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The Tamils may justly be proud of the fact that Tamil has won the status of a Classical language, the status it richly deserves and should have got long, long ago. The Central Institute of Classical Tamil (CICT), established in Chennai, has mapped out various plans including preparation of definitive editions of forty-one Classical Tamil texts and translation of these works into English and other major European languages as well as into major Indian languages and writing of a historical grammar of Tamil. Language being the autobiography of a people, our objective is to preserve and safeguard the invaluable treasure of the literary compositions in our language. If only we could delve into our past and recover the riches and wealth of the mighty treasure trove of Classical Tamil poetry, we will be amply rewarded by its lofty poetry, the poetry that strengthens and purifies the holiness of heart’s affection and enlarges our imagination. Apart from these, reading the ancient Tamil texts such as Tolkāppiyam, Eṣuttokai, Pattuppāṭu, Tirukkūṟal etc., provides a foundation for scholarship for the present and in this sense they do provide enlightened education.

It is heartening to write this foreword to the series of publications brought out by CICT, which I am sure, will do full justice to the masterpieces in Tamil without compromising on the quality of production. The Cankam corpus being a repository of our glorious culture, it behoves our present and future generations to study them and to convey their message and the vision of life embodied in them to the public at large. Let me, therefore, commend the series to the enlightened beings the world over.

Sd/-
(D. PURANDESWARI)
Though not so well known as *Tolkāppiyam*, *Iṟaiyaṟṟar Kalaviyal* deals with some of the etiquettes to be followed by lovers in keeping with the *Akam* tradition, especially with regard to *kalaviyal* (clandestine) love-making. The concept of love-making has unique dimensions in Tamil society and literature during the *Cankam* Age found nowhere else in the world. The summary of the classical Tamil account runs somewhat like this: Fate or accident brings a lad and a lass to meet each other; love at first sight occurs; next, union of hearts takes place; physical union ensues; love is deepened but kept in secret by means of furtive meetings arranged by the hero’s (*talaivai)* and the heroine’s (*talaivi*) bosom friends; lastly love is revealed to the persons concerned culminating in marriage. Even the period allowable for *kalavu* is indicated. Verse 32 says, 'Remaining in *kalavu* and extending the period of marriage shall be within a period of two months, they say'. In the rarest of rare cases where parental consent is not forthcoming, elopement takes place to avoid the despicable situation of having to live with a stranger leaving the passionate lover. The deep and noble love of the lovers during the period of furtive love and their adherence to the well-established modes and mores of this phase of love is what is unique in Tamil society and literature of the *Cankam* Age. These mores are explained in great detail in *Tolkāppiyam* in the chapter *porulaitikaram* and in the 60 *nārpās* in *Iṟaiyaṟṟar Akapporul* more popularly known as *Kalaviyal*.

The love that follows marriage is called *karpu*. The characteristics of post-marital love are also delineated from *nārpā* 34 to 60. In short, the last *nārpā* sums up excellently the romantic and the realistic features of love:

What are considered as *kalavu* and *karpu* stand for the idealization of love that occurs in the hearts of those who live in this world.
The various translators have done a commendable job in bringing out the nuances of the original. The problems of translating classical texts from one language to another are well recognized by everyone. Our Institute is committed to overcome these difficulties and selecting the translations of some of the best scholars in the field it takes every effort to make them available to both pundits and the common people. This book is one more step in the achievement of our goal.

I am thankful to the Department of Translation of the Institute and the Publications Division for their help in bringing out this book.

Chennai

R. GNANAMOORTHY
Director
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INTRODUCTION

Poetics of Love: Tolkáppiyam and Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḻ

Tolkáppiyam and Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḻ are the early theoretical treatises on the Akam tradition of the Tamils. The third part of Tolkáppiyam, namely poruḻaṭikāram, focuses primarily on the Akam and Puram aspects of life, besides dealing with the systems of prosody, poetical devices, usage of words, forms of literary compositions, bodily manifestations, and methods of composition. Tolkáppiyar’s classification of the contents of poetry into Akam (interior) and Puram (exterior) and the creation of the system of tinai are unique in the realm of poetic creation. Tolkáppiyar gives the principles of poetics involving the composition of love poems and also Puram poems based on the literary works available before and during his period. The “tinai” system covers both the Akam and Puram divisions, but it is more consistent with Akam poetry where there is a perfect unity among the three elements of tinai – mutal (time and place) karu (matrix, environment), and uri (theme/situation) representing theme-tinai correlation. But in the case of Puram poems, out of the three elements of tinai, only the theme is presented without the other elements, namely mutal and karu. The classification of tinai into mutal, karu, and uripporuḻ, the main and supplementary characters, their functions and characteristics, the situations of their discourses in the premarital (kalavu) and marital (karpu) life, the literary techniques such as uḷḷaṟai, iraicci, and meippāṟu, i.e. implications, and emotional manifestations etc., provide the poetic universe of the Akam tradition.

Likewise, Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḻ speaks about only the Akam conventions. It deals with the poetic love – the love between a man and a woman as it grows from their first meeting to its culmination in their married life leaving out details concerning tinai and other formal aspects of Akam poetry. It focuses mainly on the secret love (Kalavu) phase and the married love (Karpu) phase of the lovers and the major situations and the discourses of the characters in respective contexts. The last five nūṟpās (verses) deal with the
rhetorical features of Akam poetry. Tolkāppiyam, while classifying the Akam tradition into kalavu and karpu, defines karpu as married life (Tol. 1086). It states that the karpu phase begins with marriage in the first nūṟṟa of karpu iyal. But Iraiyāṉār Kaḷāṉiyal does not make such a clear distinction between these two phases. The theme of marriage appears in both kalavu and karpu phases. Thus these two texts Iraiyāṉār Akapporuḷ and Tolkāppiyam – form the basis for the Tamil Akam tradition. Tolkāppiyam, by giving the principles of love poetics, enabled the Čankam poets to write love poems and to inaugurate the tradition of love poetry. The Akam poetics is the langue and the Čankam love poetry is its parole.

Akam Tradition

Of the 2381 Čankam poems, 1862 poems deal with the theme of love; 373 poets out of 473 wrote poems on love. All languages have poems on love, but the uniqueness of Tamil language is the possession of a larger corpus of love poems with a well-knit tradition. Tamils believed that love is inborn; love is the most powerful of all emotions, gives the pleasures of all senses together, and sustains the world. So love has been the subject-matter of their poetry. The Akam poetry is the key to understand the significant role played by women in the domestic sphere. It is a world of freedom. A man and woman are brought together by fate. So they fall in love with each other on their first meeting itself and experience emotional and physical union: uḷḷappunārccei – union of their hearts and meyyuṟṟupunārccei – physical union. Their further meetings are arranged by their male and female companions. The lovers encounter all their difficulties with the help of the companions. Finally, the premarital love (Kalavu) ends in their marriage. The parents never go against the wishes of their children once they come to know of their love. The woman will uphold fidelity (Karpu) more than anything else. If any situation arises testing her fidelity, she will resist all such attempts even at the cost of her modesty (nāṁam) and will marry him. Elopeinent with the hero is resorted to only as a last attempt when the heroine fails to reveal her love to her parents or when she is unable to face the slander by others. By eloping with the hero, she avoids her marriage with a stranger. The love between the hero and the heroine is deep, pure, and noble. That is why the
separation of the hero for the courtesan, though resented, is tolerated by the heroine. Even the courtesans in the Akam poetry are graceful, showing respect for the hero's family and honor. They adorn the hero's son with jewels and counsel the hero to go back and bestow love upon the heroine. So she is considered as equal to wife (Tol. 1096) and is called "my sister" by the heroine. The other personae in the drama of love have their roles neatly defined and their speech situations clearly marked. They play a complementary role to the hero and the heroine. The male and female companions are so intimate that the hero and the heroine turn to them to share their anguish and to get consolation. The confidante of the heroine is her alterego, who not only chaperons her but also broaches the secret love of the heroine to her parents through the foster mother and exhorts the hero to marry the heroine showing concern all the time for the modesty and fidelity of the heroine. It is the confidante who tests the hero's resolve and enables him to realize the preciousness of the heroine. Noble love permeates the entire drama of love with no space for negative dimensions of love such as unrequited love, betrayal of love, cuckoldry, cross love, etc. In short, both phases of love, Kalavu and Karpu, epitomize love which springs within the hearts of the lovers. Thus, Akam tradition presents the idealization of the actual love. Tolkappiyar specifies the discourse situation for each of the characters in the world of love in ceyyulyai nāṟṟās from 1436 to 1442. In the secret love phase (kalavu), Brahmins, male and female companions, foster mother, hero and heroine alone could make utterances (Tol. 1436), and in the karpu phase of love, the bards, the male and female dancers, courtesans, the learned men, and passersby could speak (Tol. 1437). Some other people – the villagers, neighbours, medicine men, father, elders can only report but not make direct speeches (Tol. 1438). The real mother will not talk directly to the hero and the heroine (Tol. 1439) but with others. Others can talk with the mothers and the confidante directly (Tol. 1440) in kalavu and karpu phases. The mother just informs her husband and sons about the daughter's love through suggestive speech. She comes to know of her daughter's love through the foster mother via the heroine's companion who is her own daughter.

Another important feature of the Akam poetry is the universality
of the emotion presented. As the subject matter is love, the poems will naturally tend towards lyricism and subjectivity. But, by an in-built Akam tradition, the whole creative process gets depersonalized and objectified. In the Akam tradition, the character cannot be mentioned by their proper names (Tol. 1003), especially in the uripporu, though freedom is given to use proper names in the mutal and kara parts of tiṇai. Contrary to this, names can be mentioned in puram poems. The heroes and the heroines are called by their geographical names like veppan, kāpavaa, turaiya or by their occupational names such as uḷavaa, uḷaṭṭi, vēḻuvaacchi or by the names of their roles like toḷi, cevili, yāy, etc., or by their gender names like atavaa, nampi, ciṟumi, narkai or by the demonstrative names like aval, avan etc. There is no direct intervention of the poet in the poem; he becomes one with the dramatis personae. The Akam poems express the inner yearnings of the loving hearts of the young lovers and not their individual feeling. This is the poetic device used to heighten the personal emotions into universal emotion.

Tiṇai Concept: Human Emotions & Landscape

The theme of love is portrayed using the device of “tiṇai” in Akam poetry. The tiṇai (Mode) is a concept encompassing three factors, namely land (Nilam), family (Kulam), and conduct (Oḻakkam). It includes a set of poetic characteristics used to heighten the poignancy of a certain emotion. There are five modes (excluding kaikkilai and peruntiṇai): the mountain mode (Kurīńci), the forest mode (Mullai), the wasteland mode (Pālai), the seaside mode (Neytal), and the river plain mode (Marutam). Each mode is described in terms of three aspects namely (i) mutal (first), (ii) kara (matrix), and (iii) uripporu (theme/propriety) (Tol. 59).

The first aspect (mutal) indicates the place and the season (Tol. 60). For example, the place for the mountain-country mode (Kurīńci) includes the mountains and the areas surrounding them; the season for this mode is autumn midnight, and early winter. The place for the seaside mode (Neytal) is the sea and the areas surrounding it, and the season is early winter. The place for the forest mode (Mullai) includes the forests, and the areas surrounding them and the season is rainy evening. The place for the river plain mode (Marutam) is cultivable lands and the areas surrounding them. The time is just
before the dawn. The wasteland mode (Poḷali) has no place of its own. Its time is midday in the summer. The locations near mountains or woodlands become wastelands during this season (Tol. 69 to 75).

The second aspect (Karū i.e., matrix) is concerned with the gods, food, animals, trees, birds, drums, activities, and music pertaining to each mode. For example, the mountain-country mode has lord Murugan as its god, wild rice and millet as food, tiger, wild boar, and elephant as its animals, eagle-wood, sandal wood, neem, teak, and kino as its trees; it has the drum of the possession dance, the hill people’s tonṭaka drums and kuravai drums. Robbing honey, digging roots, roaming the hills, and driving parrots from millet fields are the activities. Kurūći melodies are the music. The names of the heroes are cilampap, verpar, and poruppap, and the names of the heroines are koṭicci and kuratti. The flowers of this mode are conehead, water lilies in mountain pools, and kino. Each mode is characterized by such details.

The third aspect (uripporuḷ) deals with the themes of each mode. They are: union, separation, waiting, pining, quarrelling, and their causes (Tol.13 Akattinai Iyal). Union and the causes of union are the themes of mountain-country mode; separation and its causes are the themes of the wasteland mode; waiting and the causes of waiting are the themes of woodland mode; pining and its causes are the themes of seaside mode; quarrelling and the cause of quarrelling are the themes of the river plain mode.

Akam poetry has to be read and understood in terms of these details of each mode. The details provide the setting, depending upon the stage of love each mode epitomizes. For example, in the mountain-country mode, the hero and the heroine meet by chance and fall in love for the first time in their lives. The poet makes use of waterfalls, peacocks, millet fields, and mountain pools, hunter tribes, and spring time night to evoke these emotions. Kurūći is the flower which blooms once in twelve years on the mountain slopes. Imagery is drawn from this environment to evoke the varied emotions of the lovers. The patient waiting of the heroine for the return of the hero is portrayed in the forest or woodland mode using pools, rabbits, deer, and wild lime trees as the setting. The people are shown harvesting, threshing, and tending cattle. The wasteland mode depicts the hero pursuing his fortune in distant lands on separation from home and
loved ones. Hot desert with highway thieves, vultures, dry wells, and noon-time summer provide the imagery. The enforced separation for fear of being found out is the proper emotion of the seaside mode. This mood is evoked by drying fish, thieving seagulls, and rough fisher folk. The hero and the heroine get married, have children, and lead their lives in a fertile region. The hero’s relationship with the courtesans irritates the heroine and the go-betweens mediate in order to set them on the righteous path. This is the situation in the river plain mode. Red and white rice, water buffalow, water fowl, and heron, wedding and harvesting drums, harvesting, threshing, and weeding form the backdrop of this mode. There are two other modes: (i) Kaikkilai — unrequited love and (ii) Peruntinai — ill-matched love. The love described in these two modes is inferior and of sullied emotional purity (Tol. 999, 1000).

Correlation between Psychic and Objective Realities

As mentioned earlier, the concept of tinai has a greater bearing on Akam poems than on Puram poems, though these poems also have seven tinais and several sub categories of tinai. The mutal and karu aspects of tinai form an integral part of Akam poems, providing a setting to the mood of characters (George Hart). For instance, the hero’s suffering is compared to the struggling of the oxen as they try to pull the immobilized cart (Akanānūru 140).

The young daughter walking and jingling her few bangles glistening and decorated with lines, cries out the price in the town ‘Equal measure of white rocksalt for rice’. The dog of one of the houses, not knowing her voice, barks and her eyes, like two proud kaday fish fighting together are afraid. It is those eyes that have given me this pain, made me sigh hard, suffering like the oxen at the hands of her father as they pull the cart sunken in the congealed mud that is as black as the shadow of the smoke when a hill man tries to make a field by burning it clear.

(Akanānūru 140)

The poem contains a series of images – the oxen which suffer at
the hands of the cart driver as they try to pull the cart out of the mud, the mud which is as black as the smoke emanating from burning the field, and the eyes of the girl which make the inlander suffer, giving a sense of inter-connectedness. The eyes of the girl caused the wounds of love in the hero. He suffers like the oxen which suffer at the tormenting cart driver. The feeling of helplessness felt by the hero is the dominant mood of the poem which is graphically presented by the image of the oxen suffering at the nagging cart driver. The poem establishes a correspondence between the psychic reality and the objective reality with a clinical precision.

A hero is returning from the courtesan. The heroine’s companion stops him at the door refusing admission into his house and narrates a natural scene: “In your village there is a family of two birds. The female bird is pregnant and is about to deliver. It needs a soft bed to lie. The male bird, in an earnest desire to fulfil, goes out immediately and returns with soft white flowers of the sugarcane and stays with her throughout” (Kuruntokai 85). The scene contains an implication for the hero who, unlike the male bird, stayed away with other women abandoning his pregnant wife. The love which gets epitomized in this poem is a universal emotion, brought out through a scene from nature which is the objective correlative for the mood of the poem. The situation or the natural scene forms an outer correlative for the feelings evoking the same emotion from the reader. The significant aspect of tinai is the natural correspondence that exists between human situations and the natural background in Akam poems — the perfect link between the theme (uripporul) and the first two aspects of tinai, mutal, and karu (Dubiansky). The scorching sun above and the burning earth below exemplify the heart’s inner anguish in the wasteland mode. The pouring rain, the copulating deer, the buzzing bees, the colourful swarms, the fragrant lilies, the wetlands, and the cool path symbolize the jubilant heart of the lovers who hope for the delightful union in the Marutam mode. Thus the place, time, and the natural environment provide a complementary setting to the theme. In Akam poems, the heroine stands as a simile for natural objects — a reverse process of comparison.

eyirri pōlum māviŋ talirē — (Ainkkurunūru 365)
nīŋŋē pōlum mānįai āla — (Aīnkk. 492)
nīŋŋ naŋŋutal nārīm mullai malara (Aīnkk. 492)
nīŋŋē pōla māmaruŋtu nōkka (Aīnkk. 492). (Aīnkkuruntiru 365)
Nature, in Puram poems, is not so integral as it is in Akam poems. Tolkappiyam and Iraiyanar Akapporul codify all these Akam conventions and become the poetics of love.

**Name of the Work: Iraiyanar Akapporul**

The original name of the work is Kalaviyal, but later on, there came into existence a tradition of calling it by the name Iraiyanar Akapporul. The name Kalaviyal is given to the text because of the importance given to the love experienced by the lovers during the secret phase of their relationship. It is structured as a work of collection since the nāṟṟpaś (verses) of the work give all the characteristics of Akam poetry.

**Author of the work**

There is no reference to the name of the author in the original text. But, Nakkiranar, who is the commentator of this work, gives an account of the composition of the work where he mentions Iraiyanar – Lord Shiva – as the author of this work. He provides the following details: Ukkira Peruvaluti, a Pantiya king, after the twelve-year famine which devasted his country, asked the scholars to bring back all the learned people to his country. Among the scholars assembled, there was no one competent in the field of meaning (Porul). The king was disheartened because without the treatise on meaning, all other treatises on sounds, words, and syntax were useless. Seeing the king’s suffering, the Lord himself wrote the present treatise on the field of meaning and submitted it to the king. The king was pleased, and he asked the scholars to write commentaries on it. Again, he was upset because there was no consensus about the correct interpretation among the scholars. Lord Muruga appeared in the guise of a child and authorised the commentary of Nakkiranar, the son of Maturai Kanakkayanar.

The date of composition of this work is also indefinite. Nakkiranar’s commentary declares that Kalaviyal was written during the final Cankam age itself. Opinions among the scholars about the date of composition hover around the eighth century.
Nakkiranar's Commentary

Nakkiranar's commentary is said to have been composed during the Cankam age itself at the same time as the Kaññaviyal. This view is contested on the grounds that the commentary uses quotations from Cilappatikãram and Pãññikkõvai which are works of later periods, though allowance could be granted for his use of quotations from Cankam works. The Pãññikkõvai, as a panegyric work on Pantiya king Netumaran, belonged to the late 7th or early 8th century. Likewise, Ilampuranar, the oldest among the commentators of Tolkãppiyam (11th century), mentions the work Kaññaviyal and not the commentary, while Peraciriyyar, another commentator of the 13th century, refers to both the original and its commentary. So the lower time limit for the commentary might be 8th century and the upper limit 13th century.

The commentary of Nakkiranar is the first prose commentary in Tamil literary history, and it established a model for all subsequent commentarial practices on literary works. He says that one has to interpret a verse in a fourfold manner: By telling the substance of the verse, by dissecting it phrase by phrase, by paraphrasing it, and by commenting on it extensively. Adopting an elegant style, the commentary provides details like what the present nãppã says and its relevance to the earlier / previous nãppã, paraphrase of the text, and a minute explanation of each line or word. In the explicatory part, there will be a discussion of alternative views and also indication of the correct meaning for the text. The commentator will raise hypothetical questions in a conversational style and will answer them. He will also give, sometimes, two plausible views leaving to the reader the freedom of judgment. Adopting the techniques of expository and persuasive discourses, the commentator would try to convince those who hear it by incisive analysis with appropriate illustrations from other works.

Nakkiranar's commentary is significant in the history of Akam poetics. It has helped in putting the poetic course of love in order. The observations of David Buck and Paramasivam are worth noting: Prior to Nakkiranar's commentary on The Study of Stolen Love, love poems dealt with individual scenes like little condensed crystals of reality drawn from the lives of lovers. Tolkãppiyam laid down...
the conventions for constructing “Snapshots” of love life, and may well embody an earlier tradition of serialized continuity. But it was Nakkiranar who, in the present detailed commentary, first ordered those poetic moments unmistakably into a serialized plot. The sequel to this serialization of moments in love poetry was nothing short of spectacular (P.XIV). Thus his commentary crystallized the tradition of portraying the full development of a serialized story which later on was continued by the genre called Čitrilakkiyam and Cilappaatikāram.

**Tolkäppiyam and Iraiyaṉār Akapporuḷ**

Since Tolkäppiyam and Iraiyaṉār Akapporuḷ are the earliest verse treatises on Akam tradition, it will be fruitful to know the areas where they concur and the areas where there is variation. In the identification of kalavu with the kántarava custom and in the four kinds of meeting between the hero and the heroine — (i) union out of sexual desire (Kamappunarcci), the hero’s meeting the heroine on his own (Uṭantallaippatutal), union arranged by the hero’s companion (Pāṅkaṅkāṭṭam), and the union caused by her companion (Pāṅkiyiṃkāṭṭam) — and the speech acts thereon, both Tolkäppiyam and Iraiyaṉār Akapporuḷ concur. But the beginning of kalavu is viewed differently in these two texts. In Tolkäppiyam the first union between the hero and the heroine occurs involving four stages: the hero and the heroine meet (Kāṭci), then the hero doubts whether she is a human or a divine being (ayyam), the hero’s doubt gets cleared by the various features of the heroine (telivu), and finally they fall in love as their eyes express their intent (Kuripparital). But in Iraiyaṉār Akapporuḷ, there are no such intermediary stages. They meet by chance and have union. There are also differences in the description of karpu between these two. In Tolkäppiyam karpyal, karpu is defined as the tradition of marrying the girl with a ritual (karanam). The girl will be given by the parents and she will be received. There is another kind of marriage in which there is ritual but there is no one to give or receive her (uṭappōkku) (Tol. 1086, 1087). But in Tolkäppiyam ceyyuliyal, karpu begins with the revelation of their secret love, and the heroine is obtained from her relatives (Tol. 1434). In the Iraiyaṉār Akapporuḷ, karpu begins with revelation (velippatitai), and not with marriage. The later works on Akam poetics followed either the first or the second strand on karpu.
For a detailed understanding of the Akam poetics, one has to rely on Tolkëppiyam, though the ideas are scattered in different sections. The Akattinai Iyal of Tolkëppiyam provides elaborate account of tinai and of the people appropriate for each tinai, the contexts of speech for foster mother, confidante, the wayfarers, and the hero and also the convention of using names in akattinai. Similarly, the emotional manifestations of the heroine in kalavu, the situations when the hero, heroine, and confidante speak and the people for whom unrequited love (Kaikkilai) and ill-matched love (Peruntinai) are appropriate are provided in addition to the other aspects of Iraiyanar Akapporu. A comparison of these two texts will reveal that Tolkëppiyam adopts a speaker-based approach in the description of themes or situations whereas Iraiyanar Akapporu follows a theme or situation-based approach. The distinctive feature of this text is its attempt at a sequential treatment of themes, though it is rudimentary in nature. Nakkiranar’s commentary provides such a treatment of themes, though there are gaps and jumps of situations.

### The Structure of Iraiyanār Akapporu

The arrangement of nāpās will indicate the structure of Iraiyanār Akapporu. Nāpās 1 to 33 deal with Kalavu, 34 to 55 speak about karpu, and 56 to 60 with the rhetorical features of an Akam verse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITUATIONS</th>
<th>KAĻAVU DETAILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kāmappunarecci</td>
<td>Chance meeting – emotional and physical union; union out of mutual accord (Nār:1,2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further meetings</td>
<td>(i) Pānkanakkātum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ii) Itantai Patutal (Nār:3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(iii) Pānkkyar Kūtum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Hero’s entreaty to the confidante</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) making her understand his wish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Confidante’s understanding (tāji unareci: Nār:7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Confidante’s entreaty to the heroine (Nār:10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) The heroine’s expressions about her intentions to the confidante (Nār:11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) The tradition of bringing together
(talaippeyal marapu - Nūr:12)
g) Confidante’s speaking to foster-
mother without contradiction
(Nūr:14)
h) Obstacles in the hero-heroine
meeting (Nūr:16&17)
i) Night & day trysts arranged by
confidante (Nūr: 18,19,20,21)
j) Ālar & āmpal (Nūr:22)

Two types of marriage:
(i) Marriage before exposure
(ii) Marriage after exposure (Nūr:24)

Negotiation
The confidante’s request to the hero to marry
the heroine before it is known to the mother
(Nūr:23)

Obstacles in their marriage (Nūr:25)
(i) Separation for wealth
(ii) Separation due to staying in one place.
The love affair is known to the parents
(Nūr: 26). Mother first knows (Nūr: 27).
Others know through suggestions (Nūr:
28).

Situations for standing with honour
i) excessive guard
ii) marriage proposal of strangers
iii) relative’s refusal to the hero’s
marriage offer
iv) fearing the dangers on the way (Nūr:29)
v) Speech situation demanding marriage
(Nūr:30)
vi) the hero’s characteristics (Nūr:31)
viim) period of Kalavu (Nūr:32)
vi) no delay due to the hero in Kalavu (Nūr:
33) karpu (Nūr:34 to 55)

Separation and its kinds
Characteristics of karpu (Nūr:34)
Six types of separation (Nūr:35)
Separation for the high-born (Nūr:36)
Separation for the Brahmins (Nūr:36)
Separation for mediation in king’s absence
(Nūr:38)
In the kaḷavu phase, there is no reference to the elopement (utanpūkkku) or the hero’s threat of mounting the palmyra horse (maṭalēṟutal) or to marriage (varaital). Likewise, the salient situations in karpu like separation on account of search for wealth (Porulvaiṭu pirivu), the postponement of separation in order to console the grieving wife (celavalurkal), pangs of separation (Pirivarrāmaḻai) and separation on account of the courtesan are not given detailed attention in the Iraiyanār Akapporul besides leaving out kaikkilai and peruntinai modes. These gaps apart, Iraiyanār Akapporul is definitely a new attempt at presenting the themes in an orderly arrangement.

Different Editions of Iraiyanār Akapporul


Differences in the application of cīr rules and Canti rules are noticed among these editions. Lexical and morphological variations along with prosodical and phonological variations have been noticed by scholars who have worked in this area. Out of these variant readings, as Eva Wilden observes, only three morphological and
three lexical variations contribute to semantic differences (P.22). Buck also does not voice any different opinion when he talks about these editions.

Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḷ: English Translation

There are two English translations available for the Iraiyaṉar-Akapporuḷ text. David C. Buck and K. Paramasivam have translated the text along with Nakkiranar’s entire commentary under the title The Study of Stolen Love: A Translation of Kalaviyal enra Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḷ with commentary by Nakkiranar. Eva Wilden, Jean–Luc Chevillard, Sascha Ebeling, Thomas Lehmann and Takahashi brought out an English translation under the title An Annotated Translation of Kalaviyal alias Iraiyaṉar Akapporuḷ with an extensive introduction as well as footnotes for technical terms of the text.

Buck’s translation is based on Nakkiranar’s commentary for the text. Since the commentary also is translated, there will be no difficulty for the readers to understand certain expressions used in the translation. For example, the translators render őriatána (närpga 38) as “in one place”, and arapporuḷ patuppinum (närpga 44) as “follows that theme”. These expressions in the närpgas concerned can be understood only by reference to previous närpgas in the original text. So the literal translation for those expressions in the original will be vacuous for the readers. His rendering of porutpinya as “amassing wealth” (Närpga 35) gives a negative connotatio to the word in the original.

Eva Wilden and others give an alternative translation for the original Kuraiyuru punarcci toltiṭettuk whenever they consider the interpretation of Nakkiranar to the närpga concerned deviant and specious. For example, närpga 13.

kuraiyuru punarcci toltiṭettuk
kilavikkilai talaip peyal āna

is translated by her as

Union (because of her) expressing (her) wants
in front of the confidante does not exist for the heroine
when (the hero and the heroine) meet.

Whereas Buck’s translation incorporates Nakkiranar’s interpretation.
Union through entreaty by her friend does not exist for the heroine, in bringing them together.

Another example is nṛpā 28:

\begin{align*}
\text{tantai tāṇṇaiyār āyiru vīṟṟum} \\
\text{munṇam allatu kāṟṟavaṅ ilḷai}
\end{align*}

It is translated by Eva Wilden in the following way:

In that case there is no speech except by implication on the part of the pair of father and brothers.

She thinks that the speech is made by the father and brothers, while it is made by the mother to her husband and sons through suggestive speech.

Father and brother: to these two classes
She has no speech other than by suggestion there.

Similarly, the meaning undergoes revision in her rendering of (nṛpā 15. Her Version for:

\begin{align*}
\text{murpanṭap puṇarāṭa colliṅ maiyīṅ} \\
\text{karpēṇap paṭuvatu kāḷaviṅ vāḷīṭē (nṛpā 15)}
\end{align*}

is as follows:

What is called karpu is the course of kāḷava in case of the absence of words of unity previously. According to Eva Wilden, Buck’s translation “treats the sutras as if they were single stanzas in poetry,” and it pays “little consideration for context or for poetological systematics – in other words, the single sentences are readable, but the whole doesn’t make any sense” (p. 22). In her view, unless Tolkāppiyam is understood at least in rough outlines, the description of early poetics and their concerns will have to remain cautious and aware of its own limitations (p. 22).

In translating the Iṟaiyāṅkār Akapporul, problems arise because of the laconic nature of the text and its elliptical form. Hence, different contextualizations are provided by the readers. The contextualization, of course, has to be provided after a thorough understanding of the Akam tradition as described by Tolkāppiyam and as exemplified by the Akam poetry of the Cankam literature.
The Present Work

The present edition of \textit{Iraiy\=agar Akapporu\=l} in English with different translations is published with the objective of making available one of the basic texts on the \textit{Akam} tradition to the reading public who do not have access to read the source text in Tamil, and thereby bringing them into contact with the culture of a race which has evolved a well-knit tradition governing its love life, an intrinsic racial trait which is unseen and unknown in any other culture. With this modest aim, the present work is published. The salient features of this edition are: An elaborate introduction about the \textit{Akam} tradition, the \textit{ti\=inai} concept, the integral nature of man-environment setting, the text and its commentary by Nakkiranar, a comparative account of \textit{Tolk\=appiyam} and \textit{Iraiy\=agar Akapporu\=l} (IA), the structure of IA and views about the earlier translations enabling the readers to understand the text clearly. As for the text, the original \textit{n\=ipp\=a} is given in transliteration. Different English versions of the original are provided next in the following order: (1) Translation by David C. Buck and K. Paramasivam (2) Eva Wilden and others (3) V. Ramasamy. At the end, a glossary of cultural terms in the text is appended. The terms \textit{k\=alavu} and \textit{k\=arp\=u} are retained in some translations as they are conceptual and culture specific.

The Tamil text edited by Ka.Ra. Kovintaraja Mutaliar and Me.vi. Venukopala Pillai (of 1933) has been taken as the source text for the translation. It will be a fruitful endeavor if the present work evokes a desire in the readers to know more about the tradition the text embodies and makes them turn to works like \textit{Tolk\=appiyam} and \textit{Cankam} literature for further reading.
A SYSTEM OF TRANSLITERATION OF TAMIL

Vowels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short</th>
<th>Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>அ a</td>
<td>ᅫ ai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ஓ i</td>
<td>ᅫ i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ய u</td>
<td>யű</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ர e</td>
<td>ரė</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ன o</td>
<td>னொ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consonants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hard</th>
<th>Soft</th>
<th>Medial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>஖ k</td>
<td>னų</td>
<td>ய y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ச c</td>
<td>னη</td>
<td>ய r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ட t</td>
<td>ன n</td>
<td>ய l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ப p</td>
<td>ன m</td>
<td>ய l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ர ṅ</td>
<td>ன n</td>
<td>ய l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Secret Love

1. What is called stolen love, in five modes of affection, is, among the pleasant one’s rare secret’s eight nuptials, the kantaruva convention, say the poets.

2. What is called kalavu (in the context of the) five categories of love is the gandharva custom among the eight (kinds of) marriage (according to) the Vedas of the Brahmins, the scholars say.

3. What is called kalavu in the five tinais of love is, among the eight kinds of marriage of Brahmin’s Vedas the kantaruva custom, say the poets.

atuvé
tāngē avalē tamiyar kānā
kāmap puñarcei iruvaiyō ottal.
2 Iraiyanār Akapporuḻ

1. That,
When he and she see alone, is the accord
on both sides of the union of love.

2. That is (to say):
as regards him and her
when they see (each other) alone,
there is suitability
for (the task of) union out of (sexual) desire / passion on
both sides for uniting.

3. That is:
He and she meet alone and
have union out of sexual desire
On mutual consent.

3. அன்னகம்‌ புணர்ந்த கிழவோன்‌ தன்வயின்‌
பாங்க னோரின்‌ குறிதலைப்‌ பெய்தலும்‌
பாங்கலன்‌ தமியோள்‌ இடந்தலைப்‌ படலும்‌
என்‌ 
றாங்கவ்‌ விரண்டே தலைப்பெயல்‌ மரபே.

1. The hero who has thus united,
in two fashions shall he meet her again,
according to tradition:
a rendezvous arranged by his friends, and
coming upon her alone, without his friend.

2. For the hero who has united thus
the tradition of approaching (consists of) these two:
reaching the meeting place, in the case when the companion
examines
(the emotional changes in the hero)
and that he, (being) without his companion,
reaches the place where she is alone.
or, because the topic is the explanation of ways of
approaching:
reaching the meeting place,
While the companion examines (the emotional changes)
in the hero who has thus united,
and that he, (being) without his companion,
reaches the place where she is alone
these two form the tradition of approaching.

3. Having united thus, for the hero
meeting her at the place indicated by his companion, and
meeting her alone without his companion –
these two are the ways of meeting.

4. அவ்வியல்‌ பல்லது கூட்டக்‌ கூடல்‌
எவ்விடத்‌ தானும்‌ களவிற்‌ கில்லை.

avviyal pallatu kūṭak kūṭal
evvitat tāyum kalavīṟ killai.

1. Other than of that nature, union that is caused
at no point exists in stolen love.
2. Other than of this nature,
meeting for sexual intercourse
does not exist at any point in kalavu.
3. Other than of that nature, there is no arranged union
at any point in kalavu.

5. புணர்ந்த பின்றை ஆங்ஙனம்‌ ஓழுகாது
பணிந்த மொழியால்‌ தோழி தேஎத்‌
இரந்துகுறை யுறுதலும்‌ கிழவோன்‌ மேற்றே.

punarnta piṇraī āṇṇaṁ oḷukātu
paninta moḷiyāl tōḷi tēt
tirantukurai yurutalum kilavōn mēṭtē.

1. After uniting, not behaving in that manner
through deferential speech to her friend
even accomplishing through entreaty exists for the hero.
4 Iraiyañär Akapporul

2. After uniting, the hero, not behaving like that, is to express his wants entreatingly with humble words before the confidante.

Or:
Instead of behaving like that (i.e. as described in sutra 3) after uniting, the hero is to express....

3. After the union, not behaving that way even to express his wants, through entreaty with humble words to the confidante is proper for the hero.

6. 
irantukurai yurdatu kilaviyum tōliyum
orunku talaippeyta cevvi nōkkip
patiyum peyarum piṟavum viṇāayp
putuvōṅ pōḷap poruntupu kilantu
matiyuṭam paśuttarkum uriyaṅ enpa.

1. Entreating without accomplishment, looking for the opportune moment when the heroine and her friend are together, asking place, name, and other things, approaching and speaking as a stranger, even for the reconciliation of knowledge, he is fit, they say.

2. If he does not express his wants entreatingly, he may also look for the occasion when the heroine and the confidante meet each other, ask for place, name, etc., express himself appropriately as if he were a stranger, and make (her) mind agree, they say.
Or:
If he does not express his wants entreatingly, he may also look for the occasion when he meets both the heroine and the confidante together....

3. Without entreating, looking for the occasion when the heroine and the confidante are together asking the place, name, and other things, and telling appropriate words like a stranger the hero is fit to reconcile her understanding.

7. munnura unartal kuratyura unartal iruvaram ulvali avanyara vuqrtaleg rammul tepa tēlijk kunarcci.

1. Understanding through pondering, understanding through the entreaty, and understanding through his coming to where they both are: those three are her friend's understanding, they say.

2. Realizing beforehand realizing when he expresses his wants, (and) realizing when he comes after the two (who?) have come together these three, they say, are the (ways of) realizing of the confidante.

3. Understanding through pondering, Understanding through entreaties, and Understanding through his coming when they are together – those three are the understandings of the confidante, they say.
6 Iraiyānār Akapporu!

8. அன்னேநர் கைலட்சி தோட்டமல்லை வேறுபடுத்தியும் வேறுபடுத்தியும் கிள்ளை.

ānkuṇārn tallatu kilavōl tēettut
tāŋkurai urutal tōlik killai.

1. Other than understanding in that way with the heroine her friend herself has no accomplishment.

2. Unless she has realized (it) in this way, it is not for the confidante (to pass on) to the heroine (the fact) that he expresses wants.

3. Other than understanding in that way, it is not for the confidante herself to make entreaty to the heroine

9. முன்னுறவு வறையில்லாவும் அவன் வாறும் வேறுபடுத்தியும் கிள்ளை.

munnura vunāriyum avarkurai yurra
pinjar allatu kilavi tōnyātu.

1. Even if she has understood through pondering, Speaking does not occur except after he beseeches.

2. Even if she has realized (it) beforehand, an utterance (by the confidante) does not appear unless he has expressed his wants (to her).

3. Though she has understood through pondering, no speech will occur except after he expresses his wants.

10. உள்ளது துணர்ச்சி தெள்ளியின் கரந்து

ullat tunarcci tellitin karantu
kilavōl tēettuk kūraiyyūrum ulavē
kurippați vūrūm kālai āpa.
1. Hiding her inner understanding and not letting it become clear at the time of knowing the signs.

2. Having hidden from clarity (the confidante’s) understanding there are also expressions of (the hero’s wants) (by the confidante) in front of the heroine, if (the confidante) makes (the heroine) recognize (his) signs / intentions.

3. Hiding her inner understanding clearly the confidante also has expressions of entreaty to the heroine at the time of referring to his anguish.

11. தன்னுள்‌ குறிப்பினை அருகு‌ தோழிக்கு‌
முன்னுறு‌ புணர்ச்சியின்‌ அருகலும‌ உண்டே.

1. To her friend who has expressed her inner intent, There also exists an expression, due to the union of meeting.

2. There is also the reference to the previous union (by the heroine) in front of the confidante who refers to the signs inside herself.
Or:
There is also the reference to the first union (by the heroine) in front of the confidante who refers to the signs inside (i.e. the heroine).

3. To the confidante who has expressed her inner intention, there is also an expression of the previous union.
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12. குறையுறும்‌ தழவனை உணர்ந்த தோழி
சிறையுறக்‌ இளந்து சேண்பட நிறுத்தலும்
என்னை மறைத்தல்‌ எவனா கியர்‌என
mுன்று புணர்ச்சி முறைமுறை செப்பலும்
மாயப்‌ புணர்ச்சி அவனொடு நகாஅ
நீயே சென்று கூறென விடுத்தலும்
அறியாள்‌ போறலும்‌ குறியாள்‌ கூறலும்
pடைத்துமொழி கிளவியும்‌ குறிப்புவேறு கொளலும்
அன்ன பிறவும்‌ தலைப்பெயல்‌ வேட்கை
mுன்று புணர்ச்சிக்‌ குரிய என்ப.

kuraiyurum kilavaani unarnta toli
ciraiyuvaak kilantu cempata niruttalum
eñna maraittal evañã kiyareña
muñuru puñarcic muraimurai ceppalum
máya puñarcic avançu nakåa
nīyë cenru kureña vituttalum
ariyál pórálum kuriyál kårálum
pataitumuolí kilaviyum kurippuvéru koḻalum
aŋga píramu talaippeyal vētkai
muñuru puñarcic kuriya enpa.

1. Her friend, who understands the entreating hero,
accedes but sends him away, saying the heroine is shut up;
She says, ‘why are you hiding it from me?’
and narrates the ways in which the union of meeting took
place;
she laughs with him about illusory union,
Says, ‘Go tell her yourself’, and leaves;
She acts as one who does not know; she speaks nonchalantly;
She speaks words of inventio; she takes intentions differently;
and other things that express the desire to bring them
together:
these belong to the union of meeting, they say.

2. That the confidante, who has realized that the hero expresses
wants
explains that (the heroine) is under confinement,
(and thus) stops him at a distance;
that (she) speaks (to him) again and again about a previous
union,
Saying 'why hide? What might happen (from this)?; that (she) laughs with him about an imaginary union, says 'you go and tell (her) your self!' and sends him off; that she seems to be ignorant; that she speaks as one who has no clue; utterance of fabricated words; that she takes signs/intentions differently—those and others are appropriate for (the case of) a 'previous union-cum-desire to meet', they say.

3. The confidante who has understood the entreating hero stops him at a distance telling him of the strict guard; asks him, “why do you hide it from me”? narrating the ways in which the previous union took place; laughs with him about an illusory union leaving him saying, “go and tell her yourself”; acts as one who does not know; speaks indifferently; utters words of imagination; takes intentions differently; and other such words expressing the desire to bring them together; these belong to the previous union, they say.

13. குறையுறு புணர்ச்சி தோழி தேஎத்துக்‌ கிழவிக்‌ கில்லை தலைப்பெயல்‌ ஆன.
kuraiyuru puñarcci tàlli têettuk kilavik killai talaippeyal äga.

1. Union through entreaty by her friend does not exist for the heroine, in bringing them together.

2. Union (because of her) expressing (her) wants in front of the confidante does not exist for the heroine, When (the hero and the heroine) meet.

3. Union through entreaty before the confidante does not exist for the heroine in the tradition of meeting.
14. Iraiyanadr Akapporul

தோழிக்‌ குரியவை கோடாய்‌ தேத்து 
மாறுகோள்‌ இல்லா மொழியுமார்‌ உளவே.

tölık kuriyavai kötöy tëettu 
mäguköfl illä möliyumär uulæ.

1. Those fit for her friend to the foster-mother 
   are speeches also, with no contradiction.
2. There are those appropriate to the confidante, which are 
   not discrepant 
   with regard to the foster-mother, they say.
3. What is appropriate for the confidante before the foster-
   mother 
   is speaking words with no contradiction as well, they say.

15. murpatap puvaradä colliy maiyip 
    karpeñap pašutatu kalaviñ välitté.

1. As there is no speech before uniting 
   What is known as Marital love follows Stolen love.
2. What is called karpu is the course of kalavu 
   In case of the absence of words of uniting previously.
3. As there is no speech before the union 
   What is called karpu is a sequel to kalavu.

16. kalavinul tavircci käppumikig urittë 
    varaivitái vaitta kálai áñga.

1. There is a breach in stolen love if guarding increases 
   When there is a delay in marriage.
2. When (there is) strict guarding, an interruption within kalavu
is appropriate (for poetic utterance) at the time when marriage is delayed.

3. Interruption in *kalavu* is appropriate if there is excessive guard, and even when there is a delay in marriage.

17. அல்லகுறிப்‌ படுதலும்‌ அவ்வயின்‌ உரித்தே 
அவன்வர வறியும்‌ குறிப்பின்‌ ஆன.

allakurip patutulam avvayin urittē 
avayvara variyum kurippin āna.

1. False signs also belong there as signs for knowing of his coming.
2. Also being led astray by a wrong sign is appropriate in that case, if the intention of his coming is known.
3. Occuring false signs is also of that nature when the signs of his coming happen on their own.

18. குறியெனப்‌ படுவ திரவினும்‌ பகலினும்‌ 
அறியக்‌ களந்த இடம்‌என மொழிப.

kuriyenap patuva tiravinum pakalinum 
ariyak kilanta itamena molipa.

1. That which is called a tryst, at night and in the day, is a place specifically mentioned, they say.
2. They say that What is called *kuri* is the place that has been clearly expressed to be recognized by night and by day.
3. What is called tryst at night and in the day, is the place mentioned to be known, they say.

19. இரவுக்‌ குறியே இல்வரை இகவாது.

iravuk kuriyē ilvarai ikavātu.
1. Night tryst does not transgress the limits of the home.
2. Nocturnal kuri (night tryst) does not transgress the borders of the compound.
3. The night tryst does not cross the limits of the home.

20. பகற்குறி தானே இகப்பினும்‌ வரையார்‌.

pakarkuri tāṅē ikappinnum varaiyār.

1. Even if day tryst transgresses, they do not object.
2. As for the daytime kuri even if it exceeds (the border of the compound), they do not exclude it.
3. Even if the Day tryst transgresses, they will not exclude it.

21. பகற்குறி தானே இகப்பினும்‌ வரையார்‌.

iravumāṇai ikanta kuriyitat tallatu kilavör cértal kilattik killai.

1. Other than the place of the tryst that transgresses the home at night there is no meeting the hero for the heroine.
2. At night, Unless at a place of meeting which is outside the house the heroine is not allowed to join with the hero.
3. At night except in the place of tryst that transgresses the home joining the hero does not exist for the heroine.

22. ஆம்பலும்‌ அலரும்‌ களங்கை

ampalum alarum kalavu.

1. Gesturing and gossiping are stolen love.
2. Rumour and gossip (still belong) to kalavu.
3. Rumour and gossip form part of kalavu.
23. velippatta pinraiyum uriya kilavi.

1. Even after it is exposed, there is speech.
2. Utterances are possible after (kalavu) has become public (through ampal and alar).
3. Even after it became public, utterances are appropriate.

24. kalavu velippatta mungra varaital
kalavu velippatta pinrai varaitalen
rayiran tenpa varaitalen

1. Marriage before stolen love is exposed and
Marriage after stolen love has been exposed: they say, these are the two types of marriage.
2. Marrying before kalavu has become public and marrying after kalavu has become public these two, they say, are the two ways of marrying.
3. Marriage before kalavu becomes public, and
Marriage after it became public: these two are the ways of marriage, they say.

25. patta pinrai varaitak kilavan
netti kalintu porulvayin piritalum
porulvayin piriya toruvai tanaitalum
puraiva tenpa karpal aga.
1. A hero who does not marry after it happens, leaving for a long interval for wealth, and not leaving for wealth, staying in some place is proper, they say, to married life.

2. That the hero, who does not marry after (the love relationship) has become public either separates for the sake of wealth, passing a long time Or is absent temporarily without separating for the sake of wealth this is suitable, they say, because (it is now) in karpu.

3. The hero who does not marry after it became public leaving for a distant land for fortune, and not leaving for fortune but staying in some place are proper in karpu, they say.

26. வெளிப்படை தானே விறிக்குங்காலைத்‌

tந்‌ைத தாயே தன்னையர்‌

tன்‌ையாங்‌

tகன்னவர்‌

அறியப்‌ பண்பா 

கும்மே.

1. Exposure indeed, when explained, is the effect when such people know as father, mother, and brothers.

2. If one is to explain the (concept) of velippatai (becoming public) (then it) is of the nature that father, mother, brother, and others know (about it).

3. Becoming public when explained is of the nature that such people as father, mother and brothers come to know of it.
28. Among them, the others know because the mother knows.

29. Among those, it is because the mother is informed that the others know, too.

30. Among them, As the mother is informed, others also come to know.

28. தந்தைத்தந்தையர் ஆயிரு வீற்றும்

29. தந்தைத்தந்தையர் ஆயிரு வீற்றும்

30. தந்தைத்தந்தையர் ஆயிரு வீற்றும்
1. If desire increases when guarding becomes excessive, if the time comes for a stranger to marry her, if her relatives refuse to accept the offer of marriage, and if a time comes when they fear harm to him, in all four of these, shedding her bodily modesty, in standing with honour belongs also to her friend.

2. If desire increases when guarding becomes excessive, if the time comes that strangers (propose to) marry (the heroine) if her relatives do not accept the marriage and refuse in his case and if the time comes when (She) fears obstacles for him – on these four occasions, it is also appropriate for the confidante to disregard her true/inherent sense of shame and to adhere to (her) duty (of revealing the truth).

3. When sex desire increases as guarding becomes excessive, When the ripe time comes for strangers to marry her, When the relatives refuse to accept the offer of marriage, When it is time to fear harm to him: in those four situations, shedding her bodily modesty and adhering to virtue are appropriate for the confidante too.

30. காமம்‌ மிக்க கழிபடர்‌ கிளவியும்‌
காப்புச்‌ றைமிக்க கையறு கிளவியும்‌
ஆறுபார்த்‌ துற்ற அச்சக்‌ கிளவியும்‌
இரவினும்‌ பகலினும்‌ நீவரு கென்றலும்‌
இழவோன்‌ தன்னை வாரல்‌ என்றலும்‌
தன்னுள்‌ கையா றெய்திடு கிளவியும்‌
அன்ன மரபின்‌ பிறவும்‌ தொகை இத்‌
தன்னை அழிந்த கிளவி எல்லாம்‌
வரைதல்‌ வேட்கைப்‌ பொருள்‌ என்ப.
1. The speech out of much brooding over increased desire
the speech out of helplessness when prison-guard increases
the speech out of fear of what happens on the way,
saying, 'come at night or in the day,'
telling the hero, 'Don’t come!'
the speech of placing her helplessness
other things as well collected in that line
all the speeches of her affliction
have the meaning of a desire for marriage, they say.

2. The speech situation of great distress, as desire has increased;
the speech situation of helplessness, as guarded confinement
has increased;
the speech situation of fear evoked by seeing (the) path (by
which he comes);
telling him, “You can come, by night or by day”;
telling the hero “Don’t come”!
the speech situation of being reduced to helplessness within
herself;
along with others of similar type,—
(in other words) all the speech events, (which state)
that (something) is devastating her.

or

All speech situations (that denote) that she will perish
have the meaning of desire for marrying, they say.

3. The speech of deep reflection due to increased sexual passion,
the speech of helplessness out of increased prison guard,
the speech of dread due to sensing the dangers on the way,
asking him, “come at night and in the day”;
telling the hero, 'Don’t come'!
The speech which results out of helplessness within herself
Such other classes of words of this kind grouped
all these speeches uttered out of her anguish
mean the desire for marriage, they say.
1. Unpleasantness along the path, fear of obstacles, and blaming himself do not exist for the hero.

2. Being devastated himself by the unpleasantness of the path, the obstacles, (his) fear, being dejected, is not for the hero.

3. Hardships along the path, obstacles, fear, and self-reproach do not exist for the hero.

1. Remaining in stolen love and deferring marriage are within two months, they say.

2. Interruption in kalavu and delay of marriage take place within two months. Akam is an interruption within kalavu and a delay of marriage within two months, they say.

3. Remaining in kalavu and extending the period of marriage shall be within a period of two months, they say.

The hero has no interruption in stolen love. Interruption is no (subject) for the hero in kalavu. In kalavu, there is no interruption for the hero.
KARPU

The Marital Love

34. கற்பினுள்‌ துறவே கடிவரை வின்றே.
karpinul turavē kativarai vinrē.

1. In Married love, departure is neither rebuked nor eschewed.
2. Within karpu abandoning (her) is not strictly excluded.
3. Separation in karpu is not strictly excluded.

35. ஓதல்‌ காவல்‌ பகைதணி வினையே

vēntark kurruli porutpini parattaiyen
rānka vārē avvaiyin pirivē.

1. Learning, defense, peace mission,
   service to the emperor, amassing wealth, and courtesans
   these six are the separations in that case.
2. Studying,
   Protecting,
   the task of pacifying enmity,
   at the time of imminent danger for the king,
   being attached to wealth,
   rival women –
   these are, in that case, the six (causes for) (types of)
   separation.
3. Learning, defense, mediation, service to the king, seeking fortune, and
   courtesans: these six are of such separations.
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36. அவற்றுள் ஒதலும் காவலும் உயர்ந்தோர்க் குரிய.

avarrul
ōtalum kōvalum uyarntörk kuriya.

1. Of those
   learning and defense belong to the highest people.

2. Among those,
   Studying and protecting are appropriate for the high-born people.

3. Of those,
   Learning and defense are proper for the high-born.

37. வேந்துவினை இயற்கை பார்ப்பார்க்கும் உரித்தே.

vēntuvinai iyarkai pārppārkkum urittē.

1. The nature of imperial action belongs also to the Brahmins.

2. Brahmins, too, have a natural right to act for the kingdom.

3. The nature of king's duty pertains to the Brahmins as well.

38. அரசர் அல்லா ஏனை யோர்க்கும் புரைவ தென்ப ஒரிடத் தான.

aracar alla ēnai yörkkum
puraiva tenpa ērīṭat tāna.

1. Other than kings, for others also
   it becomes proper in one place, they say.

2. Even for the others who are not kings
   that is honourable, if they are in the (same) place, they say.

3. Also for others who are not kings, imperial action
   is proper, in king's absence, they say.
39. ventark kurulip porupinpip piriven
ranka virantum ilintörk kuriya.

1. Departure for service to the emperor and amassing wealth
   those two belong to the lower people.

2. Separation at the time of imminent danger for the king
   Or because of being attached to wealth –
   these two are also appropriate for low-born people.

3. Separation for service to the king and for fortune:
   these two are proper for the low-born.

40. katal parattai ellarkkm urité.

1. Mistresses are appropriate for everyone.

2. (Separation because of) rival women (with whom one falls
   in love) is appropriate for everyone.

3. Separation for mistresses is appropriate for all.

41. pirivin nittam nilampeyarn turalvörk
   kuriya tapré yantvarai varuttal.

1. It is not appropriate to delimit in years
   the length of departure for those who stay in a different land.

2. For those who change place it is not appropriate
   to precisely specify in years the length of separation.
   For those who change place it is appropriate
   to prolong their separation, but not exceeding a year.
3. It is not appropriate to specify in years the length of separation for those who stay away from their land.

42. *parattaiyir pirivé nilattiri pirré.*

1. The departure for courtesans has no change in lands.
2. For the separation because of rival women there is no change of place.
3. There is no change of land for the separation due to the courtesans.

43. *parattaiyir pirinta kilavén mañaiivi pûppin purappā ūraru nālium nittakan puraital aryata ūrre.*

1. The hero who has departed for courtesans leaving and remaining away from her for two times six days after the appearance of his wife’s menstruation is not the way of virtue.
2. That the hero who has separated because of a rival woman should leave at the start of his wife’s menstruation (and) stay away for all the twice six days is not the path of virtue.
3. The hero who has departed for courtesans leaving and staying away for the twice six days after the onset of his wife’s menstruation is not the way of virtue.
44. கற்பினுள்‌ பிரிந்தோன்‌ பரத்தையின்‌ மறுத்தந்‌ தறப்பொருள்‌ படுப்பினும்‌ வரைநிலை இன்றே.

karpinul pirintēn parattaiyin maruttan taṟapporul paṭippigum varainilai ingressē.

1. Yet if a hero who has departed during Married love comes back from the courtesans and follows that theme, it will not be eschewed.
2. If he, who has separated (from the heroine) during karpu, returns from the rival woman and (thus) respects (follows) the path of virtue, this is not a state of defect.
3. If the hero who was on separation during karpu comes back from the courtesan and thus follows the virtuous path, it will not be deemed a flaw.

45. புகழும்‌ கொடுமையும்‌ கிழவோன்‌ மேன.

pukalum koṭumaiyum kilavēn mēnā.

1. Honour and baseness are in the hero.
2. (Receiving) praise and (being called) cruel are for the hero.
3. Honour and blame are there for the hero.

46. கொடுமை இல்லைக்‌ கிழவி மேற்றே.

koṭumai illai kilavi mērrē.

1. There is no baseness in the heroine.
2. (Being called) cruel is not for the heroine.
3. There is no blemish for the heroine.
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47. kilavon munpark kilatti tarpukalal pulavik kālattup puraiva tanrē.
1. The heroine’s self-praise before the hero, even in times of quarrel, is not great.
2. Even in time of being vexed it is not honourable for the heroine to praise herself in front of the hero.
3. The heroine’s self-praise before the hero even during sulking is not appropriate.

48. nātum urum illum cuttit tanvayin kilappin pulavip poruṭṭē.
1. If she cites the country, the town or the house while speaking of herself, it denotes sulking.
2. If she talks about herself touching upon (the subject of) country, town, and home it means sulking.
3. If she talks citing her country, town, and family while talking of herself, it is on account of sulking.

49. avanvayin kilappis varaivin poruṭṭē.
1. If she talks of him, it denotes union.
2. If (she) talks about him (touching upon the same subject), it means (she desires) marriage.
3. If she talks so about him, it is for the sake of marriage.
50. 

1. If a quarrel not settled through conciliation appears then they do not eschew sulking in the hero either.

2. In case (She makes) a scene without conciliation, they do not exclude even the hero from being vexed/sulking.

3. If irreconcilable tiffs appear, they do not exclude sulking, even in the hero.

51. 

1. When it is fixed in mind, if a speech occurs that is appropriate to Stolen love, they will not eschew using names from the modes for the hero also.

2. If a speech act (kilavi) pertinent to kalavu appears (in karpu context), When there is a situation where (the hero) is travelling for the hero, too, they do not exclude a change in the status of (his) tinai.

3. If the speech pertinent to kalavu occurs in her When he stays in a change of land they do not exclude change of tinai and of names for the hero also.
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52. nilampeyarn turaiyum ellap pirivum 
    olintor ariyavum ariyad maiyum 
    kalintucen patium iyarkaiya enpa.

1. All departures of remaining in changed places, 
   with those left out knowing and not knowing, 
   have the nature of his leaving and staying far away, they say.

2. They say that 
   all the cases of separation based on travel, 
   have the nature of putting (the hero) temporarily at a distance, 
   whether those left behind know or whether they do not know.

3. All separations of staying in changed lands 
   whether those left behind know or do not know 
   have the nature of leaving and staying in a distant land, they say.

53. ella vayilum kijavon pirivayin 
    pellar ranum vanpurai kurittangru.

1. All the go-betweens, when the hero has departed, 
   seek to comfort in many ways.

2. In case the hero separates (from the heroine), 
   comfort is intended in many ways (by) all go-betweens.

3. When the hero departs, all the go-betweens 
   intend to comfort the heroine in many ways.
54. All the go-betweens who seek to reassure, 
if a speech occurs when her love has departed, 
cite the prison, say the poets.

1. All the go-betweens who seek to reassure, 
if a speech occurs when her love has departed, 
cite the prison, say the poets.

2. The scholars say that, 
if speech acts without love occur, 
the cirapppuraam is hinted at (by) 
all the go-betweens who intend comfort.

3. All the go-betweens concerned with reassurance, 
if speech devoid of love occurs 
shall seek to comfort by citing the prison, the learned say.

55. There is also the occurrence of not citing the prison 
When there are signs of his reuniting.

1. There is also the occurrence of not citing the prison 
When there are signs of his reuniting.

2. There are also occurrences that do not hint at the 
cirapppuraam, 
If (the go-betweens) know (in his/her) mind that he unites 
(with another woman).

3. There are also consolations other than citing the prison of 
virtue 
when there are signs of his return and union with her.
1. The mode and conduct, the speaker and audience
   the person, time, elision, mood
   result, and analysis: these ten
   are the ways of commenting on all five interior modes.

2. Tinai (i.e setting), love phase, kātrū, listener,
   place, time, ellipsis, manifestation of emotions,
   outcome, syntactic construction
   these ten are the ways of telling/explaining the five tinais
   of Akam.

3. The tinai, the conduct, the speaker, the listener,
   the (grammatical) person, time, elision, moods,
   effect, semantic mode: these ten
   are the ways of interpreting all the interior poems of five
   tinais.

57. avarrul
   eccum kōšum ignaiyum uriya.
   1. Of those
      lack of elision and analysis also occur.
   2. Among those, the absence of ellipsis and syntactic construction
      are also appropriate.
   3. Of those,
      Absence of elision and semantic mode also occur.
58. சொல்லே குறிப்பே ஆயிரண்‌ டெச்சம்‌.
collé kurippé ayiran tejcam.

1. Word and implication are the two elisions.
2. That of words and (that of intentions) are the two types of ellipsis.
3. Word and implication are the two kinds of elision.

59. முற்படக்‌ களந்த பொருட்படைக்‌ கெல்லாம்‌
murpatak kilanta porupataik kellam
எச்சம்‌ ஆகி வரும்வழி அறிந்து
eccam aki varumvali arintu
இளந்த அல்ல வேறுபிற தோன்றினும்
kilanta alla vērupira tōrīnum
இளந்தவற்‌ றியலான்‌ உணர்ந்தனர்‌
kilantavar riyalan unarntanar kolalē.

1. To all understandings of meaning mentioned earlier
   examine where there comes a residue
   even if something other than what was said appears,
   understand it and take it by means of what was said.

2. If, after the reader has recognized what comes as an appendix
to all the important topics that have been clearly expressed
   before, different and additional (topics) other than those
   which have been clearly expressed occur (to the reader),
   they have to be understood by the nature of what has been
   clearly expressed.

   or

   ... which has been clearly expressed occur (to the reader) let
   those who have understood, interpret (these additional
   topics) by analogy with (lit by the nature of) what was has
   been clearly expressed.

3. To all the meanings of verses expressed earlier
   find the ways by which exceptions occur, even if
   something different from what was said appears
   understand them all by the nature of what was said.
1. What are thought of as stolen and married love are the heightening of love that occurs in the hearts of people who live here.

2. What are considered as kalavu and karpu stand for the idealization of love that occurs in the hearts of those who live in this world.

3. What are classed as kalavu and karpu stand as the epitome of love which occurs within the hearts of people who live in this world.
GLOSSARY OF CULTURAL TERMS

Akattinai: The ancient Tamil literature talked about the interior and the exterior life of the people. The body of literature which dealt with the tradition of love between young men and women is called Akam poetry. The other division of poetry which dealt with the themes of war, glory, etc., is called Puram (exterior life) literature. Out of the 2381 Cakam poems, 1862 poems are love poems composed by 378 poets. Akam poetry includes Akanarinai, Nagar, Kuparkolai, Ainkuruniru, Kalittokai, Pariitul, and Pataippattu. Interior poetry is the unique feature of Tamil literature. It affirmed that the pleasure of sex is the intrinsic quality of not only human beings but also of all living beings. The love which gets epitomized in these poems is pure and noble; it is both sensual and spiritual. It is this combination which gave a distinctive identity to the Tamil culture. The Akam poetry has a well-defined tradition, both in its subject-matter and in its expression. It is closely integrated with the concept of tinai. Tinai concept includes knowledge of place, time, environment, and themes. The theme urippul is primary and the first two aspects of tinai namely mutal (place & time) and karu (environment) are complementary. The Akam poetry has seven tinais — kaikkilai, mullai, kuritci, pollai, marutam, neyal and peranitul depending upon the theme or propriety. In Akam poetry, human love is portrayed against the backdrop of the world of nature. The world of love portrayed in these poems reveals not only the conduct and the emotional world of the hero and the heroine but also the involvement of the people around them. The love expressed in these poems is universal emotion as the poets employed a creative process of depersonalization. Thus, Akam tradition is the poetics of love which is exemplified in Cakam Akam poetry.

Antanar: Brahmins

Brahmins, one of the four classes of people in the ancient Tamil social structure. The four classes are : aracar (king), ontaar (Brahmins), vaiclyar (merchants), and veijjar (farmers). In terms of rights and privileges, Brahmins enjoyed a pre-eminent status next to the king. They had the privileges in the areas of learning, defense, and mediation, (IA nitr: 36,37).

Five modes of love. The term akattinai is the generic name for all the seven modes. The seven modes are kaikkilai (one sided love), mullai (wood-land mode), kuri (mountain-country mode), marutam (river-plains mode), neyal (seaside mode),
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The aintinai love has two phases: palai (wasteland mode) and peruntinai (improper love). Aintinai is the generic term for the five modes, namely mullai, kuṭṭikai, palai, marutam, and neytal. The core of aintinai is mutual love, which springs in the hearts of the hero and the heroine for each other. Aintinai is a major mode. Out of the 55 verses of akattinai, Tolkāppiyam devotes 50 verses for aintinai. All the seven modes of love are characterized by the spirit of noble love; yet kuṭṭikai and peruntinai modes do not have separate geographical regions as the aintinai modes have. In the aintinai, the hero stops with a mere threat of mounting a palm horse to the confidante when she refuses to arrange meetings with the heroine. But in peruntinai, the hero executes the threat into action in order to get the heroine in marriage. Kalavu is only a temporary phase, and the lovers should marry and lead a happy life. This is the ideal enshrined in aintinai.

(i) Kalavu — Secret love, and (ii) Karpu — Married love. The first phase deals with the following situations in the life of the lovers: (i) the lovers meet each other accidentally, develop mutual love for each other, and have sexual union. The first meeting is caused by fate; (ii) the lovers have both emotional and physical union. The second meeting occurs with the help of the male and female companions; (iii) the hero’s threat to mount the palm horse when the confidante refuses or delays the meeting between him and the heroine; (iv) the prolonging of secret love at the places arranged by the female companion at night and in the day; (v) the heroine’s loss of beauty and form due to her inability in meeting the hero and the foster-mother’s enquiry about it with her companion, (vi) the women of the village come to know of the secret love and gossip about it; (vii) the arrival of a stranger to marry her; (viii) the mother’s attempt to know the cause of the heroine’s distress by arranging a possession dance; (ix) the female companion reveals the secret love relationship to the foster-mother requesting her to give the heroine in marriage to the hero she loves; (This is called “adhering to virtue”); and (x) in case it fails or the slander becomes excessive, the heroine elopes with the hero. In the second phase or karpu, (i) the hero and the heroine get married and start enjoying the pleasures of conjugal love; (ii) the hero leaves the heroine seeking fortune; (iii) in order to console the heroine who feels the anguish of separation, the hero postpones the separation; (iv) the separation and the heroine’s distress; (v) the arrival of the season for the return of the hero; (vi) the return of the hero and union with the heroine; (vii) departure of the hero deserting the heroine for the courtesan; (viii) the mediation by the go-betweens to pacify the
heroine's anger; (ix) the refusal of the heroine to admit the hero when he comes back; and (x) the reunion with the heroine. From these major situations of the aintinai, the world of love experienced by the lovers, the responses of the people around, and the setting of Nature get revealed. The poetics of love is presented in the aintinai poems of Cankam literature. Literally it means adhering to virtue. In the context of aintinai, it refers to the revelation of the heroine's secret love with the hero to the foster-mother by the heroine's companion in order to make the foster-mother arrange the heroine's marriage with the hero. Tolkappiyam and Iraiyanar Akapporul identify the situations for adhering to virtue. The heroine is constrained to confess her love affair to the companion which she kept as a secret when a stranger comes to the parents seeking her for marriage or when the parents refuse to accept the hero's marriage proposal or when she is compelled to worship the god in a possession dance or when she is confined in her home preventing her from meeting the hero as a result of the gossip of the neighbours. In all these situations, the heroine faces a predicament of either preserving her feminine virtues of modesty and fidelity and losing her hero or giving up her feminine virtues and gaining the hero. For the woman in aintinai, fidelity to her hero is more precious than her modesty. So she gives up her modesty and confesses her love to her companion. Before taking this decision, the heroine, being distressed at the stranger's marriage proposal, grows thin, loses her beauty, disdains sleep and food, and is fatigued both in mind and body. The foster-mother and the real mother worry about her. In this situation, the companion steps in, reveals that the heroine is already in love, and asks the foster-mother to arrange for her marriage with the hero. Tolkappiyar identifies seven aspects of this speech of the companion: (i) speaking of the humble nature of the hero, (ii) speaking of his greatness, (iii) speaking of his intense love for the heroine; (iv) resorting to the priest as well as the god when the priest wants the heroine to worship god in the possession dance, (v) explaining why the hero met the heroine, (vi) telling the mother of their meeting by chance, and (vii) telling the fact of their meeting as it happened. (Tol., Porul 13).

The companion serves as a driving force behind the marriage as she does it only after the heroine reveals her love. To adhere to virtue is one of the two options available for the heroine to escape from marrying a stranger. The other way is to elope with the hero if she fails in her first move. There is an order in which the revelation of the secret love takes place. First, the heroine reveals it to her companion. Secondly, the companion informs
the foster-mother. Thirdly, the foster-mother informs the real mother, and finally the real mother shares this with her husband and sons through suggestive speech. In handling this revelation, all of them will broach this subject delicately without offending the heroine’s modesty and propriety.

Rare secrets. It refers to the Sanskrit Vedas of the Brahmins.

Occurrence of false signs. During night trysts, the hero comes to a fixed place to meet the heroine. To indicate his arrival, he will make certain signs for the heroine to come. Sometimes, the signs which he makes occur on their own without his coming. For instance, the dropping of a mastwood fruit into the water and the flushing of a convoy of birds. The mastwood fruit might have fallen either because of the ripening of its stem or by the shaking of its limbs by birds. Likewise, birds might have been flushed out when they are startled. Mistaking these signs for the hero’s arrival, the heroine comes and returns sadly. Then the hero comes and makes the signs. The heroine does not come as her leaving the house for the second time would cause alarm in her parents.

Literally, the terms mean bud and blossom. Figuratively, they mean rumour and gossip of the other people, especially women. The hero and the heroine prolong their secret love relationship. Slowly it becomes known to the people around them. They start talking about them. First the women start mentioning it through gestures by leering at the heroine out of the corners of their eyes and touching their fingers to their noses in contempt (ampal). This act is compared to the buds whose petals remain closed. Afterwards, the women talk about the lovers openly (alar). It is like the blossoming of the bud into a flower. The hero is responsible for the gossip and rumour of the neighbours for he delays the marriage. The mother is worried at the slander; so she keeps her daughter under guard. The heroine feels sad because she is not able to see the hero. Gossip and rumour serve in several ways. The heroine feels assured of her marriage with the hero as her love is known to others. The companion exhorts the hero to marry the heroine without bringing the heroine to disrepute. The rumour and gossip of the strangers facilitate the parents to give their daughter in marriage to the hero without accepting the stranger’s marriage proposal (Akam. 40). “The women with gossiping mouth” (Narrinai 143) were not hostile nor malicious, but they were facilitators of taking the secret love to the status of married love. Rumour and gossip have a significant place in kalavu and karpu phases of love. The
The term refers to the second meeting of the hero and the heroine after their first union. This meeting is arranged without the help of mediators. This meeting takes place in the same place where their first union took place. In their natural union and in the unions caused by the male and female companions, there is a clear reference to their physical union, but in the union taking place on their own initiative, there is no definite indication of their physical union but only their passion for sexual union (Ainkurunär 197; Narrinäi 39, 155). The hero seeks to meet her again and experience the pleasure he had in the first union. This intense desire for having the pleasure again is indicated by the term itantalai patutal. By experiencing this desire, their passions are softened and mollified and their hopes for further union get vivified.

Accomplishing something through entreaty. Here it refers to the hero’s request to the heroine’s companion to arrange for a second meeting with the heroine after his first union with her. The hero will not plainly ask for the meeting. He will just indicate it subtly. The confidante does not understand what he really wants her to accomplish. She doubts whether he wants something to be done by her or by the heroine. The hero pursues her with gifts like leaf skirt and wreath, with enquiries about their names and place, about a wounded deer etc., as if he were a stranger. By these entreaties, the hero wants her to make arrangements for meeting the heroine. There are conventions about who can talk to whom and in what ways. The male companion cannot meet and talk to the heroine directly. The heroine’s companion alone can approach her and talk about the hero’s entreaties in a subtle way.

Here it refers to the hero’s return from the courtesan and union with the heroine after the onset of his wife’s menstruation. The heroine is angry with him for leaving her and staying with the courtesan. So the hero tries to cool down the heroine’s anger through the go-betweens. Then he enters and unites with her. To stay with the heroine for the first twelve days after the onset of his wife’s menstruation is the virtuous conduct expected of the hero.

(AA 36) — the high-born people. The word refers to the kings and Brahmins in the social hierarchy. The duties of learning, defense, and mediation are assigned to them.
Ilintér: Kantaruva custom: Kamappunarcci:

(JA 39) — the lowborn people. The term refers to the merchants (Vaiciyar) and the farmers (Véldlar). They are called low by virtue of the worldly distinctions of caste types. The role of mediation is assigned to them only in the absence of the king. In terms of privileges and rights, these people are deprived. The Vélíałar - i.e the farmers, initially did not have the marriage rituals for themselves (Tol. 1088); they were denied other occupations except cultivation (Tol. 1571). They are called kílör = low people (Tol. 1088).

It is one of the eight forms of marriage mentioned in the Sanskrit Vedas. Tolkappiyam and Iraiyañår Akapporu compares the kalavu—the secret love with the kántaruvam custom of marrying a woman. According to this custom, man and woman who are similar in nature join together on their own and live happily. The other seven marriage customs are: 1) Pirammam — the giving of a twelve-year old girl to a man who has maintained celibacy for eight years, 2) Piracapattiyam — the giving of a girl without refusal to someone of her brother-in-law’s lineage when asked, 3) Aritim — placing the girl between a cow and a bull whose horns and hooves have been gilded and pouring water over them, 4) Teyvam — giving the girl to a priest before the sacrificial fire. It is called Divine, 5) Kántaruvam - man and woman who are similar in nature join together willingly, 6) Ācuram — giving the girl to a man who performs a rare feat like controlling a murderous bull, bending the bow, hitting the moving boar, 7) Irakkatam — taking the girl by force without her or her family’s consent, and 8) Paicidcam — joining with an older woman or a sleeping woman or an intoxicated woman. This is known as Devilish. Here Iraiyañår compares kalavu with kántaruvam to indicate that the lovers come across each other in a grove of trees and unite without anyone giving or receiving. There is also an attempt to reconcile the eight types of Sanskrit marriages with the seven tinois or modes of Tamil akattinai tradition. Ācuram, Irakkatam, and Paicidcam are equated with kaikkilai; kántaruvam with aintinai, and pirammam, Piracapattiyam, Aritim, and Teyvam with peruntinai.

Union out of sexual desire. There are other expressions like iyarkaip punarcci — the natural union, meyyurpunarcci — physical union, and mugurupunarcci — the previous union to denote physical union between the hero and the heroine taking place in their first meeting. They meet in a grove alone; they are unaware of themselves and unite in excess of desire. Their meeting and their union are caused by fate. The natural virtues of the hero are wisdom, constancy, investigation, and
resolve; the virtues of the heroine are modesty, innocence, fear, and loathing. When they meet each other alone, these qualities are swept away by desire; they are overwhelmed like the grass lying bent in the path of a flooding stream. The words kital and kāmam in the akattinai context refer to the combination of both emotional and physical union. So when the lovers meet, they become unconscious of their being, as sex desire swells within and drives them to have physical union.

**Kuri:**

Sign – the meeting place. After the first union, the hero wants to meet the heroine. He entreats her companion to accomplish this. She asks the hero to come to a particular place after making the heroine accept the proposal. The meeting takes place in certain places fixed by the companion during night and in the daytime.

**Iruvukkuri / Night tryst**

The meeting between the hero and the heroine takes place at night within the limits of her house, i.e., from the place of their meeting, the lovers could hear the words of the people in the house (Tol. 1075). The limits of the house include play hill, a park, a flowery arbor or the playground but not the kitchen, the granary, the store house, the upstairs, the dance hall, the bedroom or the queen’s apartment. The companion, while arranging this meeting, exhorts the hero to marry the heroine quickly as others might come to know of this affair. She says that the mother often looks at her intently. The heroine is afraid of the harms that would come to the hero on the way and so on. This is how the night tryst develops.

**Pakar kuri:**

Day tryst. Meeting in the day takes place outside the limits of the house, i.e., in a cotton wood grove or kino grove in the case of mullai mode; in mastwood grove or screw pine grove in the case of neytal mode. The companion takes the heroine to one of these places and leaves her there. The hero comes and unites with her. After this, the companion takes her home. This is how the day tryst develops. Here also the hero is made to realize the necessity of early marriage. The hero happens to see the heroine addressing the sea or the grove or the birds in her anguish. Seeing her in that condition, the hero decides to marry her without prolonging the secret love.

**Kalavu:**

the secret love

In the secret phase of love, the hero and the heroine come upon each other by chance, fall in love, and have sexual union. This union is caused by fate. After their first union, they are brought together by his or her companion. The hero’s companion is a source of consolation for the hero to express his anguish, and he is also helpful in informing the place where the heroine is waiting. The heroine’s companion helps the hero to have further
meetings with the heroine by indicating the places at night and in the day. It is only the female companion through whom the inner world of the heroine is revealed. She prevents the hero's meeting with the heroine in order to understand the resolve of the hero as well as to make him realize the preciousness of the heroine. The hero threatens to mount the palm horse if the companion does not arrange their meeting. While helping the hero to prolong the secret love, she also exhorts him to marry the heroine immediately as the people have started talking about their relationship. The heroine is put under strict guard by her mother. She loses her beauty because of her inability to meet the hero. The mother arranges the possession dance in which the priest asks the heroine to worship god. The companion laughs at the priest and the god for their folly. The heroine doesn't like to worship god as she will lose her fidelity to her hero. Similarly, when the marriage proposal from a stranger comes, it causes anguish in her. In such contexts, the secret love affair is revealed to the companion by the heroine which she informs to the foster-mother, who, in turn, reports this to the real mother. The father and sons are informed by the mother through suggestive speech. This revelation of secret love of the heroine is called “standing with honour.” If there is delay in marriage, or if there is gossip about her, the heroine elopes with the hero. The foster-mother feels distressed and finally, the lovers are united in marriage. In a way, Kalavu is marriage without rituals. Tolkappiyar identifies the following four features of conduct under the secret love phase (Tol. 1433): sexual union, coming together, union through his companion, union through the heroine's companion, union and the speech acts thereon.

The Revealed / Married love. It refers to the relationship between the hero and the heroine after it had become public. Tolkappiyar identifies the following aspects under the second phase, namely karpu: i) the revelation of their secret love ii) getting the heroine from her relatives, iii) enjoying the pleasures of married life iv) separation v) sulking, and vi) reconciliation (Tol. 1434). Karpu is marriage with rituals; it is the parents and relatives of the heroine who give the heroine to him (Tol. 1086). After the marriage, they lead a happy conjugal life. As a responsible family man, the hero departs from her for the sake of earning wealth. The season for the hero's return appears which increases the heroine's anguish. The hero also experiences anguish thinking about the suffering heroine; like a farmer wishing to plough the lands before the wetland gets dried, the hero wants to come back and unite with the heroine (Kuruntokai 131).
They enjoy the bliss of union. The hero leaves the heroine for the courtesan. The heroine is angry with him. The go-betweens mediate and the hero returns. He is refused admission into his house and then forgiven by his wife. The heroines in the aintinai mode are portrayed as graceful women, not grabbing ones. They are described as mistresses – kōmakkiyari (Tol. 1096) and are “akin to wives” (Tol. 1096). They are shown as beautifying the hero’s sons with jewels (Narrinai 315). The concept of karpu permeates both in the first and the second phases of their love. The heroine exhibits her fidelity in all trying circumstances. Her fidelity in the kalavu phase reveals itself in her anguish at the dangers on the path of her lover, in her scorn of the priest and god in the fiery dance, in her fasting when the marriage offer of the stranger comes, and in her elopement with the hero when all her attempts fail. The final stroke comes when she defiantly ignores her relatives who come to rescue her. In the karpu phase, the heroine’s patient waiting during separation, her anger at the hero’s separation for the courtesan, and finally her acceptance of him show how the heroine shines in the strength of character.

Excessive guard, increased vigilance. As a result of rumour and gossip about the love affair of the heroine, the mother confines the heroine in the house. She is unable to meet the hero because of this. There are two types of guard: mental guarding – guarding oneself with her own conviction (niqai) and prison guard – confining a girl in one place and preventing her from leaving her house by several means. Here the author speaks about the second type of guard. The heroine is prevented from meeting the hero at night by the mother, dog, the people, by the hastening watchman, by the appearance of the moon, by the hooting of the owl, and by the crowing of the cock. The prison guard becomes an obstacle to the meeting at night for the heroine. As a result, a great change comes over the heroine which is observed by the companion and the foster-mother.

Courtesans or mistresses other than one’s wife. Usually the courtesans are captivating women; they enslave men with their flutes, lutes, and dance. The hero stays with them enjoying their performance. But in the akattinai literature, the courtesans are portrayed with excellent virtues. They are not after the hero’s wealth nor are they interested in spoiling the harmony of his domestic life by retaining him always with them. In fact, they counsel the heroes to go back to their house and bestow love upon their wives when they stay with them forgetting their family. They say, “If you could desert the woman whom you
married publicly, what guarantee is there for not deserting us as well. Think about your fault and don’t allow the flower to wither” (Narrinai 315). So these courtesans are respectfully called in aintinai, Kāmakkilatti women of rights (Tol. 1096) in conjunction with the word Kilavan (man of rights) referring to the hero. They are not prostitutes or public women seeking others’ wealth. In fact they bedecked the hero’s sons with the jewels (Kolitrokat 82, 84) and respected the hero’s family. By virtue of these qualities, these courtesans are called “our sisters” (enkai) by the hero’s wife and are called “women who are like wives” (Tol. 1096). Tamil literature eulogizes these courtesans.

Porul wayie pirivu:

The hero’s departing from the heroine for the sake of wealth. Of the many kinds of separation, the hero’s leaving his wife to earn wealth is considered important. It occurs in karpu phase, i.e after their marriage. The hero and the heroine enjoy the pleasures of their conjugal life without any anxiety in their hearts for some time (Tol. 1091). But the demands of married life expect the hero to do his other duties as a family man. So the tension between the lure of passion and the call of duty experienced by the lovers forms the basis of beautiful poems. As a family man, he should have money to protect his family, to help his relatives, to consolidate his friends, to help the needy, to welcome guests, and to overpower the enemies. So he has to earn money. Nakkarat points out the hero needs money to perform rituals to his dead ancestors out of his own acquired wealth instead of doing it out of inherited wealth. The hero departs from the heroine to make money.

Pippin pirappdu:

(IA 43) – the onset of menstruation. The hero stays with the courtesans. The go-betweens inform him of his wife’s menstruation by wearing red clothes. The hero should return and pay attention to what the heroine says in the first three days and then unite with her for the remaining nine days. This is the proper virtuous conduct prescribed by tradition, and it is also believed that the embryo produced in this period is short-lived. If the hero comes after the first three days, it will not be considered “a swerve from the way of virtue.” Menstruation customs occur in the karpu phase and not in the kalavu phase.

Tiff, sulking or lovers’ quarrel

These three terms refer to the different dimensions of love quarrel between the heroine and the hero. It is mostly meant for the heroine, barring a few contexts of sulking for the hero. Uptil
refers to the tiff of lovers. Pulavi is the extreme form of sulking. Tuegoi refers to the fear. For sulking, there should be no serious cause. The reason should be of trivial nature which should instantly disappear as it appeared facilitating the happy union of the lovers. Valluvar lists out some such occasions. When the hero sneezes, the lady feels sulky and asks him, “Whom do you think of now?”; when he looks at her intently, the lady asks, “Whom do you compare me with?”; when the hero tells her that he will not leave her in this life, she picks up a quarrel asking, “Will you take other women in the next birth?” Generally, the heroine develops sulking when the hero returns from the courtesans. She refuses him admission into the house (Vayil maruttal), but she does not prolong it for long, and she forgives and allows him to come and unite with her (Vayil nértal). This is the picture that one sees in the ainitinai poems. The constancy of her love for the hero is the unique virtue of the heroine in spite of the hero’s misdemeanour, both in kalavu and karpu phases.

Reaching the correct understanding or reconciling one’s understanding. After the first union, the hero wants to have further meetings with the heroine. He seeks the help of her companion to accomplish this. He expresses his wants in a subtle way, he offers gifts like leaf skirts and flower wreaths to the female companion. She does not understand what he wants her to do. She is not clear whether he seeks help from her or from the heroine. In the meantime, she also observes certain changes in the heroine. Her eyes are reddened and her brow altered. She wonders whether these changes are caused by gods or human beings. She analyses and finds that the changes in the heroine are caused by the hero and that he entreats her because of the heroine. The hero makes her understand what he wishes her to accomplish. This act of making her understand is what is known as reconciliation of her knowledge. How does the hero do it?

He comes to the place where the heroine and the companion are alone and enquires about their names, place etc., as if he were a stranger to the place. He asks them whether a wounded deer has come near their fields; whether an elephant has come there followed by his mate; whether they could show the way to their place etc. By these actions, the hero expresses his intentions to unite with the heroine. This intention is understood by the companion. Tolkappiyar indicates the ways of understanding the intentions of both the lovers: (i) by the hero’s entreaties, (ii) by pondering over the changes in the heroine, and (iii) by the hero’s coming to the place where the heroine
Marul illa marumol:
Munnura Unartal:
and the companion are together (Tol. 1071 & 1A7). Thus the companion’s earlier split understanding is corrected through these events.

Mārul illa marumol: Reply with no contradiction. The foster-mother observes changes in the heroine. The heroine is not herself. So the mother asks the companion whether she knows the cause of it. The companion gives an explanation to dispel her doubt. The explanation to be given by her should not contradict the mother’s knowledge, the greatness of the heroine, her fidelity and modesty, and her own chaperoning, and also the ways of the world. The companion gives the explanation thus: “My mother! When we were young, you asked us to go out and play with the innocent children. A young man with a lotus bloom came there. Your daughter asked him to give the lotus for her doll. The hero gave it and went away. When some thing is given to us, we should worship the person. Your daughter failed to do it then. Now she thought it to be a blight on her family. That is why the daughter shows change in her. This reply did not contradict the mother’s knowledge since she asked them to go out and play nor her greatness because the heroine remembers a good deed done to her, nor her fidelity as she feared a blight on her family if it were to happen any other way, nor her modesty because she did not know then what she needs to know now, nor her friend’s chaperoning since both of them were present. In this way, her explanation is without contradiction.

Musarea Unartal:
Understanding through pondering. The companion of the heroine understands that union has taken place between the heroine and the hero. The heroine keeps it a secret without revealing it to her friend. She comes to understand this through several ways. One of the ways of understanding is explained. The companion observed changes in the heroine. She asks, “How did it come about?” The heroine says that her eyes were reddened and her brows altered because she bathed in a mountain pool for a long time. To this reply, her companion says that she will also bathe in that pool if one can attain such a wonderful beauty. On hearing these words, the heroine bows her head and scratches the earth with her toe. From this action, the companion comes to know that the heroine has had union with the hero. Likewise, she asks the heroine to worship the moon which arises from the sky. The heroine refuses to worship as it will mean infidelity to the hero. From this she understands the truth of her union. Such probings show a lack of respect for the heroine. The companion comes to know of the heroine’s union through her own ponderings: whether the changes are caused
Suggestion/implication: expressing something through suggestive speech. There is a clear convention about making utterances in both the kalavu and karpu phases by the speakers (Tol. 1436; 1447). Some could speak explicitly and some others by suggestions. Excepting the hero and the heroine, all the other ten people — Brahmins, male and female companions, foster-mother, bards, male and female dancers, courtesans, and the learned could talk to the hero and the heroine only by suggestion as appropriate to the context (Tol. 1442). So suggestion refers to the convention of making implied speech about something by someone to somebody in a particular context (Tol. 1454). As such, the real mother can broach the subject-matter of her daughter’s love only through suggestive speeches to her husband and sons. By her speech, the father will realize that their lineage would be disgraced if they do not give their daughter in marriage to the man she loves (IA 28).

Outside place ~ outside the hedge. Citing the prison is one of the ways resorted to by the go-betweens to comfort the heroine when she feels distressed at the delay of the hero’s return from separation as promised by him. The go-betweens try to comfort her in all possible ways. When the hero does not return at the arrival of the season, the heroine feels sad. The go-betweens say: “Don’t mistake the arrival of the season by the lilies’ blooming because the flowers have mistaken the shower for the season; the season has arrived, so too the hero will come without fail.” They will divert the heroine’s attention by interpreting omens, by playing the lute, and by blaming the hero as being loveless and cruel. If these ways fail to comfort the heroine, the go-betweens resort to comforting her by a reference to the prison of fidelity. They will cite the noble family from which the heroine was born, how it upheld virtuous conduct from ancient times, how it has been guarding modesty and fidelity, how wise men always held in high esteem those women who safeguarded the fidelity and the prestige of the family etc. Finally, they will appeal to her not to bring disrepute to the family and to her by her disrespectful conduct. This is called “citing the prison” which is given by Nakkiranar using an illustration from Pāṇḍitkōḥvat (313). But in Tolkōpiyam, a different view is expressed. The nature of the go-betweens is to speak things which are pleasant to the hero and the heroine (Tol. 1122). If at all they speak anything contrary to this nature, they will speak so, not in the presence of the hero or the heroine.
They will talk unkind words in their absence, knowing clearly that the hero is hearing their words from behind the hedge. By such talk, they make the hero realize that he has to marry the heroine without any delay.

Cirappuram
Kuriyat tonralum:

Not citing the prison. The go-betweens prefer to cool down the anguished heroine when there are indications of the return of the hero after finishing his mission. Conches and drums sound when the hero returns. The go-between realizes this. She then speaks like this: “Our lady, you thought you would die, didn’t you! Now, look; there is nothing a person can’t have, is there? Since you let us comfort you, you get to worship your lord again this very day!”.

Talaip peyal marapu:
The tradition of bringing together the hero and the heroine. There are two ways of meeting the heroine for the hero (i) meeting her at a rendezvous arranged by his friend and (ii) meeting the heroine without his friend. The hero’s companion cannot meet the heroine and speak to her. He can inform the hero about the place where the heroine is waiting. Similarly, the heroine will not meet the hero through the entreaty of her companion.

Tipai nilaip peyar kōj:
The names pertaining to each mode. It included the names of the geographical region and the occupations pertaining to each mode (Tol. 968). For example, the people of the mountain-country mode (mullai tipai) are called dyar (shepherds) and vēttuvar (hunters) (Tol. 969). When the hero decides to delay his departure, he changes his mode from the wasteland to the river plain mode. Since separation from the heroine or separation along with the heroine from the relatives belong to the wasteland mode (Tol. 959).

Notumalar varaiːvu:
Marriage with a stranger. The parents, sometimes, accept the offer of marriage from strangers without knowing that their daughter is in love with someone else. Sometimes they will reject the hero’s marriage proposal unintentionally. In such contexts, the heroine and the companion act with boldness. The companion indicates that the heroine is in love with a hero who removed her pallor which spread on her during his separation (Ainkurunṭam 258). Having heard this, the parents give her in marriage to the hero, rejecting the stranger’s offer. The stranger’s marriage offer is one of the trying circumstances for the heroine, which compels her to reveal her secret love. She disdains food, refuses to participate in the feast prepared for the stranger. This is also an occasion for the companion to exhort the hero to
marry the heroine without delay and to warn him that the strangers are waiting to snatch her like the heron waiting to grab the fish. In the ninitei poems, the parents in general will not go against the wishes of their daughters if they are in love.

Vanpurai: Reassurance. The hero consoles the heroine by emphasizing the reasons for separation when she grieves over his departure (Tol. 1128).

Vëysë: The go-betweens of the hero and the heroine

Velippatai: Becoming public, or exposure. The secret love of the hero and the heroine gets exposed. It is revealed by the heroine to the companion when a stranger comes seeking her in marriage. Then the companion tells this to the foster-mother, when she observes that the heroine is not her old self. Afterwards, the foster-mother informs the real mother. She, in turn, informs her husband and sons through suggestive speech. So exposure, or becoming public is the process of getting the heroine’s secret love known to father, brothers, and mother.

The ten categories used to interpret Akam poems

1. Tinai: Mode. It is concerned with the five regions, the people, and the patterns of their behavior or conduct.

2. Kaikal: Conduct pertaining to kalavu and karpu contexts of love. Using this category, one can state that this poem is about kalavu or karpu phase of love.

3. Kiirru: The speech act or the speaker of the poem. Tolkappiyar makes a detailed statement about who is fit to speak, to whom, and in what manner in nérpds from 1436 to 1442. In the kalavu phase, Brahmmins, male and female companions, foster-mother, the hero, and the heroine of eminence alone could make utterances (Tol. 1436). In karpu phase the bards, male and female dancers, courtesans, the learned, and passers-by could speak (Tol. 1437). The people of the town, neighbours, medicine men, father, elders can only report but not make direct speeches (Tol. 1438). The real mother will not talk directly to the hero and the heroine (Tol. 1439), but with others. Others can talk with the mother, foster-mother, and the confidante directly (Tol. 1440) in kalavu and karpu phases. Except the hero and the heroine, all the other ten people (Vëysë) can talk to the lovers in ways appropriate to them (Tol. 1442).

4. Këtpor: The audience / the hearers. The heroine hears what her friend says or what her friend hears when the heroine speaks. The words of the hero, heroine, Brahmmins, and the learned will be heard by all (Tol. 1443).

5. Itam: Person — the grammatical person — the first, second, and third person. This helps us in saying that this poem deals with such and such a person.

6. Kalam: Time. It is the knowledge that this poem deals with the present, past or future time reference.
7. **Eccam:** Elision / ellipsis. Word elision or sense elision. We must fill up the missing element in order to have full meaning of the poem.

8. **Meyppātu:** Mood; manifestations of emotions. There are eight moods: smiling, weeping, disgust, astonishment, joy, fear, pride, and anger. We must point out which one of these emotions manifests itself in this poem.

9. **Payu:** Effect / result. This helps us to know the result or outcome of the poem.

10. **Kōf:** Meaning mode — how meaning is structured in the poem. There are five ways by which meaning is patterned.

   a. Lock-tongue construction: we link the first and the last expression together in order to interpret the poem.
   b. Shimmering: the first, the middle, and the last expressions are linked in order to get the meaning.
   c. Unstringing of beads: all expressions and lines are independently meaningful.
   d. Transposing words: one must transpose the words in order to understand the verse, and
   e. Standing to one side: the meaning suggested in a poem has to be located, somewhere within the verse.

With a complete knowledge of the above ten items, we can interpret any *Akam* poem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>நூல் எண்</th>
<th>சொல்லு எண்</th>
<th>சொல்லு வரிசை எண்</th>
<th>படித்தல்</th>
<th>தலைக்குறிப்பிட்டும் முறைமை</th>
<th>முடித்தரும் தொகுப்புகள்</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>anpiñ</td>
<td>aintinaik</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>atuvé</td>
<td>avajé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ararcar</td>
<td>allát ṣapai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>allakurip</td>
<td>paṯatalum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>avarul</td>
<td>tāyari wurtalig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ayyi ṣallatu</td>
<td>pallatu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>avarul eccamum kōjum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>avarul atalum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>avarul kilappì</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>anłuarna taltu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>anłaṇam pûranta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>aśrī pûnāyum urum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>irantukarai yuratu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>iravuk kuriyë ivravai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>iruvumapai ikanta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>unarpvuyvi vārā</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>uḷḷat tuaruci</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>ellā vsiylum kilavē</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>ātā kāval pakaitani</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>kājavīguḷ tavrīci</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>kājavīguḷ tavrīcī kilavē</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>kājavīguḷ tavrīcī varativē</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>kājavā karpēnag kāpēniya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>kājavā velippaṭā</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>karpīṣuḷ tu'avē</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>karpīṣuḷ pirintōn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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