from *terinilai* verbs mentioned above in taking the verbal terminations of the  $p\bar{a}ls$  denoting plural and singular.

Note.— $I]amp\bar{u}ra_{\eta}ar$  takes this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the previous one as one  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

222. முன்னி ஃ வியங்கோன் வி ஊகையஞ்ச கௌவி இன்மை செப்பல் வேடுறன் கௌவி செய்ம்மன செய்யுஞ் செய்த சூவேன்னும் அம்முறை நின்ற வாயெண் கௌவியும் திரிபுவேறே படுஉஞ் செய்திய வாகி இருதிணேச் சொற்குமோ என்ன அரிமையை.

> Munuilai viyanköl vinai-y-eñcu kilavi Inmai ceppal vēr-en kilavi Ceymmana ceyyuñ ceyta v-ennum A-m-murai ninra v-a-y-en kilaviyum Tiripu-vēru patnuñ ceytiya v-aki Iru-tinai-c corkum-ö r-anna v-urimaiya.

Verbs of the second person and verbs of the potential mood, infinitives, the words illai, il etc. that denote negation, the word  $v\bar{e}r\dot{u}$  and the verbs of the type  $ceymman_a$ , ceyyum and ceyta—all these eight may be used in both the tinais differing in their meaning when used in either.

Note.—The word ceyyum denotes both the finite verb and the peyar-eccam and hence Tolkāppiyaṇār has placed it between the finite verb ceymmaṇa and the peyar-eccam ceyta. It should be noted that, whenever he deals with that peyar-eccam also, he makes us understand it either by explicitly stating ceyyum eṇṇum peyar-eñcu-kiļavi as in sūtra 238 or by reading it with ceyta alone as in sūtra 234. Besides ceyyum (peyar-eccam) denotes both the present and future tenses.

Koļļai mēvalai. ..iyarēr-vaļava (Pura. 7)

(Oh King with fine chariot, you desire plunder).

Auua-c-cēval.. vaţa-malai-p peyarkuvai-y-āyin (Pura, 67)

(Oh male-swau, if you go to the Himalayas).

Manniya peruma nī (Pura. 6).

(Oh great king, may you live long!)

Nin sor peyaral (Pura. 3).

(May not your word go unaccomplished!).

Nī....oppa nāṭi y-a-t-taka v-orutti (Pura. 10).

(You, having properly investigated it, offer due punishment).

Anna-c-cāval....ayirai mānti vaṭamalai-p peyarkuvai āyin (Pura. 67).

(Oh male-swan, you having eaten ayirai fish go to the Himalayas),

Alukkarru akanrarum illai. (Kural 170).

(None became prosperous on account of jealousy).

Mey-n-nilai mayakka m-āṇam illai (Tol. Elut. 47).

Vañcittu valvārin vankaņār il (Kuraļ. 276).

(More cruel men than impostors do not exist).

Kalavu... alavennum ārral-purintārkan il (Kural 287) (Deception is not found among those who measure their greatness).

Avan vērů; atu vērů

Ä-y-irutinaiyin ıcaikkumana col-l-ē (Tol. Col. 1).

(Word will be used in those two tinais.)

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Kūum niņ utarriyor-nātē (Pura. 4)

(The country of your enemies cries).

Ten-pula-vál narkku aruń-katan irukkum

.... putalvar-p-perātīrum (Pura. 9).

(Those of you who have not given birth to sons who can give oblations to manes).

Collufi col këţţī (Kalit. 47)

(Listen to the words said).

Vēntu mēmpatta pūn-tār-māra (Pura. 55).

(Oh Pāṇdyan king with flower-garland on, the best among kings).

Ucci ninra uvavu-mati kantu (Pura. 60)

(Having seen the full moon right above the head).

#### 223. அவ*ற்றுள்*

முன்னிலேக் கௌலி

இது ஆயென வளுட மூன்றும்

ஒப்பத் தோன்று மொருவர்க்கு மொன்றற்கும்.

Avarrul

Munnilai-k kilavi

I-ai āy-eņa varūu mūņrum

Oppa-t tönru m-oruvarkku m-onrarkkum.

Īnku evan ceyti-y-ō pāņa (Pura. 68)

(Oh bard, what are you doing here?)

Kanakanatanai ni-y-o (Pura. 5)

(You are the lord of forest region.)

Anna-c-ceval....vatamalai-p-peyarkuvai ayin

(Pura. 67)

(Oh male swan, if you go to the Himalayas.)

Cuțar-t-toții kēlāy (Kalit. 57)

(Oh lady with dazzling ornaments, listen.)

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar says that forms like unti and tinīti denote past tense. Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar mention that i preceded by t, t and r denotes future tense.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar says that the use of the termination i in one tense alone suggests that forms of the form cey may be used. The other commentators take it on the authority of the  $s\bar{u}tra~450$ .

224. இர்சேர் மின்னென வரூட மூன்றாம் பல்லோர் மருங்கினும் பலவற்று மருங்கினுஞ் சொல்லோ ரூகாய வென்மஞர் புலவர்.

> Ir-īr miņ-ņ-eņa varņu mūṇrum Pallör marunkiņum palavarru marunkiņum Gol-l-ō r-aṇaiya v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

Learned men say that the verbs which take the terminations ir, ir and min are of the same form in the plural number of the second person both in uyartinai and in a:rinai.

Ex.-Mūvirum . tāļir koļļalir (Pura. 109)

(All of you three cannot take hold of with your effort.)

Viņtōy-kaṇ-ṇāṭaṇum nīyum vatuvaiyut paṇṭariyātupōr paṭarkirpīr (Kalit. 39)

(The lord of the high mountain and yourself will behave at the time of marriage as if you did not know each other before.)

Kalam-pukal ömpumin tevvir (Pura. 87) (Oh foes, avoid entry into the battlefield)

Note.—The expression avarrul munnilai-k-kilavi has to be taken here from the previous satra.

225. எஞ்சிய கிளவி மிடத்தொடு சிவணி ஐம்பாற்கு மூரிய தோன்ற லாறே. Eñciya kiļavi y-iṭattoṭu civaṇi Aimpārku m-uriya tōQra 1-ārē.

The rest may be used in the three persons of the five pale.

# TOLK APPLY AM — COLLATIKARAM

226. அவற்றுள் முன்னி ஃலை தன்மை யாயீ ரிடத்தொடு மன்னு நாகும் வியங்கோட் கினவி.

> Avarruļ Munnilai tanmai y-āyī r-iţattoţu Manna t-ākum viyankōt kiļavi.

Of them, the verb in the potential mood is not used either in the second person or in the first person.

Note 1.—This is one of the important sūtras which help us to determine the different stages in the growth of the Tamil Language. Even in Puranānūru, we have examples where the verb in the potential mood is used in the second person and in the first person. This clearly shows that it was written later than the  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyam$ .

Note 2.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that forms like ōmpal with the termination al should be taken as belonging to viyankōl from Tolkāppiyanār's usage. It seems to me that in such cases the word vēntum is understood. This is suggested by the expression ceyal vēntum in the sūtra 243. Still later grammarians have taken al as one of the terminations of viyankōl.

Note 3.—It is also noteworthy that Tolkāppiyanār has not explicitly stated the terminations of viyankāl.

Note 4.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  restricts the application of  $viyank\bar{o}l$ , though the previous  $s\bar{u}tra$  says in general terms that the rest will be used in the three persons of all  $p\bar{a}ls$ .

Note 5.—Naccinārkkiniyar interprets this sūtra thus:-Verb in the potential mood is not generally used in the first and second persons of a... tinai, but it is used in uyartinai. This does not appear to be sound, since Tolkāppiyanār has definitely mentioned the verbs of the first person among uyartinai (sūtras 202 &...203) and there is nothing in this sūtra differentiating its use in uyartinai from that in a... tinai.

 பல்லோர் படர்ச்கை முன்னிலே தன்மை அவ்வயின் மூன்று நிகமும் காலத்துச் செய்யு மென்னும் கினவியொடு கொள்ளா.

> Pallör paṭarkkai muṇṇilai taṇmai A-v-vayiṇ mṇṇru nikalun kālattu-c Ceyyu m-eṇṇun kilaviyoṭu koḷḷā.

The finite verb of the form ceyyum which is used only in the present tense is not used in  $palarp\bar{a}l$  and in the second and first persons.

Note.—This  $s\bar{u}tra$  restricts the application of the finite verb of the form ceyyum.

228. செய்து செய்யூச் செய்பு செய்தெனச் செய்யியர் செய்யிய செயின்செயச் செயற்கென அவ்வகை யொன்பதும் விளேயெஞ்ச கினவி.

> Ceytu ceyyū-c ceypu ceyteṇa-c Ceyyiyar ceyyiya ceyiṇ-ceya-c ceyark-eṇa A-v-vakai y-oṇpatum viṇai-y-eñcu kilavi.

Infinitives are of nine types—ceytù, ceyyū, ceypù, ceylena, ceyyiyar, ceyyiya, ceyiv, ceya and ceyarků.

Ex.-Tāļir rantu (Pura. 18)

(Having obtained with effort.)

Anku ninga v-eg-kāņu (Puga. 377)

(Having seen me who stood there.)

Teripu vēru kiļatta (Tol. Col. 49)

Kaliru patintu untena-k kalankiya turaiyum (Pura. 23) (The ghat where water is disturbed on account of the elephants having remained and drunk water.)

Vajvakam velījya celvoy (Mullai-p-pāṭṭú 57)

(Oh king, who goes to conquer the world.)

Ni....tīmai kāņiņ (Pura. 10)

(If you find out the mistake)

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Mā-v-um mākkaļum paṭu-piṇam uṇīiyar

(Patirru. 36, 7)

(Beasts and men to eat the corpses)

Vaiyan kavalar vali-molintu oluka (Pura. 8)

(In order that the kings of the world may accept his suzerainty)

Vinkirai-p-paṇaittōl varaintaṇaṇ kolarku (Kalit. 58).

(He requested him having stout shoulders to take her to wife.)

Note 1.—The roots which end in kurriyal-ukaram do not take tu after them but take i. Ex.—Uranki;  $\delta ti$  etc. The roots ending in a long vowel also take i, which sometimes alternates with y.  $Ex.—P\delta y$ , civaii, u-aii etc. But in later Tamil civaintu, u-raitu are used instead.

Note 2.—Though Tolkāppiyanār has mentioned only nine types here, yet he makes mention of another type ceyyā in Tol. Elut. 223.

Note 3.—Of these ceyya, ceypu, ceytena, ceyyiyar and ceyyiya have almost become obsolete.

Note 4.—Of these it may generally be stated that ceytu ceyyū and ceypū denote the past time, ceyteva denotes the cause, ceyyiyar, ceyyiya and ceyarhū denote the purpose, ceyiū denotes the condition, and ceya denotes the simultaneousness of the action, cause, effect and purpose.

Note 5.—The forms ceyyiyar and ceyarků are respectively identical with the potential form ceyyiyar and the fourth case of the verbal noun ceyal.

229. பின்முன் கால்கடை வழியிடத் தென்னும் அன்ன மாபிற் காலம் கண்ணிய என்ன இளவியு மவற்றியல் பினவே. Pig-mug kāl-katar vali-y-itat t-eggum Agga marapir kālan kanniya Egga kilavi-y-u m-avarriyal piga.w-ē.

Words ending in pin, mun, kal, katai, vali, itam and those ending in words denoting time are of the same nature.

Ex.—Cerrarkum innata ceyta-pin uyya viluman tarum.

(Kural 313).

(Ascetic doing harm even to his enemies, it will bring great trouble to him).

Vikkuļ vārā-muņ (Kuraļ 335)

(Befere he gets biccup)

Nī arav-añcāv vanta-k-kāl (Kalit. 38)

(You were not afraid of serpents while coming).

Pīţu-keļu-nonrāļ pāţun-kāl-ē (Pura. 39)

(When praising your strong and worthy feet)

Initu atūum ītal iyaiyā-k-katai (Kuraļ. 230)

(It too is sweet when it is not possible to give charity)

Vērrumai-y-urupu nilai-peru-valiyum (Tol. Elut. 133)

Valiyar-mun tannai ninaikka tan-tannin

Melivarmēl cellum-itattu (Kural. 250)

(One should think how he wishes to be treated by his superiors when he is handling his inferiors).

Muttai varūun-kālan tonri (Tol. Elut. 165)

Ninaiyun-kalai-k kalamotu tonrum (Tol. Col. 198)

En-n-uyir yakkaiyir piriyum-polutu (Pura. 175)

(When my soul separates itself from the mortal body)

Note.—It deserves notice that all the examples under this satra were once a phrase or a compound word consisting of a peyar-eccam followed by a noun denoting time or place with the seventh case-suffix dropped.

230. அவற்றுள்

முதனிலே மூன்றம் விணமுதன் முடிபின.

Avarrul

Mutaņilai mūņrum viņai-mutaņ muţipiņa.

Of them, the first three (i.e. ceytu,  $ceyy\bar{u}$  and ceypu) modify a verb which has for its subject its own.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

231. அம்முக் கிளவியுஞ் சினேவியோ தோன்றின் சினையொடு முடியா முதலொடு முடியினும் விளூயோ ரீனைய வென்மணர் புலவர்.

> A-m-mu-k kiļavi-y-uñ ciņai-viņai töŋrin Ciṇaiyoṭu muṭiyā mutaloṭu muṭiyiṇum Viṇai-y-ō r-aṇaiya v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

Though those three take for their subject a limb, yet they may modify a verb whose subject is the whole.

Ex.—Kai irru avan vilntān.
(His hands being broken, he fell down).

232. எண யெச்சம் விணமுத லானும் ஆன்வர் தியையும் விளேசில யானும் தாமியன் மருங்கின் முடியு மென்ப, Ēṇai y-eccam viṇai-muta l-āṇum Āṇ-van t-iyaiyum viṇai-nilai y-āṇum

The other infinitives may modify verbs which have for their subjects their own or other verbs that may suit,

Tam-iyan marunkin mutiyu m-enpa.

233. பன்முறை யானும் விளேடுயஞ்சு கிளவி சொன்முறை முடியா தடுக்குக வரினும் உன்னது முடிய முடியுமன் பொருளே.

> Paṇ-murai y-āṇ-um viṇai-y-eñcu kiļavi Coṇ-murai muṭiyā t-aṭukkuna variṇum Uṇṇatu muṭiya muṭiyu-maṇ poruḷ-ā.

Infinitives of different kinds used in one sentence, though that which precedes does not modify that which follows, may be used if they modify the last.

234. கிலனும் பொருளுங் சாலமுங் கருவியும் வினேமுதற் கினலியும் வினேயு முனப்பட அவ்வறு பொருட்குமோ என்ன வுரிமைய செய்யுஞ் செய்த வென்னுஞ் சொல்லே.

> Nilan-um porul-un kālam-un karuvi-y-um Viņai-mutar kiļavi-y-um viņai-y-u m-ūļappaṭa A-v-varu poruṭkum-ō r-aṇṇa v-urimaiya Ceyyuñ ceyta v-eṇṇuñ col-l-ē.

The peyar-eccams ceyyum and ceyta qualify the following six words denoting land, object, time, instrument, agent and action.

Ex.—Mā-p-piṇai..... tuñcum por-kōṭṭimayam (Pura. 2)
(The Himalayas with golden peaks where female deer sleep)

Vilankakanra viyan-marpa. (Pura. 3)

(Oh king with wide well-built chest)

Mulankum munnīr. (Pura. 18)

(The roaring sea)

Nuti-malunkiya venkötü. (Pura. 4)

(White tusk with its end blunted)

Num-pațai cellun kālai. (Pura. 169)

(The time when your army went)

Konkar-k kuta-katal ottiya naurai. (Pura. 130)

(On the day when Konkar were driven towards the Arabian sea)

Amar katakkum võl. (Pura. 172)

(The spear which could bring victory in battle)

Vēntu erinta vēl-ē. (Pura. 308)

(The spear which shattered the enemy)

Mā-k-kaṭal nivantu elutaruñ ceññāyiru (Pura. 4) (The red sun that rises from the wide ocean)

Nin-kaṭar piranta ñāyirù (Pura. 2) (Sun that rose from your sea).

#### TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Ömpātu īyum ārral (Pura. 22) (Capacity to give without reserve). Tavirā v-īkai (Pura. 3) (Unabated charity).

235. அவற்குறு வருவழிச் செய்யுமென் கிளவி முதற்கண் வடைக்க மூவீற்று முளித்தே. Avarrotu varu-vali-c ceyyum-en kilavi Mutar-kan varainta mū-vīrru m-urittē.

When ceyyum qualifies the above six, it may be used even in such pāls as were not sanctioned before (for the finite verb ceyyum).

236. பெயரெஞ்சு இளவியும் வினேயெஞ்சு இளவியும் எதிர்ம**ற**த்து மொழியினும் பொருணிவே திரியா. Peyar-encu kilavi-y-um vinai-y-encu kilavi-y-um Etir-maruttu moliyinum porunilai tiriya.

Peyar-eccam and vinai-y-eccam, though used in the negative form, are treated in the same way.

Note 1.—Ceyyāta is the only negative peyar-eccam used in all tenses. In compound words where it is the first member, it assumes the form ceyyā. But Cēnāvaraiyar and others take it to be an alternative form.

Note 2.—Ceyyāti, ceyyāmai and ceyyāmal are the negative vinai-y-eccams used in all tenses corresponding to the positive vinai-y-eccam ceyti, ceyyū and ceypu.

237. தத்த மேச்சமொடு சிவணும் குறிப்பின் எச்சோல் லாயினு மிடைநில் வரையார், Tatta m-eccamoțu civaņun kurippin E-c-col l-āyinu m-ițai-nilai varaiyār.

They do not discredit the use of a suitable word between peyar-eccam and vinai-y-eccam and the words which they qualify or modify.

Ex.-Nuti-muka malunka manti y-onnar

Kaţi-matil pāyu-nim kalir-aţan kala-y-ē. (Pura. 31)

(Your elephants are innumerable which pounce against well-guarded forts of enemies and dash so that the tips of their tasks become blunt)

Pulluru punkan tīrtta vel-vēr

Cinan-kelu tanai-c cempiyan maruka, (Pura. 37)

(Oh the descendant of Cempiyan who deprived the bird of its grief and who has armies fierce with victorious javelins)

238. அவற்றுள்

செய்யு மென்னும் பெயசொஞ்ச கினவிச்சூ மெய்யொடுக் செடுமே மீற்றுமிசை யுசாம் அவ்விட னறிச செல்ன்முரைர் புலவர்.

Avarrul

Ceyyu m-ennum peyar-encu kilavikku Mey-y-otu-n ketum-ē y-īrrumicai y-ukaram A-v-v-ita n-arita l-enmanār pulavar.

Learned men say that yu in the peyar-eccam ceyyum is dropped sometimes and it should be found out (by scholars.)

Ex.—Ulaku avām pēr-arivāļaņ riru. (Kuraļ. 215).

(Avam for avavum)

(The greatness of the highly intelligent man whose intellect comprehends the whole world)

Note.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads peyar-eñcu-kilavikkum so that the same may hold good for the finite verb also, since such a usage is also found.

Cf. Pariyinum ākāvām pāl-alla (Ku♣1 376).

Those which one is not destined to have are lost though well protected.

239. செய்தெ கொச்சத் திறந்த காலம் எய்திட ஹடைத்தே வாராக் காலம்.

> Ceyt-e u-eccat t-iranta kālam Eytita u-utaitt-ē vārā-k kālam.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

The infinitive ceytu which denotes past tense may also denote future tense.

Ex.—Kotiyan em-m-irai ena-k kannīr parappi-k Kuti pali-tūrrun kōlēn ākuka. (Pura. 72) (Let me become the ruler whom the subjects slander that 'our king is cruel', shedding tears)

240. முக்கீலக் காலமுக் தோன்று பியற்கைக எம்.முறைச் சொல்லு கிகழுக் காலத்த மெய்க்கீஸ்ப் பொதுச்சொற் கிளத்தல் வேண்டும்.

> Munnilai-k kālamun tönru m-iyarkai Emmurai-e col-l-u nikaļun kālattu Mey-n-nilai-p potu-e-cor kiļattal vēntum.

The form of the finite verb ceyyum should be used to denote things which prove true for all times—past, present and future.

Ex.—Ven-katir-k-kanaliyotu mati-valan-tiritarun
Tankatal-varaippin. (Perumpän. 17).

(In the land of cool sea which is gone round by the
moon with hot-rayed sun)

241. வாராக் காலத்து கிகழுங் காலத்தும் ஒராங்கு வரூஉம் விணேச்சொற் வளலி இறந்த காலத்துக் குறிப்பொடு கினத்தல் விரைந்த பொருள வென்மஞர் புலவர்.

> Vārā-k kālattu nikaļun kālattum Ōrānļu varūum viņai-c-cor kiļavi Iranta kālattu-k kurippotu kiļattal Virainta poruļa v-eņmaņār pulavar.

Learned men say that a verb is used in the past tense instead of in the present and future tenses to denote haste.

Ex.—If one puts the question ni vara-v-illai-y-ā?, both the one who is coming and the one who is to come answer vantēu.

242. மிக்கதன் மருங்கின் விணச்சொற் சுட்டி அப்பண்பு குறித்த விணமுதற் கிளவி செய்வ கில்வழி கிகமுங் காலத்து மெய்பெறத் தோன்றும் பொருட்டா கும்மே.

> Mikkatan marunkin vinai-e-cor cuţţi A-p-panpu kuritta vinai-mutar kilavi Ceyva t-ilvali nikalun kālattu Mey-pera-t tōnrum poruţţā kum-m-ē.

The verb that is used in a general statement to denote the fruit of an extra-ordinary action (whether noble or heinous) is used in the present tense, even though a particular man has not done it.

Ex.—Tavañ ceytan turakkam pukum.

(One who does penance reaches Heaven)

Tāyai-k konrān nirayam pukum.

(One who kills his mother enters Hell).

Note.—Ceyvalü in ceyvatilvali means doing to Ilampüranar and agent to other commentators.

243. இது செயல் வேண்டு மென்னுங் கிளவி இருவயி னி‰யும் பொருட்டா கும்மே தன்பா லானும் பிறன்பா லானும்.

> Itu-ceyal vēṇṭu m-eṇṇuṅ kiḷavi Iru-vayi ṇilaiyum poruṭṭā kum-m-ē Taṇ-pā lāṇ-um piraṇ-pā lāṇ-um.

The verb ceyal-véntum may be both tap-vinas or simple verb and pira-vinai or causal verb.

 $E_{\lambda}$ .—Yān ōtal-vēntum

(I should study).

En tantaı ennai ötal-ventum (My father wants me to read).

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

244. வன்புற வருடம் வினுவுடை விணச்சொல் எதிர்மறுத் துணர்த்துதற் குரிமையு முடைத்தே.

> Vanpura varüum viņā-v-uṭai viņai-c-col Etir-marut t-unarttutar k-urimai-v-u m-utaittē.

Interrogative verb used to denote certainty may also denote negation.

Ex.—Yān vaitēņā?
(Did I scold you? It means 'I did not scold you').

245. வரசாக் சாலத்து விளேச்சொற் கௌவி இறப்பினு கிகழ்வினுஞ் சிறப்பத் தோன்றும் இயற்கையுர் தெளிவுங் கிளக்குங் காலை,

> Vără-k kālattu viņai-c-cor kiļavi Irappiņu nikaļviņun cirappa-t tōņrum Iyarkai-y-un teļivun kiļakkun kālai.

Verbs are used in the past and present tenses to denote future in general statements and statements of assertion.

Ex.—Kāṭṭuļ pōkiṇravan kūrai-kōṭ-paṭukiṇrāṇ.

(He who goes into a forest is robbed of his cloth).

Kāṭṭul pōkiṇrāṇ kūrai-kōṭ-paṭṭâṇ.

(He who went into a forest was robbed of his cloth).

246. செயப்படு பொருளேச் செய்தது போலத் `தொழிற்படக் தினத்தலும் வழக்கியன் மாபே,

> Ceya-p-paṭu porulai-c ceytatu pōla-t Tolir-paṭa-k kilattalum valakkiyan marap-ē.

There is usage where object is also used as subject.

Ex.—Tinnai melukirru.

(The pial cleaned).

இறப்பே பெதிர்வே மாயிரு காலமும்
 சிறப்பத் தோன்று மயங்குமொழிக் கினவி.

Irappē y-etirvē y-ā-y-iru kālamum Cirappa-t tönru mayanku-moļi-k kiļavi.

Past tense is used for future and vice-versa.

Ex. Paṇṭu iṅkē nām viļai-y-āṭuvām.
(Here we will play in olden times).

Nāļai nām anku vantāl nī en ceykuvai.

(If we come there tomorrow, what will you do?)

248. ஏனேச் சாலமு மயங்குதல் வசையார்.

Ēņai-k kālam-u mayankutal varaiyār

They do not forbid the use of one tense for another in the case of other tenses also.

Ex.-Ival nālai anku varum.

(She goes there to-morrow).

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

vii. Ițai-y-iyal

249. இடைபெனப் படுப பெயகொடும் வீணபொடும் கடைபெற் றியலூக் தமக்கியல் பிலவே.

> Itai-y-ena-p patupa peyarotum vinaiyotum Natai-per r-iyalum tamakkiyal p ila-v-ē.

Itaic-c-col is used only with nouns and verbs and not separately.

Note 1.—Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar think that it is called itaiccol because it mostly comes within a word. But if we carefully consider the different kinds of itai-c-col mentioned in the following sātra, it is clear that most of them, say, case-suffixes, verbal terminations, expletive particles, euphonic particles are not found within a word. Hence the word itai-c-col should be taken to mean pakkaccol (i.e.) the part of the word used at the side of a word.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar states that tamakkiyalpilavē in the sūtra suggests that iţai-c-col is not vācaka (ie) it does not have a meaning-of its own, but only dyōtaka (ie) it suggests that the noun or verb with which it comes has a particular meaning. This may hold good in the case of case-suffixes, but in words like tīmai-y-au (evil doer), tīmai denotes only the quality and au denotes the possessor. Similarly in the word naṭantāu, the element naṭa denotes only action and āu denotes the nature of the doer. Similarly in the word yānai-y-ai, the element yānai may be taken to mean the object 'elephant' and ai to denote the karma or object. It is clear that the particles antil, taūcam etc., suggest meanings by themselves. Hence Cēṇāvaraiyar's interpretation does not seem to be sound. The element tamakkiyalpila tells us that iṭaiccol cannot have any meaning unless it is used along with a noun or a verb.

Note 3.—If so, one may doubt whether the purpose of this sūtra is not served by the sūtra 159. True, but this sūtra enables us to understand two points:—(1) Itai-c-col is the less-important

#### ITAI~Y-IYAL

portion of a word (2) Sūtra 159 should not be interpreted that itai-c-col is used with nouns and uri-c-col with verbs.

#### 250. அவைதாம்

புணரிய னி வேயிடைப் பொருணிவக் குதகவும் வி கோ செயன் மருங்கிற் காலமொடு வருகவும் வேற்றுமைப் பொருள்வயி னுருபா குகவும் அசைகிலேக் கிளவி பாகி வருகவும் இசைகிறைக் கிளவி பாகி வருகவும் தத்தங் குறிப்பிற் பொருள் செய் குகவும் ஒப்பில் வழியாற் பொருள் செய் குகவுமென்று அப்பண் பினவே துவலும் காவே.

#### Avai-tām

Puṇariya ṇilai-y-iṭai-p poruṇilai-k kutanavum Viṇai-ceyaṇ maruṅkir kālamoṭu varunavum Vērrumai-p poruḥ-vayi ṇ-urupā kunavum Acai-nilai-k kiļavi y-āki varunavum Icai-nirai-k kiļavi y-āki varunavum Tattan kurippir poruḥ-cey kunavum Oppil valiyār poruḥ-cey kunavum-eṇru A-p-paṇ piṇa-v-ē nuvalun kālai.

They (itai-c-cols) are cāriyais which are used in sandhi, verbal terminations, case-suffixes, expletive particles, euphonic particles, suggestive particles and particles of comparison not derived from the roots which mean similarity.

Note.—Suggestive particles are man, kon etc. and the particles of comparison are anna, eyppa, urala etc.

#### 251. அவைதாம்

முன்னும் பின்னு மொழியடுத்த வருத**லு**ர் தம்மீறை திரிதலும் பிறிதவ ணிலேயலும் அன்னவை யெல்லா முரிய வென்ப.

Avai-tām

Munnum pinnu moli-y-atuttu varutalun Tam-m-īru tiritalum pirit-ava nilaiyal-um Annavai y-ellā m-uriya v-enpa.

#### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

They say that they come after or before words, that they may be modified at their ends and that one may be followed by another and so on.

Ex.—Atu man (Pura. 147)

Konnūr (Kurun. 138)

Utan-uyir pōkuka tilla (Kurun. 57)

Varuka til-l-amma (Akanā. 276)

252. கழிவே யாக்க மொழியிசைக் கிளவியென்று அம்முன் றென்ப மன்னேச் சொல்லே.

> Kalivē y-ākka m-oli-y-icai-k kiļavi-y-enrū A-m-mūn r-enpa mannai-c col-l-ē.

The particle man denotes what is past, what is to come and what is left understood.

Ex.-Kalivu.

Ciri) a kal perinë emakku îyuman-n-ë (Pura. 235) (If he got wine in small quantities, he would give me)

Akkam.

Paļa-virarrāyam.....ciriyōn perin atu ciran-tanrū man-n-ē (Pura. 75)

(If a small man gets sovereignty from his ancestors acquired by their valour, it becomes too heavy for him to bear.)

Oli-y-icai,

Nannan-marukan-anriyum niyum muyankarku ottanai man-n-ē (Pura. 151)

(Even you, in spite of your being a descendent of Nannan, deserve to be approached, but....)

253. விழைவே சால மொழியிசைச் கிளவியென்று அம்மூன் றென்ப தில்லேச் சொல்லே.

Vilaivē kāla m-oli-y-icai-k kilavi-y-enītu A-m-mūn r-enpa tillai-e col-l-ē.

#### ITAI-Y-IYAL

The particle til denotes desire, time and something which is understood.

## Ex.-Vilaivu.

Por-arun-tittau kanka til-l-amma (Pura. 50)
(I desire that Tittan who cannot be met in battle

Kālam.

see [him].)

Perrankû arika til-l-amma i-v-v-ūrē (Kurun-14) (Let the people of this village know at the time they get it.)

Oli-y-icai.

Varuka til-l-amma eñoēri

«Let him come to our quarters and ....)

254. அச்சம் பயமிலி காலம் பெருமையென்ற அப்பா ஞன்கே கொன்னேச் சொல்லே.

> Accam payam--ili kālam perumai-y-enrū A-p-pā nānkē konnai-e- col-l-ē.

The particle key denotes fear, uselessness, time and greatness.

## Ex,—Accam.

Kon-munai iravur põla (Kurun. 91) (Like the village afraid of you.)

Pavam-ili.

Nayiru kon vilankuti-y-al vioumpinan-e (Pura. 374). (Oh sun, what is the use of your shining in the sky?)

Kālam.

Kon-varal-vāţai

(The wind knowing the time of your coming.)

Cirappù.

Kou-n-ūr tuñciuum (Kurun. 138). (Though the great village sleeps).

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

255. எச்சஞ் சிறப்பே பைய மெதிர்மறை முற்றே பெண்ணே தெரிதிலே யாக்கமென்று அப்பா லெட்டே யும்மைச் சொல்லே.

> Eccañ cirappë y-aiya m-etir-marai Murrë y-ennë teri-nilai y-akkam-enru A-p-pā l-eṭṭë y-ummai-o col-l-ë.

The particle um denotes the following eight:—incompletion, superiority, doubt, negation, completion, number, definiteness and that which is to come.

#### Ex. -- Eccam.

Manna-p porulum anna v-iyarrë (Col. 34). (Even transient objects are of that nature)

Cirappù.

Kuravarum marulun kunrattu-p patin

(Malaipațu. 275).

(If you go to the hill where even kuravas get terrified)

Aiyam.

Purappān polvator matukaiyum utaiyan Nallārkat tonru matakkamum utaiyan (Kalit. 47). (He seemed to have valour like protectors and modesty like great men).

Etirmarai.

Tanmai cuttalum urittena molipa (Col. 25).

Murriu.

Ra∴kā n-orrum pakara v-irutiyum Mărai-k kilavi y-ulappata mūnrum Nēra-t tōnrum palar-ari col-l-č. (Col. 7).

En.

Ra: kān orrum pakara v-irutiyum (Col. 7.)

Teri-pilai.

Ai-tēyn t-anru pirai-y-um anru (Kalit, 55).

#### TTAT-V-IVAL

It is wonderfully lean, but is not crescent and it is devoid of stain and it is not moon.

Akkam.

Ceppē vaļīiyiņum varai-nilai y-iņrē (Col. 15).

256. பிரிகீ விளுவே பெதிர்மறை பொழியிசை தெரிகீஸக் கினவி சிறப்பொடு தொகைஇ இருமூன் சிற்ன்ப வோகா சம்மே.

> Piri-nilai viṇā-v-ē y-etir-marai y-oli-y-icai Teri-nilai-k kılavi cirappotu tokaii Iru-mūṇ r-eṇpa v-ōkā ram-m-ē.

The particle  $\bar{o}$  donotes the following six:-exclusion, question, negation, that which is left understood, definiteness and superiority.

Ex.—Piri-nilai.

Kānan kār-ena-k kūrinum yānō tērēn avar poy valankalar. (Kurun. 21)

(Even though the forest shows that it is winter, will I decide so since my lover will never prove a liar?)

Viņā.

Ariyavai-kūntalin nariyavum ula-v-ō. (Kurun. 2)
(Is there anything which is more scented than the tresses of the lady-love?)

Etir-marai.

Tāvā-vañciṇam uraittatu nōyō tōļi niṇ-vayiṇāṇ-a. (Kurun. 36)

(Will his never-failing assertion become a source of trouble to you, oh friend?)

Avan inku panam vänkavõ vantan ?

(Did he come here to get money? No, for something else)

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Teri-nilai.

Tiru-makaļō?, allaļ.

(Is she Lakşmi? No)

Cirappů.

Kānaka-nātaņai, nī-y-ō peruma. (Pura. 5)

(Oh great king, it is you that are the lord of forest region)

257. தேற்றம் விஞுவே பிரிவீல யெண்ணே சுற்றசை யிவ்வைர் தேகா ரம்மே.

Tērram viņā-v-ē piri-nilai y-eņ-ņ-ē Īrracai y-i-v-v-ain t-ēkā ram-m-ē.

The particle  $\bar{e}$  denotes the following five:-Certainty, question exclusion, number and final expletive syllable.

 $Ex.-T\bar{e}_{TT}am.$ 

Marattirkum a .: tē tuņai (Kuraļ. 76)

(It is the only one that helps to avoid sinful acts)

Viņā.

Yāṇē maruļvēn (Kurun, 94) (Will I get confused?)

Piri-nilai.

Arattirk-ē anpu cārp-enpa (Kural 76). (They say that love helps doing meritorious acts).

En.

Kaţi-y-en kilavi Varaiv-ē...kāpp-ē...(Col. 383).

Irracai.

Na .. kān-orrē y ātūu-v-ari-col (Col. 5).

258. விணேயே குறிப்பே யிசையே பண்பே எண்ணே பெயரோ டவ்வற கௌலியுக் கண்ணிய விலேத்தே யேனவெஞ் கௌவி.

#### ITAI-Y-IYAL

Vinai-y-ē kuripp-ē y-icai-y-ē paņp-ē Eņ-ņ-ē poyar-ō ţ-a-v-v-aru kiļavi-y-un Kanniya nilaittē y-ena-v-en kilavi.

The particle ega denotes the following six:—verb, suggestion, sound, quality, number and noun,

Ex.--Vinai.

Malai vān koļk-ena (Pura. 143).

(So that cloud may surround the mountain).

Kurippu.

Pol-l-ena v-ānkē puram vērār (Kural 487).

Icai.

Kal-l-ena-k kavin-perra vilavārru-p-patutta pin (Kalit. 5).

Panpú.

Takuti ena onru nanrē (Kura! 111). (The one called impartiality is good).

En.

Nilan ena nir ena.... (Earth and water)

Peyar.

Alukkāru ena oru pāvi (Kural 166). (A heinous thing called envy)

259. என்றென் கிளவியு மதனே ரேற்றே.

Enren kilavi-y-u m-ataņō r-arrē.

The particle enru also is of the same nature.

Ex.-Vinai.

Ilam enru ve .: kutal ceyyār (Kural. 174).

(They do not covet other property thinking that they are poor).

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Китірри.

Payir paca paca v-enru irukkum.

Icai.

Ol-I-enru olittatů.

Panpù.

Takuti enru onru iruppin.

(If there is only impartiality)

En.

Alavin ennin enrā (Col. 72).
(In measure and number)

Peyar.

Meyyē uyir enru ā-y-īr-iyala (Tol. Elut. 104).

260. விழைவின் றில்ல தன்னிடத் இயலும். Vilai-v-in rillai tan-n-iṭat t-iyalum.

The particle til when it denotes desire is used along with the verb of the first person.

261. தெளிவி கேனயுஞ் தெறப்பி ஞேவும் அளபி கெனடுத்த விசைய வென்ப.

> Telivi n-ē-y-uñ cirappi n-ō-v-um Alapi n-etutta v-icaiya v-enpa.

The particle  $\bar{c}$  denoting certainty and the particle  $\bar{c}$  denoting superiority may lengthen their matras.

Ex.—Ëe y-i...t-ottan nānilan (Kalit. 62).

(This one man is certainly devoid of shame)

Oo kaṭal-ē (Kalit. 144).

(What a sea!)

262. மற்றென் கௌலி விசைமாற் றகைகில அப்பா லிரண்டென மொழிமஞர் புலவர். Marr-en kilavi vinai-mār r-acainilai A-p-pā l-iranṭ-ena molimanār pulavar.

#### ITAI-Y-IYAL

Learned men say that the particle marrii denotes change of vivai and expletion.

Ex.—Keţuppatūuń keţţārkku-c cārvāy-mar r-āṅkē Eṭuppatūu m-ellā maļai (Kuraļ. 15).

(Rain not only unmakes but also makes the people in distress).

Atu marru avalan kollātu (Kurun. 12), (It not being disheartened).

263. எற்றென் கிளவி மிறந்த பொருட்டே. Erren kilavi y-iranta poruttē.

The particle erru denotes what is past.

Ex.—Erren uṭampin elinalam
(The beauty of my body is a thing of the past)

264. மற்றைய தென்னுங் கிளவி தானே சுட்டுகி‰ யொழிய வினங்குறித் தன்றே,

> Marraiya t-ennun kilavi tān-ē Cuṭṭu-nilai y-oliya v-inan-kurit t-anr-ē.

The particle marraiyati denotes objects of the same class as those which have been excluded.

Note.—Marraiyavar, marraiyār etc. are nouns., derived from marraiyatū.

Ex.—Arravar enpär avavarrar marraiyar

Arrāka v-arra t-ilar. (Kuraļ, 365)

(Those who are devoid of desire are said to be those devoid of next birth; others are not completely devoid of next birth.)

Talai-p-paṭṭār tīra-t turantār mayaṅki Valai-p-paṭṭār marrai yavar. (Kura!, 348)

(Those who have completely renounced (the pleasures of life) can get liberation and others are caught in the net of samsāra)

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

265. மன்றவென் கௌலி தேற்றஞ் செய்யும். Manga-v-en kilavi tērrañ ceyyum.

The particle manra denotes certainty.

Ex.—Înțu-nin rörkkun tönruñ ciru-varai Cenru-nin rörkkun tönru manra.

It will, certainly, be visible to those who stand here and to those who stand a little far-off from the limit.

266. தஞ்சக் கிளவி யெண்மை பொருட்டே. Tañea-k kiļavi y-eņmai-p poruṭṭē.

The particle tancam denotes the state of being easy.

Ex.—Muraeu-kelu-tāya-t-t-aracō tañcam. (Pura. 73)
(It is easy to give the sovereignty which came from the ancestors and which is provided with muraja.)

267. அக்கி லாங்க வசைகி‰க் கிளவிபென்ற ஆயிர ண்டாகு மியற்கைத் தென்ப.

> Anti l-ānka v-acainilai-k kiļavi-y-enrū Ā-y-ira nṭ-āku m-iyarkai-t t-enpa.

They say that the particle antil denotes 'that place' and is used as an expletive.

Ex.—Varum-ē cēyilai y-antir koļuņar kāṇiya. (Kurun. 293) (The lady with fine arnaments comes there to see her lover)

Antir kaccinan kalalinan. (Akanā. 76) (He is provided with kacci and kalal)

268. Garásca mulli. Kol-l-ē y-aiyam.

The particle kol denotes doubt.

# ITAI-Y-ÌYAL

Ex—Neţuntakai kalintamai y-ariyātu Iurum varun-kol pāṇaratu kaṭumpē. (Pura. 264) (I doubt that pāṇars and their followers may come even today without knowing the demise of the lord)

269. எல்லே மிலக்க**ம்**. El-l-ē y-ilakkam.

The particle el denotes brightness.

Ex.—El-valai. (Pura. 24) (Dazzling bracelet)

270. இயற்பெயர் முன்ன ராரைக் கிளவி பலர்க்குரி யெழுத்தின் வீணேயொரு முடிமே. Iyar-peyar muṇṇa r-ārai-k kiļavi Palar-k-k-uri y-eļuttiņ viņaiyotu muṭim-ē.

The termination ār which is used in the verbs of palarpāl is used with iyar-peyar.

Ex.—Cāttanār vantār; tāyar vantār.

Note 1.—Singular noun takes ar after it to show respect.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar, Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār interpret this sūtra thus:—Iġarpeyar followed by ār takes a verb in palarpāl. But Iļampūraņar takes the meaning given above.

271. அசைசிவேச் கொவி யாகுவழி யறிதல். Acai-nilai-k kiļavi y-āku-vaļi y-arital.

One should understand where it becomes an expletive.

Ex.—Peyari n-ākiya tokai-y-um-ā r-uļa-v-ē (Col. 67)

272. ஏயுங் குணாயு மிசைகிறை யசைகில ஆயிரண் டாகு மியற்கைய வென்ப. Ē-y-uri kurai-y-u m-icainirai y-acainilai Ā-y-iran t-āku m-iyarkaiya y-etipa.

# TOLKÁPPIYÁM--CÓLLATIKARAM

The particles  $\tilde{e}$  and kurai are used both as euphonic particles and expletives.

Ex. — Ee-y-i∴ tottan (Kalit. 61)

Ee-y-en colluka

Alitō tānē v-atu peral arun kuraittē (Pura

Alitō tāṇē y-atu peral arun kuraittē (Pura. 5) Pal-kurai-t-tuṇpankal (Kural 1045)

Note.—Ilampūraņar interprets this sūtra thus. Ē and kurai are respectively used as euphonic particle and expletive. Cēṇāvaraiyar condemns him. Nacciņārkkiņiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār agree with Cēṇāvaraiyar.

273. மாவென் கொலி வியங்கோ எசைச்சொல். M-ā-v-eṇ kiļavi viyankō ļ:acai-c-col.

The particle mā is used as an expletive with a vivankōl verb.

Ex.—Purkai uņka mā korkai yōņ-ē.

274. மியாயிச மோமதி யிசூஞ்சின் னென்னும் ஆவயி சூசு முன்னி உயசைச்சொல். Miyā-y-ika mō-mati y-ikuñ-cin n-ennum Ā-vayi n-āru munnilai y-acai-c-col.

The following six  $miy\bar{a}$ , ika,  $m\bar{o}$ , mati, ikum and ciy are used as expletives with verbs of second person.

Ex.—Kēņmiyā
Kaṇpaṇi yāṇr-ika
Kaṇṭatu moḷi-mō. (Kurun. 2)
Viṭumati y-attai kaṭu-māṇ-rōṇṛal (Pura. 382)
Mellam pulampa kantikum

Națatti-cir cirite (Pura. 255)

275. அவற்றுள் இகுமுஞ் சின்னு மேனே யீடத்தொடுக் தகுகில யுடைய வென்மஞர் புலவர்.

Avarruļ Ikum-uñ ciṇṇu m-ēṇai y-iṭattoṭun Taku-nilai y-uṭaiya v-eṇmaṇār pulavar,

#### ITAI-Y-IYAL

Learned mon say that, of them, ikum and cin are used with verbs of other persons also.

Ex.—Mallar kaṇṭikum (Pura. 251)
(Kaṇṭikum=kaṇṭēm)
Pukalntiku mallarō peritē
(Pukalntikum=Pukalntār)
Kāṇku vanticin (Pura. 17)
(Vanticin=vantēn)
Pāṭṇṇyum...ilai perricin-ē (Pura. 11)

276. அம்மகேட் பிக்கும். Amma-kēt pikkum.

(Perricin = Perrāl)

The particle amma is used to invite the attention of the hearer.

Ex.-Iunātu amma inku (Pura. 42)

277. ஆங்க வுரையசை,

Ānka v-urai-y-acai.

The particle  $\bar{a}\dot{n}ka$  is used as an expletive.

Ex.—Ānka-k kuyılum mayilun kätti.

278. ஒப்பில் போலியு **ம**ப்பொருட் டாகும். Oppil põliyu m-a-p-poruṭ ṭ-ākum.

The particle  $p\bar{o}li$  not meaning resemblance is also used as an expletive.

E.c.—Avar vantār pölum.

279. யாரா புறபிற: கரோபோ மாடுசன வளுடம் ஆயேற் சொல்**ஹ** மரைலிலேக் கௌவி.

## TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Yā-kā

Pira-pirak k-arō-pō māt-eṇa varū-um Ā-y-ēļ col-l-u m-acainilai-k kiļavi.

The following seven  $y\bar{a},\ k\bar{a},\ pira,\ pirakk\dot{u},\ ar\bar{c},\ p\bar{o},\ m\bar{a}t\dot{u}$  are used as expletives.

Ex.—Yā paṇṇiruvar māṇākkar ular Akattiyaṇārkku
Ival ivat kāṇṭikā (Kalit. 99)
Tāṇ pira-varicai y-aritaliṇ (Pura. 140)
Atu-pirakku
Nō-taka v-iruṅkuyil ālum-arō (Kalit. 33)
Vālāteṇ-pō
Vilintaṇru mātava-t telinta eṇ-ṇeñe-ē (Narrinai. 178)

280. ஆக வாக லென்ப தென்னும் ஆவயின் மூன்றும் பிரிவி லகைகிலே.

> Āka v-āka l-enpa t-ennum Ā-vayin mūnrum piri-vi l-acainilai.

Āka, ākal and enpatū are doubled when they are expletives.

Note.—If one says Oāttav innāu (Cāttav is of that nature) and another says in reply āka, āka or ākal ākal it suggests either his disagreement or disregard. If he says in reply enpatic enpatic, it suggests the meaning 'well said' or "ill said". These particles are now obsolete.

281. ஈரள பிசைக்கு மிறதியி ஆயிரே ஆயிய னிஃலயுங் காலத் தானும் அளபெடை கிஃலயுங் காலத் தானும் அளபெடை யின்றித் தான்வருங் காஃலயும் உளவென மொழிப பொருள்வேற படுதல் குறிப்பி னிசையர வேறிப்படத் தோன்றும்.

> Irala p-icai-kku m-irutiyi l-uyire Ā-y-iya nilaiyun kāla-t t-ānum Alapetai nilaiyun kāla-t t-ānum Alapetai y-inri-t tān-varun kālaiyum Ula-v-ena molipa porul-vēru patutal . Kurippi n-icaiyā nerippata-t tōnrum.

### 1TAI-Y-IYAL

They say that the vowel au which has two māiras and which has been said that it cannot be final has difference in meaning when it is doubled as said in the previous sūtra or lengthens its māira and is used alone. Its meaning has to be determined by the difference in the tone of the speaker.

Note 1.—The meanings denoted are superiority, disagreement etc. In modern days  $\bar{v}$  is used instead.

Note 2.—Naccinārkkiniyar takes kau and vau as īraļapicaikkum irutiyiluyir and Teyvaccilaiyār takes all long vowels except  $\bar{a}$ .

282. என்றீற் றேயு மன்றீற் றேயும் அக்தேற் ருேவு மன்னீற் ருேவும் அன்ன பிறவுங் குறிப்பொடு கொள்ளும்.

> Nanrīr rē-y-u m-anrīr rē-y-um A-ntīr rō-v-u m-annīr rō-v-um Anna pira-v-un kurippotu koļļum.

The particles navrē, avrē, anto and avvō and those of the same nature denote different meanings through the difference in tone.

Note,—Cēṇāvaraiyar thinks that angē and nangē are doubled and the other two are optionally doubled, while Teyvaccilaiyār thinks that they may be used singly.

283. எச்ச அம்மையு மெதிர்மறை யும்மையுக் தத்தமுண் மயங்கு முடனிலே யிலவே.

> Ecca v-ummaiyu m-etirmarai y-ummaiyun Tattamun mayanku m-utanilai y-ila-v-ē.

The particle um denoting eccam and that denoting elir-marai are not used together in a sentence.

Ex.—One cannot use the sentence Cattan vantan, Korranum varal-uriyan.

Note.—Naccinārkkiniyar interprets this sātra thus:—Eccav-ummai and etirmaraiyummai can be used together, but they cannot qualify verbs of the same nature.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

284. எஞ்சுபொருட் கிளவி செ.ஞ்சொ லாயிற் பிற்படக் கிளவார் முற்படக் கிளத்தல்.

> Eñcu-poruț kilavi ceñ-co l-äyir Pir-pața-k kilavār mur-paṭa-k kilattal.

If one of the words connected by and and is devoid of the particle um, it may be used as the former member and not as the latter member.

Ex.—Cāttan vantān, Korranum vantān.

Note.—Ceñcol and ummai-y-il-col are synonyms.

285. முற்றிய வும்மைக் கொகைச்சொன் மருங்கின் எச்சக் கௌவி யுரித்து மாகும்.

> Murriya v-ummai-t tokai-e-con marunkin Ecca-k kilavi y-urittu m-ākum.

The particle um denoting completion used after a word denoting number may also denote eccam.

Ex.—If one says 'pattun koṭāl' (do not give all the ten), it may mean that he may give less than ten.

286. ஈற்றுகின் நிசைக்கு மேயெ னிறுதி கூற்றுவையி ஞோள பாகலு முரித்தே.

> Īrru-nin r-icaikku m-č-y-e n-iruti Kūrru-vayi n-ōraļa p-ākalu m-urittē.

E used at the end of a stanza may have also one mātrā.

Ex.—Katal-por rönrala kätiran töre (Akana. 1)

Tam-vayir rokuti katappā t-ila-v-ē.

287. உம்மை பெண்ணு மெனவே னெண்ணுக் தம்வயிற் ஞெருதி கடப்பா டிலவே. Ummai y-eppu m-epa-v-e p-eppun

The particles um and epa denoting number may also be followed by a word denoting number.

#### ITAI-Y-IYAL

Ex.—Uyartinai-k k-urimaiyu m-a.: rinai-k k-urimaiyum Ā-y-iru tinaikkum ō r-anna v-urimaiyum (Col. 160) Nilan-ena nīr-ena-t tī-y-ena vali-y-ena nānkum.

288. எண்ணே கார மிடையிட்டுக் கொளினும் எண்ணுக்குறித் தியலு மென்மனர் புலவர்.

> Eṇ-ṇ-ē kāra m iṭai-y-iṭṭu-k koļiṇum Eṇṇu-k-kurit t-iyalu m-eṇmaṇār pulayar.

Learned men say that  $\tilde{e}$  denoting number, though not used incessantly but used at intervals, may be taken to denote number.

Ex. - Malai-nilam pū-v-ē tulā-k-kōl-en rinnar.

289. உம்மை தொக்க வெளுவென் கிளவியும் ஆவீ ருகிய வென்றென் கிளவியும் ஆயிரு கிளவியு மெண்ணுவழிப் பட்டன.

> Ummai tokka v-eṇā-v-eṇ kiļaviyum Ā-vī r-ākiya v-eṇreṇ kiļaviyum Ā-y-iru kiļaviyu m-eṇṇu-vaļi-p paṭṭaṇa.

The particles enavum and enrum are used without um to denote number.

Ty.—Nilan-enā nīr-enā Nilan-enrā nīr-enrā.

290. அவற்றின் வரூஉ மெண்ணி னிறு நியும் பெயர்க்குரி மாபிற் செவ்வெ ணிறு தியும் எயி ளுகிய வெண்ணி னிறு நியும் யாவயின் வரினுக் தொகையின் றியலா.

> Avarrin varuu m-enni n-iruti-y-um Peyar-k-k-uri marapir cevve n-iruti-y-um Ē-y-i n-ākiya v-enni n-iruti-y-um Yā-vayin varinun tokai-y-in r-iyalā

 $E_{H}\bar{a}$ ,  $e_{H}\bar{a}$ ,  $e_{T}\bar{a}$ ,  $e_{T}\bar{a}$ ,  $e_{T}\bar{a}$ , and  $\bar{e}$  denoting number are not used without being followed by a word denoting number.

### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—Nilaṇ-eṇā nīr-eṇā v-iraṇṭum Nilaṇ-eṇrā nīr-eṇrā v-iraṇṭum Nila-nīr-eṇa v-iraṇṭum Nilan-ē nīr ē y-eṇa v-iraṇtum

291 உம்மை பெண்ணி ஹருபுதொகல் வரையார்.

Ummai y-enni n-urupu-tokal variyār.

They do not prevent the elision of um.

Ex.—Kunri köpan koţi-viţu pavalam On-cen kāntal okku ninniram. (Your color resembles kunri, köpam, pavalam and red kāntal).

292 உம்முக் தாகு மிடனுமா ருண்டே. Um-m-un t-āku m-iṭaṇum-ā r-unt-ē.

The particle um in ceyyum is also changed to until in certain places.

Ex.—Maintar el-valai-makalir talai-k-kai tarūuntu. (Pura. 24) (Men give their first hand to women with dazzling bracelets).

Note.—This modification appears both in the peyar-eccam ceyyum and the finite verb ceyyum, since the author has stated um without mentioning this or that. Cf. note on the sātra 222. Hence the statements "i-t-tiripü peyar-eccattirkü īrāya vaļi enpatu karuti-p-pōlum" in the Cēnāvaraiyam and "itayumār untē enratanān i-t-tiripü peyar-eccattirkā kolka" in the Naccinārk-kiviyam are interpolations.

293. வூணு பொடு கிலேயினு மெண்ணுகில திரியா கிளையல் வேண்டு மவற்றவற் றியல்பே.

> Vinai-y-otu nilaiyinu m-ennu-nilai tiriyā Ninaiyal vēntu m-avarravar r-iyalp-ē.

## ITAI-Y-IYAL

It should be remembered that the particles used in the sense of and do not change their nature even when they are used with verbs.

Ex.—Caman tānkavum .... mā tānkavum cāpa-nŏŋ-ñāŋ vaṭu-k-koļa valankavum ..... (Pura. 14).

Note.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that the word ninaiyal vēntum in the sūtra is unnecessary and hence suggests that such a state of things happens only in the vivai-y-eccam and not in the finite verb or the peyar-eccam.

294. என்று மெனவு மொடுவுக் தோன்றி ஒன்றுவழி யுடைய வெண்ணினுட் பிரிக்தே.

> Enru m-ena v-u m-oțu-v-un tonri Onru-vali y-uțaiya v-enninut pirint-ē.

The particles enru, ena and of u though used once may be taken along with others when they are used in the sense of and.

 $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ .—Viṇai pakai y-eṇru iraṇṭiṇ eccam (Kuraļ. 674). Kaṇṇimai noṭi y-eṇa (Tol. Elut. 7). Poruļ karuvi kālam viṇai-y-iṭaṇōṭu aintum (Kuraļ. 675).

295. அவ்வச் சொல்லிற் கவையவை பொருசென மெய்பெறச் கிளர்த வியல வாயினும் விளேயொடும் பெயரொடு சினேயத் தோன்றித் திரிக்குவேறு படினுர் தெரிக்தனர் கொளலே.

> A-v-v-a-c collır k-avai-y-avai povul-ena Mey pera-k kilanta v-iyala v-āyinum Vinai-y-oṭum poyarotu niṇaya-t tōnri-t Tirintu-vēru paṭinum terintaṇar kolal-ē.\*

\* This sitro reveals not only the modesty of the author, but also the vastness of the range of Tamil Literature in his time.

### TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Learned men should take such meanings not specified here but suggested in particular combinations with nouns and verbs, even though it has been clearly shown that each particle denotes particular meanings.

Ex.—Nir rakaikkunar yār-ō (Akanā. 46)
(Here ō is īrricai)
Örka mā töliyavar. (Akanā. 273)
(Here mā is muņņilai-y-acai-c-col)

296. இனக்த வல்ல வேறுபிற தோன்றினுக் கொக்தவற் றியலா னுணர்க்தனர் கொளலே. Kilanta v-alla vēru-pira tōnrinun Kilantavar riyalā n-unarntanar kolal-ē.\*

Learned men will have to take, in the light of what has been mentioned, other particles not mentioned here which come to their notice.

Ex.—Kunru-toru m-āṭal. (Tirumurukā, 217)
(Here toru means wherever)
Paṇiyum ām enrum perumai. (Kural. 928)
(Here ām is expletive)

This satra is also reveals not only the modesty of the author but also the vastness of the range of Tamil Literature in his time.

## viii. Uri-y-iyal.

297. உரிச்சொற் கிளவி விரிக்குங் காண் இசையினுங் குறிப்பினும் பண்பினுக் தோன்றிப் பெயரினும் விணேயினு மெய்தரு மாறி 1 ஒருசொற் பலபொருட் குரிமை தோன்றினும் 2 பலசொல் லொருபொருட் குரிமை தோன்றினும் பயிலாத வற்றைப் பயின்றவை சார்த்தித் தத்த மாபிற் சென்றுகில மருங்கின் எச்சொல் லாமினும் பொருள்வேறு கிளத்தல்.

Uri-c-cor kilavi virikkun kālai Icaiyinu-n kurippin-um panpin-un tönri-p Peyarin-um vinaiyin-u mey-tatu māri Oru-cor pala-porut k-urimai tönrinum l'ala-col l-oru-porut k-urimai tönrinum Payilāta varrai-p payinravai cārtti-t Tatta marapir cenru-nilai marunkin Ē-c-col l-āyinum porul-vēru kilattal.

Uri-c-col, when classified in detail, denotes sound, suggestion or quality and has its form modified both in nouns and verbs; one of them may have many meanings or many of them may have one meaning; one should give, from usage, the meaning of that which is not frequently used through another which is frequently used.

Note 1.—All the commentators think that this satra deals with the definition of uriccol. If so, the satrakāra would not have given expression to all the lines except the first two in the satra. Hence this satra may be taken to deal only with its classification.

- 1. Cf. Anēkārthāni ēkašabdāni. (Yāska's Nirukta 4, 2)
- 2. Cf. Ekartham anekasabdam. (ibid. 4 1)

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Its definition is patent from the name uriccol which means (the prominent part of a word.) Teyvaccilaiyār clearly expresses that uriccol in Tamil and dhātu in Sanskrit are synonymous. Since, according to it, we have to take the view of Sākaṭāyana that all words are derivable from roots, it is better to take uriccol to refer the basic part of a noun or a verb; for it is not possible to derive all words from roots as in the opinion of Gārgya. Teyvaccilaiyār rightly points out that uriccol cannot be used separately except in combination with ifaiccol, noun, or verb since Tolkappiyaṇār himself states at the end of the Efuttatikāram in the last but one sūtra (482) that it is kūrai-c-cor-kiļavi.

Note 2.—It is worth noting that the plan adopted in the Uriyiyal here and that in Yāska's Nirukta are similar:—First the list of synonymous words is given and then the list of words which bear more than one meaning is given.

Note 3.—This iyal deals only with the meanings of roots which were not easily understood at the time of Tolkāppiyuār and hence it is not directly connected with the morphological or the syntactical portion of grammar.

298. வெளிப்படு சொல்லே, தினத்தல் வேண்டா வெளிப்பட வாரா வுரிச்சொன் மேன.

> Veļi-p-patu col-l-ē kiļattal vēņtā Veļi-p-pata vāra v-uriccon mēna.

It is not necessary to give the meaning of roots easily known and it is necessary to give below the meaning of roots not easily known.

299. அவைதாம்

<sup>3</sup> உ**று தல** ஈனிபோன வரூஉ மூன்று மிகுதி செய்யும் பொருள வென்ப,

- Yatra svarasamskārāu samarthāu prādešikēna guņēna anvitāu syātām samvijāātāni tāni. (ibid. 1, 4)
- 2. Anavagalasamskārān nigamān (ibid.)
- 3. Uru tuvi puru. . iti dvādaša bahunāmāni (ibid. 3, 1)

Avaitām

Uru-tava nani-y-ena varuu munrum Mikuti ceyyum porula v-enpa.

They are the three roots uru, tava and navi which mean much or many.

Ex —Tan-n-uru-vilumam kalaiñarō v-ilal-ē. (Kurun. 397) (She has none to ward off her great uneasiness.)

İyatu viyum uyir tava-p-pala-v-ē (Pura. 235) (There are very many lives who die without giving anything)

Nani varuntinai (Aka. 19) (You suffered much)

Note.—Avai- $t\bar{a}m$  has to be taken along with the following  $s\bar{u}tras$ .

300. உருவுட் காகும் புரையுயர் பாகும்.

Uru-v-ut k-ākum purai-y-uyar p-ākum.

Uru means dread and purai means greatness.

Ex.—Uru-kelu-muracam (Pura. 50) (Muraja which inspires dread)

> Ni y-alantu ariti nin puraimai (Pura. 36) (You are able to gauge your greatness)

Note.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads this as two sūtras.

301. குருவுக் செழுவு கிறனு கும்மே.

Kuru-v-un keluvu niranla kum-m-ë.

Kuru and kelu denote colour.

Ex.-Kuru-maņi-t-tāli.

(Tali with coloured beads)

Ceńkel-men-koți. (Aka. 80)

(Red-coloured slender creeper)

## TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

302. செல்ல வின்ன வின்றை மையே.

Cella l-inna l-inna mai-y-ē.

Ex.—Men-rôl nekiltta cellal. (Kurun. 111)

(The distress which emaciated the slender shoulders)

Veyil puran-tarüum-innal-iyakkattu. (Malaipatu. 374)
(In the way where sunshine wards off distress)

303 மல்லல் வளனே.

Mallal valan-ē.

Mallal means fertility.

Ex.—Mallan-mūtūr-vaya-vēntē. (Pura. 18) (Oh valourous king of the fertile old city)

304. ஏபெற் ருகும்.

Ē-per r-ākum.

Ē means abundance.

Ex.—Ē-kal-l-aṭukkam. (Narriṇai, 116) (Heap of abundant stones)

Note.—Ilampūranar and Naccinārkkiniyar have taken the above two sūtras as one, which does not seem to be proper.

305. உகப்பே யுயர்த அவைப்பே யுவகை.

Ukappē y-uyarta l-uvappē y-uvakai.

Ukappù means height and uvappù means delight.

Ex.—Nārai..... alku-vicumpu ukantū (Pura. 209)

(The crane having flown high to the sky where it resided)

Iruvar-nencamum uvappa. (Pura. 159) (So that the hearts of both may rejoice)

Note – Teyvaccilaiy $\bar{a}r$  reads this sutra as two sutras, which seems to be proper.

306. பயப்பே பயனும்.

Payappē payan-ām.

Payappù means fruit or profit.

Ex.—Payavā-k-kaļar-anaiyar kallātavar. (Kuraļ 406)
(The illiterate are like the barren tract of land which does not give any fruit)

Note.—The Skt. phala is related to Tamil palam, payam and payan.

307. பசப்புகிற ஞகும்.

Pacappu-nira n-ākum.

Pacappi denotes sallow complexion.

Ex.—Tankutark-uriyatanrů..... pāya-pacappē

(The spreading sallow complexion does not deserve to remain)

308. இபைபே புணர்ச்சி.

Iyaipē puņareci.

Iyaipū means uniting.

309. இசைப்பிசை யாகும். Icaipp-icai y-ākum.

Icaippi denotes sound.

Ex.—Mā-k-kiṇai... icaii. (Pura. 374) (The big drum having sounded)

310. அலமர் தெறருமர லாயிரண்டுஞ் சுழற்சி.

Alamara rerumara l-ā-y-iraṇṭuñ cularci.

## TOLKAPPIVAM—COLLATIKARAM

Alamaral and terumaral mean reeling.

Ex.—Alamarum en tōli. (Kalit. 45)
(My friend is bewildered)

Avarum..... terumantu\* cāyttār talai. (Kalit. 39)

(Even they felt bewildered and then turned down their heads signifying consent)

311. மழவுங் குழவு மினமைப் பொருள.

Mala and kula mean infancy.

Ex.—Mala-kalirū. (Pura. 38) (Young elephant) Kula-k-kanrū (Pura. 103) (Young calf)

312. சீர்த்தி மிகுபுகழ். Cīrtti miku-puka<u>l</u>.

Cīrtti means great fame.

Ex.—Aruñ-cirtti..... vēļvi murri. (Pura. 15)
(Having finished the sacrifice of very great fame)

313. மாலே மியல்பே. Mālai y-iyalp-ē.

Mālai means nature.

Ex.—Avan-mütür-mälai. (Malaipațu. 93) (The nature of his old city)

314. கூர்ப்புங் கழிவு முன்னத சிறக்கும். Kūrppu-ń ka<u>l</u>ívu m-uļļatu ci**r**akkum.

Note that r of terumaral is dropped in terumantu. The same might have been the case in vantu.

Kūrppu and kalivu mean superiority.

Ex.—Kūr-il-āṇmai. (Pura. 75)

(Manliness not of superior quality)

Kali-kaṇṇōṭṭam. (Patirru. 22)

(Mercy of superior quality)

315. கதழ்வுக் தூளேவும் கிளேவின் பொருன. Katalyu-n tunai-y-um viraivin porula.

Katalvu and tunaivu mean hastiness or quickness.

Ex.—Katal-vil-aruvi. (Kurun. 134)
(The streamlet which falls with great speed)
Tuṇai-pari. (Kurun, 316)
(Quick pace)

316. அதர்வும் விதிர்ப்பு ஈடுக்கஞ் செய்யும். Atirvum vitirppu naṭukkañ ceyyum.

Atirvu and vitirppi mean shaking or trembling.

Ex.—Atira varuvatör nöy (Kural. 429) (A disease causing trembling)

Vitirppura v-ariya v-ēma-k-kāppinai (Pura. 20) (You protect yourself with fort etc. which has made you not acquainted with tremor)

Note.—Cēṇāvariyar gives atiļvu as an alternate reading for atirvu.

317. வார்தல் போக லொழுகன் மூன்றம் கேர்பு கெடுமையுஞ் செய்யும் பொருள.

> Vārtai põka i-olukan müngum Nērpu netumai-y-uñ ceyyum poruļa.

 $V\bar{a}$ rtal,  $p\bar{o}kal$  and  $o\underline{l}ukal$  mean the state of being straight and long.

### TOLK APPLYAM --- COLLATIK ARAM

Ex.—Vārntilanku-vai-y-cyirru-c-cinmoli-y-arivai

(Kurun. 14)

(Lady-love of a few words with her sharp teeth set straight)

Vār-kōl (Kurun, 335)

(Long arrow)

Narampir kēļvi pōkiya . . toṭaiyal (Porunar. 18) (Succession of sounds of strings which were straight)

Kalli põkiva kalar (Pura. 237)

(Barren land where the kalli creeper has grown long)

Olukiya vakai-y-amai-y-akalam (Cirupān 224)

(Body of the lute which was straight)

Māl-varai y-olukiya vālai (Cirupāņ. 21) (Plantains which grew high in great mountains)

318. தீர்தலுக் தீர்த்தலும் விடற்பொருட் டாகும்.

Tīrtal-un tīrttal-um viţar-poruţ ţ-ākum.

Tirtal and tirttal mean separation.

Ex.—Cirutalai-p-piṇaiyin tīrnta neri-kōṭ-ṭ-iralai (Kurun. 183)

(Male deer with straight horns which separated itself from the female deer with small head)

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar says that tīrttal is not piraviņai but only tanviņai, while Peyvaccilaiyār says that it is the former-Since Tolkāppiyaṇār has not given a list of piraviṇai, Cēnāvaraiyar's opinion seems to be sound.

Note 2.—The word vitar-porutiti, since it is singular, has to be taken once with tirtal and once with tirtlal.

319. கெடவால் பண்ணே யாயிரண்டும் விளேயாட்டு.
Ketavaral panņai y-āy-iraņṭum viļaiyāṭṭū.

Ketavaral and pannai both mean play.

320. தடவும் சுயவு நளியும் பெருமை. Taṭa-v-un kaya-v-u naḷi-y-um perumai.

Taja, kaya and nali mean greatness or bigness.

Ex.—Tata-k-kai (Pura. 14)
(Big hands)

Kaya-vāy-p-perunkai-yāṇai (Aka. 118)
(Elephant having big mouth and trunk)

Nali-katal (Pura. 26)
(Big sea)

321. அவற்றுள் தடவென் இளவி சோட்டமுஞ் செய்யும். Avarrul Tata-v-en kiļavi kõttam-uñ ceyyum.

Of them the word tata may also mean curvedness.

- Ex.—Taṭavaral koṇṭa....virali (Pura. 135) (Virali with bent body)
- 322. கயவென் கிளவி மென்மையு மாகும். Kaya-v-en kilavi mennutiy-u m-ākum.

The word kaya may also mean tenderness.

- Ex.—Kayan-talai-k-kulavi (Kurun, 394) (Calf with tender head)
- 323. களியென் கௌவி செறிவு மாகும். Nali-y-en kilavi cerivu m-ākum.

The word nali may also mean denseness.

Ex.—Nali-y-im-munnir (Pura. 66) (This sea full of water)

Note.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads the above three sātras as one.

## TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

324. பழுதைபய மின்றே.

Palutu-paya m-inrē.

Palutu means uselessness.

Ex.--Palutanru amma i-v-v-ā-y-ilai-tuņivē (Kurun. 366)
(The determination of this lady with fine ornaments is not useless)

325. சாயன் மென்மை.

Cāyan menmai.

Cāyal means weakness or tenderness.

Ex.—Tanninum peruñ-cayal-ar (Pura. 262) (They are much weaker than he)

326. முழுதென் கொவி பெஞ்சாப் பொருட்டே.
Mulut-eu kilavi y-enca-p poruttē.

The word mulutu means completeness.

Ex.—Mulutuţan vilainta vennel (Kurun. 216) (The white paddy which yielded fully)

327. வம்புகிலை யின்மை.

Vampu-nilai y-inmai.

Vampù means transitoriness or insecurity.

Ex.—Vampu-mallar (Pura. 79)
(Warriors whose life was insecure)

328. மாதர் காதல்,

Mätar kātal.

Mātar means desire or love.

Ex.—Mātar-nōkku (Akanā. 130) (Amorous look)

329. கம்பு மேவு கசையா கும்மே.

Nampu mēvu nacai-y-ā kum-m-ē.

Nampu and mēvu mean desire.

Ex.—Nin-n-icai nampi (Pura. 136) (Having desired your fame)

Koļļai mēvalai (Pura. 7) (You desire plunder)

ஓய்த லாய்த னிழத்தல் சாஅய்
 ஆவயி ஞன்கு முள்ளத னுணைக்கம்.

Öyta l-äyta nilattal cäay Ā-vayi nänku m-ullata nunukkam.

 $\Bar{Oytal}, \Bar{aytal}, \ ni \Bar{L} and \ c \Bar{ay}, \ all \ the \ four, \ mean \ decrease, emaciation or fatigue.$ 

Ex.—Kaiyun kālum ōyvaṇa v-alunka (Kurun. 383) (Hands and feet paining on account of fatigue)

Pāvntu āynta tāņai (Kalit. 96)

(Army which got fatigued on account of onslaught)

Nilatta yānai (Maturaik. 303)

(Fatigued elephants)

Aruntolil cāyā-k karunkai-y-olvāṭ-perum-peyar-valuti (Pura. 3)

(Oh lord of the Pandyan kingdom with long fame, dazzling sword and black hand which did not lag behind to do the valorous deed)

331. புலம்பே தனிமை.

Pulampë tanimai.

Pulampu means loneliness.

Ex.—Pulampoţu varunti (Neţunal, 5)

(Having suffered on account of loneliness)

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

332. துவன்று நிறை வாகும்.

Tuvanru-nirai v-ākum.

Tuvantu means fulness.

Ex.—Akan-kat-pārai-t tuvanri (Malaipatu. 276) (Having assembled in full on the wide rocks)

333. முரஞ்சன் முதிர்வே.

Murañcan mutirve.

Murancal means maturity.

Ex. Murañeu koṇṭu iraiñeiṇa v-alaṅku-ciṇai-p-palavē (Malaipaṭu. 144)

(The jack trees with moving branches bent down with ripe fruits)

334. வெய்மை வேண்டல்.

Vemmai vēņţal.

Vemmai means desire.

Ex.—Viral-veñ-cēy (Pura. 22) (Oh lord eager of victory)

835. பொற்பே பொலிவு.

Porpē polivu.

Porpu means magnificence.

Ex.—Peru-varai-y-aṭukkam porpa (Narriṇai. 34)
(So that the chain of big mountains may look magnificent)

336. வறிதைசெறி தாகும்.

Varitu-ciri t-ākum.

Varitu means smallness.

Ex.—Varitu vatakku irañoiya. (Patir. 24)
(Who stayed in the north for a short time)

337. ஏற்ற நிணேவுர் துணவிவு மாகும்.

Ērra ninaivun tunivu m-ākum.

Erram means remembrance and determination.

Ex.—Cërppan kotumai y-ërri. (Kurun. 145) (Having remembered the hashness of the chief of the maritime tract)

Yān ērram-illātēn.

(I have no determination)

338. பிணேயும் பேணும் பெட்பின் பொருள. Pinai-y-um pēn um petpin poruļa.

Pinai and pēn mean love, desire or regard.

Ex.—Arum-piṇai y-ārri.

(Having discarded his great desire)

Amarar-p pēṇi. (Pura. 99)

(Having worshipped dēvas)

339. ்பணேயே பிழைத்தல் பெருப்பு மாகும். Paṇai-y-ē pilaittal peruppu m-ākum.

Panai means escaping or becoming stout.

Ex.—Paṇaitta pakali. (Narriṇai. 165)
(The arrow which missed the aim)
Paṇai-t-tōl. (Akanā. 1)
(Shoulders which were being stout)

340. படரே யுள்ளல் செலவு மாகும். Paṭar-ē y-uḷḷal celavu m-ākum.

Patar means thinking and going.

#### TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Ex.—Valliyor-p patarntů. (Pura. 47)
(Having thought of the strong)

Karavai kantu-vayin patara. (Kurun, 108) (The cow going towards the calf)

341. பையுளுஞ் சிறுமையும் சோயின் பொருன.

Paiyul-uñ cirumai-y-u nôyin porula.

Paiyul and cirumai mean sickness.

Ex.—Paiyun malai. (Kurun. 172)

(Evening which gives pain)

Cirumai y-urupa cey-p-ari y-alarē.

(Those who do not know how to do will suffer)

342. எய்யா மையே யறியா மையே.

Eyyā mai-y-ē y-ariyā mai-y-ē.

Eyyāmai means avidyā or incorrect knowledge.

Ex.—Eyyā maiyalai. (Kuriñci. 8)

(You have ignorance on account of imperfect knowledge)

Note.—Cēṇāvaraiyar equates eyyāmai with avidyā and hence states that it does not give a negative sense. Besides he mentions that the form eytial was not found in Classics. But Naccipārkkiniyar quotes a sentence Eyttu nir-c-cilampin kurai-mēkalai where eyttu was used and hence differs from the opinion of Cēṇāvaraiyar.

343. என்றுபெரி தாகும்.

Nanru-peri t-ākum.

Nauru means greatness.

Ex.—Nanu melliya peruma (Pura. 12)

(Oh great king, even the great became small,)

344. தாவே வலியும் வருத்தமு மாகும்.

Tāvē vali-y-um varuttam-u m-ākum.

Tāvu means strength and pain or distress.

Ex.—Tāviṇaṇ-poṇ (Pura. 152)
(Gold which is not strong).

Karuń-kaţ-ţā-k-kalai (Kurun. 69)
(Monkey with black eyes in distress)

345. தெவுக்கொளற் பொருட்டே. Tevu-k-kolar poruttē.

Tevu means taking.

Ex. Nir-t tevu-nirai-t toluvar (Maturaik, 89) (Crowds of workmen taking water)

Note.—This sūtra is read by Ilampūraņar between the sūtras "Nantuperi tākum" and "tāvē valiyum ....."

346. தெவ்வுப்பதை யாகும். Tevvu-p-p-pakai y-ākum.

Tevvu denotes enmity.

Ex.—Tevvar (Pura. 6) (Foes).

347. விறப்பு முறப்பும் வெறப்புஞ் செறிவே. Virappu m-urappum veruppu-ñ cerivē.

Virappù, urappù and veruppù mean denseness.

Ex.—Ulakkai-pāṭu virantu ayala (Perumpāņ. 226) (The noise of the pestle increasing).

Uranta v-iñci (Ginger which was dense).

# TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Urai cela varutta avan (Malaipatu. 93)
(He whose fame was so great as to spread far and wide).

348. அவற்றுள் விறப்பே வெளுஉப் பொருட்டு மாகும்.

> Avarruļ Virappē verūu-p poruţţu m-ākum.

Of them virappù also means the state of being terrified.

349. கம்பில சம்மை கவியே யழுங்கல் என்றிவை ஈான்கு மாவப் பொருள.

> Kampalai cummai kali-y-ë y-alunkal Enr-ivai nanku m-arava-p porula.

Kampalai, cummai, kali and alunkal—all these four mean noise.

Ex.—Kampalai mūtūr (Pura. 54) (The noisy old city)

Kali-c-cummaı viyalankan (Pura. 22)

(In the extensive place full of great noise)

Kali-kīļ-ūr (Kalit. 52) (Noisy city).

I-v-v-alunkal-ūrē (Kurun. 12) (This city of noise).

350. அவற்றுள்

அழுங்க லிரக்கமுங் கேடு மாகும்.

Avarrul

Alunka l-irakkam-un kēţu m-ākum.

Of them alunkal also means piteousness and disaster.

Ex.—Alunkal-ālai (Pura. 220) (Piteous stable).

Pinan alunka k kalan ulakki (Pura. 98)

(Having disturbed the battle-field in such a way that the corpses may have their forms destroyed).

351. கழுமென் கிளவி மயக்கஞ் செய்யும்.

Kalum-en kilavi mayakkañ ceyyum.

The word kalum means bewilderment.

Ex.—Ā tara-k kaļumiya tukaļaņ (Pura. 258)

(He who was in dust creating bewilderment while he carried aw Ly cattle).

352. செழுமை வளனுங் கொழுப்பு மாகும், Celumai valan-un koluppu m-ākum.

Celumai means fertility and stoutness.

Ex.—Celum-pal-kunram (Kurun. 287)
(Many fertile hills).

Celun-tați tinra cennay

(Red dog which ate fat flesh).

353. விழுமஞ் சீர்மையுஞ் சிறப்பு மிடும்பையும். Viluman cīrmai-y-un cirappu m-iṭumpai-y-um.

Vilumam means regularity, magnificence and trouble.

Ex.—Vilumiyor (Pura. 4)

(Men of regularity).

Vilumiyam..yam (Pura. 78) (We are magnificent people).

Nin-n-uru-vilumam kalainton (Akanā. 170).

(He who destroyed your great distress).

Note.—Ilampūraņar reads "Viļumaň cīrmaiyu-m-itumpaiyuň ceyyum" and Teyvaccilaiyār reads "Viļumam—cīrmaiyuň cirappu m-itumaiyuň ceyyum".

## TOLKÁPPIYAM—COLLATIKÁRAM

354. கருவி தொகுதி. Karuvi tokuti.

Karuvi means collection.

Ex.—Karuvi vāṇam (Pura. 159) (Dense cloud).

355. கமகிறைக் இய**லும்.** Kama-ni**r**ain t-iyalum.

Kama means fulness.

Ex.—Kamañ-cün mā-malai (Akanā. 43) (Extensive clouds full of vapour).

356. அரியே யைம்மை. Ari-y-ē y-aimmai.

Ari means slenderness or nicety.

Ex.—Ari-mayir-t-tiran-munkai (Pura. 11) (Stout fore-arm with slender hair.

357. sands \$ \$600. Kavav-akat t-itum-ē.

Kavavu means wearing or embracing.

Ex.—Āran kavai-iya mārpē (Pura. 19) (Chest embracing a garland)

358. துவைத்தலுஞ் கிலைத்தலு மியம்பலு மிரங்கலும் இசைப்பொருட் கினவி யென்மளூர் புலவர்.

> Tuvaittal-uñ cilaittalu m-iyampal-u m-iraṅkal-um Icai-p-poruṭ kiļavi y-eumaṇār pulavar.

Learned men say that tuvaittal, cilaittal, iyampal and irankal are words denoting sound.

Ex.—Tol tuvaittampir rulai tonruva (Pura. 4)

(Shields which had holes made by the arrows penetrating into them with noise)

Cilaittu aluntu (Pura 78)

(Having risen up making noise)

Maran-taţiyum-ōcai ...iyampa (Pura. 36)

(The noise made while cutting the trees sounding)

Irankun katal-vīl-aruvi (Kurun. 134)

(Streamlet which falls swiftly making noise)

359. அவற்றுள்

இரங்கல் கழிக்த பொருட்டு மாகும்.

Avarrul

Irańkal kalinta poruțțu m-ākum.

Of them irankal also means repentence.

Ex.—Ceytu irankā viņai...neytalankāṇal-neṭiyōn (Pura. 10)

('The lord of Neytatankāṇal whose deeds never gave room for repentence)

360. இலம்பா டொற்க மாயிரண்டும் வறமை.

Ilampā t-orka m-ā-y-iraņtum varumai.

Ilampātu and orkam both mean poverty.

Ex.—Ilampaţu-pulavar (Malaipaţu. 576)

(Poor poets)

Okkal-orkañ coliya (Pura. 327)

(To drive off the poverty of relatives)

361. தெமீர்தலும் பாய்தலும் பசத்தற் பொ*ரு*ன.

Nemirtal-um pāytal-um parattar poruļa.

Nemirtal and päytal mean spreading.

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

 $E_x$ .—Manan ñemiriya tirunakar-murrattu. (Netunal. 90) (In the court-yard of the palace where sand was spread)

Pāya pacappū. (Kurun. 143) (Spreading spots)

362. கவர்வ லிருப் பாகும்.

Kavarvu-virup p-ākum.

Kavarvu means desire.

Ex.—Ilai kavarpů. (Kurun. 115) (Having eaten the leaves with desire)

363. G&Gs Asile. Cēr-ē tiratci.

Cēr means collection.

Ex.—Cërntu ..... vālai. (Cirupāņ. 20, 21) (Plantains having crowded together)

364. லிய**ெ**லன் கிளலி யகலப் பொ*ருட்டே*. Viyal-en kilavi y-akala-p poruṭṭē.

The word viyal means breadth or extensiveness.

Ex.—Viyan pācarai. (Pura. 22) (Extensive tent).

365. போ முருமென வருஉங் கிளவி ஆமுறை மூன்று மச்சப் பொருள. Pē-nā m-nrum-eņa varūun kilavi

Ā-murai mūnru m-acca-p poruļa. The three words  $p\bar{e}, n\bar{a}m$  and urum mean dread.

Ex.—Pērmutir-mangrattu (Paṭṭiṇap. 255) (Front-yard inspiring dread.)

Năma nallamar. (Pura. 16) (Fine dreadful battle) Urumil-curram. (Perumpăņ. 447)

(Advisers not causing dread) 366. வயவலி யாகும்.

Vaya means strength.

Ex.—Vaya-p-peṭai. (Tirumu. 311) (Strong hen)

Vaya-vali y-ākum.

367. வாசொளி யாகும். Vāļ-oļi y-ākum.

Vāl means brilliance or lustre.

Ex.—Vāṇ-mukam (Pura. 6) (Brilliant face)

368. தாயவென் கொளி யறிவின் றிரிபே. Tuya-v-en kilavi y-arivin ririp-ē.

Tuyavu means confusion of mind.

Ex,—Tuyavurrēm yām
(We are confounded)

369. உயாவே யுயங்கல். Uyā-v-ē y-uyaṅkal.

Uyā means suffering or distress.

Ex.—Paruntu iruntu uyavum...maratta...kavalai († ura. 3) (Cross roads having trees whore kites suffer)

370. உசாவே சூழ்ச்சி. Ucā-v-ē cūlcci.

### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Ucā means wisdom or deliberation.

Ex.—Ucavunar-p-perin. (Kurun. 269)
(If I get men of wisdom)

371. வயாடுவன் இளவி வேட்கைப் பெருக்கம். Vayā-v-en kiļavi vēṭkai-p perukkam.

The word vayā means great desire.

372. சதப்புஞ் சிவப்பும் வெகுளிப் பொருள. Karuppu-ñ civapp-um vekuli-p porula.

Karuppü and civappü mean anger.

373. கிறத்தரு வுணர்த்தற்கு முரிய வென்ப. Niratturu v-unarttarku m-uriya v-enpa.

They say that they can denote colour also.

Ex.—Karutta kāyā.
(Black kāyā flower)
Civatta kāntal.
(Red kāntal flower)

374. சொகிவு தழைவு தணங்கு தண்மை. Nocivu nulaivu nuṇaṅku nuṇmai.

Nocivu, nulaivu and nunankii mean minuteness.

Ex.—Noci-mața-maruńkul (Kalit 60)
(Slender and fine waist)
Nulai-nūr-kalińkam (Malaipațu 561)
(The cloth made in Kalinga which is of fine texture)
Nuṇańki-k kaṭuttalun taṇitalu m-iṇru (Kurun. 136)

(It does not disappear either by decreasing or by increasing)

375. புனிடுறன் இளவியீன் றணிமைப் பொருட்டே. Puniren kilavi-y-in r-animai-p poruțțē.

The word puniri denotes recent calving.

Ex.—Puniru-tir-kulavikku ilirru-mulai põla (Pura. 68)

(Like the udder which flows with milk to be given to the calf just born.)

376. எனவே எனலு மகலமுஞ் செய்யும். Naṇa-v-ē kaļaṇ-u m-akalam-uñ ceyyum.

Nana means battlefield and extensiveness.

Ex.—Naṇavu-p-puku-viraliyir-rōṇru-nāṭaṇ (Akanā. 82)

(He is the lord of the land where she-bards appear in the battlefield)

Nanan-talai-y-ulakam (Patirru. 63) (Extensive world)

377. மதவே மடனும் வலியு மாகும். Mata-v-ē maṭaṇ-um vali-y-u m-ākum.

Mata means artlessness and strength.

Ex.—Mata nallāy
(Oh artless lady)
Mataṇuṭai mulavu-t-tōl (Pura. 50)
(Stout and strong shoulders)

378. கிகுதியும் வனப்பு மாகலு முளித்தே. Mikuti-y-um vanappu m-ākalu m-urittē.

Mata also means larger quantity and beauty.

Ex.—Mata vali. (Tirumuru. 232) (Great strength)

> Mātar-vāņ-muka-matailya-nōkkē. (Akanā. 130) (The beautiful look from the brilliant faces of woman)

379. புத்துபடற் பொருட்டே யாணர்க இளவு. Putitu-paṭar poruṭṭē yāṇar-k kiļavi.

## TOLKÁPPIYAM-COLLATIKÁRAM

The word yanar means newness.

Ex.—Yāṇar vaippiṇ naṇṇāṭṭu-p-poruna. (Pura. 2) (Oh lord of fine cities having new income)

380. அமர்தன் மேவல். Amartan mēval

Amarial means desire.

Ex.—Oru mukam ārvalar ētta amarntu initu oluki.

(Tirumuru. 92-3)

(One face, being extolled by devotees, casting eager and sweet look)

381. *யாணுக் கவிஞம்*. Yāṇu-k kaviṇ-ām.

Yāṇu means beauty.

Ex.—Yāņu vicumpiņ.
(In the beautiful sky)

382. பாவும் பழிச்சும் வழுத்தின் பொருள. Paravum paliccum valuttin porula.

Paravu and paliccu mean extelling

Ex.—Kai tolūu-p paravi. (Tirumuru. 252)

(Having extolled him with folded hands)

Kai-tolūu-p palicci.\* (Maturaik. 694)

(Having extolled him with folded hands)

383. •கடியென் கிளவி வகாவே கூர்மை காப்பே புதுமை விமைவே விளக்க மிகுதி சிறப்பே அச்ச முன்றேற் மூமீ மைந்தும் மெய்ப்படத தோன்றும் பொருட்டா கும்மே.

Note that the root paliccu which meant to extol in Ancient Tamil has degraded in sense in Modern Tamil.

Kaţi-y-en kilavi Varaiv-ē kūrmai kāpp-ē putumai Viraiv-ē, vilakka mikuti cirapp-ē Acca munrēr r-āyī r-aintum Mey-p-pata-t tōnrum poruttā kum-m-ē.

The word kati has the following ten meanings:—forbidding, sharpness, protection, newness, quickness, brilliance, largeness in quantity, superiority, fear and direct promise.

Ex.—Katinta katinta. (Kural 658)

(Those that were forbidden)

Katinunai (Kalavali. 29)

(Sharp edge)

Kaţi-y-utai viya-nakar. (Pura. 95)

(Extensive and well protected city)

Kați-y-un-kațavuțků. (Kurun. 105)

(To God who takes in the fresh offerings)

Em-m-ampu kati vitutum. (Pura 9) (We will shoot our arrows with speed)

Aruń-kati-p-peruńkālai. (Pura. 166)

(On that day with such brilliance as is not easy to get)

Katum-paci. (Pura. 230)

(Great hunger)

Katu-natpu.

(Superior friendship)

Aru-kați-vēlaņ. (Maturaik. 611)

(Vēlan infusing great fear)

Kaţuñ-cüļ tarukuvan ninakku. (Akanā. 110)

(I will take towards you a direct vow)

384. ஐயமுங் கரிப்பு மாகலு முரித்தே.

Aıyamuń karippu m-ākalu m-urittē.

Kati may also mean doubt and pungency.

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—Kaţuttaṇal allaļō aṇṇai. (Aiṅkuru. 194)
(Did not mother doubt?)

Kaṭuṅ-kaṭ. (Pura. 80)
(Pungent liquor)

385. ஐவியப் பாகும். Ai-viyap p-ākum.

Ai means wonder or astonishment.

Ex.—Aitu toṭai māṇṭa kōtai. (Kurun. 62) (Fine garland wonderfully twisted)

386. *மூனோவுமுனி வாகும்.* Munaivu-muni v-ākum.

Munaivu means disgust.

Ex.—Ceññāyirru-veyin munaiyin. (Pura. 24)
(If they are disgusted with the light of the red-sun)

387. வையே கூர்மை. Vai-y-ē kūrmai.

Vai means sharpness.

Ex.—Vai-y-eyirru-c-oiumoli-y-arivai. (Kurun. 14) (Lady of a few words with sharp teeth)

388. எதுழ்வலி யாகும். Erul·vali y-ākum.

Erul means strength

Ex.—Erul-munpu. (Pura. 7) (Great strength)

Note.—Both the words erul and munpu mean strength. It is a practice among Tamils to use compounds of words having the same meaning to denote larger quantity.

389. மெய்பெறக் கிளக்க வுரிச்சொல் லெல்லாம் முன்னும் பின்னும் வருபவை காடி ஒத்த மொழியாற் புணர்த்தன ருணர்த்தல் தத்த மாபிற் சூன்துமன் பொருளே.

> Mey-pera-k kılanta v-uriccol l-elläm Munnum pinnum varupavai näti Otta moliyär punarttana r-unarttal Tatta marapir rönru-man porul-ë.

The meanings of all uriccols which have been mentioned can be determined through the context.

Note.—In the commentary by Naccinārkkiniyar the sentence "Munnum pinnum moli-y-atuttu-varutalum ena itai-c-corku õtiyaviti itarkun kūrinār-āyirru" is found; it is clearly an interpolation since it does not suit well here.

390. கூறிய கிளவிப் பொருணிலே யல்ல வேறபி p தோன்றினு மவற்றெருக் கொளலே.

> Kūriya kiļavi-p poruņilai y-alla Vēru-pira tönriņu m-avarrotun koļal-ē.

One should take in meanings other than those mentioned above if such are determined from context.

Note.—Ilamparanar and Naccinārkkiniyar take the reading in the sūtra ... allatu....avarrotu....and Teyvaccilaiyar takes the reading ....allatu....avarrotum....

391. பொருட்குப்பொரு டெரியி னதுவாம் பின்றே.
Poruţku-p-poru ţeriyi n-atu-varam p-inrē.,

There will be no limit if one attempts to give the meaning of the meanings given to the *uriccols* mentioned above.

392. பொருட்குத்திரி பில்ஃ யணர்த்த வல்லின். Poruţku-t-tiri p-illai y-uṇartta vallin.

# TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

Meaning does not change even if it can be expressed in other ways.

393. உணர்ச்சி வாயி லுணர்வோர் வலித்தே. Unarcci vāyi l-uņarvōr valitt-ē.

Methods of expressing meanings depend upon the capacity of those who know them.

394. மொழிப்பொருட் காரணம் விழிப்பத் தோன்று. Moli-p-poruț kāraņam vilippa-t tönrā.

It is not possible to understand clearly the reason why a particular uri-c-col has a particular meaning.

395. எழுத்தப்பிரிக் இசைத்த விவணியல் பின்றே. 1 Eluttu-p-pirin t-icaitta l-ivan-iyal p-inrē.

It is not in the nature of uriccol to further analyse it.

Note.—Teyvaccilaiyār interprets this sātra thus:—It is not seen in the Tamil country that a letter forming a part of one word is split in a different way to give another meaning. This meaning is not happy.

396. அன்ன பிறவுங் கிளக்த வல்ல பன்முறை யானும் பாக்தன வருடிம் உரிச்சொல் லெல்லாம் பொருட்குறை கூட்ட இயன்ற மருங்கி னினேத்தென வறியும் வரம்புதமக் கின்மையின் வழிகளி கடைப்பிடித்து ஓம்படை யாணேயிற் கிளக்குவற் றியலான் பாங்குற வுணர்த லென்மஞர் புலவர்,2

- This sūtra tells us that Tolkāppiyanār clearly conceived that root is the unanalysable portion of nouns and verbs.
- 2 The sūtras 390-393 and 396 reveal not only the modesty of the author, but also the vastness of the range of Γamil Literature in his time

### URI-Y-IYAL

Anna piravun kilanta v-alla
Pan-murai yā-num parantana varūum
Uri-o-col l-ellām porut-kurai kūtta
lyanra marunki n-inaittena v-ariyum
Varampu-tamak k-inmaiyin vali-nani katai-p-pitittu
Ömpatai y-ānaiyir kilanta-var riyalān
Pānkura v-unarta l-enmanār pulavar.

Learned men say that, since it is not possible to exhaust the meanings of *uriccol* other than mentioned above, one should understand them in the way in which it was done by ancients with the view that they should be well understood and preserved.

# TOLKĀPPIYAM---COLLATIKĀRAM

# ix. Eccaviyal 1

 இயற்கொற் றிரிசொற் றிசைச்சொல் வடகொல்லென்று அணைத்தே செய்யு எட்டச் சொல்லே.

> Iyarcor riricor ricai-c-col vațacol-l-enru Anaittē ceyyu l-îţţa-c-col-l-ë.

Words used in verses are of four kinds:—iyarcol, tiricol, ticai-c-col and vatacol.

Note 1.—Ilampūranar clearly states that tiricol is iyarcol modified in form for the sake of euphony in verse. Cēnāvaraiyar expresses the same opinion under sūtra 399 thus—"Tirittik-konṭatu iyarkai-c-collān inpam pera-c ceyyultṭṭal ākāmaiyantē." Teyvaccilaiyār also is of the same opinion.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar states that ticaiccol and vaiacol are found only as nouns; Nacciṇārkkiniyar states that mostly they are found as nouns and sometimes as verbal nouns: and Teyvaccilaiyār states that mostly they are found as nouns and sometimes as verbs. On considering the usage like "Irappac cintiyēņ" 2 (Pura. 376) Tammai-p pīlikkum³ pīlai (Kuraļ 843). I think Teyvaccilaiyār's opinion is correct.

398. அவற்றுள் இயற்சொற் முமே செக்தமிழ் கிலத்து வழக்கொடு சிவணித் தம்பொருள் வழாமை யிசைக்குஞ் சொல்லே. Avarrul

Iyarcor rām-ē Centamil nilattu valakkotu civaņi-t Tam-porul valāmai y-icaikkuñ col·l-ē.

- It is so called since it deals with what could not be dealt
  with in the previous chapters and what have been left out
  there and since it deals with ten kinds of eccam.
- 2. Cintiyen is from the Skt. root cint.
- 3. Pilikkum is from the Skt. root pid.

### ECCAVIVAL.

Of them, iyarcol is that which is used in Centamil-nilam and elsewhere without change in meaning; in other words iyarcol is indigenous Tamil word.

Note 1.—Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiṇiyar take a word kaṭun-tamil nillattu as understood before tamporul. Ilampūranar and Teyvaccilaiyār, though they have not explicitly stated so, have the same idea in their minds.

Note 2,—The boundary of Centomil-nilam according to Ilampūranar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar is-the river Marutam on the north, the river Vaikai on the south, Karūr on the west and Maruvūr on the east and according to Teyvaccilaiyār, it is-the hill Vēnkaļam on the north, Cape Comorin on the south, the Bay of Bengal on the east and the Arabian sea on the west. The reasons assigned by Teyvaccilaiyār in support of his view are two:—(1) Koļunköļūr which is west of Karūr and Kāūci which is north of Maruvūr have to be included in the Centanil-nilam and (2) Paṇampāraṇār in his pāyiram to the Tolkāppiyam has given the same limit

Note 3.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says that the word nīr, though borrowed from Sanskrit, is for practical purposes considered to be an indigenous word. But Philologists are of opinion that nīr is an indigenous Tamil word which was borrowed by Sanskrit, since it has no cognate words in other Indo-European languages and it is related to the Tamil word nīrmai.

Note 3.—Hampūraņar reads vaļāamai, Cēņāvaraiyar and Nacciņārkkiņiyar, vaļāmai and Teyvaccilaiyār, vaļāmal.

Note 4.—The word tām is used for euphony.

399. ஒருபொருள் குறித்த வேறசொல் லாகியும் வேறபொருள் குறித்த வொருசொல் லாகியும் இருபாற் றென்ப திரிசொற் கிளவி.

> Oru-poruļ kuritta vēru-col l-ākiyum Vēru-poruļ kuritta v-oru-col l-ākiyum Iru-j-ār r-enpa tiricor kiļavi

# TOLKÁPPIYAM—COLLATIKÁRAM

Tirical is of two kinds —one having synonyms and the other having different meanings.

 $E_{X}$ .—Killai (the modified form of kili); maññai (the modified form of mayil).

Note 1.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says that the modification of iyarcol may be partial or wholesale. The latter was called by some as kaṭṭiya-vaṭakkū. The examples that he gives from the former class are kiṭlai, maññai and for the latter vilaikal and vinṭū.

Note 2.—This satra deals not with the definition of tiricol, but with its classification. Its definition is patent from its name.

400. செக்தமிழ் சேர்க்க பன்னிரு கிலத்தக் தங்குறிப் பினவே திசைச்சொற் கினவி. Centamil cērnta paṇṇiru nilattun Tań-kurip p-iṇa-v-ē ticai-c-cor kilavi.

 ${\it Treat-c-col}$  is the word borrowed in Tamil from the languages current in the twelve countries bordering the Tamil land.

Note 1.—Of the twelve countries mentioned by Ilampūranar and Cēṇāvaraiyar, eleven are the same. They are:—Oli-nāṭi, Teṇ-pānṭi-nāṭi, Kuṭṭa-nāṭi, Kuṭa-nāṭi, Paṇri-nāṭi, Kaṛkā-nāṭi, Cita-nāṭi, Pūli-nāṭi, Malai-nāṭi, Aruvā-nāṭi, and Aruvā-vaṭa-talai

The twelfth, according to Ilampūranar, is Potunkar and according to Cēṇāvaraiyar, Ponkar. Since the Centamil-nāļu according to Teyvaccilaiyār is different from that according to the other three, the twelve countries mentioned by him are different. They are:—Palantīpam, Kollam, Kūpakam, Cinkalam, Kaṇṇaṭam, Vuṭukam, Kalinkam, Telinkam, Konkanam, Tulwam, Kuṭakam and Kuṇrakam. Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar mentions both—the twelve mentioned by Ilampūranar and the twelve mentioned by Peyvaccilaiyār, since he interprets the line "centamil cērnta paṇṇiru nilattum" as the twelve countries bordering the centamil nilam and twelve countries bordering the above twelve.

401. வடதொர் திளவி வடதெழுத் தொரிஇ எழுத்தொடு புணர்ந்த சொல்லா கும்மே. Vatacor kilavi vata-v-elut t-orti Eluttotu punarnta col·l-ā kum-m-ē.

Valued is the word which is made up of sounds other than those which are peculiar to Sanskrit.

Ex.-Kuńkumam.

Note 1.—Vatacol in the sūtra does not mean Sanskrit word but means Tamil word borrowed from Sanskrit.

Note 2.—Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciņārkkiniyar mention as examples under this sātra only words borrowed from Sanskrit, while Teyvaccilaiyār mentions words borrowed from Prākṛts also. Hence vata-v-eluitu in the sātra means according to the former "the sounds found in Sanskrit", while, according to the latter, 'the sounds found in Sanskrit and Prākṛts." The opinion of Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be sound.

Note 3.— ''ēṇāvaraiyar condemns Ilampūraṇar for his statement vaṭacol·l-āvatu vaṭacollōṭu okkun tamil-c-col; because he thinks that Ilampūraṇar meant by his statement "that vaṭacol is that Tamil word which resembles Sanskrit word" and not "vaṭacol is that Tamil word which is identical with Sanskrit word. 'Literally Cēṇāvaraiyar's condemnation on the word okkum is correct. But since Ilampūraṇar cites kuṅkumam as an example and states there "kuṅkumam entaviṭatu irucārkkum potu-v-elutliṇāṇ varutal-uṭaimaiyum āriyatlāṇum tamilānum oruporutkē vaṭainki-varutal-uṭaimaiyum arika," it is evident that Ilampūraṇar's idea is the same as that of Cēṇāvaraiyar, though his expression "vaṭacol evpatu āriyaccorpōluā col" is not happy.

Note 4.—Ulakam and narkunam are found as examples under this sātra in the Ilampūranam; and kāriyam and urpavam are found in the Naccinārkkiniyam. They deserve to be examples only under the following sātra. Besides narkunam seems to be a scribal error for carkunam, since Cēṇāvaraiyar does not condemn it.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

Note 5.—Naccinārkkiniyar explains vata-v-eļuttu in the sūtra thus:—"urappiyum etuttum kanaittum kānum vataveļuttukkaļ." They denote the second, the third and the fourth sounds of the five vargas from k to m but not  $\acute{s}$ ,  $\acute{s}$ ,  $\acute{s}$  and  $\acute{h}$ .

402. சிதைந்தன வரினு மியைந்தன வரையார். Citaintana varinu m-iyaintana varaiyār.

They do not ward off words made up of sounds which are different from those found in Sanskrit.

Ex -Carkunam (Skt. sadguna).

403. அக்காற் சொல்லுக் தொடுக்குங் காஃல வலிக்கும்வழி வலித்தலு மெலிக்கும்வழி மெலித்தலும் விரிக்கும்வழி விரித்தலுக் தொகுக்கும்வழிக் தொகுத்தலும் கீட்டும்வழி கீட்டலுங் குறுக்கும்வழிக் குறுக்கலும் காட்டல் வலிய வென்மளூர் புலவர்.

> A-n-nār col-l-un toţukkun kālai Valikkum-vaļi valittal-u melikkum-vaļi melittal-um Virikkum-vaļi virittal-un tokukkum-vaļi-t tokuttal-um Nīṭṭum-vaļi nīṭṭal-un kurukkum-vaļi-k kurukkal-um Nāṭṭal valiya v-eṇmaṇār pulavar.

Learned men say that, when those four kinds of words are used in verse, a voiced sound may be made a voiceless one and vice-versa, a sound or sounds may be added or elided, a vowel or vowels may be lengthened or shortened as need be.

Ex.—Muttai varūun kālan tōnrin (Eļut. 174)
(Muttai for muntai)

Kunriy l-ukara-t t-iruti y-ākum (Col. 8)
(Kunriyalukaram for kurriyalukaram)

Taṇ-ṇ-an turaivar kāṇin muṇṇinru (Kurun. 296)
(Taṇṇam for taṇ)

Vālkavaṇrāļ-ē (Pura. 103)
(Vāļkavan for vaļka-v-avaṇ)

Ā-y-iru tiņai-y-i ņ-icaikkumaņa col-l-ē (Col. 1) ( $\vec{A}$  for a)

Aluntu paţu viluppun (Narrinai 97) (Aluntû for ālntû)

Note.—This forms one of the important sūtras which led to different grammatical theories. For instance the suffix of the third case is said by Tolkāppiyanār to be oṭu. In verses it was lengthened whenever there was need for it. Oṭu was then considered to be a third case suffix

404. கிரனிறை சுண்ண மடிமறி மொழிமாற்ற அவைகான் கென்ப மொழிபுண ரியல்பே.

> Niranirai cunna m-ațimari molimarru Avai-nan k-enpa moli-puna r-iyalpă.

Syntax in verse is of four kinds:—niranirai, cunnam, ațimari and moli-mārru.

Note 1.—The word ceyyul is taken from the sutra 397 and is changed to ceyyulul here.

Note 2.—The word moli in this sūtra refers to the four kinds of words mentioned above. Hence Cēnāvaraiyar's statement nānku collum expatum atikārattār perrām is unnecessary.

405. அவற்றுள்

கிரனிறை தானே

லினேயினும் பெயரினு நினேயத் கோன்றிச் சொல்வேறு நிலேஇப் பொருள்வேற நிலேயல்.

Avarruļ Niraṇirai tāṇ-ē Viṇaiyiṇ-um peyariṇ-u niṇaiya-t tōṇri-o Col-yēru nilaii-p poruļ-vēru nilaiyal.

Of them niranirai is that mode wherein verbs, nouns or both and clauses found in one group in one order are grammatically connected with the same found in another group in the same order.

## TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

Ex.—Koţi kuvaļai koţţai nucuppuņkaņ mēṇi.

(Waist, anointed eyes and body respectively resembling creeper, kuvalaya and pericarp of the lotus flower)

Mācu pōkavun kāy-paci nīnkavum Kati-puṇan mūļki y-aticil-kai tottu.

(Having bathed in the swift current so that dirt may disappear and having eaten the food so that the dire hunger may disappear)

Here mācupōkavum is grammatically connected with kaṭi-puṇan mūļki and kāy-paci-nīnkavum is with aticol kai-tottu.

Utalu m·uṭaintōṭu m·ūlmalarum pārkkuṅ Kaṭal-iru l-āmpal-pām p-eura—keṭal-aruñ-cṛr-t Tinka ṭirumukam-ā-c cettu.

When moon rises, the sea ebbs, darkness vanishes, lily blossoms and the scrpent gazes.

Here the nouns katal, irul, āmpal and pāmpu are grammatically connected with the verbs utalum, utaint-ōṭum, ūlmalarum and pārkkum respectively.

Note 1.—The word porul means the words qualified or governed.

Nyte 2.—The word tāṇē is vākyālankāra.

406. சுண்ணர் தானே பட்டாங் கமைர்த வீரடி பெண்சேர் ஒட்டுவழி யறிர்து துணித்தன ரியற்றல்.

> Cuṇṇan tāṇ-ē Paṭṭāṅ k-amainta v-ir-aṭi y-eṇ-cir Oṭṭu-vaḷi y-aɪintu tuṇittaṇa r-iyarral.

Of them cunnam is that mode wherein words in two feet of a stanza with four cir each are so promiscuously arranged that it is necessary to find the proper order in which they are grammatically connected.

#### ECCAVIVAL

Ex.—Curai-y-āla v-ammi mitappa varai-y-anaiya Yānaikku nīttu muyarku nilai-enpa Kānakanātan cunai.

(The fountain-spring of the lord of the forest-region is such that the gourd may float, the grinding stone may go down, the hare may swim and the elephant as big as a mountain may stand without being drowned)

Here curai and ammi are grammatically connected with mitappa and āļa and yāṇaikkū and muyarkū with nilai and nīltū.

Note 1.—Ilampūraņar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiṇiyar think that cuṇṇam takes place only among words found in two feet: while Teyvaccilaiyār thinks that it may be so and the words which are grammatically related may also be within eight cir.

Note 2.—Avarrul is taken here from the previous sūtra

407. அடிமறிச் செய்தி யடிகில திரிர்து சேர்சில திரியாது தடுமா றம்மே.

> Ațimari-c ceyti y-aținilai tirintu Cīr-nilai tiriyatu tațumă rum-m-ë.

Of them aţimari is that mode wherein the meaning is not changed though the order of lines is changed without changing the order of the cīr in each line.

Ex.—Cüral pampiya ciru kān yār-ē Cūrara makalır āranan kinar-ē Cāra nāţa nīvaru tīyē Vāra l-enin-ē yān-añ cuval-ē.

> (Oh lord of mountains the streamlets on your way are full of whirl-pools and the devils are bent upon doing mischief. If I say that you need not come, I will have to suffer)

Here the four lines may be interchanged in any order without any change in the meaning.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Note 1.—Cēṇāvaraiyar says that some read this sūtra thus:—

அடிமறிச் செய்தி அடிகிஸ் திரிச்து சீர்கிஸ் திரியாது தடுமா ஸுமமே பொருடெரி மருங்கின்.

408. பொருடுடரி மருங்கின் ஈற்றடி மி.தை சேருத்துவயிற் நிரிபுக் தோற்றமும் வகையா கடிமறி யான.

> Poruțeri marunkin Îrrați y-iruci r-eruttu-vayir riripu-n Torramum varaiyā r-ațimari yāṇ-a.

In atimari the final cir of the last line may be grammatically connected with a cir in the penultimate line when the meaning of a stanza is construed.

Note 1.—Îrrați-y-iru-cîr eruttu-vayin is interpreted by commentators differently. Ilampūranar takes it to mean 'if the final cīr of the last line is taken to be penultlmate cīr'; Cēṇā-varaiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār state 'if the final cīr of the last line is taken in the penultimate line'; Naccinārkkiniyar states 'if the final cīr of the last line is used in the same meaning as that of the penultimate cīr of the last line'. Since this sūtra deals with another kind of aṭimari, the opinion of Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be sound.

Note 2.—Naccivārkkiniyar says that  $m\bar{a}_T\dot{u}$  in  $k\bar{u}_T\bar{a}y$   $t\bar{o}li$   $y\bar{a}m$ .  $v\bar{a}lu$   $m\bar{a}_Te$  has no meaning for itself and suggests the meaning of the penultimate  $c\bar{i}r$ . But in places like  $a\underline{n}aiyai$   $y-\bar{a}ka\underline{n}$   $m\bar{a}_T\bar{e}$  (Pura. 20),  $n\bar{i}$   $tu\bar{n}c\bar{a}y$   $m\bar{a}_T\bar{e}$  (Pura. 20), the commentator says that  $m\bar{a}_T\dot{u}$  denotes cause.

409. மொழிமாற் றியற்கை சொன்னி‰ மாற்றிப் பொருளெதி ரியைய முன்னும் பின்னுங் கொள்வழிக் கொளாஅல்.

> Molimār r-iyarkai Connilai mārri-p poruļ-eti r-iyaiya Munnum pinnun koļ-vaļi-k koļāal.

Of them  $molim\bar{a}_{T}r\dot{u}$  is that mode wherein words which are grammatically connected are so promiseuously set in that, when one makes its meaning, he will have to rearrange it.

Note.—Avarrul has to be taken here from the sūtra 405.

410. தாதுஎ எனு மவைமுத லாகிய கிளே துதற் பெயரும் பிரிப்பப் பிரியா.

> Ta-na-nu-e enu m-avai-muta l-ākiya Kiļai-nutar peyar-um pirippa-p piriyā.

Words of relationship beginning with ta, na, nu and e cannot be split into component parts.

Ex.-Tampi, tankai, nampi, nunkai, empi etc.

Note.—Cēṇāvaraiyar gives taman and naman as examples and describes at great length that they respectively mean his relation or their relation and my relation or our relation and hence they cannot be said to have been formed from  $t\bar{a}m+an$  and  $n\bar{a}m+an$ .

411. இசைகிறை யசைகிலே பொருகொடு புணர்தலென்ற அவைமுன் ஹென்ப வொருசொல் லடுக்கே.

> Icai-nirai y-acai-nilai poruļotu puņartal-enrū Avai-mūņ r-enpa v-oru-col l-atukkē.

They say that the reduplication of words is of three kinds:—
icai-nirai or that used for euphony, acai-nilai or that used to
make up the syllables and poruloju punartal or that used with
some meaning.

Ex.-Icai-nirai

Ciru-kuți y-īrē ciru-kuți y-īrē. (Kalit. 39)

Acai-nilai

Māl-varai y-olukiya vālai vālai. (Cirupāņ. 20)

Porulotu-punartal

Quickness

Pāay-p pāay-p pacantanru mutal. (Kalit. 36)

# TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Certainty

Turakkuva-n-allan rurakkuva n-allan. (Kalit. 41)

Determination.

Muyańki-p potivem muyańki-p potivem. (Kalit. 106)

Note 1.—Porulotu-punartal is of different kinds:—one denoting quickness, one denoting certainty, one denoting determination etc.

Note 2.—This sūtra is read by Teyvaccilaiyār before the sūtra 423. It appears to be better.

412. வேற்றுமைத் தொகையே யுவமத் தொகையே வீளேயின் ருெகையே பண்பின் ருெகையே உம்மைத் தொகையே யன்மொழித் தொகையென்று அவ்வா செற்ப தொகைமொழி கீஃயே,

> Vērrumai t tokai-y ē y-uvama-t tokai-y ē Viņaiyin rokai-y ē panpin rokai-y ē Ummai-t tokai-y ē y-anmoli-t tokai-y enrū A-v-v ā r-enpa tokai-moli nilai-y ē.

They say that compounds are of six kinds: -vērrumai-t-tokai, uvama-t-tokai, viņaiyin-rokai, panpin-rokai, ummai-t-tokai and an-moli-t-tokai.

Note.—The word tokai literally means elision and is here used in the sense of compound. It should be noted that, though there is generally some elision in the first member of a compound, it should not be taken as the definition of tokai. Its definition is evidently that which is made up of two or more words and which functions as one word. That this was the opinion of Tolkappiyavār is evident from his sūtra

Ellā-t tokai-y-u m-oru-con nataiya. (col. 420) Cēnāvaraiyar says that there is another class of scholars who take it to be a tokai where there is elision and condemns them for two reasons:-

(1) there is avyāpti in kēlar-paņri and (2) there is ativyāpti in iruntāņ mālattu.

413. அவற்றுள்

வேற்றுமைத் தொகையே வேற்றுமை பியல.

Avarrul

Vērrumai-t tokai-y-ē vērrumai y-iyala.

Of them *vērrumai-t-tokai* is that wherein the former member is in case-relation to the following member.

Ex.—Kaya-malar. (Kalit. 37) (Flower in a tank.)

Note.—Ilampūranar thinks that vērrumai-t-tokai is that wherein the case-suffix is elided. It is generally the case; but it may have exceptions. Hence Cēṇāvaraiyar and Teyvaccilaiyār state "vērrumai-t-tokai evpatu vērrumai-p-porul-utaiya tokai." Cēṇāvaraiyar states as an alternative "vērrumai-p-porul tokka tokai." Since there is no elision of case-meaning without the elision of case-suffix, I think the alternative suggestion is not necessary. Naccinārkkiniyar agrees with Ilampūranar.

414. உவமத் தொகையே வுவம யியல.

Uvama-t tokai-y-ē v-uvama y-iyala.

Uvama-t-tokai is that wherein the former member is upamānam and the following member is upamēyam.

Ex.—Murañ-cevi. (Kalit. 42) (Winnow-like ear)

Note.—The word murañ-cevi may be expanded in two ways: murattai otta cevi or muram appa cevi. Even though in the former case the former member is in case-relation to the following member, it is not so in the second case. Hence this uvama-t-tokai cannot be classed as a species of vērrumai-t-tokai. But Cēpā-varaiyar says that, if one is bent upon expanding it in the former way, it may be taken as vērrumai-t-tokai.

415. விணேயின் ருகுதி காலத் தியலும்.

Vinaiyin rokuti kāla-t t-iyalum.

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

 $\it Vigai-t-tokai$  is that wherein the former member is a participle denoting time.

Ex.—Aţu-kaliru (Pura. 69) (Killing elephant)

Note 1.—Ilampūranar, Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār think that aṭṭa+kaṭirū and aṭum+kaṭirū are compounded as aṭu-kaṭirū: Cēṇāvaraiyar, on the other hand, thinks that it is a nityasamāsa and cannot be expanded into aṭṭa kaṭirū and aṭum kaṭirū. In his opinion viṇai has to mean root or dhātu and the word kālatu in the sūṭra may not quite fit in. He definitely states that the word viṇai in the sūṭra denotes only the action and it may be taken as toṭir-peyar since words like tāṭkuṭal which is aṇmoṭi-t-tokai formed of viṇai-t-tokai is said to be iru-peyar-oṭṭū. But Tolkāppiyaṇār has not stated in the sūṭra 418 that anmoṭi-t-tokai may be formed of viṇai-t-tokai and this is well brought out by Teyvaccilaiyār.

416. வண்ணத்தின் வடிவி எளவிற் சுவையினென்று அன்ன பிறவு மதன்குண துதலி இன்ன திதுவென வரூட மியற்கை என்ன கிளலியும் பண்பின் குறுகையே.

Vannattin vațivi n-alavir cuvaiyin-enru Anna piravu m-atan-kuna nutali Inna t-itu-v-ena varuu m-iyarkai Enna kilaviyum panpin rokai-y-ē.

Panput-tokai is that wherein the former member denotes the quality like color, shape, extent, taste etc., thus saying something about an object and the following member is the word denoting the object.

Ex.—Ceñŭāyirù (Pura. 4). (Red sun) Putu-nel (Pura. 61). (New paddy)

Note 1.—Cēnāvararyar takes panpu-t-tokai also as nityasamāsa.

Note 2.—Ilampūranar, Cēņāvaraiyar and Nacciņārkkiņiyar read in the sūlra cuvaiyiņ-eņru, while Teyvaccilaiyār reads cuvaiyiņ.

- Note 3.—Teyvaccilaiyār states that vērrumai-t-tokai, uvama-t-tokai, viņai-t-tokai and paņpu-t-tokai correspond to tat-puruṣa in Sanskrit.
- Note 4.—The expression like cārai-p-pāmpu where cārai is not the name of a quality should also be taken as panpu-t-tokai since cārai restricts the application of the word pāmpu.
  - 417, இருபெயர் பல்பெய ரளவின் பெயரே எண்ணியற் பெயரே கிறைப்பெயர்க் கிளவி எண்ணின் பெயரோ டவ்வறு கிளவியுங் கண்ணிய கிலேத்தே யும்மைத் தொகையே.

Iru-pey ir pal-peya r-alavin peyar-ē Enniyar peyar-ē nirai-p-peyar-k kilavi Ennin peyar-ō ṭ-a-v-v-aru kilavi-y-uṅ Kanniya nilaittē y-ummai-t tokai-y-ē.

Ummai-t-tokai is that, where two words denoting a single object, two words denoting many objects, words denoting measurement, words denoting objects that are counted, words denoting weight and words denoting number—all these six—are combined together.

- Ex.-Āṭal-pāṭal (āṭalum-pāṭalum), Kapila-paraṇar (Kapilarum Paraṇarum); Pārppār cāṇṭār (pārppārum cāṇṭārum); Kalaṇē-patakku (kalaṇum patakkum); Arupattu-mūvar (Arupatiṇmarum mūvarum); Tuṭi-y-arai (tuṭiyum araiyum); Patiṇaintu (pattum aintum).
- Note 1.—Ummai-t-tokai corresponds to dvandva compound in Sanskrit.
- Note 2.—Words like āṭal-pāṭal, kalaņē-patakkú agree with samāhāradvandvas in Sanskrit.
- Note 3.—The words iru-peyar and pal-peyar mean, according to Ilampūranar, Oēpāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar, two nouns and many nouns. Since pal-peyar denotes iru-peyar also, they try to justify the use of both in different ways:—Ilampūranar says that Tolkāppiyanār does not want to include two under

## TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

many; Cēṇāvaraiyar says that since vērrumai-t-lokai etc. are generally formed of two words, and ummai-t-lokai is formed of two words and more, Tolkāppiyanār has stated both. Naccinārkkiniyar practically agrees with Cēṇāvaraiyar, though he differs from him in the mode of expressing it. Teyvaccilaiyār, on the other hand, states that irupeyar denotes words denoting objects and words which are verbal nouns and pal-peyar means words which are plural in number. I think that iru-peyar means words which are plural in number. I think that iru-peyar may be taken to mean two words each denoting singular and pal-peyar meaning 'words denoting plural'; for there is difference in the formation of compound in these two cases:—in the former the final element of the following member are modified when the words are uyartinai and in the latter it is not so Cf. Kapilu-paranar and pārppār-cāṇrār.

418. பண்புதொக வருஉங் கிளலி யானும் உம்மை தொக்க பெயர்வலி ஞனும் வேற்றுகைம் தொக்க பெயர்வலி ஞலினும் ஈற்றுகின் றியலு மன்மொழிக் தொகை,

> Paņpu-toka varūun kilavi y-āṇum Ummai tokka peyar-vayi ṇ-āṇum Vērrumai tokka peyar-vayi ṇ-āṇum Irru-niṇ r-iyalu m-aṇmoli-t tokai.

Annoli-t-tokai is that wherein the element denoting quality, the particle um or the case-suffix, in the former member is dropped and the element denoting the person at the end is also dropped.

Ex.—Kallār-p-piņukkun kaṭun-kōl (Kuraļ 570)
(Kaṭiyatu kōl uṭaiyan)
Takara-ñālal cāntū
(Takaramum ñaṭalum cērvia cāntū)
Poɪroṭi vantāl
(Poṇṇāl ākiya toṭi uṭaivāl)

Note 1.—It should be noted that a word can be determined to be annoli-t-tokai only in a sentence.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār has been shrewd enough to note that Tolkāppiyanār, in this sūtra, has not mentioned that annoli-t-tokai may be formed of uvama-t-tokai and vivai-t-tokai. Consequently he takes tuti-y-iţai and tāl-kulal in the sentences tuti-y-iţai vantāl and tāl-kulal vantāl to be irupeyar-oṭṭu ākupeyar.

Note 3.—Ilampūranar states that, because Tolkāppiyaṇār has mentioned in this sūtra vērrumai-t-tokai after paṇpu-t-tokai, he suggests that aṇmoli-t-tokai may be formed of wama-t-tokai and viṇai-t-tokai. Cēṇāvaraiyar states that, though aṇmoli-t-tokai born of ummai-t-tokai is smaller in number than that born of vērrumai-t-tokai, it has been mentioned before the other to suggest that aṇmoli-t-tokai may be formed of uwama-t-tokai and viṇai-t-tokai. Naccinārkkiṇiyar agrees with Cēṇāvaraiyar. This seems to be the opinion of Avinayayār, who says

Vinaiyin rokaiyinu m-uvama-t tokaiyinum Anmoli tõnru m-enmanär pulavar.

419. அவைதாம்

ு. முன்மொழி நிண்பலும் பின்மொழி நிண்பலும் இருமொழி மேலு மொருங்குட னிஸ்பலும் அந்தான் கென்ப பொருணி‰ மாபே.

Avai-tām Muṇ-moļi nilaiyal-um piṇ-moļi nilaiyal-um Iru-moļi mēl-u m-orunkuṭa ṇilaiyal-um An-nāṇ k-eṇpa poruṇilai marapē.

They say that, in the above compounds, the most important part of the meaning rests in four different ways—on the following member, on the former member, on both the members and on neither the former nor the following member but on something else

Ex.—On the following member
Vēnkai-p-pū.
(The flower of vēnkai tree)
On the former member.
Nuṇi-nā (Tol. Elut. 91)
(The tip of the tongue)

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

On both Kapila-paranar. (Kapilan and Paranan)

On neither Porroti vantāl.

(The lady with gold armlet came).

Note 1.—In vērrumai-t-tokai, uvama-t-tokai, vinai-t-tokai and panpu-t-tokai it is the following member that is generally the more important, but there are exceptions like nuni-nā, pen-nananku, aṭai-kaṭal etc. In ummai-t-tokai both the members are important and in aŋ-moli-t-tokai neither the former nor the following member is important.

Note 2.—The words mun-moli-nilaiyal, pin-moli-nilaiyal, iru-moli-nilaiyal and an-moli-nilaiyal respectively correspond with Sanskrit uttara-padārtha-pradhānah, pūrva-padārtha-pradhānah, ubhaya-padārtha-pradhānah and anya-padārtha-pradhānah.

420. எல்லாத் தொகையு மொருசொன் னடைய Ellā-t tokai-y-u m-oru-con naṭaiya.

All the compounds are of the same nature as simple words, (i. e.) are unitary in nature.

Note 1.—Cēnāvaraiyar takes the expression nilan kaṭantāŋ where kaṭantāŋ is the finite verb and nilam is its object with the case-suffix dropped, as a compound and quotes the sātra

Peyarun tolilum pirintoruń k-icaippa Vērrumai y-urupu nilai-peru valiyum Törram vēṇtā-t tokuti-k kaṇṇum (Elut. 132)

in support of his interpretation. But if one adheres to the interpretation of that sūtra by Ilampūranar, it is not necessary to accept Cēnāvaraiyar's view. Besides Tolkāppiyanār has nowhere explicity stated that a noun and a verb can become a compound. It is surprising that Naccinārkkiniyar agrees with Cēnāvaraiyar's view regarding nilan kaṭantān and agrees with Ilampūranar's view regarding the interpretation of the sūtra "Peyarun tolilum ....." (Elut. 132)

#### ECCAVIVAL

421. உயர் நிணே மருங்கி னும்மைத் தொகையே பலர்சொன் னடைத்தென மொழிமனர் புலவர்.

> Uyar-tinai marunki n-ummai-t tokai-y-ē Palar-con nataittena molimanār pulavar.

Learned men say that ummai-t-tokai of uyartinai nouns are of the nature of plural nouns.

Ex. -Kapila-parapar.

Note 1—The need for this sūtra is this:—when two uyurtinai nouns Kapilan and Paranan form a compound a doubt may arise whether they become Kapila-paranan or Kapila-paranar.

Note 2.—It is worth noting that uyartinai-marunkin compares with the Pānini's sūtra—jātiraprāninām (Aṣṭā. 2, 4, 6.)

422. வாரா மரபின வரககூமுதலும் என்னு மரபின வெனக்கூ முதலும் அன்னவை யெல்லா மவற்றவற் றியல்பான் இன்ன வென்னுங் குறிப்புரை யாகும்.

> Vārā marapiņa vara-k-kū rutal-um Engā marapiņa v-eņa-k-ū rutal-um Angavai y-ellā m-avarravar r-iyalpāņ Inna v-engun kurippurai y-ākum.

Expressions where objects which do not have the capacity to come are described as coming and objects which do not have the capacity to think or speak are described as thinking and speaking and such others are said to be kurippu-c-col or suggestive words.

Ex. - A-m-malai vantu itanotu porutirru.

(That mountain came and fought against this)

Anna-c-cēval....irumpicirāntai y-aṭiyurai-y-enin (Pura, 67)

(Oh swan, if you say that you are a servant of Irum-picir-antai)

# TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

423. இசைப்படு பொருளே நான்குவரம் பாகும்.

Icai-p-patu poruļ-ē nāņku-varam p-ākum.

The maximum limit for the repetition of a word for euphonic harmony is four.

Ex.—Pāţukō pāţukō pāţukō pāţukō.

Note.—Iļampūraņam and Cēṇāvaraiyam (Damodaram Pillai Edn.) read icai-paṭu-poruļē and others read icai-p-paṭu-poruļē.

424. விரைசோல் வடுக்கே மூன்றுவரம் பாகும். Virai-col l-atukkē mūnru-varam p-ākum.

The maximum limit for the repetition of a word to denote haste is three.

Ex.—Ti-t-ti-t-ti. (Fire, fire, fire.)

425. கண்ட சென்று கொண்ட பென்று சென்ற சென்று போயிற் ஹென்று அன்றி யூனத்தும் விஞ்வொடு வெணி கின்றவழி யசைக்குங் கௌவி பென்ப.

> Kantī r-enrā kontī r-enrā Cenra t-enrā pōyir r-enrā Anri y-anaittum vināvotu civani Ninra-vaļi y-acaikkun kiļavi y-enpa.

The words kantīr, kontīr, cevratu, pōyirrū when followed by the interrogative letter are said to be acai-c-col.

Ex.-Kantire.....

Note 1.—Since this sūtra follows the sūtra dealing with aṭukkū, this sūtra also is taken by the commentators to be dealing with aṭukkū also.

Note 2.—Teyraccilaiyār reads in the sūtra icai-k-kum instead of acaikkum and states that it has its meaning. But if we consider his interpretation of the last line of the following sūtra,

the reading acaikkum is the better of the two. Ilampūranar and Naccinārkkiņiyar have the reading icaikkum, but they give it the meaning given by Cēṇāvaraiyar to acaikkum.

426. கேட்டை பென்று நின்றை பெண்று காத்தை பென்று கண்டை பென்று அன்றி யூனாத்து முன்னிலே யல்வழி முன்னுறக் கௌக்த கியல்பா கும்மே.

> Kēţṭai y-eṇrā niṇrai y-eṇrā Kāttai y-eṇrā kanṭai y-eṇrā Aṇri y-aṇaittu muṇṇilai y-alvaļi Muṇ-ṇ-ura-k kiļanta v-iyalp-ā kum-m-ē.

The words kēṭṭai, ninṛai, kāṭṭai and kanṭai, when they do not denote the second person singular, are acai-c-col.

Note.—Munnilai-y-alvali is interpreted by Ilampūranar as when they are not followed by the interrogative letter like the previous four Cēṇāvaraiyar condemns him that, since there is no opportunity for them to be followed by the interrogative letter, there is no need for that interpretation.

427. இறப்பி னிகழ்வி சென்றிர்வி சென்றச் தெற்புடை மாபி னம்முக் காலமுக் தன்மை முன்னிலே படர்க்கை பென்னும் அம்மூ விடத்தான் விளையினுங் குறிப்பினும் மெய்ம்மை யானு மீரிரண் டாகும் அவ்வா சென்ப முற்றியன் மொழியே.

> Irappi nikalvi n-etirvi n-enra-c Cirapputai marapi n-a-m-mu-k kālam-un Tanmai munnilai patarkkai y-ennum A-m-mū v-itattān vinaiyin-un kurippinum Meymmai y-ān-u m-īr-iran t-ākum A-v-v-ā r-enpa murriyan moļi-y-ē.

They say that the finite verbs are of 24 kinds:—verbs that explicitly denote one of the three tenses past, present and future and used in the first, the second and the third person in either

# TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

of the numbers singular and plural and appellative verbs that are used in the first, the second and the third person in either of the numbers.

- Note 1.—Since the appellative verbs do not explicitly denote tense, they are of six kinds and the other verbs, which are latterly called teri-nilai-vinai are of eighteen kinds. But it should be noted that the difference found in the verbs of the third person singular as ānpāl, penpāl and ouranpāl and that in those of the third person plural as palarpāl and palavinpāl are not taken here.
- Note 2.—Commentators differ in their interpretation of the word meynmai. Ilampūranar says that it denotes vinai and vinai-k-kurippū, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar state that it denotes uyartinai, a. rinai and viravu-t-tinai and Teyvaccilaiyār states that it denotes singular and plural. Taking the reading of ir-irantākum adopted by Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyār for ivvirantākum adopted by others, the interpretation adopted by Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be sound.
- Note 3.—Ilampūranar thinks that this sūtra deals with the definition of finite verb. Cēṇāvaraiyar condemns it and states that this deals with the classification of finite verbs.
- Note 4.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads mūvitattāņa for ā-m-mūvitattāņ adopted by others.
- Note 5.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads this sūtra at the end of the Virai-y-iyal. Since Tolkāppiyanār deals with the classification of eccam after a few sūtras from here, it is quite appropriate to have this sūtra only in this iyal.
- Note 6.—This sūtra and the following one are two of a few sūtras in Tolkāppiyam whose definite meaning and purpose I have not yet been able to understand.
  - 428. எவ்வயின் வினேயு மவ்விய னிலேயம்.

E-v-vayin vinai-y-u m-a-v-v-iya nilaiyum.

#### ECCAVIVAL.

Verbs which are used in all the persons without change of form are also of the same nature.

Note 1.—Verbs like  $v\bar{e}_Tu$ , illai etc. are used in all persons and in all numbers; verbs of the optative mood are used in both the numbers of the third person; the verb ceyyum is used in  $\bar{a}np\bar{a}l$ ,  $penp\bar{a}l$ ,  $ov_Tanp\bar{a}l$  and  $palavinp\bar{a}l$ . In order that such verbs also may be termed finite-verbs, the author has read this  $s\bar{u}tra$ .

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār reads a-v-vayin for a-v-v-iyal which does not seem sound

Note 3.—I] impuranar and Naccinārkkiniyar interret this sūtra thus—Peyar-eccam and viņai-y-eccam are of the same nature (i. e.) they denote three tenses and are used in the three persons.

Note 4.—An alternative meaning is given under this sūtra in Cēṇāvaraiyar's commentary thus:—All roots will be used chiefly in finite verbs. Since no useful purpose is served by this interpretation, it is my opinion that it is an interpolation. But since it is condemned by Naccinārkkiniyar, it should have crept in before him.

Note 5.—Naccinārkkiniyar states that this sūtra removes the doubt whether one form of a verb may denote all the three tenses which may arise from the sūtra-kālamoļu varūum viņai-c-col-ellām (Col. 21). This does not seem to be sound.

429. அவைதாம் தத்தங் கிளவி யடுக்கும வரிஜும் எத்திறத் தானும் பெயர்முடி பினவே.

> Avai-tām Tattan kiļavi y-aṭukkuna variņum E-t-tirat tāṇum peyar-muṭi p-iṇa-v-ĉ.

They, even though they are used in succession, qualify only the noun (which is their subject).

Ex. Untan ötinan patinan Cattan.

## TOLK APPTYAM—COLLATIKARAM

Note 1.—Ettirattānum suggests, in the opinion of the four commentators, that subject may follow the predicate. Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar state in addition that it suggests that the subject may be explicitly mentioned as in enmanār pulavar and or may be left out to be implied as in muppa: tenpa. Since the latter is suggested by the sātra—Evvayir peyarum veļippaṭa-t-tōnri... (Col. 68), it may as well be omitted.

430. பிரிகில வூனமே பெயரே யொழிலிசை எதிர்மறை யும்மை யெனவே சொல்லே குறிப்பே மிசையே யாலீ சைர்தும் செறிப்படத் தோன்று மெஞ்சுபொருட் கிளவி.

Piri-nilai viṇai-y-ē peyar-ē y-oli-y-icai Etir-marai y-ummai y-eṇa-v-ē col-l-ē Kurippē y-icai-y-ē y-ā-y-ī r-aintum Neri-p-paṭa-t tōṇru m-eñcuporuṭ kiļavi.

Excu-porut-kilavi or word or words which suggest something else are of ten kinds:—Pirinilai, vinai, peyar, oli-y-icai, etirmarai, ummai, ena, col, kurippii, and icai.

Note.—Eñcu-porut-kilavi, according to Ilampūranar, Cēnū-varaiyar, and Naccinūrkkiniyar, means a word having incomplete meaning so that it should qualify another. According to Teyvaccilaiyār it means suggestive word or words. Since there is no word to be qualified by colleccam, kurippeccam, and icai-y-eccam, since peyar-eñcu-kilavi and vivai-y-eñcu-kilavi will be a repetition of what has been said in the Vivai-y-ival and since the sūtra Avai-y-al kilavi maraittanar kilattal (Col. 442) and the following ones do not naturally follow the sūtra Collep-eccam ... (Col. 441), the opinion of Teyvaccilaiyār seems to be sound. Since there is difference of opinion in the meaning of eñcu-poruţ-kilavi, it naturally follows that there will be difference of opinion in the interpretation of the following sūtras among them.

# 431. அவற்றுள்

பிரிக்க பைச்சம் பிரிகில முழுபின.

Avarrul

Piri-nilai y-eccam piri-nilai mutipina.

Pirinilai-y-eccam completes its idea with the delimiting expression.

Ex.—The sentence ivan kalvi-utaiyan (this man is learned) has its idea completed by the word i-v-v-avaiy.itlārul (among those in this assembly).

Note 1.—The meaning given above is suggested by Teyrac-cilayar's commentary. The other three commentators take  $\bar{e}$  and  $\bar{o}$  in  $t\bar{a}v\bar{e}$  kontān (he himself took it),  $t\bar{a}v\bar{o}$  kontān (did he himself take it), as pirinilai-y-eccam and state that their idea is completed by the expressions pirar kontilar, pirar kontilarā respectively. But Ilampūranar says that  $\bar{e}$  qualifies avau, and Naccinārkkiniyar says that it qualifies  $k\bar{o}vt\bar{a}v$ . Since  $\bar{e}$  and  $\bar{o}$  have been said in Ilai-y-iyal, it is not necessary that they should be repeated here. I differ from Teyraccilaiyār in one point:—he says the expression i-v-v-avaiyattāruļ as piri-nilai, while I take ivau kalvi-y-uṭaiyan as such.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyar's interpretation of the ten kinds of eccam mostly agrees with the commentary on the work by Avinayaṇār by Ināca-p-pavitira-pallavataraiyaṇ. This is seen from Mayilainātar's commentary on Naṇṇūl.

432. விசோடுயஞ்ச கினவிக்கு விசோயுங் குறிப்பும் கிசுனயத் தோகுறிய முடிபோ கும்மே ஆவயிற் குறிப்பே யாக்கமொடு வெருமே.

> Vinal-y-eñcu kilavikku vinaiyun kurippum Ninaiya-t tōnriya muṭipā kum-m-ē Ā-vayir kurippē y-ākkamoṭu varum-ē.

Vinai-y-eñcu-kilavi completes its idea with a teri-nilai-vinai or kurippu-vinai. If it is the latter, it is the verb derived from the root ā or āku.

Ex.—Manattu-k-kan mācilan ātal (Kuraļ. 35)

(One should become pure at heart). Here the idea is completed by the verb ventum.

## TOLKÄPPIYAM--COLLATIKÄRAM

Atu ponrunkal ponra-t tunai (Kural 36)

(It is a sure associate when one dies). Here the idea is completed by the kurippuvivai ākum.

Note I.—Itampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take participles like reytu, ceya as vinai-y-eñcu-kiļavi. They have already been dealt with in Vinai-y-iyal. But one may think that the vinai-y-eñcu-kiļavi is said to modify a verb only here. It is clear from the name itself. Hence it is better to take the sentences like those mentioned above to be vinai-y-eñcu-kiļavi, though Teyraccilaiyar takes the verbs which complete the idea to be so. But, at the same time, I am at a loss to know why the term vinai-y-eñcu-kilavi is used to denote two different things, though they have the similarity that they modify a verb.

433. பெயரெஞ்சு கிளவி பெயரொடு முடிமே.

Peyar-encu kilavi peyaroţu muţim-ē.

Peyar-encu-kilavi completes its idea with a noun.

Ex.—Piravi-p-perunkatal nintuvar. (Kural 10)

([Those that meditate upon God] cross the ocean of samsāra.)

Here the idea is completed by the noun iraivan-ați-cerntar.

Note 1.—I lumpūraņar, Cēṇāvāraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take words like ceyyum and ceyta to be peyar-eñcu-kiļavi. Teyvac-cilaiyār takes the noun that completes the idea as peyar-eñcu-kiļavi.

Note 2.—The verb mutiyum is contracted to mutim in this sūtra. This clearly shows that the reading peyar-eñou-kilavikkum adopted by Teyvaccilaiyār in the sūtra 238 is correct.

434. ஒழியிசை யெச்ச மொழியிசை முடிபின.

Oli-y-icai y-eeca m-oli-y-icai mutipina.

Oli-y-icai-y-eccam completes its idea with what has been left out.

Ex.—Ivan kalviyār kuraivilan.

(He does not lag behind in education.)

This suggests that he lags behind in others—say character. Hence its idea is completed by ivan olukkattār kuraivutaivan.

Note.—Ilampūranar,  $C\bar{e}\mu\bar{a}varaiyar$  and Naccinūrkkiniyar take the itai-c-rol—man, til and  $\bar{v}$  as oli-y-icai-y-eccam.

435. ஏதிர்மறை மெச்ச மெதிர்மறை முடிபீன. Etir-majai y-ecca m-etir-majai muṭipiṇa.

- or mager y cook in our mager mapping

Etir-marai-y-eccam completes its idea with an expression that is antithetic to it.

Ex.—Immai-p pirappir piriyala m-eŋrēṇā-k Kaṇṇirai nīr-kon ṭaṇaļ. (Kuraļ. 1315)

(She had her eyes flooded with tears that she would not be separated from her lover in this birth.)

This idea is completed by the statement 'that she will be separated from him next birth.'

Note.—Ilampūranar, Cēnāvaraiyar and Naccnārkkinivar take the itai-c-col ē, ō and um as etir-marai-y-ercam. It seems that, in the Ilampūranar's commentary the sentence etir-marai-y-eccam enpana irantu ōkāra-v-etir-maraiyum ummai-y-etir-maraiyum should have been etir-marai-eccam enpana mūnru, ēkāra-v-etir-maraiyum, ōkāra-v-etir-maraiyum, ummai-y-etirmaraiyum. This is clear from the examples found there.

436. உம்மை பெச்ச மிருவீற் துணுக் தன்வினே *யொன்றிய முடியா கும்மே*.

> Ummai y-ecca m-iru-vîr r-ānun Tan-vinai y-onriya muțip-ā kum-m-ē.

Ummai-y-eccam completes its idea, in both the cases, with the verb similar to the verb mentioned.

# TOLKÄPPIYAM-COLLATIKÄRAM

Ex.-Cāttanum vantān.

(Cattan too came). This suggests that

Korranum vantān

(Korran too came)

Here instead of Cattanum vantan, one may use Cattan vantan.

Note 1.—The two cases are; one used with um and the other without um.

Note 2.—In the examples referred to above, Cāttarum vantār and cāttar vantār may be taken as ummai-y-eccım.

Note 3.—Ilampūraņar and Naccinārkkiniyar take um as ummai-y-eccam and Cēṇāvaraiyar takes the word followed by um as ummai-y-eccam. Teyvaccilaiyūr, takes ummai-y-eccam in the sense ummai-y-ākiya eccam. Besides, Ilampūraṇar and Teyvaccilaiyūr have taken ummai-y-eccam and ākum as subject and predicate, while Cēṇāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take taṇviṇai as the subject of ākum.

437. தன்மேற் செஞ்சொல் வருஉங் காஃ கிசமுங் காலமொடு வாராக் காலமும் இறக்க காலமொடு வர்ராக் காலமும் மயங்குதல் வரையார் முறைநீஃல யான.

> Tan-mēr ceñcol varuun kālai Nikaļun kālamotu vārā-k kālam-um Iranta kālamotu vārā-k kālam-um Mayankutal varaiyār murai nilai y-ān-a.

If a word not being followed by um is used in a suggestive sentence with a verb in the present or the post tense, they do not object to the verb to be in the future in the sentence suggested.

If, for instance, one uses the sentence Cāttan varum or Cāttan vantān with a peculiar intenation on the word Cāttan, it may suggest Korranum varuvān.

Note—Ilampūranar states that the word murai-nilaiyāna in the sūtra suggests the use of the past and the present tenses and the future and the past tenses in the suggestive sentence and the suggested sentence. Cēṇāvaraiyar states that the word vuraiyār in the sūtra suggests the use of the past and the present tenses and the present and the past tenses in them. Naccinārkkiniyar states that murai-nilai suggests the rare use of the present and the past tenses in them.

438 எனவெ னெச்சம் விணயொடு முடிமே. Ena-v-e n-eccam vinaiyotu mutim-ē.

The sentence where the particle epa is dropped completes its idea even with a verb.

Note 1.—The word vinaiyofum suggests that it is rare that the idea is completed by a verb and it is frequent that it is completed by a noun. The example for the latter is ....kurippē y-icai-y-ē ā-y-īr-aintum (Col. 430). The example for the former is tattan kurippin eccañ ceppum (Col. 441) where eva has been dropped and which completes its idea by the word molipa.

Note 2.—Ilampūraņar, Cēņāvaraiyar and Naccinārkkiniyar take the iţai-c-col eṇa as eṇa-v-eṇ-eccam. In the examples cited by them (cf. koļļeṇa-k-koṭutlān) there is no suggestion.

439. எஞ்சிய மூன்ற மேல்வர்த முடிக்கும் எஞ்சுபொருட் கிளவி மிலவென மொழிப. Eñciya mūṇru mēl-vantu muṭikkum Bñcu-porut kiļavi y-ila-v-eṇa molipa.

They say that the remaining three do not suggest anything to complete their idea (i e.) There is nothing in themselves to suggest anything. It is the context that makes the sentence suggest other meanings.

Note.—Ilampuranar states that the word munum suggests that two of them do not have the word or words to complete the idea and collectam has the completing expression. This does not seem to be sound.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

440. அவைதாம்

தத்தங் குறிப்பி னெச்சஞ் செப்பும்.

Avai-tām

Tattań kurippi n-eccañ ceppum.

They will suggest through the speaker's method of expression.

Note 1.—Ilampūranar and Naccinārkkiniyar state that this sūtra deals with the definition of kurippeccam and icaiyeccam. But their examples differ. Ilampūranar gives vin enavicaittatu and ol ena olittatu as examples; while Naccinārkkiniyar gives, kar karikka nankaṭṭān and vayiru moṭu-moṭuttatu. Cēṇāvaraiyar thinks that this sūtra defines kuri-p-peccam, icai-y-eccam and colleccam in general and the following sūtra deals with colleccam in particular. The example that he gives for kurippeccam is Ilaitāka munmaran kolka (Kuraļ 879) which suggests "kill your enemies when they are not strong" and that for icai-y-eccam is

Akara mutala v-eluttellä m-äti

Pakavan mutarrē y-ulaku. (Kural 1)

where atu-pôla has to be taken to connect the two sentences. Teyvaecilaiyār takes this sūtra specifying the peculiarity existing in the remaining three eccams.

Note 2.—There are two defects in the interpretation of Ilampūranar, Cēṇāvaraiyar and Nacciṇārkkiniyar:—(1) The order followed in the sūtra 430 is col, kurippù and icai and hence the author will not be justified in dealing with colleccam at the end. (2) There will be no appropriate reason for the sūtra 442 to follow the sūtra 441. Hence I think Teyvaccilaiyār is correct in taking the sūtra 441 dealing with colleccam, the sūtra 442 to 448 dealing with kurippeccam and the sūtra 449 with icai-y-eecam.

441. சொல்லில கொச்ச முன்னும் பின்னுஞ் சொல்லன வல்ல தெஞ்சுத வின்றே.

> Col-l-e ŋ-ecca muṇṇum piṇṇuñ Col-l-aļa v-alla t-eñcuta l-iṇ**r**ē.

Colleccam is that which does not depend upon any word to be filled up to complete the idea either before it or after it.

Ex.—Netum-puṇalul vellu mutalai y-aṭum-puṇaliṇ Niṅki ṇ-ataṇai-p pira (Kural 495)

(The crocodile that can kill (an elephant) in deep water is killed by another when it is out of it).

This suggests that "even strong persons cannot prove strong if they are not in their element."

Note I.—Ilampūranar gives as example Pacitien, palañ-coru tā ena ninrān (he stood after saying, "I am hungry and so give me cold rice"). Here according to him the word colli is suggested by ena after it. Cēnāvaraiyar gives uyartinai enmanār (Col. I) as the example. He says that āciriyar is understood after enmanār. Naccinārhkiniyar takes this sūtra to mean that the eccam en takes the verb col with it and does not take any other word either before or after it. Since this sūtra does not deal with the eccam en, his mode of interpreting this sūtra is not sound. Teyvaccilaiyār's interpretation is that which has been adopted by me.

442. அவையல் கிளவி மறைத்தனர் கிளத்தல்.

Avai-y-al kilavi maraittanar kilattal.

One should not use obscene words and hence should use such words which can suggest them.

Ex.—Āṇ-muṇ varūu m-īkāra pakāram (Eļut. 333)
(for ā-p-pī)
Kān-mēl nīr-peytu varutum.
(Let us ease ourselves)

Note.—This sūtra, according to Teyvaccilaiyar, deals with collectam which is quite appropriate. If we carefully examine the meaning given by the other three, it may be evident that the purpose served by this sūtra, is more or less served by the sūtra.

Takutiyum valakkum talīiyina v-olukum Pakuti-k kilavi varai-nilai y-ila-v-ē. (Col. 17)

443. மறைக்குங் காவே மரிஇய தொசாஅல். Mazaikkuń kālai marīiya t-orâal.

# TOLKAPPIYAM—COLLATIKARAM

One does not avoid while using alternative expressions such expressions that have come to use.

Ex.—Āppi. (Cowdung).

444. ஈதா கொடுவெனக் கிளக்கு மூன்றம் இரவின் கிளவி யாகிட ஹடையை.

> Ī-tā kotu-v-eņa-k kiļakku mūņrum Iraviņ kiļavī y-ākita ņ-utaiya.

The three verbs  $\, \bar{\imath}_{}, \,\, t\bar{a} \,\,$  and  $\, ko! u \,\,$  are used  $\,$  when one  $\,$  begs of another.

Note.— $Teyvaccilaiy\bar{a}r$  reads this  $s\bar{u}tra$  and the following three  $s\bar{u}tras$  into one.

445. அவற்றாள் ஈடியன் கௌவி யிழிக்தோன் கூற்டீறே.

> Avarruļ Ī-y-en kiļavi p-ilinton kūrrē.

Of them the root  $\,i$  is used when the recipient is inferior in status to the giver.

Ex.—Nelli-t-tīnkani.....

Cāta-nīnka v-emakkīt tanaiy-ē.

(You gave me, to avoid death, the sweet nelli fruit).

446. தாவென் கௌலி யொப்போன் கூற்கே. Tā.v-eṇ kiļavi y-oppōṇ kūrrē.

Of them the root  $t\bar{u}$  is used when both the recipient and the giver are of the same status.

Note.—Avarrul should be taken here from the previous sūtra.

447. கொடுவென் கிளவி யுயர்ர்தோன் கூற்றே.

Koţu-v-en kilavi y-uyarnton kūrrē.

Of them the root kotu is used when the recipient is of superior status.

Ex.—Peruñcōrru miku-patam varaiyātu koṭuttōy (Pura. 2) (Oh king, who gave large quantities of food unflinehingly)

Note.—Avarrul should be taken here from the sutra 445.

448. சொடுவென் கிளவி படர்க்கை யாயினுர் தன்கோப் பிறன்போற் கூறுங் குறிப்பின் தன்னிடத் தியலு மென்மனூர் புலவர்.

> Koţu-v-en kilavi paţarkkai y-āyinun Tannai-p pıran-pōr kūrun kurippin Tanniţat t-iyalu m-enmanar pulavar.

Learned men say that, though the root koțu is used when the recipient is the third person, it may be used even when the recipient is the speaker if he speaks of himself in the third person.

Ex.—Ivarku ouru koţu. (Give one to this man)

Here he refers to himself by the word ivan.

449. பெயர்நிலேக் இளவியி ஞேஅ கு கஷர் இசைநிலேக் கிளவியி ஞேஅ கு கஷம் தொக்கொறி மொழிவமி ஞேஅ கு கஷம் மெய்ர்நிலே மடக்கி ஞெஅகு கஷம் மந்தோப் பொருள்வுகி ஞெஅகு கஷம் அன்றி யினத்துள் கடப்பா டிலவே.

> Peyar-nilai-k kiļavi-y-i n-āa kunavum Ticai-nilai-k kiļavi-y-i n-āa kunavum Tonneri moļi-vayi n-āa kunavum Mey-n-nilai mayakki n-āa kunavum Mantira-p poruļ-vayi n-āa kunavum Anri y-anaittun kaṭappā ṭ-ila-v-ē.

There is no rule governing the use of the following: --a noun of one tinal denoting another: an indigenous word used in other countries in different meanings: certain expression having a

## TOLKĀPPIYAM—COLLATIKĀRAM

long usage from early times: riddles etc.; mantras and similar things (i.e.) They do not convey the literal meaning. Hence they must be taken as icai-y-eccam since they suggest a meaning connected with their literal meaning.

Note 2.—Ilampūraņar reads ciņai-nilai-k-kilavi for ticai-nilai-k-kilavi.

450. செய்யா பென்னு முன்னி?லை வி?னச்சொல் செய்யென் களவி யாகடே துடைத்தே.

> Ceyyā y-ennu munnilai vinai-c-col Cey-y-en kilavi y-ākiṭa n-uṭaittē.

The second person singular verb of the paradigm  $ceyy\bar{a}y$  is used as cey also.

Ex.—Nī kūrù. (Kalit. 64) (You better tell)

Note.—Ilampūraņar interprets this sūtra thus;—The negative verb ceyyay may be used in the sense of positive cey. varaiyar condemns him by saying that, if it were the opinion of Tolkāppiyanār, he would have stated in the sūtra-etir-maraiviņaiccol instead of munnilai viņaicol. Nacciņārkkiņiyar agreeing with Ilampūranar's interpretation condemns Cēnāvaraiyar. states three reasons for condemning him: -(1) The verbs unnay and un have different meanings (2) un is the root and hence it can denote only the action and not the nature of the doer. (3) If un is in the second person singular, the words untan, untal cannot denote third person. The first reason cannot stand since Naccinarkkiniyar has not stated what the difference in meaning is and there are abundant examples in literature where the paradigm cey is used for ceyyay. The second reason is not satisfactory; for, though the root un denotes only an action, yet none can prevent unnay assuming the form un since similar usage is found in other languages like English, Sanskrit etc. The third reason is a little fantastic:-the verbs untan, untal etc. are formed from the root un and not from un the modified form of unnay. Besides the following sūtra will be appropriate only if Cēṇāvaraiyar's interpretation is accepted.

Note 2.—Teyvaccilaiyār gives an alternative meaning that ceyyāy can be used in the imperative second person singular.

451. முன்னிலே முன்ன ரீயு மேயும் அங்கில மாபின் மெய்யூர்க்கு வருமே.

> Munnilai munna r-ī-y-u m-ē-y-um A-n-nilai marapin mey-y-ūrntu varum-ē.

 $ilde{I}$  and  $ilde{e}$  may be suffixed to the second person singular preceded by a suitable consonant.

Ex.—Cenri peruma (Akanā 46). (Oh great king, go) Kāṇiya ceṇmē (Pura. 133) (Go to see)

452. கடிசொல் வில்ஃவக் காலத்துப் படினே. Kaṭi-col l-illai-k kālattu-p paṭiṇ-ē.

One cannot avoid words which become current.

Note 1.—Teyvaccilaryār takes this sūlra to apply only to verbs. The other three take it to apply to all words. The latter opinion seems to be sound.

 $Note\ 2.$ —This tells us that  $Tolk\bar{a}ppiyan\bar{a}r$  was aware of the growth of Language.

453. குறைச்சொற் கிளவி குறைக்கும்வழி யறிதல். Kurai-c-cor kilavi kuraikkum-vali y-arital.

One should understand how certain sounds are elided in words.

Ex.—Kāyam for ākāyam (Elut. 305) (Aphesis)
(Sky)

Al for āral (Paripā. 5, 43) (Syncope)
(The constellation kṛttikā)

Accil for accam (Paripā. 3, 33) (Apocope)
(Fear)

### TOLKÄPPIYAM—COLLATIKÄRAM

454. குறைத்தன வாயினு கிறைப்பெய ரியல. Kuraittana v-āvinu nirai-p-peya r-iyala.

Though they have certain sounds elided, they convey the meaning of the full words.

455. இடைச்சொல் வெல்லாம் வேற்றுமைச் சொல்லே. Itai-c-col l-ellām vērrumai-c col-l-ē.

All itai-c-col are differentiating words

456. உரிச்சொன் மருங்கினு முரியவை யுரிய. Uriceon maruńkinu m-uriyavai y-uriya.

Even among wiccol, there may be some which serve as differentiating words.

Note.—The need for this sūtra is this:—most of uriccols are the stem of nouns and verbs. Some do the function of višēṣaṇa like  $u_Tu$ , since they are not separately used either as nouns or as verbs.

457. விசோயெஞ்ச கொலியும் வேறுபல் குறிய. Viṇai-y-encu kiļaviyum vēru-pal kuriya.

Vivai-y-eñcu-hilavi too are of different nature not mentioned before.

Note.—The need for this  $s\bar{u}tra$  is to justify the use of viyai-y-eccam in ways other than mentioned before.

458. உரையடத் தியலு முடனிலே யறிதல். Urai-y-iṭat t-iyalu m-uṭaṇilai y-aɪital.

One should understand the nature of vinai-y-căcu-kiļavi from the context.

Note 1.—Vinai-y-encu-kilavi should be taken here from the previous sūtra. This sūtra should be taken as a supplement to the previous sūtra.

#### ECCAVIYAL

Note 2.—Ilampūraņar and Cēṇāvaraiyar interpret this sūtra thus:—one should understand which words should be used together in composition. Since this sūtra follows the previous sūtra, the meaning given above which is the same as those given by Naccinārkkiniyar and Teyvaccilaiyar seems to be sound.

459. முன்னத்தி ஹாணருங் கொவியு முளவே இன்ன வென்னுஞ் *சொன்முறை யான*. Munnatti n-unarun kilavi-y-u m-ula-v-ē Inna v-ennun con-murai y-āna.

In the order of words which describe a thing, there are certain words which suggest some meaning.

Note.—Naccinārkhiniyar interprets this sūtra thus:—There are certain appellative verbs which give the meaning of terinilai-vinai.

460. ஒருபொரு ளிருசொற் பிரிவில வரையார். Oru-poru ļ-iru-cor pirivila varaiyār.

They do not object to the use of redundant expressions made of synonymous words.

Ex.--Nivantōńku perumalai.
(High and big mountain)

Here nivantû and önkû both mean high.

461. ஒருமை சுட்டிய பெயர்கிலேச் கினவி பன்மைச் சாகு மிடனுமா ருண்டே, Orumai cuṭṭiya peyar-nilai-k kiļavi Paṇmai-k k-āku m-iṭaṇum-ā r-uṇṭē.

A noun in the singular number may denote more than one object.

Ex.—Ilaiyar-täy-vayiru karippa (Akanā. 66)

(Lit. to make the stomach of the younger stepmothers burn)

Here tāy denotes tāyar.

### TOLKAPPIYAM-COLLATIKARAM

462. முன்னி?வ சட்டிய வொருமைக் கினவி பன்மையொடு முடியினும் வரைகி?வ யின்றே ஆற்றுப்படை மருங்கிற் போற்றல் வேண்டும். Munnilai cuttiya v-orumai-k kilavi Panmaiyotu mutiyinum varai-nilai y-inrē Ārruppaṭai marunkir pōrral vēnṭum.

In  $\tilde{a}_{TTU}ppalai$  a singular noun may take a verb in the plural. It should be passed over.

Ex.—... talaiva....patamika-p perukuvir
(Malaipatu. 50 and 157)
(Oh head, you will get plenty of food.)

Here talaiva suggests the subject ni. The predicate is perukuvir which is plural.

463. செய்யுண் மருங்கினும் வழக்கியன் மருங்கினும் மெய்பெறக் கிளக்க கிளலி பெல்லாம் பல்வேறு செய்டியி னூகெறி பிழையாது சொல்வரைக் தறியப் பிரித்தனர் காட்டல், Ceyyun marunkinum valakkiyan marunkinum Mey-pera-k kilanta kilavi y-elläm Pal-vēru ceytiyi nüneri pilaiyātu Col-varain t-ariya-p piritanar kāttal.

One should clearly show the peculiar use of all words in different meanings at different places from the literature and ordinary usage.

Note.—The purpose of this satra is to show that no grammar can exhaust the nature of words and their meanings and it is only from literature and the local usage that one can clearly judge the form and the meaning of words.



# INDEX OF THE WORDS IN THE COLLATIKARAM \*

#### Number refers to sūtra

#### A

A-a-va 9 Akattitum 357 Akam 82 Akaram 131 Akala-p-poruttů 364 Akalam 376 Acca-k-kilavikku 98 Acca-p-porula 365 Accam 78, 254, 383 A-c-cirapputaimarapin 427 Acaikkum 425 Acai-c-col 153 Acai-nilai 262, 272, 411 Acai-nilai-k-kilavi 250 267, 271, Atanotu-mayankal 74 279 Añealin 72 Ati-nilai 407 Ați-mari 404, 407 Atimariyāna 408 Atimai 56 Atukkiya 102 Atukkuna 233, 429 Atuttu 251 Atai 26 Anmai-c-col 127 Anmai-c-collirků 131

A-t-tinai 210, 219

A-t-tinaiya 166, 170 Atarkutampatutalin 76

A 109, 216

Atarku p-patu-porulin 76 Atarku-yapputaimaiyin 76

Atarku-vinai-y-utaimaiyin 76 Atarporuțțătalin 76 Atarraku-kilavi 74 Atan 47, 259, 416 Atanan 110 Atanir-kōtal 74 Atanin-atal 74 Atanin-ivaral 71 Atanai 110 Atanotiyainta-v-oppal-oppurai 74

Atanoțiyainta-vēru-vinai-kkilavi 74 Atanotiyainta-u-oru-vinai-kkilavi 74

Atan-or-arru 134,140 Atan-pala 72, 74, 76, 78, 82 Atan-vinai-p-patutal 74 Atirvu 316

Atu 47, 64, 79, 87, 99, 167, 391 Atu-c-col 213 Atu-v-āku-kilaviyin 76

Antam 4 Antil 267 Antīrrō 282 A-n-nāl-aintů 208 A-n-nār-kiļaviyotu 202 A-n-nār-col 28, 403 A-n-nānku 128, 176, 177, 178, 203, 419

A-n-nilai-marapin 451 A-p-panpina 115, 250

In the order of Tamil alphabet.

A-p-panpu 242 A-p-putinaintum 162, 167 A-p-pāl 9, 120, 168, 213, 216, 220, 254, 255, 262 A-p-pālāna 67 A-p-poruț-kilavi 76 A-p-poruțtů 278 A-p-porul 15, 16, 35, 36, 97 Am 202 Amma 153, 276 A-m-mu-k-kālam 200, 427 A-m-mu-k-kiļaviyum 231 A-m-mutar-porula 72 A-m-muppār-col 2 A-m-murai 143, 222 A-m-murai-p-peyarotů 153 A-m-mū-v-iţattān 427 A-m-mū-v-iţattum 28 A-m-mū-v-iraņţum 218 A-m-mű-v-irrum 211 A-m-mū-v-urupina 160, 201

A-m-moli-nilaiyat-anmolinilaiyal 419

Amartal 380 Amainta 406 Ayal 82, 145 Ar 138, 206 Aracu 56 Arava-p-porula 349 Ari 356 Arō 279 Al 203 Alankațai 61, 70, 197 Alamaral 310 Alla 18, 27, 174, 220 Allatil 35 Allatú 44, 172, 187, 441 Alla 35, 105 Av 67, 119

A-m-münru 252, 253

Avan 251 Avar 162 Avar-al-a 1 Aval 162 Avarriyalpina 165 Avarriyalpu 143 Avarrin 290 Avarrů 229, 293 Avarru-vali 159 Avarravarru 422 Avarrul 29, 32, 53, 65, 121, 130, 160, 175, 189, 204, 209, 223, 226, 230, 238, 275, 321, 348, 350, 359, 398, 405, 413, 431, 445 Avarroțů 56, 116, 174, 212, 235, 390 Avarroranna 163, 168 Avan 162 Avai 64, 113, 115, 120, 154, 163, 167, 176, 202, 250, 251, 295, 299, 404, 410, 411, 419, 429, 440 Aval-y-al-kilavi 442 A-v-vakai 228 Av-v-a-c-collirkú 295 A-v-vayin 227 A-v-va<u>l</u>i 162 A-v-v-aru-kilavi 258, 417 A-v-v-aru-poruțkum 234 A-v-v-ārù 412, 427 A-v-v-itan 238 A-v-v-iyal 68, 204, 428 A-v-v-iyarpeyar 169 A-v-v-iranțan-marunkin 93

Alapu 152 Alapetai 125, 281 Alapetai-p-peyar 135, 141, 149 Alapetai-y-iyala 135, 141, 149 Alavin 72, 416 Alavin-peyar 417 Alavu 78, 116 Arital 195, 238, 271, 453, 458 Aritarků 119 Arinta 32, 33 Arinticinor 158 Alintu 406 Ari-porul-vayin 23 Ariya 10, 463 Ariya-p-porul-vayin 31 Arivāmai 342 Ariyum 4, 110, 396 Arivanta 162, 166, 167, 170, 208 Arivin-riripu 368 Aruttalin 72 A : rinai 1, 56, 221 A : rinai-k-kilavi 43 A .: rinaikků 160, 201

A∴rinai-marunkin 49. 109, 151, 170 A : rinai mutipina 51 A.: rinaiya 3, 218 A∴riṇai-y-iyarpeyar 171 A .: rinai-viravupeyar 150 An 130, 205 Anaittu 397, 425, 426, 449 Anaittum 66, 137, 165 Anaimutarrů 73 Anaiya 114 Anaivakai 81 Anmai-k-kilavi 25 Anmaiyin 214 Anmoli-t-tokai 412, 418 Anri 66, 137, 165, 425, 426, 449. Anricrē 282 Anr d 220 Anna 56, 57, 72, 74, 78, 79, 80, 82, 101, 110, 111, 112, 154, 166, 170, 173, 214, 215, 221, 229, 282, 396, 416

# Ā

Anna-v-iyala 164

Anna-v-iyarrů 34

Annirro 282

Annavai 174, 251, 422

Ā 130, 195, 211, 216, 289 Āka 83, 112, 280 Ākal 280, 286, 378, 384 Āki 154, 222, 250, 399 Ākiṭaṇ 12, 444, 450 Ākiya 27, 40, 41, 67, 151, 163, 167, 168, 220, 289, 290, 410 Ākuna 250, 449 Ākupeyar-k-kiļavi 114 Ākum 8, 16, 37, 69, 93, 97, 100, 121, 125, 127, 130, 131-

A .: rinai-p-pirippin 24

A∴riṇai-p-peyar 167

133, 145 146, 156, 175, 186, 195, 211, 217, 226, 242, 243, 267, 272, 278, 285, 292, 300, 301, 304, 307, 309, 318, 322, 323, 329, 332, 336, 337, 339, 340, 343, 344, 346, 348, 350, 352, 359, 362, 366, 367, 377, 383, 385, 386, 388, 401, 422-424, 426, 427, 432, 436, 461 Ākuvatū 71, 73, 75, 77, 79, 81 Āku-vaļi 271

Akka-k-kilavi 22 Akkam 21, 78, 110, 187, 252, 255Ākkamoṭu 20, 92, 432 Ākkalin 72 Anka 267, 276 Ānku 174 Āṭiyarpeyar 165 Āṭū 163 Āṭūu·v-ari-col 2, 5 Āņmai 4, 12, 56, 178, 181 Anmai-c-cinai-p-peyar 177 Anmai-murai-p-peyar 179 Anmai-y-atutta-makan 163 Ánmai-y-aricorkú 12 Anmai-y-iyarpeyar 176 Atal 32 Am 202, 306, 381 Ā-mu**r**ai 365 Åy 121, 133, 146, 212, 223 Ā-y∙iṭan 195 A·y-iyal 90, 281 Ā-y-iraṇṭù 158, 179, 267, 272, 310, 319, 360 A-y-irukālam 247 Ä-y-iru-kiļaviyum 29, 31, 289 Ā-y-iru-tiņaikķū 160 A-y-iru-tinaiyin 1 A-y-iruparcol 3

Ä-y-irupeyarum 163 A-y-iruvakaiya 52 A-y-īr-iţatta 29 Ā-y-īr-iţattoţû 226  $\bar{A}$ -y-īr-aintum 383, 430 Ā-y-īr-aintoṭu 57 Ayin 139, 145, 284 Āyinum 35, 37, 56, 75, 237, 295, 297, 448, 454 Ā-y-eṭṭù 112 Ā-y-en-kilaviyum 202, 222 Ā-y-ē<u>l</u> 279 Ayta-p-peyar 167 Aytal 330 Ār 67, 86, 138, 195, 206, 292, 461 Ārai-k-kiļavi 270 Ā-vayin 57, 162, 167, 274, 280, 290, 330, 432 Ā·v-aru-mūnrum 56 Ä-v-oţú 126 Äl 205 Āraupāla 80 Āranmaruńkin 100 Ārarkū 97 Ā**r**ū 79, 274 Arruppatai 462

#### 1

I 120, 121, 223 Ika 274 Ikara-v-iru-peyar 125 Ikum 274, 275 Icai 258, 309, 430 Icaikkum 4, 10, 83, 152, 281, 286, 398 Icaikkumaņa I

Icaittal 58, 59, 395 Icai-nīrai 272, 411 Icai-nīrai-k-kiļavi 250 Icai-p-paṭu-poruļ 423 Icaippù 309 Icai-p-poruṭ-kiļavi 358 Icaiya 261 Icaiyā 48, 57

Ān 96, 108, 132, 133, 205, 232

Icaiyan 281 Iyanra 396 Icaiyın 297 Iyanratu-molital 114 Itattāna 59 yaintana 402 Itattotů 225, 275 Iyaipu 308 Itattů 229 Iyaiya 409 Itam 82 Iyaiyum 232 Itan 292, 461 Ir 224 Itumpai 353 Irakkum 350 Itai 82, 103, 249 Irankal 358, 359 Itai-c-col 455 Irațțin 48 Itai-c-cor-kilavi 159 Irattai-k-kilavi 48 Itai-nilai 237 Irantan-marunkin 93 Itaai-y-ittu 288 Irantin 144 Itanatů 79, 110 Irantu 30, 71, 94, 98, 143, 175, Itanin-irritu 77 262 Itu 79, 110, 112, 167, 243, 416 Irantotum 112 I-p-pārku 182 Iravin-kilavi 444 . Iyala 295 Iru-tinai 10, 161 Iyalā 290 Iru-tinai-c-corkum 172, 222 Iru-pār-kiļavikku 219 Ivalum 26, 38, 54, 56, 61, 83, 143, 249, 260, 288, 355, 415, Iru-parkum 191 418, 448, 458 Iru-părru 157, 399 lru-peyar 417 Iyampal 358 Iru-peyar-ottů 114 Iyal 232 Iru-münrü 256 Iyalpān 422 Iru-moli-mēlum-orun-kuṭa-Iyalpina 229 nilaiyal 419 Iyalpů 58, 249, 293, 313, 395, Iru-vayin 243 426 Iyarkai 127, 132, 145, 194, 240, Iru-vayinilai 101 Iruvīrrān 436 245, 416 Iyarkaiya 69, 118, 125, 272 Iruvirrum 24 Iyarkaittů 267 Il 109 Ila 4, 42, 61, 94, 96, 98, 101, 111, Iyarkai-p-porulai 19 137, 172, 188, 215, 220, 221, Iyarkaiyin 40, 80 249, 283, 287, 439, 449, 460 Iyarcol 397, 398 Iyarpeyar 174, 175, 270 Ilakkana-marunkin 27 Iyarpeyar-k-kilavi 38, 41, 196 Ilakkam 269 Iyarpeyar-nilai 176 Ilampățů 360 Iyarpeyar-valiya 38 Illa 168 Illā 18

Iyarral 406

Illai 187, 392, 452 Ilvali 242 Iv 4, 119 Ivan 58, 395 Ivar 162, 165 Ival 162 Ivan 162 Ivai 167, 193, 349 I-v-v-irantú 427 I.v.v-aintů 257 Ilavin 72 Ilittal 78 Ilintōn 445 Ilaiyin 72 Ilamai 56, 78 Ilamai-p-porula 311 Iranta-kälattu 240 Iranta-kālam 239 Iranta-kālamotu 437 Iranta-poruttů 263 Irantana 152 Irappin 200, 245, 427 Irappů 247 Iru-cir 408

I 121, 444, 451 Inku 74 I-y-en-kilavi 445 Ir 224 Ir-aļi 406 Ir-aļapu 281 Iru-tirital 251 Irotu 138 Iru 69, 289 Jruti 8, 9, 103, 108, 120, 126, 130, 132, 133, 146, 150, 151, 154, 216, 286, 290 Irutiyāna 105 Iruti-p-pevar 144 Iruti-y-il-uyir 281 Iraicci-p-porul-vayin 196 Irrů 19, 110 In 64, 77 Inam 60, 264 Inaccuttů 18 Inattin 53 Inaittu 33, 168, 396 Inmai 78, 154 Inmai-ceppal 222 Inmaiyin 214, 396 Inri 281, 290 Inriyum 22 Inru 12, 15, 17, 22, 58, 165, 220, 324, 391, 395, 441, 462 Inna 193, 422, 459 Innatarků 112 Innatú 416 Innal 302 lnnāmai 302 In-n-an 74

#### Ī

Irra 64 Irracai 257 Irrati 408 Irrayal 144 Irru 10, 83, 286, 418 Irru-micai 238 Irru-micai 238 Irra-miai 375

#### U & Ü

U 120, 122	
Ukappů 305	
Ukaram 123, 238	
Ucāvu 370	
Uţampŭ 57	
Utanilai 283, 458	
Utanmoli-p-porula 188	
Utaittu 84, 220, 239, 244, 450	
Utaippeyar 165	
Utaimai 78, 213	
Utaimaiyin 80	
Utaiya 151, 169, 171, 200, 220,	
275, 294, 444,	
Utku 300	
Unartal 193, 396	
Unarum 56, 459	Ur
Uņarumēr 95	
Uņarecivāyil 393	$\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{D}}$
Unartta 392	$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{n}$
Uņarttal 389	Un
Uņarttarku 373	Un
Uņarttutarkū 244	Uy
Uṇarntaṇar 117, 296	$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{y}$
Uņarnticiņēr 116	Uy
Uņarvēr 393	Uy
Uņţū 292, 461	4
Uņmaiyin 214	Uy.
Utu 167	Uy
Untů 292	Uy
Um 4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17,	Uy.
24-32, 34, 38, 41, 47, 49-51,	Uya
53, 56, 57, 59-61, 66-68, 72,	Uya
74, 76, 78–80, 82–86, 89, 90,	Uya
92–94, 96–98, 100, 101, 103– 105, 107, 109, 110–112, 114–	Uya
116, 121, 126, 134, 137–140,	Uye
143, 146, 148, 151, 152, 154-	Uya
157, 159–164, 166–168, 170–	Uya
201, 100-102, 100 200, 210	

174, 180–183, 191, 195, 200– 203, 205-208, 210, 212-215, 218-225, 227-236, 238, 240, 241, 243-251, 258, 259, 261, 272, 274, 275, 278-283, 285-287, 289-295, 297, 299, 301, 310, 311, 314-323, 329, 330, 337-341, 344, 347-350, 352, 353, 358-361, 365, 372, 373, 376-378, 382-384, 389, 390, 396, 399, 400, 403, 405, 408-410, 416-420, 422, 425-429, 432, 436, 437, 439, 441, 444, 449, 451, 456, 457, 459, 461, 463 mmai 33, 287, 289, 291, 418, 430 nmai-c-col 255 nmai-t-tokai 412, 417, 421 nmai-y-eccam 436 nmotừ 202 zańkal 369 varcor-kilavi 27 vartal 305 vartinai 1, 4, 56, 196, 215, 421 artinaiya 2, 208 artinaikkū 160, 201 artinai-t-tokai-vayin 99 artinai-p-peyar 162 artinai-marankin 49, 120, 124, 166, 187 artinai-mēna 57 artinaı-v-orumai 173 arntõn 447 arpin 91 arpů 300 āvu 369

Uyir 57, 151 Uriccol 389, 396, 456 Uriccor-kilavi 159, 297 Urittù 24, 25, 32, 39, 60, 173, 184, 185, 189, 190, 191, 210, 235, 285, 286, 378, 384 Urittum 97 Urimai 84, 201, 244, 297 Urimaiya 222, 234 Urimaiyin 172 Uriya 28, 59, 67, 83, 126, 161, 225, 251, 373, 456 Uriyavai 161, 456 Uru 330, 373 Urupin 106 Urupů 24, 69, 99, 102, 104. 105, Urappů 347 111, 250, 291 Uruvu 365 Uraikkum 114, 202, 203, 209 Urai-y-acai 277 Urai-y-itattu 458 Ulakam 57 Uvakai 305 Uvattalin 72 Uvappů 305 Uvama-t-tokai 412, 414

Uvar 162 Uval 162 Uvan 162 Uvai 167 Ulai 82 Ul 82 Uļa 67, 116, 126, 152, 195, 209, 220, 281, 459 Ulappața 7, 56, 168, 234 Ul-porul 49 Ulla 168 Ullatů 314 Ullatan 330 Ullal 340 Ura 208, 244, 396, 426 Ural-tuņai-p-poruļ 16 Uru 299 Urutta 187 Uruppin kilavi 56 Urainilattāna 100 Urra 23 Unnatú 233 Ūrtiyin 72 Ūrnta 8, 203, 217

# $\mathbf{E}$

Ùrntů 451

E 154, 410 Ecca-k-kilavi 285 Eecattu 239 Eccam 98, 232, 255, 440 Eccamotů 237 Eccavummai 283 E-c-col 237, 297 Eñcapporuțțu 326 Eñerya 144, 225, 439 Eñcutal 441

Uvama-v-iyala 414

Eñcu-poruț-kilavi 284, 430, 439 Ețutta 261 Ețutta-moli 60 Eţţŭ 63, 255 Eņ 255, 257, 258, 287, 288 Eņcīr 406 Eṇṇin 72, 290, 291 Enniyar peyar 417 Enniyar peyarotu 165 Enniyan-marunkin 209

Eņņinuļ 294 Ennin-peyarōtu 417 Ennukkurippevar 168 Ennuńkal 47 Ennutinai-viravu-p-peyar 51 Ennu-nilai 293 Ennu-murai 44 Ennu-vali 289 Ennuvali-marunkin 43 Enmai-p-poruttů 266 Etir 409 Etirmarai 255, 256, 430 Etirmaruttů 107, 236, 244 Etirmarai-y-ummai 283 Etirmarai.y.eccam 435 Etirmarai-mutipina 435 Etir-varin 14 Etirvin 200, 427 Etirvu 247 E-t-tirattān 429 Ep-porul 35, 75 Em 202Emmurai 240 Eytitan 239 Eyyamai 342 Eruttuvayin 408 El 269 Ellä-c-col-l-um 83, 155 Ellä-t-tokaivum 420 Ella p-peyarum 151, 152, 172, 180 - 183Ellam 50, 56, 57, 101, 174, 186, 201, 251, 389, 396, 422, 455, 463 Ellärum 164 Ellā-v-urupum 103 Ellirum 164

Evan 31, 219 E-v-vayin 68, 428

E-v-vali 71 Eluttu 10, 61, 395 Eluttotů 401 Eluvay 65 Erul 388 Errú 263 En 84, 87, 88, 99, 126, 130, 132, 133, 137, 146, 154, 163, 184, 185, 189, 203, 204, 212, 213, 219, 220, 222, 235, 239, 258, 259, 262, 263, 265, 273, 286, 289, 321-323, 326, 364, 368, 371, 375, 383, Ena 4, 9, 19, 24-26, 32, 33, 57, 62, 71, 73-75, 77, 79, 81, 108, 109, 116, 119, 162, 167, 168, 174, 175, 188, 199, 203, 206, 216, 223, 224, 228, 258, 262, 279, 281, 287, 294, 295, 299, 365, 396, 416, 421, 430, 439, 444. Ena-k-kūrutal 422. Ena-p-patupa 118, 158, 249. Ena-p-patuvatů 198. Ena-p-patupavai 160. Ena-p-patta 152. Enal-vēntum 193. Ena-v-en-eccam 438. Eņā 82, 289. Enin 35. Enum 79. Епра 22, 28, 68, 69, 83, 88, 104, 112, 118, 125, 128, 157-159, 176-179, 207, 219, 232, 251-253, 256, 261, 267, 272, 299, 373, 399, 404, 411, 412, 419, 425, 427, Enpatu 280.

Enmanār 1, 38, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 85, 92, 124, 139, 148, 156, 164, 204, 224, 231, 238, 241, 275, 288, 358, 396, 403, 448. Eura 72, 78, 80, 112, 200, 425. 426.Enrú 3, 43, 52, 56, 66, 76, 78, 143, 158, 176-179, 182, 213, 250, 252-255, 259, 267, 289, 349, 397,411, 412, 416, 427.

Enna 72, 166, 170, 214, 229, 416 Ennā-marapina 422 Ennum 28, 31, 64, 110, 112, 120, 128, 153, 162, 163-165, 167, 168, 182, 186, 191, 202, 203, 205, 210, 220, 222, 227, 229, 234, 238, 243, 264, 274, 280, 422, 427, 450, 459 Ennumatu 77

Ē

Ē 1-7, 9-18, 21, 22, 24, 32, 34, 39, 42, 43, 47, 51, 53, 56-67, 70, 71, 73, 75, 77-79, 81, 84, 86, 87, 89-91, 94-96, 98, 99, 101, 102, 107, 108, 110-112, 114-117, 119, 120, 123, 124, 126-128, 130, 133, 135-137, 139-142, 144, 146, 149, 151-155, 157, 158, 160, 162, 165-167, 169-186, 188, 189-191, 193, 195, 197, 199-201, 203, 205, 206, 208-211, 214-216, 218, 219, 221, 225, 229, 233-235, 238, 239, 242-244, 246, 247, 249, 250, 252, 253-258, 261, 263, 264, 266, 268-270. 272, 281, 283, 286, 287, 292, 293, 294, 296, 298, 301-306, 308, 313, 324, 326, 329, 331, 333, 335, 339, 340, 342, 344, 345, 347, 349, 356, 357, 363,

368-370, 375-379, 383, 384, 387, 389, 390, 393, 395, 397, 398, 400, 401, 404, 405-407, 411-414, 416-419, 421, 423, 426, 427, 429, 430, 432, 433, 436, 438, 441, 445-447, 449-452, 455, 459, 461, 462 Ēkāram 139, 151, 257, 288, Ētu 74, 93 Ētu-k-kilavi 92  $\bar{E}$ m 202 Ēyin 290 Ēvotu 122, 136 El 62, 81, 100, 203 Ērkum 192 Errain 337 Ērral 104 Ēn 203 Ēņai 30, 111, 124, 129, 175, 190, 232, 248, 275 Ēnai-y-itatta 30

### AI

Ai 64, 71, 85, 87, 88, 96, 105, Aintan 92 108, 109, 120, 121, 126, 223, 385

Aintú 77 98 Aimpāl 10

Aimpar-kilavikkum 161 Aimpärkkum 225 Aimmai 356

Aiya-k-kilavi 23 Aiyam 32, 255, 268, 354

### 0

Okkum 85, 219 Otu 64, 73, 294 Ottum 70 Ottuvali 406 Otta 389 Oppa 223 Oppil-pöli 278 Oppil-valiyār-pirituporuļ-cuţţal Oru-vinai-y-oţu-c-col 91 115Oppil-valiyān 250 Oppin 72, 168 Oppinān 213 Oppotů 163, 220 Oppön 446 Oraal 443 Orii 401 Oru 42, 297 Oruňků 38 Oru-col 297 Oru-col-l-atukků 411 Oru-connațaiya 102, 420 Oruttikků 180 Oru-peyar-p-potu-c-col 49 Oru-porul-iru-col 460 Oru-poruļ-kurītīa-vēru-col 399 Orumai 166, 170, 171, 178, 183, 208, 215, 218 221, 461 Orumai-k-kilavi 462 Orumeikků 44, 185, 189 Orumai-c-cinai-p-peyar 177 Orumai-y-iyar peyar 176

Orumai-y-ennin 44 Oruvar 182, 191, 205 Ornvarai 27 Oruvarkků 183, 223 Oruvarků 181 Oruvali-y-uruppin 80 Oru-vinai 46 Oliya 49, 264 Ohyicai 256, 430 Olivicai-k-kilavi 252, 253 Olivicai-mutipina 434 Oliyicai-y-eccam 434 Olukal 317 Olukum 17 Oli 367 Orkam 360 Onpatů 168, 228 Onrarků 180, 181, 183, 223 Oprari-kilavi 8 Ourari-col 3 Onranai 27 Onran-patarkkai 217 Onri 54 Opritan 42 Ouriya 180, 181, 183, 436 Onru 37, 70, 182 Ogrum 86

Onruvali 294

Onru-vinai-marunkin 54

#### 0

Ö 120, 121. 195, 211, 261 Ökāram 256 Öppin 72 Ömpaṭai-y-āṇaiyin 396 Ömpaṭai-k-kiļavikku 96 Ōmpal 13

Oytal 330

Ör-alapu 286 Ör-arru 259 Ör-anaiya 92, 224, 231 Ör-anna 160, 172, 182, 201, 222, 234 Öränkü 241

# KA

Ka 202, 203 Katappātů 61, 287, 449 Kati 383 Kati-col 452 Kaținilai 94 Katai 82, 229 Katai-p-pitittu 396 Kan 61, 64, 82, 84, 85, 88, 105, 213Kantir 425 Kantai 426 Kanniya 229, 258, 417 Katalvu 315 Kamam 355 Kampalai 349 Kaya 320, 322 Karippů 384

Karuvi 73, 112, 234, 354 Karuviyin 80 Kalattin 80 Kali 349 Kavavu 357 Kavarvu 362 Kavin 381 Kalinta-poruțțů 359 Kalivu 252, 314 Kalum 351 Kalan 376 Kallotů 169 Karuppů 372 Karpin 72 Kanral 86 Kanralin 72

Karumam-allā-c-cārpu 84

### ΚĀ

Kā 279
Kātal 56, 328
Kātaliņ 72, 76
Kāttal 463
Kāttai 426
Kāppiņ 72
Kāppū 383
Kāraṇa-k-kiļavi 40
Kāraṇamutarrū 21

Kāl 82, 229

Kāla-k-kiļavi 215, 221

Kāla-k-kiļaviyoṭū 207

Kālattān 281

Kālattin 81, 110

Kālattu 415, 452

Kālam 35, 57, 70, 112, 151, 199, 213, 214, 229, 234, 248, 253, 254

Kālamoṭū 198, 201, 250

#### KL& KĪ

Kilattal 19, 39, 49, 240, 246, 284, 297, 298, 442. Kilattal-vēntum 240. Kilanta 150, 208, 295, 38J, 426, 463. Kilanta-v-alla 117, 296, 396. Kilantavarriyalān 117, 296, 396. Kilaviyān 418. Kilantanna 142, 148. Kilantānkū 54, 56. Kılantu 174. Kilappa 119. Kilappın 42. Ku 64, 75, 97, 108, 109. Kukaram 99. Kuți-p-peyar 165. Kuţimai 56. Kunam 416. Kuru 301. Kurai 272. Ku<u>l</u>a 311 Kulavi 56 Kulu 56

Kuluvin 80

Kurittana 155

Kurittanru 264

Kurittu 288

Kuritton 55 Kurippurrönral 157

Kuluvin-peyar 165 Kuritta 42, 154, 165, 242

Kilamaittatu 79

Kilakkuńkālai 245

Kilakkum 168, 196, 144.

Kilamaiyin 80

Kilavār 38, 41, 46, 284. Kilavi 32. 56, 57, 72, 79, 80, 110, 163, 184, 185, 188, 189, 190, 202, 204, 212, 214, 220, 225, 229, 235, 243, 258, 259, 262-265, 273, 289, 321-323, 326, 351, 364, 365, 368, 371, 375, 383, 390, 416, 425, 459, 463. Kilavikku 84.

Kilaviyotu 114, 163, 215, 220,

# KU

Kil 82.

Kilaviyāna 15.

221, 227.

Kilai-nutar-peyar 410.

Kurippin 81, 201, 237, 281, 297, 427, 440, 448 Kurippina 400 Kurippů 214, 258, 430, 432 Kurippurai 422 Kurippotů 200, 213, 214, 215, 220, 221, 240, 282 Kurukkal 403 Kurukkum-vali 403 Kuraikkum-vali 453 Kurai-c-cor-kilavi 453 Kuraittana 454 Ku**r**aittalin 72 Kurriyal-ukaram 123 Kurriyal-ukarattiruti 8, 217 Kunriyal-ukaramõtü 203 Kunrum 113

### ΚŨ

Kūṭā 11, 50 Kūṭi-varu-valakkin 165 Kūṭṭa 396 Kūrppū 314 Kūrmai 383, 387 Kūral 20, 23, 35, 36 Kūriya 69, 80, 92, 390. Kūrirīāyiņum 106. Kūrin 36. Kūrum 27, 61, 114, 133, 448. Kūrram 55. Kūrra 445-447. Kūrru-vayin 286.

# KE, KE

Keta 99. Ketavaral 319. Ketum 238. Kelu 301. Kēţū 350. Kēţţai 426. Kēţpikkum 276.

Kolvatankan 63.

# KO & KŌ

Kotu 444.
Kotu-v-en-kilavi 447, 448.
Kotaiyin 28.
Kotai-y-etir-kilavi 97.
Kontir 425.
Kol 268
Kolal 117, 154, 174, 295, 296, 345, 390.
Kolāal 409.
Kolium 288.
Kolpa 153

Kol-vali 116, 169, 409 Kollā 124, 129, 227 Kollātū 198 Kollum 110, 118, 200, 214, 220, 282 Kollumatū 75 Koluppū 352 Konnai-c-col 254 Kōṭṭam 321

#### CĀ

Cāy 330 Cāyal 325 Cār 82 Cārum 106 Cărtalin 72 Cărtti 297 Cărpin 53

### CI & CI

Citaintana 402 Citaippin 72 Cila 168 Cilaittal 358 Civaṇātāyiṇum 153 Civaṇi 2, 56, 225, 398, 425. Civaṇum 108, 122, 138, 169, 212, 237 Civappů 372
Cirakkum 314
Cirattal 78
Cirappa 245, 247
Cirappi 41, 76, 261
Cirappů 56, 255, 353, 383
Cirappotů 256
Ciritů 336
Cirumai 341
Cin 274, 275
Cinai 26, 89
Cinaikků 87
Cinai-nilai-k-kilavi 61
Cinai-nilai-k-kilavikku 85
Cinai-nilai-p-peyar 165

Cinai-p-peyar 174, 175
Cinai-p-peyar-nilai 177
Cinai-matar-kilavi 220
Cinai-matar-kilavikku 16, 33
Cinai-matar-kilavikku 16, 33
Cinai-mutar-peyar 174, 175, 178
Cinai-muta 88
Cinai-y-ari-kilavi 114
Cinaiyofu 231
Cinai-vinai 231
Cinai-vinai 231
Cinmai 78
Cirtii 312
Cirnilai 407
Cirmai 353

#### CU & CŪ

Cuţţal 25 Cuţţa 196 Cuţţi 36, 242 Cuţţi 192 Cuţţi 4, 61, 120, 178, 180-183, 461, 462 Cuţţu 40 Cuţţu-nilai 264 Cuţţu-p-peyar 37, 40 Cuţţu-p-peyar-k-kiļavi 38 Cuttu-mutal-ākiya-v-an 163
Cuttu-mutal-ākiya-v-ān 163
Cuttu-mutar-peyar 137, 142,
148
Cuṇṇam 404, 406
Cummai 349
Cuvai 78
Cuvaiyin 416
Cularci 310
Culaci 370

### CE & CE

Ceñcol 284, 437 Centamil 400 Cēntamiļ-nilattū 398 Ceppal 60 Ceppin 16 Ceppů 13-15 Ceppum 440 Ceyappatuporul 112 Ceyappatuporulai 246 Ceya 228 Ceyal-vēņtum 243 Ceyarků 228 Ceyarkai-p-porulai 20 Ceyarkaiya 125 Ceyarkaiyin 80 Ceyar-patarkotta 110

Ceyin 228
Ceykû 204
Ceykû 204
Ceyta 222, 234
Ceyta 246
Ceyti 407
Ceytiya 222
Ceytiyin 463
Ceytu 228, 239
Ceytena 228
Ceymana 222
Ceymana 222
Ceyyäy 450
Ceytiya 228
Ceyyiya 228
Ceyyiya 228
Ceyyiya 228

Ceyyum 222, 234, 235, 238, 265, 299, 316, 317, 321, 351, 376
Ceyyul 463
Ceyyul-ārù 18
Ceyyul-iṭṭa-c-col 397
Ceyyulul 39, 51, 108, 195, 196, 211
Ceyyū 228
Cey-v-en-kilavi 450
Ceyvatừ 112, 242
Celavin 28, 72

Cevvitů 68
Cevven 290
Celumai 352
Ceralin 72
Cerarcol 56
Ceriya 31, 40
Cerivu 323, 347
Cenratů 425
Cenru 297
Cëymaiyin 152
Cër 363
Cërtti 193
Cërnta 400

# CO

Col 1, 57, 155, 158, 224, 234, 240, 279, 398, 401, 405, 430, 463 Collajavu 441 Col-l-ārū 27 Colliya 174 Collin 156

Col·l-en-eccam 441 Cor-kurippina 89 Con-murai 233 Con-muraiyana 110, 459 Conmai 156 Connilai 409

# ÑĂ, ÑE & ȚA

Ñāyirù 57 Ñemirtal 361

Celavu 86, 340

Cellal 302

Ta 202, 203, 217

# TA

Ta 154, 202, 203, 217, 410 Takuti 17 Taku-nilai 275 Tañca-k-kilavi 266 Tata 320, 321 Tațumă**r**i 101 Tatumāru tolirpeyarkku 94 Tatumārum 407 Tanmai 78 Tattan-kilavi 429 Tattan-kurippin 250 Tattam 107, 172, 175, 237, **297, 389, 44**0 Tattamul 283 Tattam-porul-vayir-rammotucivaņal 115

Tam 61, 251, 287, 398, 400 Tamakku 4, 249, 396 Tam-marapina 11 Taravin 28 Taru-col 29 Talai 82 Talaimai 49 Talai-y-itta 208 Tava 299 Talīiyina 17 Ta--ra-ța 8 Tanimai 331 Taŋ-pālāŋ 243 Tan-mēl 437 Tanmai 25, 28, 29, 154, 192, 226, 227, 427

Tanmai-k-kilavi 209 Tanmai-c-col 43, 202, 203 Tanmai-tiri-peyar 56 Tan-vinai 203, 436 Tannitattů 260, 448 Tannin 79 Tannul 187 Tannai 448

#### TĀ

Tā 444 Tām 10, 62, 64, 96, 113, 115, 120, 124, 174, 176, 184, 199, 202, 232, 250, 251, 299, 398, 419, 429, 440 Tāvu 344 Tā-v-eṇ-kiļavi 446 Tāṇ 21, 23, 123, 137, 174, 185, 264, 281, 405, 406

#### TI

Tinkal 57
Ticai-c-col 397
Ticai-c-cor-kilavi 400
Ticai-nilai-k-kilavi 449
Ticai-nilai-p-peyar 165
Tinaiyoṭu 197
Tiṇai-viravu 45
Tiraṭoi 363
Tiricol 397
Tiricor-kilavi 399

Tirinta 4, 12 Tirintu 80, 295, 407 Tiripavai 209 Tiripitan 101 Tiripu 172, 222, 392, 408 Tiriyā 90, 107, 236, 293 Tiriyātu 69, 204, 407 Tillai 260 Tillai-c-col 253

#### TI & TU

Tīrtal 78, 318 Tīrtarkū 32 Tīrttal 318 Tīrntu-moļi-k-kiļavi 110 Tuṇittaṇar 406 Tuṇivu 337 Tuṇaiyin 80 Tuya 368 Tuvaṇrù 332 Tuvaittal 368 Tuṇaivu 315

# TE & TE

Teyvam 4
Terital 156
Terittu-moli-kilavi 55
Terittu-moli-c-ceytiyin 80
Terinilai 171, 255
Terinilai-k-kilavi 256
Terinta 32
Terintanar 295
Teripa 95
Teripa 49, 172, 188

Teripů-vēru-nilaiyal 157
Teri-maruńkin 408.
Teriyin 391
Teriyunkālai 160, 191
Teriyumörkků 101
Terumaral 310
Tevu 345
Tevvu 346
Teli-nilai 151
Teliya 118

Teliyumör 153 Telivin 261 Telivu 245 Tellitu 88 Tēvakai 82 Tēra 53 Tērram 257; 265

# то

Toka 97, 104, 418
Tokal 291
Tokā 105
Tokukkum-vali 403
Tokuti 287, 354
Tokuttal 403
Tokuttaliŋ 72
Tokai 67, 96, 290
Tokaii 56, 112, 114, 163, 220, 256.
Tokai-c-col 285
Tokai-nilai-moļi 412
Tokai-vayiŋ 83

Tokka 289, 418
Totarntu 102
Totukkunkālai 403
Tolil 42
Tolilin 50, 133
Tolil-vayināna 194
Tolir-paṭa 246
Tolir-payar 139
Tolinilai 70
Tolin-mutanilai 112
Tonneri 101
Tonneri-marapina 110.
Tonneri-moli-vayin 449

### $T\bar{O}$

Töl 61
Törram 10, 408
Törram 10, 408
Törrin 38, 151,
Törral 173
Törral 173
Törral-arú 110, 160, 200, 201,
225.
Törraläna 196
Törraläna 196
Törri 68, 201, 294, 295, 297,
Törruman 389.

Tōṇriya 432
Tōṇriu 38, 151, 174, 231
Tōṇrium 117, 296, 297, 390.
Tōṇrum 7, 11, 28, 31, 40, 53, 54, 65, 80, 118, 146, 159, 198, 213, 223, 240, 242, 245, 247, 281, 383, 430
Tōṇrumatu 71, 81
Tōṇruman 389.

#### ÑΑ

Na 154, 410
Nacai 329
Naṭukam 316
Naṭai-perrù 26, 249
Naṭpin 76
Nampù 329
Nam-m-ūrntu-varūumikaram 163

Nam-m-ūrntu-varūum-aikāram 163 Naļi 320, 323 Naṇavu 376 Naṇri 299, 396 Naṇrirē 282 Naṇrū 343

#### NĀ

Nāṭi 389 Nāṭṭal 403 Nām 162, 365 Nārram 78 Nāṇkaṇ-urupin 100 Nāṇku 75, 120, 175, 205 254, 330, 404, 423 Nānkum 349

### NI & NI

Nikaluńkālattů 240-242 Nikaluń-kālattu-c-ceyyum 227 Nikaluń-kālamoțů 437 Nikalūuninra-palarvaraikilaviyin 173 Nikalvin 200, 245, 427 Niranirai 404, 405 Nilattin 81 Nilattinān 213 Nilattu-vali-marunkin 196 Nilappeyar 165 Nilavutal 103 Nilan 112, 234 Nilai 65, 69, 180, 181, 183, 297 Nilaii 405 Nilaittu 186, 258, 417 Nilai-pe**r**a 28 Nilaiyal 68, 251, 405 Nilaiyin 80, 293 Nilaiyina 56 Nilaiyinmai 327 Nilaiyum 243, 281, 428 Nillātu 44

Nirattu 373 Niran 301, 307 Niruttalin 72 Nirai 116 Ni**r**aintu 355 Niraippeyar-iyala 454 Niraippeyar-k-kilavi 417 Niraivu 332 Ninaiya 146, 295, 405, 432 Ninaiyal-vēņţum 293 Ninaiyunkālai 54, 172, 198 Ninaivin-poruļa 315 Ninaivu 337 Ninra 144, 222 Ninr-vali 425 Ninränků 58 Ninrů 10, 83, 286, 418 Ninrai 426 Ni 174, 188, 189 Nīṭṭam 144, 153 Nīṭṭal 403 Nīṭṭum-va<u>l</u>i 403 Nīvir 174, 188

# NU, NŬ, NE, NË NO & NŌ

Nu 154, 410 Nuṇaṅkù 374 Nuṇukkam 330 Nuṇmai 374 Nutali 416 Nutaliya 186 Nummiṇ-riripeyar 143 Nuvaluṅkālai 89, 115, 250 Nulaivu 374 Nūṇeri 463 Neṭibù 145 Neṭumai 317

Nilattal 330

Neripata 104
Neripatu-porul-vayin 103
Nerippata 201, 281, 430
Neriya 150
Nēra 7
Nēra 7
Nērpū 317
Nocivu 374
Nōkkalin 72
Nōkkanōkkam 93
Nōkkū 92
Nōyin 341

#### PA

Pa 206 Pakara-v-iruti 7 Pakuti-k-kilavi 17 Pakai 346 Pakaiyin 76 Pacappů 307 Patar 340 Patarkkai 28, 427, 448 Patarkkai-c-col 205, 206, Paţin 452 Pațțana 50, 289 Pattānku 406 Paņai 339 Pannai 319 Pantiyan-marunkin 90 Panpin 110, 146, 220, 297 Paņpiņān 213 Panpin-rokai 412, 416 Paṇpừ 258, 418 Paṇpu-kola-varutal 66 Panpu-kol-peyar 114, 134, 140, 165, 168 Panpu-kol-peyar-k-kotai 18 Patinor 10 Pattů 220 Рауарри 306 Payam 324 Payam-ili 254 Payan 112, 306 Payilātava**rra**i 297 Payinravai 297 Parattal 361

Pala-col 297 Pala-poruļ-oru-col 52 Palar-ari-col 7 Palar-k-k-uri-y-eluttu 270 Palar-con-națaittů 421 Palavayınan 51 Palava**rr**u 224 Palavarru-p-paṭarkkai 216 Pala-v-ari-col 3, 9 Pala-v-ari-corků 169 Pal-kuriya 457 Pal-peyar 417 Palla 168 Pal-l-āru 83 Pallor 165, 206, 224 Fallör-ariyuñ-colloțů 2 Pallör-patarkkai 207, 227 Pal-vali 186 Palakiya 197 Palamai 78 Paliccu 382 ⊬a<u>l</u>iyin 72 Pa<u>l</u>utů 324 Parruvitu-kilavi 110 Parruvițutal 78 Panmai 23, 49, 61, 78, 166, 170, 171, 178, 182, 202, 208, 209, 215, 218, 221 Panmai-k-kilavi 27 Panmaikku 184, 187, 190, 192, 461Panmai-c-cinai-p-peyar 177 Panmai-y-iyarpeyar 176

#### PA

Pānku 396 Pāytal 361 Pāl 4, 57, 162, 166, 167, 170, 172, 188, 208 Pāl-ari-kiļavi 11 Pāl-ari-marapin 211

Parantana **3**96

Pala 168, 182, 297

Paravu 382

Pal 463

Pāl-ari-vanta 215, 218, 221 Pāl-varai-kiļavi 110 Pāl-varai-teyvam 57 Pariri-kiļavi 194 Paṇmayakkū 23

Panmaiyotu 462

Panmuraiyān 233, 396

Pannirunilattum 400

#### PI

Pinai 338
Pința-p-peyar 90
Pirittalin 72
Pirittanar 463
Pirintlai 256, 257, 430
Pirinlai-y-eccam 431
Pirinlai-muțipina 431
Pirinta 161
Pirinta 48, 57, 83, 294, 395
Piripavai 50
Piripa 410
Piriyā 410
Piriyi-acainilai 280
Pirivu 96, 460
Pira 1, 56, 57, 61, 72, 74, 78,

80, 82, 101, 110, 117, 154, 166, 170, 174, 214, 279, 282, 296, 390, 396, 416
Pirakkú 279

'irattal 109
Piranta-vali-k-kūral 114
Piraŋ-pālāŋ 243

'iraŋ-pōl 448
Piritiŋ 79
Piritu 35, 104, 251
Pir-pata 284
Pilaittal 339
Pilaiyātu 101, 463
Piŋ 82, 229, 251, 389, 409, 441
Piŋ-moli-nilaiyal 419

# PU & PŪ

Pukalin 72
Puṭai 82
Puṇarā 37
Puṇariyal-nilai-y-iṭai 250
Puṇarcei 308
Puṇartal 411
Puṇarttaṇar 389
Puṇarnta 15, 92, 401
Puṇarntu 83
Putitu-paṭal 379
Putumai 78, 383
Purai 300

Petpin 338

Pulampů 331
Pulavar 38, 80, 85, 92, 124, 148, 156, 164, 204, 224, 231, 238, 241, 262, 275, 288, 358, 396, 403, 421, 448
Pulli 151
Pulli-y-iruti 128
Pulli-y-irů 129
Puram 82
Punirů 375
Půtam 57

# PE. PĒ & PAI

Pentú 163 Penmai 4, 56, 178, 180 Penmai-e-cinai-p-peyar 177 Penmai-y-aṭutta-v-ikaraviruti 1 Penmai-y-aṭutta-makal 163 Penmai-y-aṭutta-makan 164 Penmai-y-iyarpeyar 176 Peyar 64, 65, 68, 137, 158, 160, 162, 163, 166-168, 170, 172, 175, 180, 193, 195, 197, 430

Peyariya 71, 73, 75, 77, 79, 81
Peyarin II, 50, 67, 297, 405
8, 180
Peyar-eñcu-kiļavi 236, 433
Peyar-eñcu-kiļavikku 238
Peyar-encu-kiļavikku 238
Peyar-otu 118, 249, 295, 433
ikaraviruti 163Peyarōtu 258
makal 163
Peyar-kola-varutal 66
Peyarkkuri-marapin 290
137, 158, 160, Peyar-c-oor-kiļavi 110
68, 170, 172, Peyar-nilai 154, 168

Peyar-nilai-k-kilavi 4, 12, 70, 164, 186, 191, 449, 461
Peyar-nilai-k-kilavikkū 41.
Peyar-p-payanilai 66
Peyar-mutipina 429
Peyar-vayinān 418
Peyar-vayināna 161
Peritū 343

Peruppů 339

Perumai 254, 320 Pera 463 Peralin 72 Perum 151 Perru 304 Pē 365 Pēn 338 Paiyul 341

# PO & PÖ

Potu-c-col 240 Potu-p-piri-pār-col 44 Poruțeri-nilai 53 Porutku 297, 391, 392 Poruț kurai 396 Poruttů 242, 243, 345, 348, 375, 379, 383 Poruņilai 107, 236 Porunilaikkutana 250 Porunilai-marapu 419 Porunilai-y-alla 390 Porunmai 156 Porunmai-cuttal 66 Porunmai-nilai 157 Porul 35, 37, 42, 83, 89, 155, 233, 234, 250, 281, 295, 297, 389, 391, 398, 405, 408, 409

Poruļa 299, 317, 338, 341, 361, 372, 382 Porulin 101 Poruļoķū 37, 411 Poruļ-ceņ-marunkin 106 Poruļ-cen-marunku 102 Poruļ-vayin 31, 32, 105 Poruļ-vayiņān 110 Poruļ-vayiņāņa 94, 98 Polivu 335 Po**r**pů 335 Põ 279 Põkal 317 Põkkü 22 Pôyirrů 425 Pola 246 Porral 115 Porral-ventum 462

### MA

Makatū 163, 194
Makatū-u-v-ari-col 2, 6
Makatu 56
Makkat-cuttu 1
Makkal 163
Matau 377
Matavu 377
Mati 274
Mantira-p-porul-vayin 449
Mayakkam 351
Mayankal 11, 50
Mayankutal 248, 437
Mayankum 283
Mayanku-moli-k-kilavi 247

Marapin 107, 112, 172, 173, 215, 221, 229, 297, 389

Marapina 111, 114, 175

Marapi 47, 90

Mariya 90

Mariyatu 443

Marunkin 4, 10, 56, 80, 115, 126, 159, 194, 205, 206, 210, 215, 219, 221, 224, 232, 242, 285, 297, 396, 421, 456, 462, 463

Mallal 303

Mala 311

Maraikkunkālai 443

Maraittanar 442 Marrú 255, 262, 265 Marraiyatů 264

Mā 273 Mātar 328 Mātů 279 Mäntar 163 Mārai-k-kiļavi 7, 207 Mālai 313 Mārri 409

Mutikkum 439 Mutipu 432, 436 Mutim 270, 433, 438 Mutiya 233 Muțiyā 231 Mutiyatu 233 Mutiyinum 204, 231, 462 Mutiyum 207, 232 Mutiyuman 233 Mutal 26, 40, 89, 154, 163, 167, 410 Mutal-ari-kilavi 114 Mutalin 80, 114 Mutaloțů 231 Mutar-cinai-k-kila-vikkú 87 Mutar-kan 87, 235 Mutanilai 230 Mutan-mun 88 Mutiryu 333 Mutumai 78 Mutumaiyin 80 Mu-p-pārku 210 Murafical 333 Mulai 61 Mu<u>l</u>utú 326 Murai 26 Murai-k-koņţ-elunta 110 Murai-nilai-p-peyar 165

Mannätů 226 Mannapporul 34 Mannai-c-col 252

### MĀ & MI

Māṇam 111 Mikuti 299, 378, 383 Miku-puka<u>l</u> 312 Miküum 125 Mikkatan 242 Miyā 274 Min 224

#### MU

Murai-nilaiyāna 437 Muraippeyar 126 Muraippeyar-iyala 147 Muraippeyar-k-kilavi 136, 147, 174, 175Muraippeyarnilai 179 Muraimai-cuțță-makal 163 Muraimai-cuțță-makan 163 Muraimaiyin 80 Muraiyin 69, 193 Mur-pata 38, 39, 41, 284 Murriya-v-ummai 285 Murriyan-moli 427 Mun 82, 142, 148, 208, 229, 251, 389, 409, 426, 441 Muniyu 386 Munaivu 386 Mun-moli-nilaiyal 419 Munrērrú 383 Munnattin 56, 459 Munnam 193 Munnar 270, 451 Munnilai 28, 29, 222, 226, 227, 427, 450, 451, 462 Munnilai-k-kālam 240 Munnilai-k-kilavi 223 Munnilai-y-acai-c-col 274 Munnilai-y-alvali 426

### ΜŨ

208, 216, 223, 224, 227, 230, 280, 299, 317, 365, 411, 424, 439, 444 Mūnrötu 162, 167

Mūṇrù 9, 26, 73, 94, 199, 206,

Мирри 56

Münran 92

Mūvirrum 235

### ME & ME

Mey 101, 105, 451, 463 Meyyotum 238 Mey-tatumāri 297 Mey-n-nilai-mayakkin 449 Mey-pera 119, 242, 295, 389 Meyppata 383 Mey-p-porul 120 Meymmaiyān 427 Mey-y-ari-panuval 95 Melikkum-vali 403 Melittal 403 Menmai 322, 325 Měl 82, 439 Mělai 215, 221 Měval 380 Měvu 329

# MO & MŌ

Moli 257 Molipa 25, 62, 109, 116, 199 281, 439 Molipuṇar-iyalpú 404 Moli-p-porut-kāranam 394 Molimaṇār 175, 262, 421 Molimārriyarkai 409 Molimārru 404, 409 Moliyān 389 Moliyin 24, 107 Moliyinum 236 Mo 274

# YA, RA & LA

Yā 167, 279 Yāṇar-k-kilavi 379 Yāṇu 381 Yātaṇ 106 Yātu 31, 32, 167 Yām 162 Yār 210 Yāvar 162

Yāvaļ 162 Yāvaņ 162 Yāvai 167 Yān 137, 162 Ra 128, 154 Ra ∴kāņ-orrū 7 La 128

# VA

Va 216
Vakara-p-peyar 167
Vaţa-col 397
Vaţa-cor-kilavi 401
Vaţa-v-eluttu 401
Vaţivin 416
Vațivin 416
Vaṇna-c-cinai-c-col 26
Vaṇnattin 416
Vaṇam 78
Vanţ u232, 439
Vampu 327
Vaya 366
Vayankiyōr 139
Vayā 371

Vayin 287
Vara-k-kūrutal 422
Varampù 391, 396, 423, 424
Varal 151
Varavin 28
Varin 87, 88, 154, 171
Varinum 71, 233, 290, 402, 429
Varuhkālai 96
Varunhalai 281, 437.
Varu-col 29
Varutal 88, 104, 139, 251
Varutam 344
Varutam 344
Varuna 250
Varupavai 389

Varum 9, 10, 32, 57, 74, 87, 97, 99, 99, 108, 136, 162, 163, 167, 188, 201–203, 206, 215, 216, 220, 221, 223, 224, 241, 244, 279, 290, 299, 365, 396, 416, 418, 432, 451. Varuvali 235 Varai-nilai 15, 17, 462 Varaiyar 43, 45, 103, 237, 248, 291, 402, 408, 437, 460 Varainta 235 Varaintu 463 Varaivu 383 Valam 82Vali 344, 366, 388 Valikkum-va<u>l</u>i 403 Valittal 403 Valittu 393 Valiya 403 Vallin 392 Valakkattāņa 152 Valakkaru 18 Valakkiyal 463 Valakkiyan-marapu 246

Vārākkālattū 241, 245 Vārākkālam 239, 437 Vārāmarapiņa 422 Vārtal 317

Vitar-porutțů 318 Vitirppů 316 Viyankola-varutal 66 Viyankōt-kilavi 226 Viyankol 222 Viyankől-acai-c-cel 273 Viyanköl-ennuppeyar 45 Viyappů 385 Viyal 364 Viravutal 43 Viravuppeyar 150 Virikkun kālai 83, 297 Virikkum-vali 403 Virittal 403 Viruntů 56 Viruppů 362 Virai-c-col-l-atukků 424

Valakkin 27 Valakkinul 22 Valakku 17 Valakku-vali 50 Valakkotů 398 Valankiya 104 Valankiyan marunkin 113 Va<u>l</u>āal 13 Valāmai 398 Vali 229, 396 Valittů 91 Vali-marunků 104 Valiyinum 15 Valukkinrů 139 Valuttin 382 Valan 300, 352 Vali 377 Varitu 336 Varumai 360 Vanappů 378 Vanpů 244 Vanmai 56 Vanmaiyin 214

### VĀ

Vālcci-k-kilamaikků 100 Vālcciyin 80 Vāl 367

#### VI

Virainta-poruļa 240 Viraivu 383 Vîlavin 260 Vilippa 394 Vilumam 353 Vilaivu 253 Vilakkam 383 Vilampiya 150 Vili 63, 64, 118, 124, 129 Vili-kol-peyar 120, 128 Vili-köl 137 Viļikkunkālai 150, 152 Vi∣inilai 151 Viļiyōtu 63, 153, 154 Viļi-vayiņāņa 133, 146 Vilaiyāţţū 319 Virappu 347, 348

Virar-col 56 Vinā 13, 14, 219, 256, 257 Vināvirk-ērral 66 Vināvin 16, 32 Vināvin-kiļavi 210 Vināvin-peyar 137, 143, 148 Viṇā-v-uṭai-viṇai-c-col 244 Viņāvotu 425 Vinai 57, 71, 86, 112, 158, 198, 231, 234, 258, 428, 430, 432. Vinaikkū 38, 61 Vinai-k-kurippů 71 Vinai-ceyan-marunkin 250 Vinai-ceyyitattin 81 Vinai-c-col 201, 242, 450 Vinai-c-cor-kilavi 240, 245 Vīṇai-nilai 85 Vinai-nilaiyān 232 Vinai-nilai-y-uraittal 66 Vinai-p-patu-tokaiyin 33 Vinai-p-peyar 165

Vinai-p-peyar-k-kilavi 168 Vinai-mārru 262 Vinai-mutal 73, 114 Vinai-mutalān 232 Vinai-mutal-muțipina 230 Vinai-mutar-kilavi 234, 242 Vinaiyin 11, 80, 146, 201, 297, 405, 427 Vinaiyin-rokuti 415 Vinaiyin-rokai 412 Vinai-y-eñcu-kiļavi 222, 228, 233, 236, 457 Vinai-y-eñcu-kilavikku 432 Vinaiyotu 10, 171, 172, 204, 249, 270, 293, 295, 438. Vinaivayināna 173 Vinai-vērupatāa-pala-poruļorucol 52, 54 Vinai-vērupatūum-pala-poruļorucol 52, 53

# VE, VĒ & VAI

Velippața 68 Velippaţa-vārā-v-uricconmēna 298 Velippațu-col 298 Veruppů 347 Vēṭkai-p-perukkam 371 Vēņțal 334 Vēņţā 298 Ventum 33, 115, 144, 154 Vēritattāna 25 Vē**r**ū 42, 49, 59, 117, 222, 296, 297, 390, 405, 457, 463 Vē**r**upatāta 89 Vērupațātů 37 Vērupaținum 295

Vērupatutal 281 Vērupatum 80, 172, 222 Vērupatu-viņaiyin 53 Vērupāķů 215, 221 Vērupeyar-k-kiļavi 42 Vēru-poruļ-kuritta-v-oru-col 399 Vēruviņai-p-potuccol 46 Vērrumai 62, 65, 84, 87, 88, 95, 99, 101, 106, 115, 198, 213, 418 Vē**rr**umai-k-kiļavi 71, 73, 75, 77, 79, 81, 102, 110 Vērrumai-c-col 107, 455 Vērrumai-t-tokai 412, 413 Vērrumai-p-poruļ-vayiņ 250 Vērrumai-p-poruļai 83 Vē**rr**umai-y-iyala 413 Vai 387

# LA, RA & NA

La 128, 154 La∴kāṇ-orrú 6 Ra 202, 203, 217

Vekuli 372

Verū 348

Vekuliyin 72

Vemmai 78, 334

Na 128, 154 Na∴kān-orrú 5

#### INDEX OF IMPORTANT WORDS IN THE COMMENTARY \*

### Number refers to page

#### A

 $\bar{A}$  33 Absence 88 Absence of attachment 88 Abandance 220 Ācārakkōvai 40 Action 94, 189 Activity 126 Adhikāra-sūtra 134, 168 Advanced state 94 Agastya 92 Agedness 88 Agent 75, 77, 189 Aindra-vyākaraņa 83 Ainkurunürü 242 Ajahallaksanā 130 Akanānūri 125, 129 175, 198, 206, 216, 219, 225, 226, 229, 233, 234, 239, 241, 279 Aksnā kānah 80 Akupeyar 47 ĀL 77 Alavan 6 Anam 125 Anavagata-samskārān 218 Anekārthāni 217 Anger 238 Anmoli-t-tokai 129

Badness 88
Bahunāmāni 218
Bahuurihi compound 160
Battlefield 239
Beauty 239, 240
Becoming stout 229

A-n-nilai 73

Āṇ-oli-miku-col 38

Anuvāda 6 Anya-padartha-pradhanah 263 Anyōnyābhāva 23 Anyönyāśraya 61 Anyathāśiddha 51 Anya-yōga-vyavacchēda 160, 161 Apavāda 49 Aphesis 278 Аро-соре 278 Appellative verb 67, 167, 175, 177Apradhānē 81 Arthah padam 145 Artlessness 239 Aruvāļar 41 Aruvānāţū 248 Aruvā-vaļa-talai 248 Association 76, 77, 94, 116 Astādhyāyī 81, 108 Astonishment 242 Atanāl 29 Ațicil 85 Ativyāpti 256 Avarkal 149 Avidyā 230 Avinayanār 261 Avvābti 256 Ayan 33 Ayōga-vyavacchēda 60

### В

Bewilderment 233 Bhartrhari 68 Bigness 225 Breadth 236 Brilliance 237, 241

- \* Tamil & Sanskrit words are in italics.
- 2. In the order of English alphabet.

Caldwell Dr. 76, 83 Capital 94 Carkunam 249, 250 Cārþù 103 Cārai-pāmpu 259 Case 54 Case suffixes 54, 196, 197 Căttanatanai 120, 121 Cāttanatanāl 120 Cättanatanõtu 120 Causal verb 193 Cause 87 Cēnāvaraiyam 83, 94, 119, 130, 161, 214, 264 Cēnāvaraiyar 5, 7, 13, 15-18, 20-25, 27, 29, 31-35, 38, 39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 47, 49, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57-59, 61, 63-68, 81-84, 92, 96, 97, 99, 101, 102, 105, 108, 109, 112-115, 117-120, 125-127, 129-131, 133, 136, 146, 148-150, 152, 155, 157, 161, 162, 168-172, 174, 177, 183, 184, 190, 196, 207, 208, 211, 224, 230, 246-248, 249, 253-256, 262, 265-268, 270, 275, 278 Cerintan 35 Ceykin**r**ām 169 Ceykin**rëm 16**9 Ceyyā 186

Decrease 227
Definiteness 201
Deliberation 238
Delight 220
Demonstrative adjective 28
Demonstrative pronoun 28
Demonstrative root 171
Denseness 225, 231
Desire 226-229, 236, 240
Determination 229
Dharmašāstras 99
Dhātu 146, 218
Direct impact 103

Ceyvā-ninranam 169 Ceyyul-iyal 167 Change of vipai 205 Chatrinyāya 6 Cilappatikāram 41 Cimaiyam 128 Cinkalam 248 Cint 246 Cintiyēn 246 Cirupān-ārruppaṭai 224, 236, 255 Cītanātu 248 Civañānamunivar 101, 102, 119, 129, 131, 133, 161 Classification of payanilai 60 Collection 94, 234, 236 Collurupii 81, 122 Colour 88, 219, 238 Comparison 82, 87 Completeness 226 Composition 94 Compound 112, 116 Confusion of mind 237 Connection 94 Connotation 157 Contamination 103, 112, 116, 117 Contrast 87 Coolness 88 Cüdámanı 126 Curu-curuttatu 37 Curvedness 225 Cutu-kātu 41

#### D

Direct promise 241
Disagreement 211
Disaster 232
Disgust 242
Distress 231, 237
Document 94
Doubt 241
Dravidians 42
Drayābhidhāyakam 61
Dread 219, 236
Dvandva compound 259
Dvityā-vibhaktih 67

### Ě

Ecca-v-ummai 21
Effort 94
Ēkārtham 217
Ēkavākyatā 81
Ēl 54
Elephantology 25
Elision 112, 116
Elision of case-suffixes 119
Emaciation 227
Emakkû 86
Embracing 234
Enakkû 86
Embracing 231
Erumai 41

Escaping 229
Euphonic particle 196 197
Euphony 7, 247
Exception 105
Exclusion 201
Expletion 205
Expletive 87, 210
Expletive particles 196, 197
Extensiveness 236, 239
Extensiveness 236, 239
Extensiveness 236, 239
Extra-ordinary action 193
Eyinar-nāṭū 37
Eytappaṭuvatū 68

#### F&G

Fatigue 227 Fear 88, 241 Ferocity 88 Fertility 220, 233 Fewness 88 Forbidding 241 Fruit 221 Fulness 28, 234 Gārgya 218
Gender-number-element 7
Going 229
Goodness 88
Great desire 238
Great fame 222
Greatness 219, 225, 230
Gunas 177

# H & I

Hānam 125
Hardness 88
Hastiness 223
Height 220
Hermophrodite 3, 8
Historical sense 106
Hotness 88
Ham 176
Ilampūranam 130, 162, 264
Ilampūranar 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20-25, 27, 29, 31-36, 38, 39, 41, 42, 44-46, 48, 49, 51, 52, 55, 56, 58, 62-68, 74, 81, 82, 84, 92, 93, 96, 99, 101, 102, 105, 108, 115-120, 129, 130, 131, 135, 148-150, 152, 156, 168, 170, 174, 177,

180, 183, 193, 207, 208, 220, 233, 243, 246-248, 249, 253, 254, 257-262, 265-275, 278 Ilantiraiyan 30 Illa-p-porul 21 Incorrect knowledge 230 Indigenous Tamil word 247 Indo-European Languages 247 Infancy 222 Inferiority 88 Insecurity 226 Instrument 75, 77, 94, 189 Interpolation 243 Interrogative verb 194 Iru-peyar-ottu 129 Iruvar 32 Iyarrappatuvatù 68

#### J & K

Jahad-agahal lak**sa**nā 131 Jahallakşanā 130 Jätiraprāņinām 263 Jātyekavacana 53 Jñāpaka-hētu 81 Kālāl muṭavan 80, 81 Kālattiņ kilamai 93 Kalavali nār patu 241 Kalinkam 128, 248 Kalittokai 71, 74, 78-81, 84, 86, 87, 96, 128, 134, 136-139, 141, 143, 144, 169-171, 176, 182, 183, 186, 200, 203, 204, 208, 210, 222, 232, 238, 255, 256, 257, 278 Kamukantöttam 37 Kamparāmāyanam 81, 108 Kanai-kontu 80 Kāñci 247 Kannaki 52 Kannallal 52 Kannär-kottai 80, 81 Kannatam 248 Kantirē kantirē 37 Kapilam 130 Kāraka-hētu 81 Kārakam 126, 127 Kāraņa 68

Karana 125, 127 Kāraņa 68 Karkā-nāṭu 248 Karma 68, 103 Karukaruttatu 35 Karumac-cārpu 103 Karumam 103 Karumam-il-cārpu 103

Land 189
Largeness 88
Largeness in quantity 241
Larger quantity 239
Logical predicate 27
Logical subject 27
Loka 48
Loneliness 227
Love 226, 229

Karunkalamar 10 Karūr 247 Kattinăn 35 Kavittān 35 Kriyā 126 Krti 126 Ku<u>l</u>alir 35 Kuṅkumam 249 Kuntakam 248 Kūpakam 248 Kural 29, 40, 47, 48, 65, 71-73, 78-80, 85-87, 89, 90, 100, 118, 129, 178, 179, 181, 187, 191, 202, 203, 205, 215, 216, 221, 223, 241, 260, 269, 270, 274, 275. Kuriñci-pāṭṭu 230 Kuruntokai 45, 82, 164, 198, 199, 201, 202, 205, 206, 208, 219, 220, 223–227, 229–233, 235, 236, 238, 241, 242, 250. Kurai-c-cor-kilavi 218 Kurri-v-allan 15 Kurri-y-anrii 15 Kutakam 248 Kutanātu 248 Kuttanātu 248 Kol-kalirů 62 Kollam 248 Konkanam 248

#### L & M

Limb 94 Limit 87 Lustre 237 Mā 42, 43 Magnificence 228, 233 Mahavu 151 Makkaj-cuţtu 129 Malaināţu 248

Kontu 81, 122

Kotunkölür 247

Kottai 52

Kotai-y-etirtal 114

Malaipaļukatām 200, 220, 222, 228, 232, 235, 238.
Māṇākkarkki 84
Māṇam 125, 126
Maṇikkirāmattār 152
Many 219
Many-ness 88
Marapiyal 7
Maruvūr 247
Maturat-k-kāṇci 72, 75, 77, 128, 227, 231, 240, 241.
Maturity 228
Measure 88

Metonymy 47, 128, 132.

Minuteness 238

Modesty of the author 215, 216, 244

Morphological 77, 218

Morphology 1

Much 219

Mullaippāṭṭtù 185

Murrummai 21

Mutaṇilai 68

Murukù 128

Mūva 32

#### N

Naccinārkkiņiyam 120, 219 Naccinārkkīniyar 5, 7, 12, 13, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29, 31-33, 35, 38, 42, 44, 45, 49, 52, 56, 58, 63-67, 81, 82, 84, 92, 96, 99, 101, 102, 106, 108, 112-115, 117-120, 126, 129-131, 136, 148-152, 156, 168, 170, 174, 183, 184, 196, 207, 208, 211, 220, 230, 243, 246-248, 249, 250, 253, 254, 257–262, 265–275, 278. Nakkınān 35 Nāma 61 Nānkal 149 Nanmai 177 Nannūl 76, 161 Nannūlār 58 Nāraiyār 16 Narkunam 249 Narrinai 210, 220, 228, 229, 251 Nature 94, 222 Negation 201

Negative meaning 178 Negative verb 22 Netunalvāțai 227, 236 Newness 88, 241 Nicety 234 Nilankatantān 63 Nilattin-kilamai 93 Nimitta 68 Nīr 35 Nırukta 217, 218 Nirvartyam 68 Nityasamāsa 258 Niyama-vidhi 106 Noise 232 Nõkkal-nõkkam 110 Nökkya-nökkam 110 Non-distinguishing verbs 44 Noun 203 Number 203, 213 Nū**r**-poru<u>l</u> 84 Nyāya-śāstra 126 Nyāya works 177

#### 0

Object 189
Obsolete 153, 186, 210
Oldness 88
Olināţi 248
One tense for another 195
Ouriyar-kilamai 92

Onru-pala-kulāya-tar-kilamai 92 Orutti 31, 32 Oruvan 31, 32 Ottakkāttar 151 Otu 77 Otuvankātu 37

### P

Pādē**n**a khañjaḥ 80 Piritin-kilamai 92 Pain 231 Play 224 Pakka-c-col 10, 196 Ponkar 248 Pa<u>l</u>antīpam 248 Porul-ārral 51 Panampāranār 83, 247 Poruļin-kilamai 93 Păņini 61, 81, 108, 145, 263 Porul-mayakkam 103 Panniru-kaiyum 19, 20 Porunar ārruppatai 224 Panri-nātu 248 Possession 94 Para-svattva-āpādanam 84 Positive verb 123 Paribhāṣā 4, 118, 135, 168 Potential mood 184 Paripāţal 278, 279 Potunkar 248 Participial nouns 154 Poverty 235 Particles of comparison 197 Pōyirru-pōyirrü 87 Pārttiruppā<u>n</u> 66 Prākṛts 249 Pārttiruppānai 66 Prāptasyaiva niṣēdhaḥ 32 Pārttiruppāņān 66 Prāpyam 68 Parukinān  $35 \cdot$ Prātipadīka 61 Patirruppattu 135, 137, 186, Pratiprasava 49 223, 229, 239 Pratyayagrahanē Paṭṭiṇappālai 236 tadantagrahanam 4, 118 Patti-puttirar 152 Pravrttinimitta 157 Payam 221 Presence 88 Payan 221 Profit 221 Pāyiram 83 Pronoun 149 *Pēy* 41 Potection 241 Pen-cakkaravarthi 151 Pūkkaļ 35 Peņmakan 6 Puli-natu 248 Peṇ-ṇ-araci 151 Pungency 241 Peṇ-ṇ-oḷi-miku-col 38 Pūņţān 35 Periya-tirumoli 154, 168 Puranānūru 28, 36, 38, 41, 43, Perumpān-ārruppatai 72, 73, 47, 60, 64, 67, 69-73, 75-80, 192, 231 84-87, 89-93, 96, 100, 108, Phala 221 116, 120–123, 136, 138, 139– Philologists 247 141, 143, 161, 165, 168-171, Phonological side 103 173, 175, 176, 178, 179, 181-Pid 246 189, 191, 192, 198, 199, 202, Pilikkum 246 203, 206–210, 214, 215, 219– Pinda 107 237, 239–242, 254, 258, 263, Piteousness 232 276, 277, 279. Pirayōka-vivēka**m 67** Puranatar 105 Pirtin-iyaipu-nīkkiya-Pūrva-padārtha-pradhanaḥ 262

vicēļaņam 160 Q & R

Quality 203, 217 Question 201 Quickness 223, 241

Recent calving 239 Recipient 83 Regard 229 Regularity 233 Relationship 94 Remembrance 229 Repentance 235

Residence 94 Rgvēda Prātišākhyā 61 Root 244 Rule of the majority 6

S

Saha-yuktē 81, 108 Sākaṭāyana **2**18 Sallow complexion 221 Samāhāradvandva 259 Samvijñātāni 218 Semantic change 77 Semantic side 103 Sentence split 18, 26, 49, 67, 106Separation 87, 88, 224 Shaking 223 Sharpness 241, 242 Shape 88 Slenderness 234 Smallness 88, 228 Smell 88 Sickness 230 Simple verb 193 Softness 88 Sound 203, 217, 221, 234

Source 88

Spreading 235 State 94 State of being long 223 State of being straight 223 State of being terrified 232 Stem of verbs 156 Stoutness 233 Strength 231, 237, 239, 242 Subantam 61 Suffering 237 Suggestion 203, 217 Suggestive particle 197 Suklayajurveda Prātiśākhyā 145 Superiority 88, 201, 223, 241 Svam rūpam 145 Sva-svattva-nivrtti 84 Syncope 278 Synecdoche 47 Syntactical 218 Syntax 1

Tadbhava 48, 99, 107, 125 Tāḷ-kuḷal 129 Tamil Lexicon 114 Tannina-mutittal 17, 34 Tann-iyaipinmai-nīkkiya-vicē tanam 160 Tantöm 168 Tar-kilamai 92 Taste 88 Tat padam 73 Tatpuruṣa compound 101, 259 Tatsama 48 Tāyar 5 Tāyār 6 Tāymār 5 Teliņkam 248 Tēn 35 Tenderness 225, 226

Ten.pāņți-nāțu 248 Tëyam 99 Teyvaccilaiyār 1, 2, 5, 10, 13-16, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 31-33, 36-39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 48-50, 52, 54, 56-58, 64-96, 68, 81, 82, 93, 95, 96, 96, 102, 103, 106, 108, 113, 115, 117, 118, 120-122, 126, 127, 129, 131, 134. 135, 145, 146, 148, 150, 152, 157, 162, 163, 168, 169, 174, 207, 208, 211, 218, 221, 224, 233, 243, 244, 246-248, 249, 253, 254, 257-261, 264, 266-270, 272, 274-276, 279Thinking 229

 $T\bar{\imath}mai~177$ 

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

Time 189
Tinai-mayakkam 13
Tinrān 35
Tirrmurukārruppatai 62, 216.
237, 239 240
Tiruwalluvar 129
Tokai 112, 116
Tokai-viri 102

Tōl-valai 35 Totiyōr 38 Transitoriness 226 Trembling 223 Trouble 233 Trtiyā-vibhaktīh 67 Tulavam 248 Tuti-y-itai 129 Tuui 218

#### IJ

Ubhaya-padārtha-pradhānah Uddēsya 1 Ulakam 249 Ummaiyil-col 212 Ūr 35 Uniting 221 Uniān 35 Upalakṣaṇa 27 Upanāṇam 257 Upanāṇam 257

Tokuti 116 To<u>l</u>i**r**-peyar 65

262Uraittān 84
Urpavam 249
Uru 218
Uruperral 61, 65
Uruppin-kilamai 92
Urupu-mayakkam 103
Uselessness 226
Utaiya 93
Uttara-padārtha-pradhānah 262

# V, W & Y

Vaikai 247 Vākyabhēda 18, 26, 49, 67 Vākvālankāra 252 Vākyapadīya 68, 84 Vāļkkai-p-pattār 39 Van-karu-natar 41 V aravillai-y-ā 192 Varna 16 Varuval 170 Vatanūluļ 83 Vatukam 248 Vaiukar 41 Vatukar-aracar 38 **V**ēda 48, 119 Vel-yātu 10 Venkalamar 10 Vēņkatam 247  $Ven_T$ om 168Verb 203

Vēru-pala-kuļīiyatar kiļamai 92 Vērupatukka-p-patuvatu 68 Vidhēya 1 Vikāryam 68 Vinai-k-kurippu 166 Vīracōliyam 169 Viravuttinai 147 Vıvakşātah kārak**āni** 96 Volitional effort 126 Weakness 226 Wearing 234 Wisdom 238 Wonder 242 Yankal 149 Yāska 217, 218 Yāval 174 Yāvan 174 Yōgavibhaga 7

Verbal termination 156, 196, 197

Youth 88

Printed at Trickinopoly 23rd Dec., 1945—505